[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]
Date of		30-Year	
Electric Rate	Return on	Treasury	Risk
Case	Equity	Yield	Premium
10/26/1984	16.40%	12.59%	3.81%
10/31/1984	16.25%	12.59%	3.66%
11/7/1984	15.60%	12.58%	3.02%
11/9/1984	16.00%	12.58%	3.42%
11/14/1984	15.75%	12.59%	3.16%
11/20/1984	15.25%	12.58%	2.67%
11/20/1984	15.92%	12.58%	3.34%
11/23/1984	15.00%	12.58%	2.42%
11/28/1984	16.15%	12.57%	3.58%
12/3/1984	15.80%	12.57%	3.23%
12/4/1984	16.50%	12.56%	3.94%
12/18/1984	16.40%	12.54%	3.86%
12/19/1984	15.00%	12.53%	2.47%
12/19/1984	14.75%	12.53%	2.22%
12/20/1984	16.00%	12.53%	3.47%
12/28/1984	16.00%	12.50%	3.50%
1/3/1985	14.75%	12.49%	2.26%
1/10/1985	15.75%	12.47%	3.28%
1/11/1985	16.30%	12.46%	3.84%
1/23/1985	15.80%	12.43%	3.37%
1/24/1985	15.82%	12.43%	3.39%
1/25/1985	16.75%	12.42%	4.33%
1/30/1985	14.90%	12.40%	2.50%
1/31/1985	14.75%	12.39%	2.36%
2/8/1985	14.47%	12.35%	2.12%
3/1/1985	13.84%	12.30%	1.54%
3/8/1985	16.85%	12.28%	4.57%
3/14/1985	15.50%	12.25%	3.25%
3/15/1985	15.62%	12.25%	3.37%
3/29/1985	10.02%	12.10%	3.40%
4/3/1985	14.60%	12.13%	2.47%
4/9/1900	15.50%	12.10%	3.40%
4/10/1900	10.70%	12.00%	3.00%
4/22/1900	14.00%	12.0176	1.99%
4/20/1903	15.50%	11.97.70	3.3376
5/2/1085	14 69%	11.3070	0.04%
5/8/1085	15.62%	11.88%	374%
5/10/1985	16 50%	11.86%	4 64%
5/29/1985	14 61%	11.73%	2.88%
5/31/1985	16.00%	11 71%	4 29%
6/14/1985	15 50%	11 60%	3 90%
7/9/1985	15.00%	11.44%	3.56%
7/16/1985	14.50%	11.39%	3.11%
7/26/1985	14.50%	11.32%	3.18%
8/2/1985	14.80%	11.29%	3.51%
8/7/1985	15.00%	11.26%	3.74%
8/28/1985	14.25%	11.15%	3.10%
8/28/1985	15.50%	11.15%	4.35%
8/29/1985	14.50%	11.14%	3.36%
9/9/1985	14.90%	11.11%	3.79%
9/9/1985	14.60%	11.11%	3.49%
9/17/1985	14.90%	11.08%	3.82%
9/23/1985	15.00%	11.06%	3.94%
9/27/1985	15.50%	11.04%	4.46%
9/27/1985	15.80%	11.04%	4.76%

[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]
Date of		30-Year	
Electric Rate	Return on	Treasury	Risk
Case	Equity	Yield	Premium
10/2/1985	14.00%	11.03%	2.97%
10/2/1985	14.75%	11.03%	3.72%
10/3/1985	15.25%	11.03%	4.22%
10/24/1985	15 40%	10.96%	4 44%
10/24/1985	15 85%	10.96%	4 89%
10/24/1985	15 82%	10.96%	4 86%
10/28/1985	16.00%	10.95%	5.05%
10/29/1985	16.65%	10.94%	5 71%
10/31/1985	15.06%	10.93%	4 13%
11/4/1985	14 50%	10.91%	3 59%
11/7/1985	15 50%	10.89%	4 61%
11/8/1985	14 30%	10.89%	3 41%
12/12/1985	14 75%	10.73%	4 02%
12/18/1985	15.00%	10.69%	4 31%
12/20/1985	15.00%	10.66%	4 34%
12/20/1985	14 50%	10.66%	3 84%
12/20/1985	14 50%	10.66%	3.84%
1/24/1986	15 40%	10.40%	5.00%
1/31/1986	15.00%	10.35%	4 65%
2/5/1986	15.00%	10.32%	4 68%
2/5/1986	15 75%	10.32%	5 43%
2/10/1986	13.30%	10.29%	3.01%
2/11/1986	12 50%	10.27%	2 23%
2/14/1986	14 40%	10.24%	4 16%
2/18/1986	16.00%	10.22%	5 78%
2/24/1986	14 50%	10.17%	4 33%
2/26/1986	14 00%	10.15%	3 85%
3/5/1986	14.90%	10.07%	4.83%
3/11/1986	14,50%	10.01%	4.49%
3/12/1986	13.50%	10.00%	3,50%
3/27/1986	14.10%	9.85%	4.25%
3/31/1986	13.50%	9.84%	3.66%
4/1/1986	14.00%	9.82%	4.18%
4/2/1986	15.50%	9.81%	5.69%
4/4/1986	15.00%	9.78%	5.22%
4/14/1986	13.40%	9.68%	3.72%
4/23/1986	15.00%	9.57%	5.43%
5/16/1986	14.50%	9.31%	5.19%
5/16/1986	14.50%	9.31%	5.19%
5/29/1986	13.90%	9.19%	4.71%
5/30/1986	15.10%	9.17%	5.93%
6/2/1986	12.81%	9.16%	3.65%
6/11/1986	14.00%	9.06%	4.94%
6/24/1986	16.63%	8.93%	7.70%
6/26/1986	14.75%	8.90%	5.85%
6/26/1986	12.00%	8.90%	3.10%
6/30/1986	13.00%	8.86%	4.14%
7/10/1986	14.34%	8.74%	5.60%
//11/1986	12.75%	8.72%	4.03%
7/14/1986	12.60%	8.71%	3.89%
7/17/1986	12.40%	8.65%	3.75%
//25/1986	14.25%	8.55%	5.69%
8/6/1986	13.50%	8.43%	5.07%
8/14/1986	13.50%	8.34%	5.16%
9/10/1980	12.75%	0.00% 0.00%	4.09%
3/13/1300	13.2370	0.UZ%	J.Z.J.70

[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]
Date of		30-Year	
Electric Rate	Return on	Treasury	Risk
Case	Equity	Yield	Premium
10/1/1986	14.00%	7.94%	6.06%
10/3/1986	13.40%	7.92%	5.48%
10/31/1986	13.50%	7.77%	5.73%
11/5/1986	13.00%	7.74%	5.26%
12/3/1986	12.90%	7.58%	5.32%
12/4/1986	14.44%	7.57%	6.87%
12/16/1986	13.60%	7.52%	6.08%
12/22/1986	13.80%	7.50%	6.30%
12/30/1986	13.00%	7.49%	5.51%
1/2/1987	13.00%	7.48%	5.52%
1/12/1987	12.40%	7.46%	4.94%
1/27/1987	12.71%	7.46%	5.25%
3/2/1987	12.47%	7.47%	5.00%
3/3/1987	13.60%	7.47%	6.13%
3/4/1987	12.38%	7.47%	4.91%
3/10/1987	13.50%	7.47%	6.03%
3/13/1987	13.00%	7.47%	5.53%
3/31/1987	13.00%	7.46%	5.54%
4/6/1987	13.00%	7.47%	5.53%
4/14/1987	12.50%	7.49%	5.01%
4/16/1987	14.50%	7.50%	7.00%
4/27/1987	12.00%	7.54%	4.46%
5/5/1987	12.85%	7.58%	5.27%
5/12/1987	12.65%	7.62%	5.03%
5/28/1987	13.50%	7.70%	5.80%
6/15/1987	13.20%	7.78%	5.42%
6/29/1987	15.00%	7.84%	7.16%
6/30/1987	12.50%	7.84%	4.66%
7/8/1987	12.00%	7.86%	4.14%
7/10/1987	12.90%	7.87%	5.03%
7/15/1987	13.50%	7.88%	5.62%
7/16/1987	15.00%	7.88%	7.12%
7/16/1987	13.50%	7.88%	5.62%
7/27/1987	13.40%	7.92%	5.48%
7/2/11987	13.50%	7.92%	5.58%
7/2//1987	13.00%	7.92%	5.08%
7/31/1987	12.98%	7.95%	5.03%
0/20/1907	12.03%	0.00%	4.37 %
0/20/1907	12.7070	0.00%	4.09%
0/0/1097	13.2370	0.07 % 9 1/0/	J. 10 70 A 960/
9/3/1907 0/30/1087	12 75%	0.1470 8.31%	4.00%
0/20/1907	12.7070	0.3170	4.44 /0
10/2/1087	11.50%	8 3 3 %	3 17%
10/15/1987	13.00%	8 4 4 %	4.56%
11/2/1987	13.00%	8 55%	4.30%
11/19/1987	13.00%	8.64%	4.36%
11/30/1987	12 00%	8.69%	3.31%
12/3/1987	14 20%	8 71%	5 49%
12/15/1987	13 25%	8 78%	4 47%
12/16/1987	13 72%	8 79%	4.93%
12/16/1987	13 50%	8 79%	4.71%
12/17/1987	11.75%	8.80%	2.95%
12/18/1987	13.50%	8.80%	4,70%
12/21/1987	12.01%	8.81%	3.20%
12/22/1987	12.00%	8.82%	3.18%

[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]
Date of		30-Year	
Electric Rate	Return on	Treasury	Risk
Case	Equity	Yield	Premium
12/22/1987	12.75%	8.82%	3.93%
12/22/1987	13.00%	8.82%	4.18%
12/22/1987	12.00%	8.82%	3.18%
1/20/1988	13.80%	8.94%	4.86%
1/26/1988	13,90%	8.96%	4.94%
1/29/1988	13.20%	8.96%	4.24%
2/4/1988	12.60%	8.96%	3.64%
3/1/1988	11.56%	8.94%	2.62%
3/23/1988	12.87%	8.92%	3.95%
3/24/1988	11.24%	8.92%	2.32%
3/30/1988	12.72%	8.92%	3.80%
4/1/1988	12.50%	8.92%	3.58%
4/7/1988	13.25%	8.93%	4.32%
4/25/1988	10.96%	8.96%	2.00%
5/3/1988	12.91%	8.98%	3.93%
5/11/1988	13.50%	8.99%	4.51%
5/16/1988	13.00%	8.99%	4.01%
6/30/1988	12.75%	8.99%	3.76%
7/1/1988	12.75%	8.99%	3.76%
7/20/1988	13.40%	8.96%	4.44%
8/5/1988	12.75%	8.91%	3.84%
8/23/1988	11.70%	8.93%	2.77%
8/29/1988	12.75%	8.94%	3.81%
8/30/1988	13.50%	8.94%	4.56%
9/8/1988	12.60%	8.95%	3.65%
10/13/1988	13.10%	8.93%	4.17%
12/19/1988	13.00%	9.02%	3.98%
12/20/1988	13.00%	9.02%	3.98%
12/20/1988	12.25%	9.02%	3.23%
12/21/1988	12.90%	9.02%	3.88%
12/27/1988	13.00%	9.03%	3.97%
12/28/1988	13.10%	9.03%	4.07%
12/30/1988	13.40%	9.04%	4.36%
1/27/1989	13.00%	9.06%	3.94%
1/31/1989	13.00%	9.06%	3.94%
2/17/1989	13.00%	9.05%	3.95%
2/20/1989	12.40%	9.05%	3.35%
3/1/1989	12.76%	9.05%	3.71%
3/8/1989	13.00%	9.05%	3.95%
3/30/1989	14.00%	9.05%	4.95%
4/5/1989	14.20%	9.05%	5.15%
4/18/1989	13.00%	9.05%	3.95%
5/5/1989	12.40%	9.05%	3.35%
6/2/1989	13.20%	9.00%	4.20%
6/8/1989	13.50%	8.98%	4.52%
6/27/1989	13.25%	8.91%	4.34%
6/30/1989	13.00%	8.90%	4.10%
8/14/1989	12.50%	8.77%	3.73%
9/28/1989	12.25%	8.63%	3.62%
10/24/1989	12.50%	8.54%	3.90%
13/15/1989	13.00%	0.40% 0.22M	4.52%
12/10/1989	13.00%	0.33%	4.07%
12/20/1989	12.90%	0.31% 0.349/	4.59%
12/21/1989	12.90%	0.31%	4.09%
12/27/1989	10.00%	0.29% 8.20%	4.7170 70104
121211 1303	12.0070	0.2370	H.ZI/0

[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]
Date of		30-Year	
Electric Rate	Return on	Treasury	Risk
Case	Equity	Yield	Premium
1/10/1990	12.80%	8.24%	4.56%
1/11/1990	12.90%	8.23%	4.67%
1/17/1990	12.80%	8.22%	4.58%
1/26/1990	12 00%	8 19%	3 81%
2/9/1990	12 10%	8 17%	3.93%
2/24/1990	12.86%	8 15%	471%
3/30/1990	12.90%	8 16%	4 74%
4/4/1990	15 76%	8 17%	7 59%
4/12/1990	12 52%	8 18%	4 34%
4/19/1990	12 75%	8 20%	4 55%
5/21/1990	12.10%	8 28%	3.82%
5/29/1990	12.10%	8 30%	4 10%
5/31/1990	12.00%	8 30%	3 70%
6/4/1990	12.00%	8 30%	4.60%
6/6/1000	12.30%	8 31%	3.04%
6/15/1000	13 20%	8 3 7%	1 88%
6/20/1000	12 02%	8 3 7 %	4.00%
6/27/1000	12.92.70	0.3270	4.00%
6/20/1000	12.9070	0.0070	4.57 70
7/6/1000	12.3076	0.3470	4.1076
7/0/1990	12.1070	0.3470	3.70%
770/1990	12.3070	0.3470	4.0170
0/10/1990	12.00%	0.4   70	4.1470
0/10/1990 9/00/1000	10.∠170 12.100/	0.4370	4.7070
0/22/1990	13.1070	0.43%	4.0070
0/24/1990	13.00%	0.40%	4.04%
9/20/1990	11.40%	8.59%	2.80%
10/2/1990	13.00%	8.01%	4.39%
10/5/1990	12.84%	0.03%	4.21%
10/19/1990	13.00%	0.07%	4.33%
10/25/1990	12.30%	0.00%	3.02%
17/21/1990	12.70%	8.09%	4.01%
12/13/1990	12.30%	0.07%	3.03%
12/17/1990	12.87 %	0.07%	4.20%
12/16/1990	10.10%	0.0776	4.40%
12/19/1990	12.00%	0.00%	3.34%
12/20/1990	12.70%	0.00%	4.09%
12/21/1990	12.00%	0.00%	3.84%
1/2/1/1990	12.79%	0.00%	4.13%
1/2/1991	10.10%	0.00%	4.4470
1/4/1991	12.00%	0.00%	3.0070
1/15/1991	12.70%	0.00%	4.10%
0/4/1004	10.7070	0.03%	3.07 %
2/4/1991	12.50%	0.00%	3,90%
2//1991	12.00%	0.09%	3.9170
2/12/1991	10.00%	0.07.70	4.4370
2/14/1991	12.7270	0.00%	4.1076
2/22/1991	12.00%	0.0070	4.2376
2/0/1991	13.1070	0.00%	4.07.70
2/0/1991	10.00%	0.02%	4.4070 0.700/
J/0/1991 1/00/1004	12.00%	0.02% 8.40%	0.1070 A 510/
4/22/1991 5/7/1001	13.00%	0.4970 8 170/	4.0170 5.0204
5/13/1001	13.00%	0.4770 Q / 70/.	0.03% A 790/
5/30/4004	13.2070	0.47 70 8 / 20/	4.7070 13004
6/10/1991	12.7076	0.4070 8./10/	4.0270 3.500/
6/25/1001	11 70%	0.4 170 8 38%	3 32%
	11.19/10	0.0070	0.02.10

[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]
Date of		30-Year	
Electric Rate	Return on	Treasury	Risk
Case	Equity	Yield	Premium
6/28/1991	12.50%	8.38%	4.12%
7/1/1991	12.00%	8.37%	3.63%
7/3/1991	12.50%	8.36%	4.14%
7/19/1991	12,10%	8.34%	3.76%
8/1/1991	12 90%	8 32%	4 58%
8/16/1991	13.20%	8.29%	4.91%
9/27/1991	12.50%	8.23%	4.27%
9/30/1991	12 25%	8 23%	4 02%
10/17/1991	13.00%	8.20%	4.80%
10/23/1991	12.55%	8.20%	4.35%
10/23/1991	12 50%	8 20%	4 30%
10/31/1991	11.80%	8.19%	3.61%
11/1/1991	12.00%	8.19%	3.81%
11/5/1991	12.25%	8.19%	4.06%
11/12/1991	13.25%	8.18%	5.07%
11/12/1991	12 50%	8 18%	4 32%
11/25/1991	12 40%	8 18%	4 22%
11/26/1991	11.60%	8.18%	3.42%
11/26/1991	12.50%	8.18%	4.32%
11/27/1991	12.10%	8.18%	3.92%
12/18/1991	12.25%	8.15%	4.10%
12/19/1991	12.80%	8.15%	4.65%
12/19/1991	12.60%	8.15%	4.45%
12/20/1991	12.65%	8.14%	4.51%
1/9/1992	12.80%	8.09%	4.71%
1/16/1992	12.75%	8.07%	4.68%
1/21/1992	12.00%	8.06%	3.94%
1/22/1992	13.00%	8.06%	4.94%
1/27/1992	12.65%	8.05%	4.60%
1/31/1992	12.00%	8.04%	3.96%
2/11/1992	12.40%	8.03%	4.37%
2/25/1992	12.50%	8.01%	4.49%
3/16/1992	11.43%	7.98%	3.45%
3/18/1992	12.28%	7.98%	4.30%
4/2/1992	12.10%	7.95%	4.15%
4/9/1992	11.45%	7.93%	3.52%
4/10/1992	11.50%	7.93%	3.57%
4/14/1992	11.50%	7.92%	3.58%
5/5/1992	11.50%	7.89%	3.61%
5/12/1992	12.46%	7.88%	4.58%
5/12/1992	11.87%	7.88%	3.99%
6/1/1992	12.30%	7.86%	4.44%
6/12/1992	10.90%	7.85%	3.05%
6/26/1992	12.35%	7.85%	4.50%
6/29/1992	11.00%	7.85%	3.15%
6/30/1992	13.00%	7.85%	5.15%
7/13/1992	11.90%	7.84%	4.06%
7/13/1992	13.50%	7.84%	5.66%
//22/1992	11.20%	7.83%	3.37%
8/3/1992	12.00%	7.81%	4.19%
8/6/1992	12.50%	7.80%	4.70%
9/22/1992	14.400%	7.71%	4.29%
9/28/1992	11.40%	7.71%	3.09%
9/30/1992	12.00%	7.71%	4.04%
10/2/1992	10.00%	7.70%	0.00% 1.50%
1011211332	14.4970	1.1070	H. JU 70

