



Filing Receipt

Filed Date - 2025-06-04 02:12:29 PM

Control Number - 58055

Item Number - 4

DOCKET NO. 58055

COMPLAINT OF BI-STONE RANCH	§	PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
PARTNERS AGAINST	§	
CONCORD-ROBBINS WATER SUPPLY	§	OF TEXAS
CORPORATION	§	

COMMISSION STAFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY DECISION

On May 2, 2025, Bi-Stone Ranch Partners (Bi-Stone) filed a complaint against Concord-Robbins Water Supply Corporation (CRWSC) regarding alleged refusal of water service. This complaint was filed under 16 Texas Administrative Code (TAC) § 22.242.

On May 5, 2025, the administrative law judge (ALJ) filed Order No. 1, directing the Staff (Staff) of the Public Utility Commission of Texas (Commission) to file a statement of position regarding the complaint, including addressing whether Bi-Stone has complied with the requirements under 16 TAC § 22.242(c), (e)(1), and (f) by June 4, 2025. Therefore, this pleading is timely filed.

I. STAFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY DECISION

Staff recommends that CRWSC's actions are consistent with the governing tariff and applicable statutes and PUC rules. As stated in CRWSC's response, the previous owner of the complainant's property submitted a formal disconnection request, and CRWSC discontinued service effective October 29, 2024. Further, the Complainant has not submitted a completed non-standard service application and has not remitted the required \$500 service investigation fee.¹ As established in the record, the Complainant clearly indicates an intent to subdivide the property. Thus, Bi-Stone's service requested qualifies as non-standard service per CRWSC's tariff. Therefore, the applicant must comply with the WSC's non-standard service application requirements. Since Bi-Stone has not filed a non-standard service application and CRWSC discontinued service as requested by the previous owner on October 29, 2024, CRWSC is not denying continuous and adequate service as alleged by the complainant.

Therefore, Staff moves for summary decision pursuant to 16 TAC § 22.182, "Any party to a proceeding may move for summary decision on any or all of the issues ... before the close of the hearing of the merits or before the issuance of a proposal for decision or proposed order if no

¹ See generally, Interchange Item No. 3, Concord-Robbins Water Supply Corporation's Response to Complaint of Bi-Stone Ranch Partners (May 5, 2025).

hearing is held...” Summary decision is proper when the pleadings or evidence show “... that there is no genuine issue as to any material fact and the moving party is entitled to a decision in its favor, as a matter of law...”²

I. CONCLUSION

For the reasons detailed above, Staff respectfully requests the entry of an order granting Staff’s motion for summary decision and the dismissal of this docket.

² 16 TAC § 22.182(a).

Dated: June 4, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

**PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF TEXAS
LEGAL DIVISION**

Marisa Lopez Wagley
Division Director

John York Harrison
Senior Managing Attorney

/s/ Garrett Sharp
Garrett Sharp
State Bar No. 24138026
1701 N. Congress Avenue
P.O. Box 13326
Austin, Texas 78711-3326
(512) 936-7388
(512) 936-7268 (facsimile)
Garrett.Sharp@puc.texas.gov

DOCKET NO. 58055

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that, unless otherwise ordered by the presiding officer, notice of the filing of this document will be provided to all parties of record via electronic mail on June 4, 2025, in accordance with the Second Order Suspending Rules, issued in Project No. 50664.

/s/ Garrett Sharp
Garrett Sharp