



Control Number: 58124



Item Number: 1433

PUCT DOCKET NO. 58124

# Ratepayer Comments/Requests To Intervene

Use this form to speak out if you disagree with the filing  
or want to get involved in the case.

If you wish to PROTEST the proposed rate change, you must complete this form and file it electronically using the PUC Interchange Filer (<http://www.puc.texas.gov/industry/filings/E-FilingInstructions.pdf>) or mail the original to

Filing Clerk  
Public Utility Commission of Texas  
1701 North Congress Avenue  
P.O. Box 13326  
Austin, Texas 78711-3326:

No hearing will be held and the rates will be effective as proposed unless protests are received from at least 10% of ratepayers or from any affected municipality, or the Commission Staff requests a hearing.

CUSTOMER INFORMATION (please provide all of the requested information)

First Name: Keith Last Name: Folse

Phone Number: 832-541-3502 Fax Number: na

Email Address: kfolse9156@aol.com

Address, City, State: 31802 Fulshear Creek Trail, Fulshear Tx 77441

Location where service is received: Fulbrook Subdivision Fort Bend  
(if different from mailing address)

Please fill out the following:

I wish to PROTEST the following proposed rate action/s:

☒ I wish to be a COMMENTER. I understand that: I am NOT a party to this case; my comments are not considered evidence in this case; and I have no further obligation to participate in the proceeding. Public comments may help inform the PUCT of the public concerns and identify issues to be explored. Please provide comments below. Attach a separate page, if necessary.

*I object to the proposed rates by Aqua. See attached.*

☐ I am requesting to INTERVENE in this proceeding. As an INTERVENOR, I understand that: I am a party to the case; I am required to respond to all discovery requests from other parties; I may be required to attend hearings, and if I file testimony, I may be cross-examined in the hearing; if I file any documents in the case, I must provide a copy to every other party in the case; and I acknowledge that I am bound by the Procedural Rules of the PUCT and the State Office of Administrative Hearings (SOAH).

Signature of Ratepayer: Keith A Folse Date: 6/30/2025

Si desea informacion en Espanol, puede llamar al 1-888-782-8477.

Further assistance may be obtained by calling the PUCT at (512) 936-7120 or (888) 782-8477.

Hearing- and speech-impaired individuals with text telephones (TTY) may contact the PUCT's

Customer Assistance Hotline at 512-936-7136 by first dialing 1-800-735-2989 or 7-1-1

Information may also be obtained by visiting [www.puc.texas.gov](http://www.puc.texas.gov)

Keith Folse

31802 Fulshear Creek Trail

Fulshear, Tx. 77441

June 30, 2025

Public Utility Commission of Texas

1701 North Congress Avenue

PO Box 13326

Austin, Tx. 78711-3326

Re. PUCT Docket No. 58124

We have residential water service but no sewer service from Aqua. We are serviced by the Fulbrook Subdivision Water Plant (SE-W01, PT-SE1). After reviewing the information provided by Aqua, I protest the proposed rate changes.

Fulbrook is a relatively small development in Texas and the existing single rate is based on a 5/8" meter (the smallest). The existing rate is on the low end of the areas serviced by Aqua. I believe that reflects a relatively small cost to provide water service. The existing rate is a single tier volume for minimum to 999,999,999 gallons of water usage (basically unlimited). The proposal includes rates for 4-tiered volumes that are 135% to 409% higher than the existing rate. I do not believe the proposed rates for our area reflect the relative cost of providing service compared to other areas. It seems the high percentage increases also do not reflect the actual cost increase to provide service to our area.

The proposal from Aqua has the same 4-tiered volume usage rates for all areas serviced by Aqua. That does not seem like a reasonable and fair approach to service rates. It is unreasonable to believe those values reflect the relative proportional cost to provide service to all users. The % increases seem overstated to reflect actual costs to provide service to all areas included.

It is proposed that volumetric charges increase but pass-thru charges are unchanged and system improvement charges are 0. If there are truly justifiable reasons for increased rates it is difficult to understand why these items are unchanged and 0.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Keith Folse", written in a cursive style.

Keith Folse