[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]
Date of		30-Year	
Electric Rate	Return on	Treasurv	Risk
Case	Equity	Yield	Premium
10/16/1992	13.16%	7.71%	5.45%
10/30/1992	11.75%	7.71%	4.04%
11/3/1992	12.00%	7.71%	4.29%
12/3/1992	11.85%	7.68%	4.17%
12/15/1992	11 00%	7 66%	3 34%
12/16/1992	11.90%	7.66%	4.24%
12/16/1992	12.40%	7.66%	4.74%
12/17/1992	12.00%	7.66%	4.34%
12/22/1992	12.40%	7.65%	4.75%
12/22/1992	12.30%	7.65%	4.65%
12/29/1992	12.25%	7.63%	4.62%
12/30/1992	12.00%	7.63%	4.37%
12/31/1992	11.90%	7.62%	4.28%
1/12/1993	12.00%	7.61%	4.39%
1/21/1993	11.25%	7.59%	3.66%
2/2/1993	11.40%	7.56%	3.84%
2/15/1993	12.30%	7.52%	4.78%
2/24/1993	11.90%	7.49%	4.41%
2/26/1993	12.20%	7.48%	4.72%
2/26/1993	11.80%	7.48%	4.32%
4/23/1993	11.75%	7.29%	4.46%
5/11/1993	11.75%	7.24%	4.51%
5/14/1993	11.50%	7.24%	4.26%
5/25/1993	11.50%	7.22%	4.28%
5/28/1993	11.00%	7.22%	3.78%
6/3/1993	12.00%	7.21%	4.79%
6/16/1993	11.50%	7.19%	4.31%
6/18/1993	12.10%	7.18%	4.92%
6/25/1993	11.67%	7.17%	4.50%
7/21/1993	11.38%	7.10%	4.28%
7/23/1993	10.46%	7.09%	3.37%
8/24/1993	11.50%	6.95%	4.55%
9/21/1993	10.50%	6.80%	3.70%
9/29/1993	11.47%	6.76%	4.71%
9/30/1993	11.60%	6.76%	4.84%
11/2/1993	10.80%	6.60%	4.20%
11/12/1993	12.00%	6.56%	5.44%
11/26/1993	11.00%	6.52%	4.48%
12/14/1993	10.55%	6.48%	4.07%
12/16/1993	10.60%	6.48%	4.12%
12/21/1993	11.30%	6.47%	4.83%
1/4/1994	10.07%	6.44%	3.63%
1/13/1994	11.00%	6.42%	4.58%
1/21/1994	11.00%	6.40%	4.60%
1/28/1994	11.35%	6.39%	4.96%
2/3/1994	11.40%	6.38%	5.02%
2/17/1994	10.60%	6.36%	4.24%
2/25/1994	12.00%	6.35%	5.65%
2/25/1994	11.25%	0.35%	4.90%
3/1/1994	11.00%	0.30%	4.00%
3/4/1994	11.00%	0.34%	4.00%
4/20/1994 5/10/1004	11.00%	0.40%	4.00%) 5.240/
5/10/1994	10.50%	0.44%	5.31% 4.049/
6/3/10/1994 6/3/1004	11.00%	0.40%	4.0470
6/27/100/	11 /0%	6.54%	4.40% 1 75%
012111004		0.0070	

[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]
Date of		30-Year	
Electric Rate	Return on	Treasury	Risk
Case	Equity	Yield	Premium
8/5/1994	12.75%	6.88%	5.87%
10/31/1994	10.00%	7.33%	2.67%
11/9/1994	10.85%	7.40%	3.45%
11/9/1994	10.85%	7 40%	3 45%
11/18/1994	11 20%	7 46%	374%
11/22/1994	11.60%	7 47%	4 13%
11/28/1994	11.06%	7.50%	3.56%
12/8/1994	11 50%	7.55%	3.95%
12/8/1994	11 70%	7 55%	4 15%
12/14/1994	10.95%	7 57%	3 38%
12/15/1994	11 50%	7.57%	3.93%
12/19/1994	11 50%	7.58%	3.92%
12/28/1994	12 15%	7.61%	4 54%
1/9/1995	12 28%	7.64%	4 64%
1/31/1995	11.00%	7.69%	3.31%
2/10/1995	12 60%	7 70%	4 90%
2/17/1995	11 90%	7 70%	4.00%
3/9/1995	11 50%	7 72%	3 78%
3/20/1000	12.00%	7 7 7 2 %	1 28%
3/23/1995	12.00%	7.72%	5 00%
3/20/1005	11.60%	7 7 7 9%	3.88%
JIZ3/1995	11 1094	7.7270	3 38%
4/0/1995	11.10%	7.7270	3.00%
4/11995	11.00%	7.7 170	3 30%
4/13/133J	11.63%	7.7070	2 05%
5/25/1005	11.03%	7.00%	3.55%
6/0/1005	11.2070	7.00%	3.65%
6/21/1995	12 25%	7.56%	1.69%
6/30/1005	11 10%	7.51%	3 50%
0/11/1005	11.10.70	7.01%	J. J.9 70
0/27/1005	11 75%	7.120%	4.10%
9/21/1995	11.7576	7.1270	4.03%
0/27/1005	11 30%	7.1270	4.30%
9/20/1995	11.00%	7.12%	3 80%
11/0/1005	12 36%	6.80%	5.03%
11/0/1005	11 38%	6.89%	J. 47 70 A AQ%
11/17/1005	11.00%	6.85%	4.45%
12/4/1995	11 35%	6 78%	4.13%
12/11/1005	11 40%	674%	4.66%
12/20/1995	11.60%	6.69%	4.00%
12/27/1005	12.00%	6.66%	5 34%
2/5/1006	12.00%	6.48%	5 77%
3/20/1000	10.67%	6.42%	1 25%
A/8/1996	11 00%	6.42%	4.20%
4/0/1000	12 50%	6.42%	6 16%
4/11/1006	12.59%	6 43%	6 16%
4/24/1996	11.25%	6.43%	4 82%
4/30/1996	11.20%	6/3%	4.52%
5/13/1996	11.00%	6.44%	4.56%
5/23/1996	11 25%	6 43%	4 82%
6/25/1996	11.25%	6 48%	4.027%
6/27/1996	11 20%	6 48%	4 72%
8/12/1996	10.20%	6 57%	3 83%
9/27/1996	11 00%	671%	4 29%
10/16/1996	12 25%	6.76%	5 49%
11/5/1996	11.00%	6.81%	4,19%

[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]
Date of		30-Year	
Electric Rate	Return on	Treasury	Risk
Case	Equity	Yield	Premium
11/26/1996	11.30%	6.83%	4.47%
12/18/1996	11.75%	6.84%	4.91%
12/31/1996	11.50%	6.83%	4.67%
1/3/1997	10.70%	6.83%	3.87%
2/13/1997	11.80%	6.82%	4.98%
2/20/1997	11.80%	6.82%	4.98%
3/31/1997	10.02%	6.80%	3.22%
4/2/1997	11.65%	6.80%	4.85%
4/28/1997	11.50%	6.81%	4.69%
4/29/1997	11.70%	6.81%	4.89%
7/17/1997	12.00%	6.77%	5.23%
12/12/1997	11.00%	6.60%	4.40%
12/23/1997	11.12%	6.57%	4.55%
2/2/1998	12.75%	6.39%	6.36%
3/2/1998	11.25%	6.28%	4.97%
3/6/1998	10.75%	6.27%	4.48%
3/20/1998	10.50%	6.22%	4.28%
4/30/1998	12.20%	6.12%	6.08%
7/10/1998	11.40%	5.94%	5.46%
9/15/1998	11.90%	5.78%	6.12%
11/30/1998	12.60%	5.58%	7.02%
12/10/1998	12.20%	5.54%	6.66%
12/17/1998	12.10%	5.52%	6.58%
2/5/1999	10.30%	5.38%	4.92%
3/4/1999	10.50%	5.34%	5.16%
4/6/1999	10.94%	5.32%	5.62%
7/29/1999	10.75%	5.52%	5.23%
9/23/1999	10.75%	5.70%	5.05%
11/17/1999	11.10%	5.90%	5.20%
1/7/2000	11.50%	6.05%	5.45%
1/7/2000	11.50%	6.05%	5.45%
2/17/2000	10.60%	6.17%	4.43%
3/28/2000	11.25%	6.20%	5.05%
5/24/2000	11.00%	6.18%	4.82%
7/18/2000	12.20%	6.16%	6.04%
9/29/2000	11.16%	6.03%	5.13%
11/28/2000	12.90%	5.89%	7.01%
11/30/2000	12.10%	5.88%	6.22%
1/23/2001	11.25%	5.79%	5.46%
2/8/2001	11.50%	5.77%	5.73%
5/8/2001	10.75%	5.62%	5.13%
6/26/2001	11.00%	5.62%	5.38%
7/25/2001	11.02%	5.60%	5.42%
7/25/2001	11.02%	5.60%	5.42%
7/31/2001	11.00%	5.59%	5.41%
8/31/2001	10.50%	5.56%	4.94%
9/7/2001	10.75%	5.55%	5.20%
9/10/2001	11.00%	5.55%	5.45%
9/20/2001	10.00%	5.55%	4.45%
10/24/2001	10.30%	5.54%	4.76%
11/28/2001	10.60%	5.49%	5.11%
12/3/2001	12.88%	5.49%	7.39%
12/20/2001	12.50%	5.50%	7.00%
1/22/2002	10.00%	5.50%	4.50%
3/2//2002	10.10%	5.45%	4.65%
4/22/2002	11.80%	5.45%	6.35%

[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]
Date of		30-Year	
Electric Rate	Return on	Treasury	Risk
Case	Eauity	Yield	Premium
5/28/2002	10.17%	5.46%	4.71%
6/10/2002	12.00%	5.47%	6.53%
6/18/2002	11 16%	5 48%	5.68%
6/20/2002	12 30%	5 48%	6.82%
6/20/2002	11 00%	5.48%	5 52%
7/15/2002	11.00%	5 48%	5.52%
9/12/2002	12 30%	5.45%	6.85%
9/26/2002	10.45%	5.45%	5.00%
12/4/2002	11 55%	5.20%	6.26%
12/13/2002	11 75%	5.2370	6 48%
12/10/2002	11 / 09/	5.2770	6 15%
1/8/2002	11.40%	5.20%	5 01%
1/21/2003	17.15%	5.13%	7 3004
2/20/2003	12.4370	5.1370	7.5270
2/20/2003	10.75%	5.04%	5 729/
3/0/2003	0.06%	5.02%	0.7070 A 0404
2/2002	9.9070	J.UZ 70 A D.907	4.9470
3/20/2003	12.00%	4.96%	7.02%
4/3/2003		4.90%	7.00%
4/15/2003	10.750/	4.93%	0.22%
6/25/2003	10.75%	4.79%	5.90%
0/20/2003	10.75%	4.79%	0.90%
7/9/2003	9.75%	4.79%	4.90%
7/16/2003	9.75%	4.79%	4.96%
772572003	9.50%	4.79%	4.71%
8/26/2003	10.50%	4.83%	5.67%
12/17/2003	10.70%	4.94%	5.76%
12/17/2003	9.85%	4.94%	4.91%
12/18/2003	11.50%	4.94%	6.56%
12/19/2003	12.00%	4.94%	7.06%
12/19/2003	12.00%	4.94%	7.06%
12/23/2003	10.50%	4.94%	5.56%
1/13/2004	12.00%	4.95%	7.05%
3/2/2004	10.75%	4.99%	5.76%
3/26/2004	10.25%	5.02%	5.23%
4/5/2004	11.25%	5.03%	6.22%
5/18/2004	10.50%	5.07%	5.43%
5/25/2004	10.25%	5.07%	5.18%
5/2/12004	10.25%	5.08%	5.17%
6/2/2004	11.22%	5.08%	6.14%
6/30/2004	10.50%	5.10%	5.40%
6/30/2004	10.50%	5.10%	5.40%
7/16/2004	11.60%	5.11%	6.49%
8/25/2004	10.25%	5.10%	5.15%
9/9/2004	10.40%	5.10%	5.30%
11/9/2004	10.50%	5.07%	5.43%
11/23/2004	11.00%	5.06%	5.94%
12/14/2004	10.97%	5.07%	5.90%
12/21/2004	11.50%	5.07%	0.43%
12/21/2004	11.25%	5.07%	5.18% 5.00%
12/22/2004	10.70%	5.07%	5.63%
12/22/2004	11.50%	5.07%	6.43%
12/29/2004	9.85%	5.08%	4.77%
1/6/2005	10.70%	5.08%	5.62%
2/18/2005	10.30%	4.98%	5.32%
2/25/2005	10.50%	4.96%	5.54%
3/10/2005	11.00%	4.93%	6.07%

[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]
Date of		30-Year	
Electric Rate	Return on	Treasury	Risk
Case	Equity	Yield	Premium
3/24/2005	10.30%	4.89%	5.41%
4/4/2005	10.00%	4.87%	5.13%
4/7/2005	10.25%	4.87%	5.38%
5/18/2005	10.25%	4.78%	5.47%
5/25/2005	10.75%	4.76%	5.99%
5/26/2005	9.75%	4.76%	4.99%
6/1/2005	9.75%	4.75%	5.00%
7/19/2005	11.50%	4.64%	6.86%
8/5/2005	<b>1</b> 1.75%	4.62%	7.13%
8/15/2005	10.13%	4.61%	5.52%
9/28/2005	10.00%	4.54%	5.46%
10/4/2005	10.75%	4.53%	6.22%
12/12/2005	11.00%	4.55%	6.45%
12/13/2005	10.75%	4.55%	6.20%
12/21/2005	10.29%	4.54%	5.75%
12/21/2005	10.40%	4.54%	5.86%
12/22/2005	11.15%	4.54%	6.61%
12/22/2005	11.00%	4.54%	6.46%
12/28/2005	10.00%	4.54%	5.46%
12/28/2005	10.00%	4.54%	5.46%
1/5/2006	11.00%	4.53%	6.47%
1/27/2006	9.75%	4.52%	5.23%
3/3/2006	10.39%	4.53%	5.86%
4/1//2006	10.20%	4.62%	5.58%
4/26/2006	10.60%	4.64%	5.96%
5/1//2006	11.60%	4.69%	6.91%
6/6/2006	10.00%	4.75%	5.25%
6/2//2006	10.75%	4.80%	5.95%
7/0/2000	10.20%	4.83%	5.37%
7/24/2006	9.00%	4.00%	4.74%
7/20/2000	10.50%	4.80%	0.04% 5.40%
112012000	0.55%	4.07.70	D. 1070
0/1/2000	9.00%	4.09%	4.00% 5.64%
9/1/2000	10.0476	4.9076	5.04%
9/14/2000 10/6/2006	0.0076	4.9170	J.0976 4.75%
11/21/2000	9.07.70 10.08%	4.9270	4.73%
11/21/2006	10.08%	4.95%	5 13%
11/21/2006	10.00%	4.95%	5 17%
12/1/2006	10.25%	4.96%	5.29%
12/1/2006	10.50%	4.96%	5 54%
12/7/2006	10 75%	4 96%	5 79%
12/21/2006	11 25%	4.95%	6.30%
12/21/2006	10.90%	4 95%	5.95%
12/22/2006	10.25%	4 95%	5 30%
1/5/2007	10.00%	4.95%	5.05%
1/11/2007	10.90%	4.95%	5.95%
1/11/2007	10.10%	4.95%	5.15%
1/11/2007	10.10%	4.95%	5.15%
1/12/2007	10.10%	4.95%	5.15%
1/13/2007	10.40%	4.95%	5.45%
1/19/2007	10.80%	4.94%	5.86%
3/21/2007	11.35%	4.86%	6.49%
3/22/2007	9.75%	4.86%	4.89%
5/15/2007	10.00%	4.81%	5.19%
5/17/2007	10.25%	4.80%	5.45%

[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]
Date of		30-Year	
Electric Rate	Return on	Treasury	Risk
Case	Equity	Yield	Premium
5/17/2007	10.25%	4.80%	5.45%
5/22/2007	10.20%	4.80%	5.40%
5/22/2007	10.50%	4.80%	5.70%
5/23/2007	10 70%	4 80%	5 90%
5/25/2007	9.67%	4 80%	4 87%
6/15/2007	9.90%	4 82%	5.08%
6/21/2007	10 20%	4 83%	5.37%
6/22/2007	10.50%	4.83%	5.67%
6/28/2007	10.75%	4 84%	5.91%
7/12/2007	9 67%	4 86%	4 81%
7/19/2007	10.00%	4.87%	5 13%
7/19/2007	10.00%	4.87%	5 13%
8/15/2007	10.00%	4.88%	5.52%
10/9/2007	10.40%	4.00%	5.02%
10/3/2007	Q 10%	4.31%	J. 10%
10/31/2007	9.10%	4.91%	5.06%
11/20/2007	10 00%	4.9070	5.00 % 6.03%
12/8/2007	10.30%	4.07 70	5 90%
12/0/2007	0.069/	4.0070	5.0970
12/13/2007	9,90%	4.0070	0.1076 5.0494
12/14/2007	10.00%	4.00%	0.9470 5.040/
12/14/2007	10.70%	4.80%	0.04% 5.04%
12/19/2007	10.20%	4.60%	0.34% 5.34%
12/20/2007	10.20%	4.80%	0.34%
12/20/2007	11.00%	4.86%	0.14%
12/28/2007	10.25%	4.85%	5.40%
12/31/2007	11.25%	4.85%	6.40%
1/8/2008	10.75%	4.83%	5.92%
1/17/2008	10.75%	4.81%	5.94%
1/28/2008	9.40%	4.80%	4.60%
1/30/2008	10.00%	4.79%	5.21%
1/31/2008	10.71%	4.79%	5.92%
2/29/2008	10.25%	4.75%	5.50%
3/12/2008	10.25%	4.73%	5.52%
3/25/2008	9.10%	4.68%	4.42%
4/22/2008	10.25%	4.60%	5.65%
4/24/2008	10.10%	4.60%	5.50%
5/1/2008	10.70%	4.58%	6.12%
5/19/2008	11.00%	4.56%	6.44%
5/2//2008	10.00%	4.55%	5.45%
6/10/2008	10.70%	4.54%	6.16%
6/27/2008	11.04%	4.54%	6.50%
6/2/12008	10.50%	4.54%	5.96%
7/10/2008	10.43%	4.52%	5.91%
7/16/2008	9.40%	4.51%	4.89%
7/30/2008	10.80%	4.51%	6.29%
7/31/2008	10.70%	4.51%	6.19%
8/11/2008	10.25%	4.50%	5.75%
8/26/2008	10.18%	4.50%	5.68%
9/10/2008	10.30%	4.50%	5.80%
9/24/2008	10.65%	4.48%	5.17% 0.47%
9/24/2008	10.65%	4.48%	6.17%
9/24/2008	10.65%	4.48%	6.17% c.70%
9/30/2008	10.20%	4.4/%	5.73%
10/8/2008	10.15%	4.46%	5.69%
11/13/2008	10.55%	4.45%	6.10%
11/1//2008	10.20%	4.44%	5.76%

