

Filing Receipt

Filing Date - 2025-04-04 02:44:12 PM

Control Number - 57579

Item Number - 140

SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-25-11558 PUC DOCKET NO. 57579

APPLICATION OF CENTERPOINT	§	BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE
ENERGY HOUSTON ELECTRIC, LLC	§	
FOR APPROVAL OF ITS 2026-2028	§	OF
TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION	§	
SYSTEM RESILIENCY PLAN	Š	ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

April 4, 2025

Contact: Stacey Murphree
CenterPoint Energy Service Company, LLC
1111 Louisiana Street
Houston, Texas 77002
Telephone No: (713) 207-6537
Fax: (713) 454-7197

stacey.murphree@centerpointenergy.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Description</u>	<u>Page</u>
CenterPoint Energy Houston Electric, LLC's Response to Technical Conference Question	5
Certificate of Service	6

CENTERPOINT ENERGY HOUSTON ELECTRIC, LLC PUC DOCKET NO. 57579 SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-25-11558

CEHE REQUEST NO.: TC01-01

QUESTION:

Follow up Action Items from the March 17, 2025 Technical Conference (non-confidential).

ANSWER:

Please see rows 20 and 21 in the attached file TC-01-01 - Technical Conference Rolling Answer to Follow Up Items 3.21.25 for responses to the action items that came out of the Technical Conference held on March 17, 2025.

SPONSOR:

Nathan Brownell

RESPONSIVE DOCUMENTS:

TC-01-01 - Technical Conference Rolling Answer to Follow Up Items 3.21.25.xls

SRP Technical Conference Follow Up Action Items as of March 21, 2025

Party	Follow Up Action Item	Answer	Data File
			TABLE ELS-2 on p 1113-1114 of the SRP Filing PDF for 628M CMI, p 5 of the SRP Filing
Scott Norwood	Average annual CMI per customer per year in savings for SRP	224M annual CMI reduced per customer (628M CMI per yr / 2.8M customers)	PDF for 2.8M customers
			TCUC RFI 1-20 Attachment: Total CMI and resiliency category associated with each
	What is a typical CMI in a given year?	5 year average (2020-2024, inclusive) resiliency event CMI per year is 3.658	extreme weather event referenced in Figure APP-12 for 2020-2024
	g ,	Guidehouse and CEHE did not perform any calculations to project SAIDI/SAIFI/CAIDI for next five years. Guidehouse's analysis	
		only determined the incremental reduction in CMI achieved by resiliency measures based on expected CMI impact limited to	
	What is the estimated SAIDI savings over the three years of the SRP	future resiliency events.	HCC RFI 1-3
	Trink is the estimated only savings over the time years of the ord	Tutal Contents Countries	THE STATE OF THE S
IBEW	Provide simplified calculation of BCA (# subsix 3% x 20% x 75MW x 35K VOLL)	Soo IRDW tab	
TIEC	Provide simplified table for CMI at risk	See TIEC tab	
IIEC	Provide simplified table for Chilactisk	See the tib	
тене	Provide "unlocked" GH spreadsheet to allow for input changes	A copy of the unlocked Guidehouse models (D56548 and D57579) will be filed in response to TCUC Set 2 on March 24th.	
icac	Provide unlocked OH spreadsneet to allow for input changes		
		The majority of MUG failures during Beryl and the Derecho last year were due to splices, terminators, and LA failures. We did	
1		experience a couple of events where cable did fail during Beryl. At our San Felipe (SF) Substation, there were two problems	
		crews found in a manhole. The first one, was a cable failure up in the conduit on SF42 circuit, where crews had to replace the	
	Provide an example of an underground failure during a resiliency event (CNP	cable. The second was a splice failure in the same manhole on the SF44 CKT. We had to replace cable on that ckt due to not	
PUCTStaff	mentioned there was one during Beryl, but need specifics of what failed)	enough cable slack to remake the splice.	
	Provide an example of an older transformer being replaced with a larger		
Kevin M	transformer that is a N-1 scenario requiring replacement.		HCC RFI04-16
	Provide date of planning criteria change for transformer size	CEHE piloted SOMVA in 1992 & 100MVA in 1997. Specs for both 50 & 100 MVA were updated in 2005.	
		Engineering: Approx. \$360K, Material: Approx. 1.6M, Construction: Approx. \$1.6 (varies slightley across locations, but in	
	Provide listing by component for substation elevation costs	general these are averages per site)	
		Liverpool - Within storm surge area based on NOAA maps.	
		PHR 138kV - Within both the 500yr floodplain and storm surge.	
		North Belt 138kV - Within 100yr floodplain.	
		Hockley - Substation has experienced flooding in the past.	
		Cedar Bayou Plant - Within both the 500yr floodplain and storm surge.	
		Bayway - Within both the storm surge and 100yr.	
		PHR 345kV - Within both the 500yr floodplain and storm surge.	
		North Belt 345kV - Within 100yr floodplain.	
		Thompson* - Within 100yr floodplain	
		PHR (Dist.) - Within both the 500yr floodplain and storm surge.	
		Kemah* - Within storm surge area based on NOAA maps	
Michael Ivy	Provide flood plain assignment for each substation to be elevated	Webster 138kV - Within both the 500yr floodplain and storm surge.	
Michaetrey	Provide natrual gas performance during resiliency events (related to Microgrid		
Actaim	presentation by Aclaim)	In Process	
ALL	Outreach to parties regarding potential SOAH mediation	Complete	
	Please provide system line maps showing the routes including notes showing	Complete	
	the existing system and proposed changes for transmission lines in measures	All proposed route changes must undergo a route study and are subject to change until final approval. Maps of approved route	
CNP	RM-7, RM-8, and RM-9	changes will be provided under separate, confidential cover.	
CNP	The state of the s	changes witt be provided under separate, commentiat cover.	
	For the 69 kV to 138 kV conversion, please confirm that additional clearance	Confirm	
OPUC	requirements are being met by using taller poles.	Confirm	
	Land to the state of the state	Discourse discourse de IDETACA 44	
i .	Did any of these substations proposed in RM-10 flood during Harvey?	Please see the response to IBEW 01-11	