[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]
Date of		30-Year	
Electric Rate	Return on	Treasury	Risk
Case	Equity	Yield	Premium
12/1/2008	10.25%	4.39%	5.86%
12/23/2008	11.00%	4.27%	6.73%
12/29/2008	10.00%	4.24%	5.76%
12/29/2008	10.20%	4.24%	5.96%
12/31/2008	10 75%	4 22%	6 53%
1/14/2009	10.50%	4.15%	6.35%
1/21/2009	10.50%	4.11%	6.39%
1/21/2009	10.50%	4.11%	6.39%
1/21/2009	10.50%	4.11%	6.39%
1/27/2009	10.76%	4.09%	6.67%
1/30/2009	10.50%	4.07%	6.43%
2/4/2009	8.75%	4.06%	4.69%
3/4/2009	10.50%	3.96%	6.54%
3/12/2009	11.50%	3.93%	7.57%
4/2/2009	11.10%	3.85%	7.25%
4/21/2009	10.61%	3.80%	6.81%
4/24/2009	10.00%	3.78%	6.22%
4/30/2009	11.25%	3.77%	7.48%
5/4/2009	10.74%	3.77%	6.97%
5/20/2009	10.25%	3.74%	6.51%
5/28/2009	10.50%	3.74%	6.76%
6/22/2009	10.00%	3.76%	6.24%
6/24/2009	10.80%	3.76%	7.04%
7/8/2009	10.63%	3.76%	6.87%
7/17/2009	10.50%	3.77%	6.73%
8/21/2009	10.25%	3.80%	6.45%
8/31/2009	10.25%	3.82%	6.43%
10/14/2009	10.70%	4.02%	6.68%
10/23/2009	10.88%	4.06%	6.82%
11/2/2009	10.70%	4.10%	6.60%
11/3/2009	10.70%	4.10%	6.60%
11/24/2009	10.25%	4.16%	6.09%
11/25/2009	10.75%	4.16%	6.59%
11/30/2009	10.35%	4.17%	6.18%
12/3/2009	10.50%	4.18%	6.32%
12/7/2009	10.70%	4.19%	6.51%
12/16/2009	11.00%	4.22%	6.78%
12/16/2009	10.90%	4.22%	6.68%
12/18/2009	10.40%	4.22%	6.18%
12/18/2009	10.40%	4.22%	6.18%
12/22/2009	10.20%	4.23%	5.97%
12/22/2009	10.40%	4.23%	6.17%
12/22/2009	10.40%	4.23%	6.17%
12/30/2009	10.00%	4.26%	5.74%
1/4/2010	10.80%	4.28%	6.52% C.COV
1/11/2010	11.00%	4.31%	0.09%
1/20/2010	10.13%	4.30%	0.78% 6.040/
1/27/2010	10.40%	4.30%	0.04%
1/27/2010	10.40%	4.30%	0.04%
2/0/2010	0.0007	4.30%	0.0470 5.409/
2/18/2010	9.00%	4.00% 1 10%	5.4270 6.20%
2/2//2010	10.00%	4.4070	5 77%
3/2/2010	9.63%	4.4 1 %	5.22%
3/4/2010	10.50%	4 4 1%	6 09%
3/5/2010	10.50%	4.41%	6.09%

[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]
Date of		30-Year	
Electric Rate	Return on	Treasury	Risk
Case	Equity	Yield	Premium
3/11/2010	11.90%	4.42%	7.48%
3/17/2010	10.00%	4.41%	5.59%
3/25/2010	10.15%	4.42%	5.73%
4/2/2010	10 10%	4 43%	5 67%
4/27/2010	10.00%	4 46%	5 54%
4/29/2010	9 90%	4 46%	5 44%
4/29/2010	10.06%	4 46%	5.60%
4/29/2010	10.26%	4 46%	5.80%
5/12/2010	10.30%	4 45%	5.85%
5/12/2010	10.30%	4 45%	5.85%
5/28/2010	10.00%	4.40%	5.66%
5/28/2010	10.20%	4.44%	5.76%
6/7/2010	10.20%	4.44%	5.86%
6/16/2010	10.00%	4 44%	5.56%
6/28/2010	9.67%	4.44%	5.00%
6/28/2010	10 50%	4.43%	5.2470 6.07%
6/20/2010	0.00%	4.4570	0.07 % A 07%
7/1/2010	9.40% 10.25%	4.4370	4.97 % 5.97%
7/1/2010	10.2370	4.4370	0.0270 6.109/
7/15/2010	10.00%	4.4370	0.1076 6.070/
7/10/2010	10.70%	4.43%	0.27.70
9/4/2010	10.70%	4.4   70	0.29%
0/4/2010 9/6/2010	0.00%	4.4   70	0.0976 E.4097
0/0/2010	9.00%	4.4   70	0.4270 5.520/
8/25/2010	9.90%	4.37%	0.03%
9/3/2010	10.00%	4.35%	0.25%
9/14/2010	10.70%	4.33%	0.37%
9/16/2010	10.00%	4.32%	0.08%
9/16/2010	0.75%	4.32%	0.08% 5.470/
9/30/2010	9.70%	4.20%	0.47% © 110/
10/14/2010	10.33%	4.24%	0.11%
10/28/2010	10.70%	4.21%	0.49%
11/2/2010	10.38%	4.20%	0.10%
11/4/2010	10.70%	4.19%	0.01%
11/19/2010	10.20%	4.1770	0.03% 5.03%
12/1/2010	10.00%	4.1770	0.00% 5.07%
12/1/2010	10.13%	4.10%	0.97% 5.740/
12/0/2010	9.00%	4.15%	0.71% C 1097
12/9/2010	10.23%	4.1076	0.1076
12/13/2010	10.7070	4.1570	5 0904
12/14/2010	10.1370	4.1070	0.90%
12/15/2010	10.44%	4.13%	0.29%
12/17/2010	10.00%	4.1470	0.00%
12/20/2010	10.00%	4.1470	0.4076
12/21/2010	0.00%	4.1470	0.10%
12/27/2010	9.9070	4.1470	0.70% 7.019/
1/2/29/2010	10.15%	4.1470	7.0176
1/0/2011	10.13%	4.1070	0.0270 © 1907
1/12/2011	10.30%	4.1270 / 100/	0.1070
1/10/2011	10.30%	4.1∠% 4.1⊃9/	0.10%
1/10/2011	0.00%	4.1∠% / 1⊃9/	0.00% 5.100/
1/20/2011	9.00%	4.1∠% / 1⊃0/	0.10% 6.010/
1/20/2011	10.13%	4.1Z%0	0.01%0 E.4007
0/0/2011	9.00%	4.11%0 4.140/	5.49% 5.00%
2/3/2011	10.00%	4.11%0 4.4404	0.09% 5.00%
2/20/2011	0.00%	4.14% 1 1907	0.00%
312312011	3.0070	4.1070	U.UZ 70

[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]
Date of		30-Year	
Electric Rate	Return on	Treasury	Risk
Case	Equity	Yield	Premium
3/30/2011	10.00%	4.18%	5.82%
4/12/2011	10.00%	4.21%	5.79%
4/25/2011	10.74%	4.23%	6.51%
4/26/2011	9.67%	4.24%	5.43%
4/27/2011	10.40%	4.24%	6.16%
5/4/2011	10.00%	4.25%	5.75%
5/4/2011	10.00%	4.25%	5.75%
5/24/2011	10.50%	4.27%	6.23%
6/8/2011	10.75%	4.30%	6.45%
6/16/2011	9.20%	4.32%	4.88%
6/17/2011	9.95%	4.32%	5.63%
7/13/2011	10.20%	4.37%	5.83%
8/1/2011	9.20%	4.39%	4.81%
8/8/2011	10.00%	4.38%	5.62%
8/11/2011	10.00%	4.38%	5.62%
8/12/2011	10.35%	4.38%	5.97%
8/19/2011	10.25%	4.36%	5.89%
9/2/2011	12.88%	4.32%	8.56%
9/22/2011	10.00%	4.24%	5.76%
10/12/2011	10.30%	4.14%	6.16%
10/20/2011	10.50%	4.10%	6.40%
11/30/2011	10.90%	3.87%	7.03%
11/30/2011	10.90%	3.87%	7.03%
12/14/2011	10.00%	3.79%	6.21%
12/14/2011	10.30%	3.79%	6.51%
12/20/2011	10.20%	3.76%	6.44%
12/21/2011	10.20%	3.75%	6.45%
12/22/2011	9.90%	3.75%	6.15%
12/22/2011	10.40%	3.75%	6.65%
12/23/2011	10.19%	3.74%	6.45%
1/25/2012	10.50%	3.57%	6.93%
1/27/2012	10.50%	3.55%	6.95%
2/15/2012	10.20%	3.47%	6.73%
2/23/2012	9.90%	3.43%	6.47%
2/27/2012	10.25%	3.42%	6.83%
2/29/2012	10.40%	3.41%	6.99%
3/29/2012	10.37%	3.31%	7.06%
4/4/2012	10.00%	3.29%	6.71%
4/26/2012	10.00%	3.20%	6.80%
5/2/2012	10.00%	3.18%	6.82%
5/7/2012	9.80%	3.16%	6.64%
5/15/2012	10.00%	3.14%	6.86%
5/29/2012	10.05%	3.11%	6.94%
6///2012	10.30%	3.07%	7.23%
6/14/2012	9.40%	3.06%	6.34%
6/15/2012	10.40%	3.06%	7.34%
6/18/2012	9.60%	3.05%	6.55%
6/19/2012	9.25%	3.05%	6.20% 7.00%
0/20/2012	10.10%	3.04%	7.00%
0/29/2012	10.00%	3.04%	0.90%
7/9/2012	10.20%	3.03%	7.17% c.70%
7/16/2012	9.80%	3.02%	0.78%
7/20/2012	9.81%	3.01%	6.80% C.20%
1/20/2012	9.31%	3.01%	0.30%
9/13/2012	9.80%	2.94%	0.80%
9/19/2012	10.05%	2.94%	7.11%

[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]
Date of		30-Year	
Electric Rate	Return on	Treasury	Risk
Case	Equity	Yield	Premium
9/19/2012	9.80%	2.94%	6.86%
9/26/2012	9.50%	2.94%	6.56%
10/12/2012	9.60%	2.93%	6.67%
10/23/2012	9.75%	2.93%	6.82%
10/24/2012	10.30%	2.93%	7.37%
11/9/2012	10.30%	2.92%	7.38%
11/28/2012	10.40%	2.90%	7.50%
11/29/2012	9.75%	2.89%	6.86%
11/29/2012	9.88%	2.89%	6.99%
12/5/2012	10.40%	2.89%	7.51%
12/5/2012	9.71%	2.89%	6.82%
12/12/2012	9.80%	2.88%	6.92%
12/13/2012	10.50%	2.88%	7.62%
12/13/2012	9.50%	2.88%	6.62%
12/14/2012	10.40%	2.88%	7.52%
12/19/2012	9.71%	2.87%	6.84%
12/19/2012	10.25%	2.87%	7.38%
12/20/2012	9.80%	2.87%	6.93%
12/20/2012	10.40%	2.87%	7.53%
12/20/2012	10.30%	2.87%	7.43%
12/20/2012	10.45%	2.87%	7.58%
12/20/2012	9.50%	2.87%	6.63%
12/20/2012	10.25%	2.87%	7.38%
12/20/2012	10.25%	2.87%	7.38%
12/21/2012	10.20%	2.87%	7.33%
12/26/2012	9.80%	2.86%	6.94%
1/9/2013	9.70%	2.84%	6.86%
1/9/2013	9.70%	2.84%	6.86%
1/9/2013	9.70%	2.84%	6.86%
1/16/2013	9.60%	2.84%	6.76%
1/16/2013	9.60%	2.84%	6.76%
2/13/2013	10.20%	2.84%	7.36%
2/22/2013	9.75%	2.85%	6.90%
2/27/2013	10.00%	2.86%	7.14%
3/14/2013	9.30%	2.88%	6.42%
3/27/2013	9.80%	2.90%	6.90%
5/1/2013	9.84%	2.94%	6.90%
5/15/2013	10.30%	2.96%	7.34%
5/30/2013	10.20%	2.98%	7.22%
5/31/2013	9.00%	2.98%	6.02%
6/11/2013	10.00%	3.00%	7.00%
6/21/2013	9.75%	3.02%	6.73%
6/25/2013	9.80%	3.03%	6.77%
7/12/2013	9.36%	3.08%	6.28%
8/8/2013	9.83%	3.14%	6.69%
8/14/2013	9.15%	3.16%	5.99%
9/11/2013	10.20%	3.27%	6.93%
9/11/2013	10.25%	3.27%	6.98% C.00%
9/24/2013	10.20%	3.31%	6.89%
10/3/2013	9.65%	3.33%	6.32%
11/6/2013	10.20%	3.41%	0.79% 6.50%
11/21/2013	10.00%	3.44%	0.56%
11/26/2013	10.00%	3.45%	0.55%
12/3/2013	10.25%	3.4/%	0.78%
12/4/2013	9.50%	3.4/%	0.03%
12/0/2013	10.20%	3.48%	0.72%

[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]
Date of		30-Year	
Electric Rate	Return on	Treasury	Risk
Case	Equity	Yield	Premium
12/9/2013	9.75%	3.49%	6.26%
12/9/2013	8.72%	3.49%	5.23%
12/13/2013	9 75%	3 50%	6.25%
12/16/2013	9.95%	3 50%	6.45%
12/16/2013	9.95%	3.50%	6.45%
12/16/2013	10 12%	3 50%	6.62%
12/17/2013	9.50%	3.51%	5 99%
12/17/2013	10.95%	3.51%	7 11%
12/18/2013	9 80%	3.51%	6 20%
12/18/2013	8 7 7%	3.51%	5 21%
12/10/2013	10 15%	3.51%	5.2170
12/18/2013	0.13%	3.54%	5.04%
2/20/2013	9.00%	3.60%	5.50%
2/20/2014	9.2070	3.09%	0.01%
2/20/2014	9.73%	3.70%	5.0376
3/17/2014	9.00%	J./∠70 2.720/	0.00%
3/26/2014	9.90%	3.73%	0.23%
3/26/2014	9.40%	3.73%	5.67%
4/2/2014	9.70%	3.73%	5.97%
5/16/2014	9.80%	3.70%	6.10%
5/30/2014	9.70%	3.68%	6.02%
6/6/2014	10.40%	3.67%	6.73%
6/30/2014	9.55%	3.64%	5.91%
7/2/2014	9.62%	3.64%	5.98%
7/10/2014	9.95%	3.63%	6.32%
7/23/2014	9.75%	3.61%	6.14%
7/29/2014	9.45%	3.60%	5.85%
7/31/2014	9.90%	3.60%	6.30%
8/20/2014	9.75%	3.56%	6.19%
8/25/2014	9.60%	3.56%	6.04%
8/29/2014	9.80%	3.54%	6.26%
9/11/2014	9.60%	3.51%	6.09%
9/15/2014	10.25%	3.51%	6.74%
10/9/2014	9.80%	3.44%	6.36%
11/6/2014	9.56%	3.37%	6.19%
11/6/2014	10.20%	3.37%	6.83%
11/14/2014	10.20%	3.35%	6.85%
11/26/2014	9.70%	3.32%	6.38%
11/26/2014	10.20%	3.32%	6.88%
12/4/2014	9.68%	3.30%	6.38%
12/10/2014	9.25%	3.29%	5.96%
12/10/2014	9.25%	3.29%	5.96%
12/11/2014	10.07%	3.28%	6.79%
12/12/2014	10.20%	3.28%	6.92%
12/17/2014	9.17%	3.27%	5.90%
12/18/2014	9.83%	3.26%	6.57%
1/23/2015	9.50%	3.14%	6.36%
2/24/2015	9.83%	3.04%	6.79%
3/18/2015	9.75%	2.98%	6.77%
3/25/2015	9.50%	2.95%	6.55%
3/26/2015	9.72%	2.95%	6.77%
4/23/2015	10.20%	2.87%	7.33%
4/29/2015	9.53%	2.86%	6.67%
5/1/2015	9.60%	2.85%	6.75%
5/26/2015	9.75%	2.83%	6.92%
6/17/2015	9.00%	2.82%	6.18%
6/17/2015	9.00%	2.82%	6.18%

[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]
Date of		30-Year	
Electric Rate	Return on	Treasury	Risk
Case	Equity	Yield	Premium
9/2/2015	9.50%	2.79%	6.71%
9/10/2015	9.30%	2.79%	6.51%
9/25/2015	9.60%	2.80%	6.80%
10/15/2015	9.00%	2.81%	6.19%
11/19/2015	10.30%	2.88%	7.42%
11/19/2015	10.00%	2.88%	7.12%
12/3/2015	10.00%	2.90%	7.10%
12/9/2015	9.14%	2.90%	6.24%
12/9/2015	9.14%	2.90%	6.24%
12/11/2015	10.30%	2.90%	7.40%
12/15/2015	9.60%	2.91%	6.69%
12/17/2015	9.70%	2.91%	6.79%
12/18/2015	9.50%	2.91%	6.59%
12/30/2015	9.50%	2.93%	6.57%
1/6/2016	9.50%	2.94%	6.56%
2/23/2016	9.75%	2.94%	6.81%
3/16/2016	9.85%	2.91%	6.94%
4/29/2016	9.80%	2.83%	6.97%
6/3/2016	9.75%	2.80%	6.95%
6/8/2016	9.48%	2.80%	6.68%
6/15/2016	9.00%	2.78%	6.22%
6/15/2016	9.00%	2.78%	6.22%
7/18/2016	9.98%	2.71%	7.27%
8/9/2016	9.85%	2.66%	7.19%
8/18/2016	9.50%	2.63%	6.87%
8/24/2016	9.75%	2.61%	7.14%
9/1/2016	9.50%	2.59%	6.91%
9/8/2016	10.00%	2.57%	7.43%
9/28/2016	9.58%	2.53%	7.05%
9/30/2016	9.90%	2.53%	7.37%
11/9/2016	9.80%	2.48%	7.32%
11/10/2016	9.50%	2.48%	7.02%
11/15/2016	9.55%	2.49%	7.06%
11/18/2016	10.00%	2.50%	7.50%
11/29/2016	10.55%	2.51%	8.04%
12/1/2016	10.00%	2.51%	7.49%
12/6/2016	8.64%	2.52%	6.12%
12/6/2016	8.64%	2.52%	6.12%
12/7/2016	10.10%	2.52%	7.58%
12/12/2016	9.60%	2.53%	7.07%
12/14/2016	9.10%	2.53%	6.57%
12/19/2016	9.00%	2.54%	6.46%
12/19/2016	9.37%	2.54%	6.83%
12/22/2016	9.90%	2.55%	7.35%
12/22/2016	9.60%	2.55%	7.05%
12/28/2016	9.50%	2.55%	6.95%
1/18/2017	9.45%	2.58%	6.87%
1/24/2017	9.00%	2.59%	6.41%
1/31/2017	10.10%	2.60%	7.50%
2/15/2017	9.60%	2.62%	6.98%
2/22/2017	9.60%	2.64%	6.96%
2/24/2017	9.75%	2.64%	7.11%
2/24/2017	9.60%	2.64%	6.96%
2/28/2017	10.10%	2.64%	1.46%
3/2/2017	9.41%	2.65%	6.76%
3/20/2017	9.50%	2.68%	6.82%

[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]
Date of		30-Year	
Electric Rate	Return on	Treasury	Risk
Case	Equity	Yield	Premium
4/4/2017	10.25%	2.72%	7.53%
4/12/2017	9.40%	2.74%	6.66%
4/20/2017	9.50%	2.76%	6.74%
5/3/2017	9.50%	2.79%	6.71%
5/11/2017	9.20%	2.81%	6 39%
5/18/2017	9 50%	2 83%	6 67%
5/23/2017	9 70%	2 84%	6.86%
6/16/2017	9 65%	2 89%	6 76%
6/22/2017	9 70%	2 90%	6 80%
6/22/2017	9.70%	2.90%	6.80%
7/24/2017	9 50%	2 95%	6 55%
8/15/2017	10.00%	2.97%	7.03%
9/22/2017	9.60%	2 93%	6.67%
9/28/2017	9.80%	2 92%	6.88%
10/20/2017	9.50%	2.91%	6 59%
10/26/2017	10.25%	2.91%	7 34%
10/26/2017	10.20%	2.91%	7 29%
10/26/2017	10.30%	2.91%	7 39%
11/6/2017	10.25%	2.90%	7 35%
11/15/2017	11.95%	2.89%	9.06%
11/30/2017	10.00%	2.88%	7 12%
11/30/2017	10.00%	2.88%	7 12%
12/5/2017	9.50%	2.88%	6.62%
12/6/2017	8 40%	2.87%	5 53%
12/6/2017	8 40%	2.87%	5 53%
12/7/2017	9.80%	2.87%	6.93%
12/14/2017	9.60%	2.86%	674%
12/14/2017	9.65%	2.86%	6 79%
12/18/2017	9.50%	2 86%	6 64%
12/20/2017	9.58%	2.85%	6.73%
12/21/2017	9.10%	2.85%	6.25%
12/28/2017	9.50%	2.85%	6.65%
12/29/2017	9.51%	2.85%	6.66%
1/18/2018	9.70%	2.84%	6.86%
1/31/2018	9.30%	2.84%	6.46%
2/2/2018	9.98%	2.84%	7.14%
2/23/2018	9.90%	2.85%	7.05%
3/12/2018	9.25%	2.86%	6.39%
3/15/2018	9.00%	2.87%	6.13%
3/29/2018	10.00%	2.88%	7.12%
4/12/2018	9.90%	2.89%	7.01%
4/13/2018	9.73%	2.89%	6.84%
4/18/2018	10.00%	2.89%	7.11%
4/18/2018	9.25%	2.89%	6.36%
4/26/2018	9.50%	2.90%	6.60%
5/30/2018	9.95%	2.94%	7.01%
5/31/2018	9.50%	2.94%	6.56%
6/14/2018	8.80%	2.96%	5.84%
6/22/2018	9.50%	2.97%	6.53%
6/22/2018	9.90%	2.97%	6.93%
6/28/2018	9.35%	2.97%	6.38%
6/29/2018	9.50%	2.97%	6.53%
8/8/2018	9.53%	2.99%	6.54%
8/21/2018	9.70%	3.00%	6.70%
8/24/2018	9.28%	3.01%	6.27%
9/5/2018	9.56%	3.02%	6.54%

[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]
Date of		30-Year	
Electric Rate	Return on	Treasury	Risk
Case	Equity	Yield	Premium
9/14/2018	10.00%	3.03%	6.97%
9/20/2018	9.80%	3.04%	6.76%
9/26/2018	10.00%	3.05%	6.95%
9/26/2018	9.77%	3.05%	6.72%
9/27/2018	9.30%	3.05%	6.25%
10/4/2018	9.85%	3.06%	6.79%
10/29/2018	9.60%	3.10%	6.50%
10/31/2018	9.99%	3.11%	6.88%
11/1/2018	8.69%	3.11%	5.58%
12/4/2018	8.69%	3.14%	5.55%
12/13/2018	9.30%	3.14%	6.16%
12/14/2018	9.50%	3.14%	6.36%
12/19/2018	9.84%	3.14%	6.70%
12/20/2018	9.65%	3.14%	6.51%
12/21/2018	9.30%	3.14%	6.16%
1/9/2019	10.00%	3.14%	6.86%
2/27/2019	9.75%	3.12%	6.63%
3/13/2019	9.60%	3.12%	6.48%
3/14/2019	9.00%	3.12%	5.88%
3/14/2019	9.40%	3.12%	6.28%
3/22/2019	9.65%	3.12%	6.53%
4/30/2019	9.73%	3.11%	6.62%
4/30/2019	9.73%	3.11%	6.62%
5/1/2019	9.50%	3.11%	6.39%
5/2/2019	10.00%	3.11%	6.89%
5/8/2019	9.50%	3.10%	6.40%
5/14/2019	8.75%	3.10%	5.65%
5/16/2019	9.50%	3.09%	0.41%
5/23/2019	9.90%	3.09%	0.81%
8/12/2019	9.00%	2.09%	0.71%
8/29/2019	9.00%	2.8 1%	0.20%
9/4/2019	0.00%	2.76%	7.22%
9/30/2019	9.00%	2.70%	0.90%
10/31/2019	10.00%	2.00%	7.40%
11/7/2019	0.25%	2.00%	6 77%
11/2019	9.33%	2.56%	6 0 8%
12///2019	9.50%	2.5270	7 24%
12/4/2019	8 91%	2.51%	6.40%
12/16/2019	8.91%	2.31%	6.43%
12/17/2019	9 70%	2.40%	7 23%
12/17/2019	10.50%	2 47%	8.03%
12/19/2019	10.25%	2 47%	7 78%
12/19/2019	10.20%	2 47%	7 73%
12/19/2019	10.30%	2.47%	7.83%
12/20/2019	9.65%	2.46%	7.19%
12/20/2019	9.45%	2.46%	6.99%
12/24/2019	9.70%	2.46%	7.24%
1/8/2020	10.02%	2.43%	7.59%
1/16/2020	8.80%	2.41%	6.39%
1/22/2020	9.50%	2.39%	7.11%
1/23/2020	9.86%	2.39%	7.47%
2/6/2020	10.00%	2.34%	7.66%
2/11/2020	9.30%	2.33%	6.97%
2/14/2020	9.40%	2.32%	7.08%
2/19/2020	8.25%	2.31%	5.94%

[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]
Date of		30-Year	
Electric Rate	Return on	Treasury	Risk
Case	Equity	Yield	Premium
2/24/2020	9.75%	2.29%	7.46%
2/27/2020	9.40%	2.28%	7.12%
3/11/2020	9.70%	2.23%	7.47%
3/25/2020	9.40%	2.17%	7.23%
4/17/2020	9.70%	2.07%	7.63%
4/27/2020	9.25%	2.02%	7.23%
5/8/2020	9.90%	1.97%	7.93%
5/20/2020	9.45%	1.94%	7.51%
6/29/2020	9.70%	1.85%	7.85%
6/30/2020	9.10%	1.85%	7.25%
7/1/2020	9.25%	1.84%	7.41%
7/8/2020	9.40%	1.82%	7.58%
7/14/2020	9.60%	1.81%	7.79%
7/28/2020	9.50%	1.76%	7.74%
8/27/2020	10.00%	1.66%	8.34%
8/27/2020	9.45%	1.66%	7.79%
8/27/2020	8.20%	1.66%	6.54%
10/22/2020	9.50%	1.49%	8.01%
10/28/2020	9.60%	1.48%	8.12%
11/19/2020	8.80%	1.45%	7.35%
11/19/2020	8 80%	1.45%	7.35%
11/24/2020	9.20%	1.44%	7.76%
11/24/2020	9.80%	1.44%	8.36%
12/9/2020	8.38%	1.43%	6.95%
12/9/2020	8 38%	1 43%	6 95%
12/10/2020	9.40%	1.43%	7.97%
12/14/2020	9.50%	1.44%	8.06%
12/15/2020	9.30%	1.44%	7.86%
12/16/2020	9.50%	1.44%	8.06%
12/17/2020	9.90%	1.44%	8.46%
12/18/2020	9.50%	1.44%	8.06%
12/22/2020	9.15%	1.44%	7.71%
12/23/2020	10.00%	1.44%	8.56%
12/30/2020	9.65%	1.45%	8.20%
1/13/2021	9.30%	1.47%	7.83%
3/31/2021	9.60%	1.68%	7.92%
4/16/2021	9.60%	1.73%	7.87%
5/4/2021	9.85%	1.79%	8.06%
5/18/2021	9.50%	1.85%	7.65%
6/4/2021	9.28%	1.90%	7.38%
6/23/2021	9.00%	1.95%	7.05%
6/28/2021	9.55%	1.96%	7.59%
6/30/2021	9.43%	1.97%	7.46%
6/30/2021	9.43%	1.97%	7.46%
7/14/2021	9.60%	1.99%	7.61%
7/15/2021	9.38%	1.99%	7.39%
7/21/2021	9.50%	2.00%	7.50%
8/5/2021	9.60%	2.02%	7.58%
8/18/2021	9.50%	2.03%	7.47%
8/31/2021	8 57%	2.04%	6.53%

[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]
Date of		30-Year	
Electric Rate	Return on	Treasury	Risk
Case	Equity	Yield	Premium
9/1/2021	9.40%	2.05%	7.35%
9/27/2021	9.40%	2.07%	7.33%
10/21/2021	9.95%	2.10%	7.85%
10/26/2021	10.60%	2.10%	8.50%
10/28/2021	9.35%	2.10%	7.25%
11/2/2021	8.90%	2.11%	6.79%
11/4/2021	9.48%	2.11%	7.37%
11/17/2021	9.70%	2.11%	7.59%
11/18/2021	9.00%	2.11%	6.89%
11/18/2021	9.25%	2.11%	7.14%
11/18/2021	9.35%	2.11%	7.24%
11/18/2021	10.00%	2.11%	7.89%
11/18/2021	10.00%	2.11%	7.89%
11/23/2021	9.80%	2.11%	7.69%
12/1/2021	7.36%	2.10%	5.26%
12/7/2021	9.65%	2.09%	7.56%
12/13/2021	7.36%	2.08%	5.28%
12/15/2021	9.60%	2.08%	7.52%
12/22/2021	9.90%	2.06%	7.84%
12/28/2021	9.40%	2.05%	7.35%
1/20/2022	9.00%	2.03%	6.97%
2/16/2022	9.35%	2.02%	7.33%
2/23/2022	9.70%	2.02%	7.68%
3/16/2022	9.30%	2.02%	7.28%
4/14/2022	9.20%	2.07%	7.13%
4/25/2022	9.50%	2.11%	7.39%
5/12/2022	9.20%	2.18%	7.02%
5/23/2022	9.50%	2.22%	7.28%
8/31/2022	8.57%	2.64%	5.93%
9/8/2022	9.50%	2.69%	6.81%
9/15/2022	9.35%	2.73%	6.62%
10/4/2022	10.10%	2.85%	7.25%
10/4/2022	10.80%	2.85%	7.95%
10/25/2022	9.50%	3.00%	6.50%
11/3/2022	10.25%	3.07%	7.18%
11/3/2022	10.20%	3.07%	7.13%
11/3/2022	10.30%	3.07%	7.23%
11/17/2022	7.85%	3.16%	4.69%
11/18/2022	9,90%	3.17%	6.73%
11/30/2022	9.80%	3.23%	6.57%
12/1/2022	7.85%	3.24%	4.61%
12/14/2022	10.00%	3.30%	6.70%
12/14/2022	9.50%	3.30%	6.20%
12/14/2022	9.60%	3.30%	6.30%
12/15/2022	10.00%	3.30%	6.70%
12/15/2022	9.95%	3.30%	6.65%
12/15/2022	10.05%	3.30%	6.75%
12/16/2022	9.50%	3.31%	6.19%
12/20/2022	10.50%	3.32%	7.18%
12/22/2022	9.40%	3.33%	6.07%
12/22/2022	9.80%	3.33%	6.47%
12/27/2022	9.56%	3.35%	6.21%
12/29/2022	9.30%	3.37%	5.93%
12/29/2022	9.80%	3.37%	6.43%

[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]
Date of		30-Year	
Electric Rate	Return on	Treasury	Risk
Case	Equity	Yield	Premium
1/19/2023	9.90%	3.45%	6.45%
1/23/2023	9.65%	3.45%	6.20%
1/26/2023	9.75%	3.47%	6.28%
2/9/2023	9.60%	3.50%	6.10%
2/17/2023	9.50%	3.52%	5.98%
3/9/2023	9.70%	3.58%	6.12%
3/24/2023	9.90%	3.61%	6.29%
4/27/2023	10.00%	3.67%	6.33%
5/31/2023	9.35%	3.76%	5.59%
6/1/2023	9.25%	3.76%	5.49%
6/6/2023	9.75%	3.77%	5.98%
6/6/2023	9.35%	3.77%	5.58%
7/20/2023	9.25%	3.82%	5.43%
8/2/2023	9.80%	3.81%	5.99%
8/3/2023	9.57%	3.81%	5.76%
8/18/2023	9.80%	3.82%	5.98%
8/23/2023	9.58%	3.82%	5.76%
8/25/2023	9.55%	3.83%	5.72%
8/25/2023	8.63%	3.83%	4.80%
8/31/2023	11.45%	3.84%	7.61%
8/31/2023	9.40%	3.84%	5.56%
9/6/2023	9.30%	3.85%	5.45%
9/21/2023	9.65%	3.90%	5.75%
10/12/2023	9.20%	3.97%	5.23%
10/12/2023	9.20%	3.97%	5.23%
10/12/2023	9.75%	3.97%	5.78%
10/18/2023	9.50%	3.99%	5.51%
10/19/2023	9.50%	4.00%	5.50%
10/25/2023	9.00%	4.03%	0.0∠% 5.00%
11/3/2023	9.30%	4.08%	0.22% 5.00%
11/3/2023	9.70%	4.08%	0.02% 5.700/
11/9/2023	9.00%	4.10%	5.70%
11/17/2023	9.00%	4.10%	5.70%
11/12023	9.00%	4.1570	5.47.70
12/1/2023	9.33%	4.1570	5.2076
12/1/2023	9.30%	4.10%	5.7470
12/17/2023	8 01%	4.1770	1 73%
12/14/2023	8 7 2%	4 18%	4.54%
12/14/2023	10.00%	4 18%	5.82%
12/14/2023	9.50%	4 18%	5.32%
12/15/2023	10.10%	4.18%	5.92%
12/18/2023	9.50%	4.18%	5.32%
12/22/2023	10 70%	4 19%	6 51%
12/22/2023	10.65%	4.19%	6.46%
12/22/2023	10.75%	4.19%	6.56%
12/26/2023	9.52%	4.19%	5.33%
12/28/2023	9.60%	4.19%	5.41%
1/3/2024	9.26%	4.20%	5.06%
1/19/2024	9.75%	4.23%	5.52%
1/30/2024	9.75%	4.25%	5.50%
2/14/2024	9.60%	4.29%	5.31%
2/28/2024	9.70%	4.31%	5.39%
3/1/2024	9.90%	4.32%	5.58%
3/5/2024	9.55%	4.32%	5.23%
3/26/2024	9.80%	4.36%	5.44%

[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]
Date of		30-Year	
Electric Rate	Return on	Treasury	Risk
Case	Equity	Yield	Premium
4/17/2024	9.90%	4.41%	5.49%
4/18/2024	9.60%	4.41%	5.19%
5/8/2024	9.85%	4.46%	5.39%
6/10/2024	9.50%	4.50%	5.00%
6/20/2024	9.94%	4.50%	5.44%
6/28/2024	9.40%	4.50%	4.90%
7/2/2024	9.86%	4.50%	5.36%
7/18/2024	9.50%	4.47%	5.03%
8/8/2024	9.94%	4.43%	5.51%
8/21/2024	10.30%	4.40%	5.90%
8/26/2024	9.97%	4.39%	5.58%
9/17/2024	9.87%	4.36%	5.51%
9/18/2024	9.74%	4.36%	5.38%
9/23/2024	9.50%	4.36%	5.14%
9/26/2024	9.86%	4.37%	5.49%
9/30/2024	9.35%	4.37%	4.98%