Simplified calculation of BCA (# subs x 3% x 20% x 75MW x 35K VOLL)

Substation Flood Control					
Number of substations		12			
Cost to raise each substation	\$	3,650,000			
Total cost to raise substations	\$ 43,800,000				
Load at risk at each substation (MW)		75			
Failure rate		0.03			
Percent failures causing loss of load		0.2			
Outage duration (restoration hours)		36			
Value of Lost Load (\$/kWHr)	\$	35,000			
Number of years benefits accrue		20			
Net Present Value (NPV) multiplier		13.00			
Total benefit of avoiding outages	\$	88,429,358			
Benefit to Cost Ratio (BCA)		2.02			

Excludes restoration costs and collateral damage

Net Present Value Multiplier	Year	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	2036	2037	2038	2039	2040	2041	2042	2043	2044	2045
Discount Rate (Year 1-10)*	0.05	0.96	0.92	0.88	0.84	0.80	0.77	0.73	0.70	0.67	0.64										
Discount Rate (Year 10-20)*	0.0951											0.62	0.59	0.56	0.54	0.52	0.49	0.47	0.45	0.43	0.41
Annual Discount Rate	•	0.96	0.92	0.88	0.84	0.80	0.77	0.73	0.70	0.67	0.64	0.62	0.59	0.56	0.54	0.52	0.49	0.47	0.45	0.43	0.41
NPV Multiplier (20 years)	13.00																				

^{*}Discount rate adjusted for 2% annual inflation

PUC Docket No. 57579

TC-01-01 - Technical Conference Rolling Answer to Follow Up Items 3.21.25

Page 3 of 3

Simplified table for CMI at risk

Substation Flood Control	
Number of substations	12
Load at risk at each substation (kW)	75000
Failure rate	0.03
Percent failures causing loss of load	0.2
Outage duration (minutes)	2160
Average load per customer (kW)	6
Annual CMI (millions)	2

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on April 4, 2025, notice of the filing of this document was provided to all parties of record via electronic mail in accordance with the Second Order Suspending Rules, filed in Project No. 50664.

Jeience Glenn Russe (

The following files are not convertible:

 $$\operatorname{TC-01-01}$ - Technical Conference Rolling Answer to Follow Up Items 3.21.25.xlsx

Please see the ZIP file for this Filing on the PUC Interchange in order to access these files.

Contact centralrecords@puc.texas.gov if you have any questions.