# of Cases: 1,799

# 2025-2029 CAPITAL EXPENDITURES AS A PERCENT OF 2023 NET PLANT (\$ Millions)

		[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]
								2025-2029 Cap. Ex. / 2023
		2023	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	Net Plant
Alliant Energy Corporation	LNT							
Capital Spending per Share			S5.60	\$5.50	S5.40	\$5.40	\$5.40	
Common Shares Outstanding			256.70	256.85	257.00	257.00	257.00	
Capital Expenditures		AUT 457 A	S1,437.5	\$1,412.7	S1,387.8	\$1,387.8	S262.4	34.32%
Net Plant	A.C.C.	\$17,157.0						
Capital Spending per Share	ALE		\$12.80	\$12.90	\$13.00	\$13.00	\$13.00	
Common Shares Outstanding			272.00	278.50	285.00	285.00	285.00	
Capital Expenditures			\$3,481.6	\$3,592.7	\$3,705.0	\$3,705.0	\$298.0	43.77%
Net Plant		\$33,776.0						
American Electric Power Company,	Inc. AEP		A					
Capital Spending per Share			\$14.10	\$14.05	\$14.00	S14.00	S14.00	
Canital Expenditures			57.543.5	\$7.622.1	500.00 S7 700 0	\$7,700.0	5564.0	40.59%
Net Plant		\$76.693.0	07,040.0	ψ1,022.1	07,100.0	ψ1,700.0	0004.0	40.00 %
Avista Corporation	AVA							
Capital Spending per Share			\$6.50	\$7.00	\$7.50	\$7.50	\$7.50	
Common Shares Outstanding			81.00	83.00	85.00	85.00	85.00	10, 1001
Capital Expenditures		CE 700 4	\$526.5	\$581.0	\$637.5	\$637.5	\$92.5	43.42%
CMS Energy Corporation	CMS	35,700.1						
Capital Spending per Share	01110		\$12.50	\$11.25	\$10.00	S10.00	S10.00	
Common Shares Outstanding			300.50	300.50	301.00	301.00	301.00	
Capital Expenditures			\$3,756.3	\$3,380.6	S3,010.0	\$3,010.0	S311.0	53.72%
Net Plant		\$25,072.0						
DTE Energy Company	DTE		Ø17 75	010 10	010 ED	C10 EA	C10 E0	
Common Shares Outstanding			205.50	3010.10 205.75	310.00 206.00	206.00	206.00	
Capital Expenditures			\$3.647.6	\$3.729.2	\$3.811.0	\$3.811.0	\$224.5	54.04%
Net Plant		\$28,169.0		••••		••••		
Duke Energy Corporation	DUK							
Capital Spending per Share			\$17.75	\$17.25	\$16.75	S16.75	S16.75	
Common Shares Outstanding Conital Expanditures			773.00 \$12.700.P	612 251 5	775.00	7/5.00	775.00 8701 R	AC CD0/
Net Plant		\$115,315,0	φ13,720.6	313,301.0	φ12,901.5	312,301.3	3/91.0	40.00%
Edison International	EIX	0110,010.0						
Capital Spending per Share			\$16.25	\$16.63	\$17.00	\$17.00	\$17.00	
Common Shares Outstanding			388.00	389.00	390.00	390.00	390.00	
Capital Expenditures		#F0 004 0	\$6,305.0	\$6,467.1	\$6,630.0	\$6,630.0	\$407.0	47.14%
Net Plant Enterny Corporation	ETD	\$56,084.0						
Capital Spending per Share	LIK		\$22.00	\$20.88	\$19.75	\$19.75	\$19.75	
Common Shares Outstanding			222.00	226.00	230.00	230.00	230.00	
Capital Expenditures			S4,884.0	\$4,717.8	S4,542.5	\$4,542.5	S249.8	43.20%
Net Plant		\$43,834.0						
Evergy, Inc.	EVRG				00 50	ao 50		
Capital Spending per Share			39.30	59.40 220.00	39.00	\$9.00 330.00	\$9.50 220.00	
Canital Expenditures			\$2,139.0	\$2.162.0	\$2,185.0	\$2 185.0	\$239.5	37.55%
Net Plant		\$23,729.0	SE, 100.0	¥2,102.0	an, 100.0	42,100.0	\$200.0	01.0070
IDACORP, Inc.	IDA							
Capital Spending per Share			\$16.60	\$15.00	\$13.40	S13.40	S13.40	
Common Shares Outstanding			54.00	55.00	56.00	56.00	56.00	67.002/
Capital Expenditures		\$5.745 D	3896.4	\$825.U	\$750.4	5750.4	369.4	57.29%
FINGLE KATTL		00,140.2						

# 2025-2029 CAPITAL EXPENDITURES AS A PERCENT OF 2023 NET PLANT (\$ Millions)

		[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]
								2025-2029 Cap. Ex. / 2023
		2023	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	Net Plant
NextEra Energy, Inc.	NEE							
Capital Spending per Share			\$11.00	\$11.00	\$12.00	\$12.00	\$12.00	
Common Shares Outstanding			2065.00	2107.50	2150.00	2150.00	2150.00	70.049/
Net Plant		\$125.776.0	φzz,/10.0	<b>32</b> 0, 102.0	\$20,000.0	320,000.0	\$2,102.0	13.2470
NorthWestern Corporation	NWE							
Capital Spending per Share			S8.15	S8.20	\$8.25	\$8.25	\$8.25	
Common Shares Outstanding Capital Expanditures			\$505.3	53.00 \$516.6	\$528.0	54.00 \$528.0	\$72.3	35.60%
Net Plant		\$6.039.8	4000.0	4010.0	4520.0	3328.0	ψ/2.0	33.00 %
OGE Energy Corporation	OGE							
Capital Spending per Share			\$4.75	\$4.75	\$4.75	\$4.75	\$4.75	
Common Shares Outstanding			200.20	200.20	200.20	200.20	200.20	25 170/
Net Plant		\$11.301.0	4901.0	4901.0	0001.U	3301.0	3200.0	33.4770
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW	\$1.100.00						
Capital Spending per Share			\$16.80	\$17.15	\$17.50	S17.50	S17.50	
Common Shares Outstanding			118.00	120.00	122.00	122.00	122.00	47.009/
Net Plant		\$17,980.0	31,962.4	\$2,008.0	32,133.0	φz,135.0	3139.0	47.00%
Portland General Electric Company	POR	411,000.0						
Capital Spending per Share			\$11.30	\$11.78	\$12.25	\$12.25	\$12.25	
Common Shares Outstanding			106.00	108.00	110.00	110.00	110.00	55 000/
Net Plant		S9 546 0	51,197.0	\$1,∠/1.7	\$1,347.0	\$1,547.5	\$122.3	00.00%
PPL Corporation	PPL	00,040.0						
Capital Spending per Share			S3.70	S3.70	S4.00	\$4.00	\$4.00	
Common Shares Outstanding			737.40	737.70	738.00	738.00	738.00	22.50%
Capital Expenditures		\$31.418.0	52,728.4	\$2,729.5	52,952.0	\$2,952.0	5/42.0	38.03%
Southern Company	SO	401,410.0						
Capital Spending per Share			\$8.75	\$8.63	\$8.50	\$8.50	\$8.50	
Common Shares Outstanding			1095.00	1095.00	1095.00	1095.00	1095.00	00.000
Capital Expenditures		\$99 844 0	\$9,581.3	\$9,444.4	<b>59</b> ,307.5	\$9,307.5	\$1,103.5	38.80%
TXNM Energy, Inc	TXNM	400,044.0						
Capital Spending per Share			\$13.85	\$13.68	\$13.50	S13.50	S13.50	
Common Shares Outstanding			92.00	93.50	95.00	95.00	95.00	CO CD4
Capital Expenditures		\$7,609,9	51,274.2	\$1,278.6	51,282.5	\$1,282.5	5108.5	68.68%
Xcel Energy Inc.	XEL	07,000.0						
Capital Spending per Share			\$15.50	\$15.00	\$14.50	\$14.50	\$14.50	
Common Shares Outstanding			565.00	572.50	580.00	580.00	580.00	~= ~
Capital Expenditures		\$51 642.0	\$8,757.5	\$8,587.5	\$8,410.0	\$8,410.0	\$594.5	67.31%
		ψ01,042.0						
EPE	EPE							
Capital Expenditures [8] Net Plant [9]		\$3,830.0	\$1,148.00	\$1,140.00	\$835.00	\$699.00	\$580.00	114.93%
EPE CapEx Total (2025 - 2029) EPE CapEx Annual Average Proxy Group Median EPE / Proxy Group Median								\$4,402.0 \$880.4 45.22% 2.54

Notes: [1] - [6] Source: Value Line, dated September 6, 2024, October 18, 2024, and November 8, 2024 [7] Equals (Column [2] + [3] + [4] + [5] + [6]) / Column [1] [8] Source: Company Provided Data [9] Source: EPE's 2023 FERC Form 1



#### 2025-2029 CAPITAL EXPENDITURES AS A PERCENT OF 2023 NET PLANT

Rank	Company		2025-2029
1	Alliant Energy Corporation	LNT	34.32%
2	OGE Energy Corporation	OGE	35.47%
3	NorthWestern Corporation	NWE	35.60%
4	Evergy, Inc.	EVRG	37.55%
5	PPL Corporation	PPL	38.53%
6	Southern Company	SO	38.80%
7	American Electric Power Company, Inc.	AEP	40.59%
8	Entergy Corporation	ETR	43.20%
9	Avista Corporation	AVA	43.42%
10	Ameren Corporation	AEE	43.77%
11	Duke Energy Corporation	DUK	46.68%
12	Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW	47.00%
13	Edison International	EIX	47.14%
14	CMS Energy Corporation	CMS	53.72%
15	DTE Energy Company	DTE	54.04%
16	Portland General Electric Company	POR	55.38%
17	IDACORP, Inc.	IDA	57.29%
18	Xcel Energy Inc.	XEL	67.31%
19	TXNM Energy, Inc	TXNM	68.68%
20	NextEra Energy, Inc.	NEE	79.24%
21	EPE	EPE	114.93%
	Proxy Group Median		45.22%
	EPE/Proxy Group		2.54

Notes: Source: Exhibit JEN-7, pages 1-2 col. [7]

#### Small Size Premium (Texas)

	[1]
	(\$Mil)
EPE Equity	\$1,541.83
Median Market to Book for Proxy Group	1.84
EPE Implied Market Cap	\$2,840.62

		[2]	[3]
		Market Cap	Market to Book
Company Name	Ticker	(\$Mil)	Ratio
Alliant Energy Corporation	LNT	\$15,156.30	\$2.23
Ameren Corporation	AEE	\$22,405.93	\$1.94
American Electric Power Company, Inc.	AEP	\$53,897.55	\$2.06
Avista Corporation	AVA	\$3,034.48	\$1.20
CMS Energy Corporation	CMS	\$20,564.34	\$2.64
DTE Energy Company	DTE	\$25,854.25	\$2.33
Duke Energy Corporation	DUK	\$88,918.77	\$1.86
Edison International	EIX	\$33,067.93	\$2.40
Entergy Corporation	ETR	\$26,535.91	\$1.82
Evergy, Inc.	EVRG	\$13,859.82	\$1.43
IDACORP, Inc.	IDA	\$5,477.89	\$1.71
NextEra Energy, Inc.	NEE	\$168,422.53	\$3.43
NorthWestern Corporation	NWE	\$3,381.62	\$1.20
OGE Energy Corporation	OGE	\$8,053.97	\$1.80
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW	\$10,058.29	\$1.62
TXNM Energy, Inc	TXNM	\$3,776.24	\$1.57
Portland General Electric Company	POR	\$4,938.99	\$1.42
PPL Corporation	PPL	\$23,719.66	\$1.69
Southern Company	SO	\$96,744.29	\$2.98
Xcel Energy Inc.	XEL	\$35,020.89	\$1.95
MEDIAN		\$ 21,485.13	1.84
MEAN		\$ 33,144.48	1.96

		Lov	w End Market	Н	ligh End Market	
	Decile	С	apitalization		Capitalization	Size Premium
-	2	\$	14,910.719	\$	36,391.110	0.46%
	3	\$	7,493.607	\$	14,820.050	0.61%
	4	\$	4,622.261	\$	7,461.280	0.64%
	5	\$	3,011.224	\$	4,621.790	0.95%
	6	\$	1,864.293	\$	3,010.810	1.21%
	7	\$	1,050.083	\$	1,862.490	1.39%
	8	\$	555.880	\$	1,046.040	1.14%
	9	\$	213.039	\$	554.520	1.99%
	10	\$	1.576	\$	212.640	4.70%
-						
Proxy (	Group Median			\$	21,485.135	0.46%
6th Decile	Size Premium			\$	2,840.619	1.2 <b>1%</b>
-		Dif	ference from F	0.75%		

Notes:

<sup>[1]</sup> EPE Texas jurisdictional proposed rate base of \$2,569 million mutiplied by the proposed common equity ratio of 56.4%

<sup>[2]</sup> Source: S&P Global Market Intelligence, 30-day average [3] Source: S&P Global Market Intelligence, 30-day average

<sup>[4]</sup> Source: Kroll Cost of Capital Navigator, Size Premia Deciles as of December 31, 2023

#### Small Size Premium (Total)

	[1]
	(\$Mil)
EPE Equity	\$1,942.93
Median Market to Book for Proxy Group	1.84
EPE Implied Market Cap	\$3,574.99

		[2]	[3]
		Market Cap	Market to Book
Company Name	Ticker	(\$Mil)	Ratio
Alliant Energy Corporation	LNT	\$15,156.30	2.23
Ameren Corporation	AEE	\$22,405.93	1.94
American Electric Power Company, Inc.	AEP	\$53,897.55	2.06
Avista Corporation	AVA	\$3,034.48	1.2
CMS Energy Corporation	CMS	\$20,564.34	2.64
DTE Energy Company	DTE	\$25,854.25	2.33
Duke Energy Corporation	DUK	\$88,918.77	1.86
Edison International	EIX	\$33,067.93	2.4
Entergy Corporation	ETR	\$26,535.91	1.82
Evergy, Inc.	EVRG	\$13,859.82	1.43
IDACORP, Inc.	IDA	\$5,477.89	1.71
NextEra Energy, Inc.	NEE	\$168,422.53	3.43
NorthWestern Corporation	NWE	\$3,381.62	1.2
OGE Energy Corporation	OGE	\$8,053.97	1.8
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW	\$10,058.29	1.62
TXNM Energy, Inc	TXNM	\$3,776.24	1.57
Portland General Electric Company	POR	\$4,938.99	1.42
PPL Corporation	PPL	\$23,719.66	1.69
Southern Company	SO	\$96,744.29	2.98
Xcel Energy Inc.	XEL	\$35,020.89	1.95
MEDIAN		¢ 01 495 14	1 9/
MEAN		φ 21,400.14 ¢ 33.1 <i>λλ</i> 49	1.04
		φ 55,144.46	1.30

		Market Capit			
	Lov	v End Market	Hi	ah End Market	
Decile	Capitalization			Capitalization	Size Premium
2	\$	14,910.719	\$	36,391.110	0.46%
3	\$	7,493.607	\$	14,820.050	0.61%
4	\$	4,622,261	\$	7,461.280	0.64%
5	\$	3,011.224	\$	4,621.790	0.95%
6	\$	1,864.293	\$	3,010.810	1.21%
7	\$	1,050.083	\$	1,862.490	1.39%
8	\$	555.880	\$	1,046.040	1.14%
9	\$	213.039	\$	554.520	1.99%
10	\$	1.576	\$	212.640	4.70%
Proxy Group Median			\$	21,485.135	0.46%
oth Decile Size Premium			\$	3,574,995	0.95%
	Dif	ference from F	0.49%		

Notes:

[1] Source: EPE Schedule K-1.

[2] Source: S&P Global Market Intelligence, 30-day average

[3] Source: S&P Global Market Intelligence, 30-day average

[4] Source: Kroll Cost of Capital Navigator, Size Premia Deciles as of December 31, 2023

#### Proxy Group Regulatory Risk Comparative Assessment

				Adjustment Clauses				Ratemaking Framework Component					
				Euel/									
				Purchased	Volumetric	New Canital							
				Power/Gas	Risk	Investment	Energy	Renewables &	Environmental			Rate Base	RRA Commission
Company	Parent	State (Jurisdiction)	Service	Commodity	Mitigation [1]	[2]	Efficiency [3]	RPS [4]	[5]	Other (61	Test Year	Methodology	Ranking [7]
Ameren Illinois Company	AFE	Illinois	Electric	√ √	√	 ✓	∠		(0]	<u>√</u>	Eully Enrecast	Averane	Average (3
Union Electric Company	AFE	Missouri	Electric	1		4	1		4	4	Historical	Year End	Average / 3
AFP Texas Inc	AFP	Texas	Electric	n/a		4	1		4	4	Historical	Year End	Below Averane / 1
Appalachian Power Company	AFP	Virginia	Electric	~		4	1	1	4	4	Fully Forecast	Year End	Average / 1
Indiana Michigan Power Company	AEP	Indiana	Electric	1	1	4	1		4	4	Fully Forecast	Year End	Average ( 1
Indiana Michigan Power Company	AFP	Michigan	Electric	1		4	1	4	4	4	Fully Forecast	Average	Average / 1
Kentucky Power Company	AEP	Kentucky	Electric	1			1	4	4	4	Historical	Year End	Average (2
Kingsport Power Company	AFP	Tennessee	Electric	1		~	1				Fully Forecast	Average	Above Average / 3
Ohio Power Company	AEP	Ohio	Electric	1	1	4	1			4	Partially Forecast	Year End	Averane (2
Public Service Company of Oklahoma	AFP	Oklahoma	Electric	1						4	Historical	Vear End	Average / 3
Southwestern Electric Power Company		Arkaneae	Electric	1			1		1		Partially Forecast	Vear End	Average / 1
Southwestern Electric Power Company	AEP	Louisiana	Electric	1			~	1	•	4	Historical	Vear End	Average / 3
Southwestern Electric Power Company		Теузе	Electric	1			1		1		Historical	Vear End	Below Averane / 1
Mageling Power Company		Weet Virginia	Electric	1			-				Historical	Averane	Bolow Average / 1
Alaska Electric Light and Power Company		Alaska	Electric	1		•		•	•		Historical	Averane	Below Average / 1
Avista Corporation		Idaho	Electric	1	1		1				Fully Forecast	Average	Averane (?
Avista Corporation		Washington	Electric	1		~	~	1			Fully Forecast	Averane	Average / 3
Consumers Energy Company	CMS	Michigan	Electric	1			1		1		Fully Forecast	Averane	Average / 1
DTE Electric Company		Michigan	Electric	1		~	~				Fully Forecast	Average	Average / 1
Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC		North Carolina	Electric	1	1	•	1				Historical	Vear End	Ahovo Averano / 3
Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC	DUK	South Carolina	Electric	1			~	•			Historical	Vear End	Averane (3
Duke Energy Progress 11 C	DUK	North Carolina	Electric	1		~	1	4	1	4	Historical	Vear End	Above Average / 3
Duke Energy Flograda, LLC	DUK	Florida	Electric	1	,		1				Fully Forecast	Vear End	Above Average / 2
Duke Energy Indiana LLC	DUK	Indiana	Electric	1	1		1	4	•	4	Fully Forecast	Vear End	Average / 1
Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc	DUK	Kentucky	Electric	1		•	1		1		Fully Forecast	Averane	Average ( 2
Duke Energy Obio Inc	DUK	Ohio	Electric	1		~	1	4	1	4	Partially Forecast	Vear End	Average / 2
Duke Energy Progress LLC	DUK	South Carolina	Electric	1			1		4	4	Historical	Year End	Average / 3
Southern California Edison Company	FIX	California	Electric	1			1		4		Fully Forecast	Average	Average ( 1
Enterny Arkansas II C	ETR	Arkansas	Electric	1		*	1		4	~	Fully Forecast	Average	Average / 1
Entergy Louisiana LLC	ETR	Louisiana	Electric	1			1		4	1	Historical	Average	Average ( 3
Entergy Mississippi LLC	ETR	Mississinni	Electric	1		4	1		4	1	Partially Forecast	Average	Average / 1
Entergy New Orleans, LLC	ETR	Louisiana-NOCC	Electric	1		4	1			4	Partially Forecast	Year End	Average ( 3
Entergy Texas Inc	ETR	Texas	Electric	1		4	1			4	Historical	Year End	Below Average / 1
Everay Kansas Central Inc.	EVRG	Kansas	Electric	1	1		1		4	4	Historical	Year End	Averane ( 3
Evergy Kansas South Inc	EVRG	Kansas	Electric	1			1		4	4	Historical	Year End	Average / 3
Everay Metro, Inc.	EVRG	Missouri	Electric	1	1	1	1	1	4	4	Historical	Year End	Average ( 3
Everay Missouri West Inc	EVRG	Missouri	Electric	1	4	4	~			4	Historical	Year End	Average / 3
Idaho Power Co	IDA	Idaho	Electric	1	¥		~	*	*	4	Partially Forecast	Average	Average / 2
Idaho Power Co	IDA	Oregon	Electric	1	4		~	4	4	4	Fully Forecast	Average	Average / 2
Interstate Power and Light Company	INT	lowa	Electric	1		*	~	4	4	4	Fully Forecast	Average	Abrive Average / 3
Wisconsin Power and Light Company	LNT	Wisconsin	Electric	1						4	Fully Forecast	Average	Abrive Average / 3
Florida Power & Light Company	NEF	Florida	Electric	✓		*	~	*	*	1	Fully Forecast	Year End	Above Average / 2
NorthWestern Energy	NWE	Montana	Electric	1			~			1	Historical	Average	Average / 3
NorthWestern Energy	NWE	South Dakota	Electric	✓	4				*	1	Historical	Average	Average / 2
Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company	OGE	Arkansas	Electric	✓	4	4	~		4	1	Partially Forecast	Average	Average / 1
Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company	OGE	Oklahoma	Electric	<ul><li>✓</li></ul>	*	*	~			*	Historical	Year End	Average / 3

### Exhibit JEN-9

### Page 2 of 2

				Adjustment Clauses						Ratemaking Framework Component			
Company	Parent	State ( Jurisdiction)	Service	Fuel/ Purchased Power/Gas	Volumetric Risk Mitigation (1)	New Capital Investment	Energy Efficiency (3)	Renewables &	Environmental	Other (6)	Test Year	Rate Base Methodology	RRA Commission Banking [7]
Arizona Public Service Company	PNW	Arizona	Electric		iningunon[i] ✓	 ✓				V	Historical	Vear End	Below Average / 2
Portland General Electric Company	POR	Oregon	Electric	1		1	1				Fully Forecast	Averane	Averane (?)
Kentucky Litilities Company	PPI	Kentucky	Electric	1		1	1			~	Fully Forecast	Averane	Average ( 2
Kentucky Utilities Company	PPI	Virginia	Electric	1	4						Fully Forecast	Average	Average / 1
Louisville Gas and Electric Company	PPL	Kentucky	Electric	1	4	*	~		*	~	Fully Forecast	Average	Average / 2
PPL Electric Utilities Corporation	PPL	Pennsvivania	Electric	✓		4	~			×	Fully Forecast	Year End	Above Average / 2
The Narragansett Electric Company	PPL	Rhode Island	Electric	~	*		~	*		~	Historical	Average	Average / 2
Alabama Power Company	SO	Alabama	Electric	~	*	*			*	~	Fully Forecast	Year End	Above Average / 1
Georgia Power Company	SO	Georgia	Electric	~		*	~		*	~	Fully Forecast	Average	Above Average / 2
Mississippi Power Company	SO	Mississippi	Electric	~	*	*	~	*	*	1	Fully Forecast	Year End	Average / 1
Texas-New Mexico Power Company	TXNM	Texas	Electric	n/a		*	~	*		× 1	Historical	Year End	Below Average / 1
Public Service Company of New Mexico	TXNM	New Mexico	Electric	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>		*	~			×	Fully Forecast	Average	Below Average / 1
Public Service Company of Colorado	XEL	Colorado	Electric	~			~	*	*	× 1	Fully Forecast	Average	Average / 1
Northern States Power Company - WI	XEL	Michigan	Electric	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	*	*		*		×	Fully Forecast	Average	Average / 1
Northern States Power Company - MN	XEL	Minnesota	Electric	~		*	~	*	*	×	Fully Forecast	Average	Average / 2
Northern States Power Company - MN	XEL	North Dakota	Electric	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	*	*		*		×	Historical	Average	Average / 1
Southwestern Public Service Company	XEL	New Mexico	Electric	~			~	*		×	Fully Forecast	Average	Below Average / 1
Northern States Power Company - MN	XEL	South Dakota	Electric	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	*	*	~		*	~	Historical	Year End	Average / 2
Southwestern Public Service Company	XEL	Texas	Electric	~		*	~			×	Fully Forecast	Average	Below Average / 1
Northern States Power Company - WI	XEL	Wisconsin	Electric	~		4				√	Historical	Year End	Above Average / 3
		AL ( D 0		10001	254	7041	0.001	50.0/	212		500/	504	
		% of Proxy Group		100%	65%	70%	86%	52%	64%	98%	59%	52%	82%
											% Partial or Fully Forecast	% Year End Rate Base	% jurisdictions rated better than Below Average / 1
El Paso Electric Company	EPE	Texas	Electric	<b>√</b>		4	4			¥	Historical	Year End	Below Average /1

Notes:

A mechanism may cover one or more cost categories; therefore, designations may not indicate separate mechanisms for each category. Texas T&D electric utilities do not have retail obligation, thus do not need a fuel or purchased power cost recovery mechanism.

[1] Volumetric Risk Mitigation mechanisms include full or partial decoupling, straight fixed variable rate design, weather normalization adjustment clauses, recovery of lost revenues as a result of Energy Efficiency programs, and earnings true-up mechanisms, such as formula rate plans or annual rate review riders.

[2] Includes recovery of costs related to targeted new generation projects, transmission capital, infrastructure replacement, system integrity/hardening, Smart Grid, AMI metering, and other capital expenditures.

[3] Utility-sponsored conservation, energy efficiency, load control, or other demand side management programs.

[4] Recovers costs associated with renewable energy projects, distributed energy resources, REC purchases, net metering, RPS expense, and renewable PPAs.

[5] EPA upgrade costs, emissions control & allowance purchase costs, nuclear/coal plant decommissioning, and other costs to comply with state and federal environmental mandates.

[6] Cost recovery for items such as pension expenses, bad debt costs, low income programs, storm costs, vegetation management, RTO/transmission expense (not capital), government & franchise fees and taxes, and regulatory fees.

[7] As of December 16, 2024. RRA maintains three principal rating categories, Above Average, Average, and Below Average, with Above Average indicating a relatively more constructive, lower-risk regulatory environment from an investor viewpoint, and Below Average indicating a less constructive, higher-risk regulatory climate from an investor viewpoint, Within the three principal rating categories, the numbers 1, 2, and 3 indicate relative position. The designation 1 indicates a stronger (more constructive) rating; 2, a mid range rating; and, 3, a weaker (less constructive) rating. We endeavor to maintain an approximately equal number of ratings above the average and below the average.

Sources: Alternative Ratemaking Plans in the U.S., Regulatory Research Associates, April 16, 2020; Regulatory Research Associates, Adjustment Clauses: A State-by-State Overview, July 18, 2022; ACEEE Utility Business Model Database; Regulatory Research Associates Commission Profiles; SEC Form 10-Ks; Company Tariffs.

#### CAPITAL STRUCTURE ANALYSIS

COMMON EQUITY RATIO [1]									
Proxy Group Company	Ticker	2023	2022	2021	Average				
Alliant Energy Corporation	LNT	52.10%	52.60%	51.32%	52.01%				
Ameren Corporation	AEE	53.94%	53.66%	53.72%	53.77%				
American Electric Power Company, Inc.	AEP	48.45%	48.56%	47.76%	48.26%				
Avista Corporation	AVA	50.24%	51.06%	50.79%	50.70%				
CMS Energy Corporation	CMS	49.10%	49.78%	52.28%	50.38%				
DTE Energy Company	DTE	49.72%	50.41%	49.83%	49.99%				
Duke Energy Corporation	DUK	52.87%	53.04%	53.39%	53.10%				
Edison International	EIX	41.73%	42.40%	45.52%	43.22%				
Entergy Corporation	ETR	51.96%	47.65%	45.48%	48.36%				
Evergy, Inc.	EVRG	61.98%	63.11%	62.87%	62.65%				
IDACORP, Inc.	IDA	49.42%	54.37%	55.00%	52.93%				
NextEra Energy, Inc.	NEE	58.67%	63.14%	62.12%	61.31%				
NorthWestern Corporation	NWE	49.89%	50.34%	47.82%	49.35%				
OGE Energy Corporation	OGE	53.53%	55.65%	53.38%	54.19%				
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW	49.56%	50.25%	51.12%	50.31%				
Portland General Electric Company	POR	45.37%	43.24%	45.09%	44.57%				
PPL Corporation	PPL	56.49%	56.76%	57.09%	56.78%				
Southern Company	SO	54.82%	54.58%	54.38%	54.59%				
Xcel Energy Inc.	XEL	<b>54</b> .47%	54.84%	54.41%	54.58%				
Proxy Group									
MEAN		51.80%	52.39%	52.28%	52.16%				
MEDIAN		51.96%	52.60%	52.28%	52.01%				
MIDPOINT					52.93%				
LOW		41.73%	42.40%	45.09%	43.22%				
HIGH		61.98%	63.14%	62.87%	62.65%				

<u>Notes:</u> Sources: Operating Company FERC Form 1; S&P Capital IQ [1] Ratios are weighted by actual common equity and total long-term debt balances of operating subsidiaries.

Company Name	Ticker	2023	2022	2021	Average
Interstate Power and Light Company	LNT	49.74%	50.55%	50.22%	50.17%
Wisconsin Power and Light Company	LNT	<b>54</b> .77%	55.03%	52.86%	54.22%
Ameren Illinois Company	AEE	56.21%	55.63%	55.78%	55.87%
Union Electric Company	AEE	51.87%	51.88%	51.87%	51.87%
AEP Texas Inc.	AEP	45.69%	42.07%	42.81%	43.52%
Appalachian Power Company	AEP	48,44%	47.76%	48.34%	48,18%
Indiana Michigan Power Company	AEP	48.32%	49.29%	47.38%	48.33%
Kentucky Power Company	AEP	42.26%	43.82%	44.17%	43.42%
Kingsport Power Company	AFP	51 12%	53 89%	54 18%	53.06%
Ohio Power Company	AFP	51 30%	50 79%	48 76%	50 29%
Public Service Company of Oklahoma	AFP	51 75%	55 70%	54.36%	53 94%
Southwestern Electric Power Company	AFP	50.68%	52 54%	48 70%	50.64%
Wheeling Power Company	AFP	39.99%	49 14%	54 01%	47 71%
Alaska Electric Light and Power Company		62 52%	60.89%	60.49%	61.30%
Avista Comparison		49 74%	50.65%	50 35%	50 25%
Consumers Energy Company	CMS	49.10%	19 78%	52 28%	50.20%
DTE Electric Company	DTE	49.72%	50 41%	49.83%	49.99%
Duke Energy Carolinas U.C.		52 00%	52 78%	52.05%	52 28%
Duke Epergy Florida, LLC		51 31%	50 74%	52 65%	51 57%
Duke Energy Indiana 110	DUK	52 55%	52 06%	53 56%	52 72%
Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc.		52.55 M	52.00 704	52 00%	55 2004
Duke Energy Obio. Inc.		64 30%	65 87%	64 40%	64 90%
Duke Energy Onlo, ind. Duke Energy Progrees LLC	DUK	50 72%	51 37%	51 76%	51 25%
Duke Lifergy Flogress, LLC Southarn California Edison Company	EIX	JU.7270	121 10	45 52%	43 33%
Esterau Arkanese, LLC		41.7370	42.4070	43.32.70	43.22.70
Entergy Arkansas, ELC		40.00% 65.45%	47.9070	47.04%	40.90%
Entergy Louisiana, LLC		40.20%	41.1170	45.00%	40.07 %
Entergy Mississippi, LLC Entergy New Orleans, LLC		49.32% 54.370/	40.43%	40.00%	47.09%
Entergy New Orleans, LLC		50 7494	47.9470	40.0270 54.0004	49.2070
Entergy Texas, Inc.		OU.7476 CE 110/	49.99%	01.32% 67.00%	CC 4404
Evergy Kansas Central, Inc. Evergy Kansas South Inc.	EVRG	00.11% NA	07.1370 NA	07.00%	00.41%
Evergy Ransas South, Inc.	EVRG	ED 009/	E2 029/	E4 269/	NA 51 909/
Evergy Michouri Most Los Evergy Michouri Most Los	EVRO	52.00%	52.0576	51.3076	51.0070
Evergy Missouri West, Inc.	EVRG	DO.U2 %	04.41% 69.03%	52.01%	54.13% 67.24M
Ideba Bayer Company	EVRG	10,10%	54 279/	55,00%	52 029/
Idano Power Company	NEE	49.42%	04.37%	00.00% 60.10%	02.93%
NorthMostom Comparis		40.90%	60.24%	47 9904	40.25%
Oklahama Gas and Electric Company		49.09%	55 65%	41.0270 53.29%	49.30% 54.10%
Teves New Mexico Deurs Company		40.20976	50.00%	50.30%	50 009/
Public Service Company of New Mexico		49.39%	JU.4170 49 7094	50.43%	40.05%
Arizana Public Service Company of New Mexico		30.20% 40.56%	40.70%	50.90%	49.9070
Rinzonal Fublic Service Company Portland Conoral Electric Company		49.00%	00.20% A2 040/	AE 0004	00.01% A4 670/
Fortianu General Electric Company Kontuclo: Utilitice Compony		40.07 % 53 / 70/	43.24%	40.09% 55 72%	44.07% 54.25%
Rendery Onnies Company	PPL	53.47% 53.92%	00.0070 54 400/	57 440/	54.00%
Louisville Gas and Electric Company	PPL PDI	52.03%	04.40% 56.04%	57.11% 55.00%	56,000
FFL Electric Onlines Corporation	PPL	00.20% 65.04%	00.04% 65.40%	55.50% 67.03W	00.09% 64.46%
The Narragansett Electric Company	PPL	50.94%	00.42% 50.00%	0∠.U3% 50.0¢W	64.40%
Alabama Power Company	50	0∠.30% 56.00%	52.22% 56.05%	0∠. <i>3</i> 0% 55.60%	5∠.31% 55.00%
Georgia Power Company Mississiani Bawar Company	50	00.32%	20.00% EE.07%	55.6U%	50.99% FF 2011
Ivississippi Power Company	SO	55.01%	55.67%	55.40%	50.36%
Northern States Power Company	XEL	5∠.58%	52.79%	5∠.65%	52.57%
Northern States Power Company	XEL	52.58%	52.79%	52.65%	52.67%
Public Service Company of Colorado	XEL	56.47%	57.18%	56.44%	56.70%
Southwestern Public Service Company	XEL	54.41%	54.30%	54.23%	54.31%
Operating Company		FA 1042	<b>50</b> 0100	<b>50</b> (54)	<u> </u>
MEAN		52.40%	52.61%	52.45%	52.49%
MEDIAN		51.94%	52.14%	52.32%	52.08%
LOW		39.99%	42.07%	42.81%	43.22%
HIGH		65.94%	67.13%	67.00%	66.41%

<u>Notes:</u> Sources: Operating Company FERC Form 1; S&P Capital IQ [2] Evergy Kansas South was removed because it is financed with more than 80% common equity

#### CAPITAL STRUCTURE ANALYSIS

	LONG-TERM DEBT I	RATIO [1]			
Proxy Group Company	Ticker	2023	2022	2021	Average
Alliant Energy Corporation	LNT	47.90%	47.40%	48.68%	47.99%
Ameren Corporation	AEE	46.06%	46.34%	46.28%	46.23%
American Electric Power Company, Inc.	AEP	51.55%	51.44%	52.24%	51.74%
Avista Corporation	AVA	49.76%	48.94%	49.21%	49.30%
CMS Energy Corporation	CMS	50.90%	50.22%	47.72%	49.62%
DTE Energy Company	DTE	50.28%	49.59%	50.17%	50.01%
Duke Energy Corporation	DUK	47.13%	46.96%	46.61%	46.90%
Edison International	EIX	58.27%	57.60%	54.48%	56.78%
Entergy Corporation	ETR	48.04%	52.35%	54.52%	51.64%
Evergy, Inc.	EVRG	38.02%	36.89%	37.13%	37.35%
IDACORP, Inc.	IDA	50.58%	45.63%	45.00%	47.07%
NextEra Energy, Inc.	NEE	41.33%	36.86%	37.88%	38.69%
NorthWestern Corporation	NWE	50.11%	49.66%	52.18%	50.65%
OGE Energy Corporation	OGE	46.47%	44.35%	46.62%	45.81%
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW	50.44%	49.75%	48.88%	49.69%
Portland General Electric Company	POR	54.63%	56.76%	54.91%	55.43%
PPL Corporation	PPL	43.51%	43.24%	42.91%	43.22%
Southern Company	SO	45.18%	45.42%	45.62%	45.41%
Xcel Energy Inc.	XEL	45.53%	45.16%	45.59%	45.42%
Proxy Group					
MEAN		48.20%	47.61%	47.72%	47.84%
MEDIAN		48.04%	47.40%	47.72%	47.99%
MIDPOINT					47.07%
LOW		38.02%	36.86%	37.13%	37.35%
HIGH		58.27%	57.60%	54.91%	56.78%

<u>Notes:</u> Sources: Operating Company FERC Form 1; S&P Capital IQ [1] Ratios are weighted by actual common equity and total long-term debt balances of operating subsidiaries.

LONG-TERM DEBT	RATIO - UTILITY OPERATING COMPANIES [2].	

Misconsin Power and Light Company Ameren Illinois Company AEP Texas Inc. Northern Indiana Public Service Company MEP Texas Inc. Northern Indiana Public Service Company Kentucky Power Company Kentucky Power Company Cingsport Power Company Dhio Power Company Obio Power Company Dubic Service Company of Oklahoma Southwestern Electric Power Company Neeling Power Company Naska Electric Light and Power Company Naska Electric Light and Power Company Neeling Power Company Duke Energy Company Duke Energy Florida, LLC Duke Energy Florida, LLC Duke Energy Florida, LLC Duke Energy Plorida, LLC Duke Energy Progress, LLC Southern California Edison Company Northern Indiana Public Service Company Intergy Louisiana, LLC Intergy Mississippi, LLC Intergy Mississippi, LLC Intergy Kansas Central, Inc. Vergy Kansas Central, Inc. Evergy Metro, Inc. Vergy Missouri West, Inc. Evergy Missouri West, Inc. Evergi Missouri West, Inc. Eve	LNT AEE AEP AEP AEP AEP AEP AEP AEP AEP AEP	45.23% 43.79% 48.13% 51.56% 51.56% 51.56% 57.74% 48.88% 48.70% 48.25% 49.32% 60.01% 37.48% 50.26% 50.26% 50.28% 48.00% 48.69% 47.45% 38.46% 35.61% 49.28% 58.27% 54.92% 44.55% 50.68% 45.63% 49.26% 34.89% NA	44.97% 44.37% 48.12% 57.93% 52.24% 50.71% 56.18% 46.11% 49.21% 44.30% 47.46% 50.86% 39.11% 49.35% 50.22% 49.55% 47.22% 49.26% 47.22% 49.26% 47.94% 47.03% 34.13% 48.73% 57.60% 52.05% 52.05% 52.83% 53.57%	47.14% 44.22% 48.13% 57.19% 51.66% 55.83% 45.82% 51.24% 45.84% 51.24% 45.64% 51.30% 45.99% 39.51% 47.95% 47.72% 50.17% 47.95% 47.35% 46.44% 47.35% 46.44% 47.10% 35.60% 48.24% 54.48% 54.48% 48.68% 33.00%	45.78% 44.13% 56.48% 51.82% 51.67% 46.94% 49.71% 46.06% 49.36% 52.29% 38.70% 49.75% 49.62% 49.75% 49.62% 47.72% 48.75% 50.01% 47.22% 44.20% 35.11% 48.75% 56.78% 53.04% 51.43% 52.91% 50.72% 49.32% 33.59%
Ameren Illinois Company Jnion Electric Company AEP Texas Inc. Northern Indiana Public Service Company ridiana Michigan Power Company (entucky Power Company Chilo Power Company Public Service Company of Oklahoma Southwestern Electric Power Company Vheeling Power Company Alaska Electric Light and Power Company Alaska Electric Light and Power Company Austa Corporation Consumers Energy Company Dike Energy Carolinas, LLC Duke Energy Florida, LLC Duke Energy Florida, LLC Duke Energy Florida, LLC Duke Energy Plorida, LLC Duke Energy Progress, LLC Southern California Edison Company Northern Indiana Public Service Company Intergy Louisiana, LLC Entergy Mississippi, LLC Intergy Mississippi, LLC Intergy Kansas Central, Inc. Evergy Kansas Central, Inc. Evergy Metro, Inc. Vergy Missouri West, Inc. Exergy Metro, Inc. Evergy Missouri West, Inc. Evergy Missouri West, Inc. Editor Energy (KPL) Caho Power Company Iorida Power & Light Company	AEE AEE AEP AEP AEP AEP AEP AEP AEP AEP	43.79% 48.13% 54.31% 51.56% 51.56% 57.74% 48.88% 48.70% 48.25% 49.32% 60.01% 37.48% 50.26% 50.90% 50.28% 48.00% 48.69% 47.45% 38.46% 35.61% 49.28% 54.92% 44.55% 50.68% 45.63% 49.26% 34.89% NA	44.37% 48.12% 57.93% 52.24% 50.71% 56.18% 46.11% 49.21% 49.21% 44.30% 47.46% 50.86% 39.11% 49.35% 50.22% 49.59% 47.22% 49.59% 47.22% 49.26% 47.94% 47.03% 34.13% 48.73% 57.60% 52.05% 52.83% 53.57% 52.06% 50.01% 32.87%	44.22% 48.13% 57.19% 51.66% 52.62% 51.24% 45.82% 51.24% 45.64% 51.30% 45.99% 39.51% 49.65% 47.72% 50.17% 47.95% 47.72% 50.17% 47.95% 47.35% 46.44% 47.10% 35.60% 48.24% 54.48% 54.48% 54.48% 48.68% 33.00%	44.13% 48.13% 56.48% 51.82% 51.67% 56.58% 46.94% 49.71% 46.06% 49.36% 52.29% 38.70% 49.75% 49.62% 50.01% 47.72% 48.43% 47.28% 44.20% 35.11% 48.75% 56.78% 53.04% 51.43% 52.91% 50.72% 49.32% 33.59%
Jaion Electric Company AEP Texas Inc. Northern Indiana Public Service Company indiana Michigan Power Company (Entucky Power Company Ohio Power Company Public Service Company of Oklahoma Southwestern Electric Power Company Vheeling Power Company Vaska Electric Light and Power Company Vaska Electric Light and Power Company Vaska Electric Company DIE Electric Company Dike Energy Carolinas, LLC Duke Energy Florida, LLC Duke Energy Florida, LLC Duke Energy Florida, LLC Duke Energy Progress, LLC Duke Energy Progress, LLC Southern California Edison Company Vorthern Indiana Public Service Company Intergy Louisiana, LLC Entergy Mississippi, LLC Entergy Mississippi, LLC Entergy Kansas Central, Inc. Evergy Kansas Central, Inc. Evergy Metro, Inc. Vergy Missouri West, Inc. Exergy Metro, Inc. Exergy Missouri West, Inc. Entergy Missouri West, In	AEE AEP AEP AEP AEP AEP AEP AEP AEP AEP	48.13% 54.31% 51.56% 51.68% 57.74% 48.88% 48.70% 48.25% 49.32% 60.01% 37.48% 50.26% 50.26% 50.90% 50.28% 48.00% 48.69% 47.45% 58.27% 54.92% 44.55% 50.68% 45.63% 49.26% 34.89% NA	48.12% 57.93% 52.24% 50.71% 56.18% 46.11% 49.21% 44.30% 47.46% 50.86% 39.11% 49.35% 50.22% 49.59% 47.22% 49.59% 47.22% 49.26% 47.22% 49.26% 47.03% 34.13% 48.73% 57.60% 52.05% 52.83% 53.57% 52.06% 50.01% 32.87%	48,13% 57,19% 51,66% 52,62% 55,83% 45,82% 51,24% 45,64% 51,30% 45,99% 39,51% 49,65% 47,72% 50,17% 47,95% 47,35% 46,44% 47,10% 35,60% 48,24% 54,48% 52,16% 56,92% 54,47% 54,48% 48,68% 33,00%	48.13% 56.48% 51.82% 51.67% 56.58% 46.94% 49.71% 46.06% 49.36% 52.29% 38.70% 49.75% 49.62% 50.01% 47.72% 48.43% 47.28% 44.20% 35.11% 48.75% 56.78% 53.04% 51.43% 52.91% 50.72% 49.32% 33.59%
AEP Texas Inc. Northern Indiana Public Service Company Indiana Michigan Power Company Kentucky Power Company Ohio Power Company Public Service Company of Oklahoma Southwestern Electric Power Company Wheeling Power Company Vaska Electric Light and Power Company Vaska Electric Light and Power Company Vaska Electric Company DTE Electric Company Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC Duke Energy Florida, LLC Duke Energy Florida, LLC Duke Energy Florida, LLC Duke Energy Progress, LLC Southern California Edison Company Northern Indiana Public Service Company Intergy Mississippi, LLC Intergy Mississippi, LLC Intergy Revas Contral, Inc. Vergy Kansas Contral, Inc. Vergy Kansas South, Inc. Vergy Missouri West, Inc. Vergi Anasa South, Inc. Vergi Missouri West, Inc	AEP NI AEP AEP AEP AEP AEP AEP AEP AEP AEP AEP	54.31% 51.56% 51.68% 57.74% 48.88% 48.70% 48.25% 49.32% 60.01% 37.48% 50.26% 50.90% 50.28% 48.00% 48.69% 47.45% 38.46% 35.61% 49.28% 54.92% 44.55% 50.68% 45.63% 49.26% 34.89% NA	57.93% 52.24% 50.71% 56.18% 46.11% 49.21% 47.46% 50.86% 39.11% 49.35% 50.22% 49.59% 47.22% 49.26% 47.22% 49.26% 47.03% 34.13% 48.73% 57.60% 52.05% 52.05% 52.06% 52.00% 52.00% 52.00% 52.00% 52.00% 52.00% 52.00% 52.00% 52.0	57.19% 51.66% 52.62% 55.83% 45.82% 51.24% 45.64% 51.30% 49.65% 47.72% 50.17% 47.95% 47.35% 46.44% 47.10% 35.60% 48.24% 54.48% 54.48% 54.47% 54.48% 33.00%	56.48% 51.82% 51.67% 56.58% 46.94% 49.71% 40.06% 49.36% 52.29% 38.70% 49.75% 49.62% 50.01% 47.72% 48.43% 47.28% 44.20% 35.11% 48.75% 56.78% 53.04% 51.43% 52.91% 50.72% 49.32% 33.59%
Northern Indiana Public Service Company         Indiana Michigan Power Company         Kentucky Power Company         Yentic Service Company         Public Service Company of Oklahoma         Southwestern Electric Power Company         Wheeling Power Company         Vaska Electric Light and Power Company         Alaska Electric Light and Power Company         Alaska Electric Company         Alaska Electric Company         Alaska Electric Company         Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC         Duke Energy Florida, LLC         Duke Energy Florida, LLC         Duke Energy Florida, LLC         Duke Energy Porgress, LLC         Southerm California Edison Company         Vortherm Indiana Public Service Company         Vortherm Indiana Public Service Company         Intergy New Orleans, LLC         Entergy New Orleans, LLC         Intergy New Orleans, LLC         Intergy New Orleans, LLC         Intergy Kansas Central, Inc.         Evergy Kansas Central, Inc.         Evergy Metro, Inc. <t< td=""><td>NI AEP AEP AEP AEP AEP AVA CMS DUK DUK DUK DUK DUK ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR</td><td>51.56% 51.68% 57.74% 48.88% 48.70% 48.25% 49.32% 60.01% 37.48% 50.26% 50.26% 50.90% 50.28% 48.00% 48.69% 47.45% 38.46% 35.61% 49.28% 54.92% 54.92% 54.92% 54.92% 54.68% 49.26% 34.89% NA</td><td>52.24% 50.71% 56.18% 46.11% 49.21% 47.46% 50.86% 39.11% 49.35% 50.22% 49.35% 47.22% 49.26% 47.22% 49.26% 47.03% 34.13% 48.73% 57.60% 52.05% 52.05% 52.06% 52.00% 52.0</td><td>51.66% 52.62% 55.83% 45.82% 51.24% 45.64% 51.30% 45.99% 39.51% 49.65% 47.72% 50.17% 47.95% 47.35% 46.44% 47.10% 35.60% 48.24% 54.48% 54.48% 54.48% 48.68% 33.00%</td><td>51.82% 51.67% 56.58% 46.94% 49.71% 46.06% 49.36% 52.29% 38.70% 49.75% 49.62% 50.01% 47.72% 48.43% 47.28% 44.20% 35.11% 48.75% 56.78% 51.43% 51.43% 51.43% 52.91% 50.72% 49.32% 33.59%</td></t<>	NI AEP AEP AEP AEP AEP AVA CMS DUK DUK DUK DUK DUK ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR	51.56% 51.68% 57.74% 48.88% 48.70% 48.25% 49.32% 60.01% 37.48% 50.26% 50.26% 50.90% 50.28% 48.00% 48.69% 47.45% 38.46% 35.61% 49.28% 54.92% 54.92% 54.92% 54.92% 54.68% 49.26% 34.89% NA	52.24% 50.71% 56.18% 46.11% 49.21% 47.46% 50.86% 39.11% 49.35% 50.22% 49.35% 47.22% 49.26% 47.22% 49.26% 47.03% 34.13% 48.73% 57.60% 52.05% 52.05% 52.06% 52.00% 52.0	51.66% 52.62% 55.83% 45.82% 51.24% 45.64% 51.30% 45.99% 39.51% 49.65% 47.72% 50.17% 47.95% 47.35% 46.44% 47.10% 35.60% 48.24% 54.48% 54.48% 54.48% 48.68% 33.00%	51.82% 51.67% 56.58% 46.94% 49.71% 46.06% 49.36% 52.29% 38.70% 49.75% 49.62% 50.01% 47.72% 48.43% 47.28% 44.20% 35.11% 48.75% 56.78% 51.43% 51.43% 51.43% 52.91% 50.72% 49.32% 33.59%
ndiana Michigan Power Company Kentucky Power Company Dhio Power Company Dubic Service Company of Oklahoma Southwestern Electric Power Company Wheeling Power Company Waska Electric Light and Power Company Wista Corporation Consumers Energy Company Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC Duke Energy Florida, LLC Duke Energy Progress, LLC Southern California Edison Company Northern Indiana Public Service Company Intergy Louisiana, LLC Entergy Mississippi, LLC Intergy New Orleans, LLC Intergy Texas, Inc. Vergy Kansas Central, Inc. Evergy Kansas South, Inc. Evergy Metro, Inc. Vestar Energy (KPL) Gaho Power Company Florida Power & Light Company	AEP AEP AEP AEP AEP AEP AVA CMS DUK DUK DUK DUK DUK DUK ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR	51.68% 57.74% 48.88% 48.70% 48.25% 49.32% 60.01% 37.48% 50.26% 50.26% 50.26% 50.26% 50.28% 48.00% 48.69% 48.69% 48.69% 49.28% 53.27% 54.92% 54.92% 54.55% 50.68% 49.26% 34.89% NA	50.71% 56.18% 46.11% 49.21% 41.30% 47.46% 50.86% 39.11% 49.35% 50.22% 49.35% 50.22% 49.26% 47.22% 49.26% 47.03% 34.13% 48.73% 57.60% 52.05% 52.05% 52.06% 50.01% 52.06% 50.01% 50.0	52.62% 55.83% 45.82% 51.24% 45.64% 51.30% 49.65% 47.72% 50.17% 47.95% 47.35% 46.44% 47.10% 35.60% 48.24% 54.48% 54.48% 54.48% 33.00%	51.67% 56.58% 46.94% 49.71% 46.06% 49.36% 52.29% 38.70% 49.75% 49.62% 50.01% 47.72% 48.43% 47.28% 44.20% 35.11% 48.75% 56.78% 51.43% 51.43% 52.91% 50.72% 49.32% 33.59%
Kentucky Power Company Kingsport Power Company Public Service Company of Oklahoma Southwestern Electric Power Company Wheeling Power Company Alaska Electric Light and Power Company Wista Corporation Consumers Energy Company Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC Duke Energy Florida, LLC Duke Energy Progress, LLC Southern California Edison Company Vorthern Indiana Public Service Company Intergy Louisiana, LLC Entergy Mississippi, LLC Entergy Mississippi, LLC Entergy Kansas Central, Inc. Evergy Kansas South, Inc. Evergy Missouri West, Inc. Vestar Energy (KPL) Caho Power & Light Company Florida Power & Light Company	AEP AEP AEP AEP AEP AVA CMS DUK DUK DUK DUK DUK DUK ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR	57.74% 48.88% 48.70% 48.25% 49.32% 60.01% 37.48% 50.26% 50.26% 50.26% 50.28% 48.00% 48.69% 47.45% 38.46% 35.61% 49.28% 53.27% 54.92% 44.55% 50.68% 45.63% 49.26% 34.89% NA	56.18% 46.11% 49.21% 44.30% 47.46% 50.86% 39.11% 49.35% 50.22% 49.59% 47.22% 49.26% 47.22% 49.26% 47.03% 34.13% 48.73% 57.60% 52.05% 52.05% 52.06% 52.0	55.83% 45.82% 51.24% 45.64% 51.30% 45.99% 39.51% 47.65% 47.72% 50.17% 47.95% 47.35% 46.44% 47.10% 35.60% 48.24% 54.48% 54.48% 54.48% 33.00%	56.58% 46.94% 49.71% 46.06% 49.36% 52.29% 38.70% 49.75% 49.75% 49.62% 50.01% 47.72% 48.43% 47.28% 44.20% 35.11% 48.75% 56.78% 51.43% 51.43% 51.43% 52.91% 50.72% 49.32% 33.59%
Kingsport Power Company         Dhio Power Company         Public Service Company of Oklahoma         Southwestern Electric Power Company         Maska Electric Light and Power Company         Alaska Electric Light and Power Company         Avista Corporation         Jonsumers Energy Company         Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC         Duke Energy Florida, LLC         Duke Energy Florida, LLC         Duke Energy Ventucky, Inc.         Duke Energy Progress, LLC         Southerm California Edison Company         Vortherm Indiana Public Service Company         Intergy Mississippi, LLC         Entergy New Orleans, LLC         Intergy New Orleans, LLC         Intergy New Orleans, LLC         Intergy Massas Central, Inc.         Evergy Kansas Central, Inc.         Evergy Missouri West, Inc.         Vergy Missouri West, Inc.         Vergy Missouri West, Inc.         Edapo Power Company         Interport Massa South, Inc.         Energy Metro, Inc.         Evergy Missouri West, Inc.         Edapo Power Company	AEP AEP AEP AEP AVA CMS DUK DUK DUK DUK DUK DUK DUK ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR	48.88% 48.70% 48.25% 49.32% 60.01% 37.48% 50.26% 50.26% 50.28% 48.00% 48.69% 47.45% 38.46% 35.61% 49.28% 54.92% 54.92% 54.92% 54.92% 54.92% 54.92% 54.92% 54.82% 50.68% 44.55% 50.68% 49.26% 34.89% NA	46.11% 49.21% 44.30% 47.46% 50.86% 39.11% 49.35% 50.22% 49.56% 47.22% 49.26% 47.22% 49.26% 47.03% 34.13% 48.73% 57.60% 52.05% 52.83% 53.57% 52.06% 50.01% 32.87%	45.82% 51.24% 45.64% 51.30% 45.99% 39.51% 49.65% 47.72% 50.17% 47.95% 47.35% 46.44% 47.10% 35.60% 48.24% 54.48% 52.16% 56.92% 54.47% 54.48% 48.68% 33.00%	46.94% 49.71% 46.06% 49.36% 52.29% 38.70% 49.75% 49.62% 50.01% 47.72% 48.43% 47.28% 44.20% 35.11% 48.75% 56.78% 51.43% 51.43% 52.91% 50.72% 49.32% 33.59%
Dhio Power Company         Public Service Company of Oklahoma         Southwestern Electric Power Company         Wheeling Power Company         Maska Electric Light and Power Company         Avista Corporation         Consumers Energy Company         Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC         Duke Energy Florida, LLC         Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc.         Duke Energy Progress, LLC         Southern California Edison Company         Vorthern Indiana Public Service Company         Northern Indiana Public Service Company         Intergy Mississippi, LLC         Entergy Kansas Central, Inc.         Evergy Kansas South, Inc.         Evergy Metro, Inc.         Evergy Missouri West, Inc.         Evergy Missouri West, Inc.         Evergy Missouri West, Inc.         Evergy Missouri West, Inc.         Vestar Energy (KPL)         Eaho Power Company	AEP AEP AEP AVA AVA CMS DUK DUK DUK DUK DUK DUK DUK ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR	48.70% 48.25% 49.32% 60.01% 37.48% 50.26% 50.28% 48.00% 48.69% 47.45% 38.46% 35.61% 49.28% 54.27% 54.92% 44.55% 50.68% 45.63% 49.26% 34.89% NA	49.21% 44.30% 47.46% 50.86% 39.11% 49.35% 50.22% 49.52% 47.22% 49.26% 47.22% 49.26% 47.94% 47.03% 34.13% 48.73% 57.60% 52.05% 52.06% 52.05% 52.06% 52.06%	51.24% 45.64% 51.30% 45.99% 39.51% 49.65% 47.72% 50.17% 47.95% 47.35% 46.44% 47.10% 35.60% 48.24% 54.48% 54.48% 54.48% 48.68% 33.00%	49.71% 46.06% 49.36% 52.29% 38.70% 49.75% 49.62% 50.01% 47.72% 48.43% 47.28% 44.20% 35.11% 48.75% 56.78% 51.43% 51.43% 52.91% 50.72% 49.32% 33.59%
Public Service Company of Oklahoma Southwestern Electric Power Company Wheeling Power Company Waska Electric Light and Power Company Wista Corporation Consumers Energy Company Dake Energy Company Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC Duke Energy Florida, LLC Duke Energy Florida, LLC Duke Energy Florida, LLC Duke Energy Piorida, LLC Duke Energy Progress, LLC Southern California Edison Company Northern Indiana Public Service Company Intergy Louisiana, LLC Entergy Mississippi, LLC Entergy Mississippi, LLC Entergy Kansas Central, Inc. Evergy Kansas Central, Inc. Evergy Metro, Inc. Evergy Metro, Inc. Evergy Missouri West, Inc. Evergi Power Company Florida Power & Light Company	AEP AEP AEP AVA AVA CMS DUK DUK DUK DUK DUK DUK DUK EIR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ET	48.25% 49.32% 60.01% 37.48% 50.26% 50.28% 48.00% 48.69% 47.45% 38.46% 35.61% 49.28% 58.27% 54.92% 44.55% 50.68% 45.63% 49.26% 34.89% NA	44.30% 47.46% 50.86% 39.11% 49.35% 50.22% 49.59% 47.22% 49.26% 47.94% 47.03% 34.13% 48.73% 57.60% 52.05% 52.05% 52.05% 53.57% 52.06% 50.01% 32.87%	45.64% 51.30% 45.99% 39.51% 49.65% 47.72% 50.17% 47.95% 47.35% 46.44% 47.10% 35.60% 48.24% 54.48% 54.48% 54.48% 54.48% 48.68% 33.00%	46.06% 49.36% 52.29% 38.70% 49.75% 49.62% 47.22% 48.43% 47.28% 44.20% 35.11% 48.75% 56.78% 53.04% 51.43% 52.91% 50.72% 49.32% 33.59%
Southwestern Electric Power Company Mheeling Power Company Alaska Electric Light and Power Company Avista Corporation Consumers Energy Company DTE Electric Company Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC Duke Energy Florida, LLC Duke Energy Florida, LLC Duke Energy Piorida, LLC Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. Duke Energy Progress, LLC Southern California Edison Company Vorthern Indiana Public Service Company Intergy Louisiana, LLC Intergy Mississippi, LLC Entergy Mississippi, LLC Intergy Kansas Central, Inc. Vergy Kansas Central, Inc. Vergy Metro, Inc. Vergy Missouri West, Inc. Vestar Energy (KPL) Taho Power Company Florida Power & Light Company	AEP AEP AVA AVA CMS DTE DUK DUK DUK DUK DUK DUK DUK EIX ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR	49.32% 60.01% 37.48% 50.26% 50.28% 48.00% 48.69% 47.45% 38.46% 35.61% 49.28% 58.27% 54.92% 44.55% 50.68% 45.63% 49.26% 34.89% NA	47.46% 50.86% 39.11% 49.35% 50.22% 49.59% 47.22% 49.26% 47.94% 47.03% 34.13% 48.73% 57.60% 52.05% 52.83% 53.57% 52.06% 50.01% 32.87%	51.30% 45.99% 39.51% 49.65% 47.72% 50.17% 47.95% 47.35% 46.44% 47.35% 46.44% 47.10% 35.60% 48.24% 54.48% 54.48% 54.48% 54.48% 48.68% 33.00%	49.36% 52.29% 38.70% 49.62% 50.01% 47.72% 48.43% 47.28% 44.20% 35.11% 48.75% 56.78% 53.04% 51.43% 52.91% 50.72% 49.32% 33.59%
Wheeling Power Company         Alaska Electric Light and Power Company         Avista Corporation         Consumers Energy Company         DTE Electric Company         Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC         Duke Energy Florida, LLC         Duke Energy Florida, LLC         Duke Energy Florida, LLC         Duke Energy Florida, LLC         Duke Energy Progress, LLC         Southern California Edison Company         Northern Indiana Public Service Company         Intergy Louisiana, LLC         Intergy Mississippi, LLC         Intergy Kansas Central, Inc.         Evergy Kansas Central, Inc.         Evergy Metro, Inc.         Vergy Missouri West, Inc.         Evergy Missouri West, Inc.         Evergy Metro, Inc.         Evergy	AEP AVA AVA CMS DTE DUK DUK DUK DUK DUK DUK EIX ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR	60.01% 37.48% 50.26% 50.90% 50.28% 48.00% 48.69% 47.45% 38.46% 35.61% 49.28% 58.27% 54.92% 44.55% 50.68% 45.63% 49.26% 34.89% NA	50.86% 39.11% 49.35% 50.22% 49.59% 47.22% 49.26% 47.94% 47.03% 34.13% 48.73% 57.60% 52.05% 52.83% 53.57% 52.06% 50.01% 32.87%	45.99% 39.51% 49.65% 47.72% 50.17% 47.95% 47.35% 46.44% 47.10% 35.60% 48.24% 54.48% 52.16% 56.92% 54.47% 54.48% 48.68% 33.00%	52.29% 38.70% 49.75% 49.62% 50.01% 47.72% 48.43% 47.28% 44.20% 35.11% 48.75% 56.78% 51.43% 51.43% 52.91% 50.72% 49.32% 33.59%
Alaska Electric Light and Power Company         Avista Corporation         Consumers Energy Company         DTE Electric Company         Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC         Duke Energy Florida, LLC         Duke Energy Florida, LLC         Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc.         Duke Energy Progress, LLC         Southern California Edison Company         Northern Indiana Public Service Company         Intergy Mississippi, LLC         Entergy Kansas Central, Inc.         Evergy Kansas Central, Inc.         Evergy Metro, Inc.         Evergy Kansas South, Inc.         Evergy Missouri West, Inc.         Evergy Missouri West, Inc.         Evergy Metro, Inc.         Evergy Metr	AVA AVA CMS DTE DUK DUK DUK DUK DUK EIX ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR	37.48% 50.26% 50.90% 50.28% 48.00% 48.69% 47.45% 38.46% 35.61% 49.28% 58.27% 54.92% 44.55% 50.68% 45.63% 49.26% 34.89% NA	39.11% 49.35% 50.22% 49.59% 47.22% 49.26% 47.94% 47.03% 34.13% 48.73% 57.60% 52.05% 52.83% 53.57% 52.06% 50.01% 32.87%	39.51% 49.65% 47.72% 50.17% 47.95% 47.35% 46.44% 47.10% 35.60% 48.24% 54.48% 52.16% 56.92% 54.47% 54.48% 48.68% 33.00%	38.70% 49.75% 49.62% 50.01% 47.72% 48.43% 47.28% 44.20% 35.11% 48.75% 56.78% 53.04% 51.43% 52.91% 50.72% 49.32% 33.59%
Avista Corporation Consumers Energy Company DTE Electric Company Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC Duke Energy Florida, LLC Duke Energy Progress, LLC Southern California Edison Company Northern Indiana Public Service Company Intergy Louisiana, LLC Entergy Mississippi, LLC Intergy New Orleans, LLC Intergy Texas, Inc. Evergy Kansas Central, Inc. Evergy Metro, Inc. Evergy Missouri West, Inc. Evergy Missouri West, Inc. Editor Energy (KPL) Caho Power Company Florida Power & Light Company	AVA CMS DTE DUK DUK DUK DUK DUK EIX ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR	50.26% 50.90% 50.28% 48.00% 48.69% 47.45% 38.46% 35.61% 49.28% 58.27% 54.92% 44.55% 50.68% 45.63% 49.26% 34.89% NA	49.35% 50.22% 49.59% 47.22% 49.26% 47.03% 34.13% 48.73% 57.60% 52.05% 52.05% 52.83% 53.57% 52.06% 50.01% 32.87%	49.65% 47.72% 50.17% 47.95% 47.35% 46.44% 47.10% 35.60% 48.24% 54.6% 52.16% 56.92% 54.47% 54.48% 48.68% 33.00%	49.75% 49.62% 50.01% 47.72% 48.43% 47.28% 44.20% 35.11% 48.75% 56.78% 53.04% 51.43% 52.91% 50.72% 49.32% 33.59%
Consumers Energy Company       Interpret Company         DTE Electric Company       Interpret Company         Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC       Duke Energy Florida, LLC         Duke Energy Florida, LLC       Duke Energy Florida, LLC         Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc.       Duke Energy Progress, LLC         Southern California Edison Company       Northern Indiana Public Service Company         Northern Indiana Public Service Company       Intergy Mississippi, LLC         Entergy New Orleans, LLC       Intergy Texas, Inc.         Evergy Kansas Central, Inc.       E         Vergy Metro, Inc.       E         Vergy Missouri West, Inc.       E         Vestar Energy (KPL)       E         daho Power Company       Iorida Power & Light Company	CMS DTE DUK DUK DUK DUK DUK EIR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ET	50.90% 50.28% 48.00% 48.69% 47.45% 38.46% 35.61% 49.28% 58.27% 54.92% 44.55% 50.68% 45.63% 49.26% 34.89% NA	50.22% 49.59% 47.22% 49.26% 47.94% 47.03% 34.13% 48.73% 57.60% 52.05% 52.83% 53.57% 52.06% 50.01% 32.87%	47.72% 50.17% 47.95% 47.35% 46.44% 47.10% 35.60% 48.24% 54.48% 52.16% 56.92% 54.47% 54.48% 48.68% 33.00%	49.62% 50.01% 47.72% 48.43% 47.28% 44.20% 35.11% 48.75% 56.78% 53.04% 51.43% 52.91% 50.72% 49.32% 33.59%
DTE Electric Company Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC Duke Energy Florida, LLC Duke Energy Indiana, LLC Duke Energy Indiana, LLC Duke Energy Ventucky, Inc. Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. Duke Energy Progress, LLC Southern California Edison Company Northern Indiana Public Service Company Intergy Louisiana, LLC Entergy Mississippi, LLC Entergy New Orleans, LLC Entergy New Orleans, LLC Entergy Texas, Inc. Evergy Kansas Central, Inc. Evergy Matro, Inc. Evergy Missouri West, Inc. Evergy Missouri West, Inc. Evergy Missouri West, Inc. Entergy (KPL) Daho Power Company Florida Power & Light Company	DTE DUK DUK DUK DUK DUK EIX ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR	50.28% 48.00% 48.69% 47.45% 38.46% 35.61% 49.28% 58.27% 54.92% 44.55% 50.68% 45.63% 49.26% 34.89% NA	49.59% 47.22% 49.26% 47.94% 47.03% 34.13% 48.73% 57.60% 52.05% 52.83% 53.57% 52.06% 50.01% 32.87%	50.17% 47.95% 47.35% 46.44% 47.10% 35.60% 48.24% 54.48% 52.16% 56.92% 54.47% 54.48% 48.68% 33.00%	50.01% 47.72% 48.43% 47.28% 44.20% 35.11% 48.75% 56.78% 51.43% 52.91% 50.72% 49.32% 33.59%
Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC         Duke Energy Florida, LLC         Duke Energy Indiana, LLC         Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc.         Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc.         Duke Energy Volio, Inc.         Duke Energy Progress, LLC         Southern California Edison Company         Vorthern Indiana Public Service Company         Northern Indiana Public Service Company         Intergy Mississippi, LLC         Entergy New Orleans, LLC         Entergy Texas, Inc.         Evergy Kansas Central, Inc.         Evergy Metro, Inc.         Evergy Missouri West, Inc.         Evergy Missouri West, Inc.         Evergy Missouri West, Inc.         Edato Power Company         Florida Power & Light Company	DUK DUK DUK DUK DUK EIX ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR	48.00% 48.69% 47.45% 38.46% 35.61% 49.28% 58.27% 54.92% 44.55% 50.68% 45.63% 49.26% 34.89% NA	47.22% 49.26% 47.94% 47.03% 34.13% 48.73% 57.60% 52.05% 52.83% 53.57% 52.06% 50.01% 32.87%	47.95% 47.35% 46.44% 47.10% 35.60% 48.24% 54.48% 52.16% 56.92% 54.47% 54.48% 48.68% 33.00%	47.72% 48.43% 47.28% 44.20% 35.11% 48.75% 56.78% 51.43% 52.91% 50.72% 49.32% 33.59%
Duke Energy Florida, LLC         Duke Energy Indiana, LLC         Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc.         Duke Energy Volio, Inc.         Duke Energy Progress, LLC         Southern California Edison Company         Vorthern Indiana Public Service Company         Intergy Louisiana, LLC         Entergy New Orleans, LLC         Entergy Texas, Inc.         Evergy Kansas Central, Inc.         Evergy Metro, Inc.         Evergy Missouri West, Inc.         Edabo Power Company         Florida Power & Light Company	DUK DUK DUK DUK EIX ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR	48.69% 47.45% 38.46% 35.61% 49.28% 58.27% 54.92% 44.55% 50.68% 45.63% 49.26% 34.89% NA	49.26% 47.94% 47.03% 34.13% 48.73% 57.60% 52.05% 52.05% 52.83% 53.57% 52.06% 50.01% 32.87%	47.35% 46.44% 47.10% 35.60% 48.24% 54.48% 52.16% 56.92% 54.47% 54.48% 48.68% 33.00%	48.43% 47.28% 44.20% 35.11% 48.75% 56.78% 51.43% 52.91% 50.72% 49.32% 33.59%
Duke Energy Indiana, LLC         Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc.         Duke Energy Progress, LLC         Southern California Edison Company         Vorthern Indiana Public Service Company         Intergy Louisiana, LLC         Entergy Mississippi, LLC         Entergy Kansas Central, Inc.         Evergy Kansas Central, Inc.         Evergy Metro, Inc.         Evergy Missouri West, Inc.         Energy (KPL)         Edaho Power Company         Florida Power & Light Company	DUK DUK DUK EIX ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR	47.45% 38.46% 35.61% 49.28% 58.27% 54.92% 44.55% 50.68% 45.63% 49.26% 34.89% NA	47.94% 47.03% 34.13% 48.73% 57.60% 52.05% 52.83% 53.57% 52.06% 50.01% 32.87%	46.44% 47.10% 35.60% 48.24% 54.48% 52.16% 56.92% 54.47% 54.48% 48.68% 33.00%	47.28% 44.20% 35.11% 48.75% 56.78% 51.43% 52.91% 50.72% 49.32% 33.59%
Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc.         Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.         Duke Energy Progress, LLC         Southern California Edison Company         Vorthern Indiana Public Service Company         Intergy Louisiana, LLC         Entergy Mississippi, LLC         Entergy New Orleans, LLC         Entergy Texas, Inc.         Evergy Kansas Central, Inc.         Evergy Metro, Inc.         Evergy Missouri West, Inc.         Edabo Power Company         Florida Power & Light Company	DUK DUK DUK EIX ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR EVRG EVRG	38.46% 35.61% 49.28% 58.27% 54.92% 44.55% 50.68% 45.63% 49.26% 34.89% NA	47.03% 34.13% 48.73% 57.60% 52.05% 52.83% 53.57% 52.06% 50.01% 32.87%	47.10% 35.60% 48.24% 54.48% 52.16% 56.92% 54.47% 54.48% 48.68% 33.00%	44.20% 35.11% 48.75% 56.78% 53.04% 51.43% 52.91% 50.72% 49.32% 33.59%
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.         Duke Energy Progress, LLC         Southern California Edison Company         Vorthern Indiana Public Service Company         Intergy Louisiana, LLC         Entergy Mississippi, LLC         Entergy New Orleans, LLC         Entergy Texas, Inc.         Evergy Kansas Central, Inc.         Evergy Metro, Inc.         Evergy Missouri West, Inc.         Edabo Power Company         Florida Power & Light Company	DUK DUK EIX ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR EVRG EVRG EVRG	35.61% 49.28% 58.27% 54.92% 44.55% 50.68% 45.63% 49.26% 34.89% NA	34.13% 48.73% 57.60% 52.05% 52.83% 53.57% 52.06% 50.01% 32.87%	35.60% 48.24% 54.48% 52.16% 56.92% 54.47% 54.48% 48.68% 33.00%	35.11% 48.75% 56.78% 53.04% 51.43% 52.91% 50.72% 49.32% 33.59%
Duke Energy Progress, LLC         Southern California Edison Company         Northern Indiana Public Service Company         Intergy Louisiana, LLC         Entergy Mississippi, LLC         Entergy New Orleans, LLC         Entergy Texas, Inc.         Evergy Kansas Central, Inc.         Evergy Metro, Inc.         Evergy Missouri West, Inc.         Edabo Power Company         Horida Power & Light Company	DUK EIX ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR EVRG EVRG EVRG	49.28% 58.27% 54.92% 44.55% 50.68% 45.63% 49.26% 34.89% NA	48.73% 57.60% 52.05% 52.83% 53.57% 52.06% 50.01% 32.87%	48.24% 54.48% 52.16% 56.92% 54.47% 54.48% 48.68% 33.00%	48.75% 56.78% 53.04% 51.43% 52.91% 50.72% 49.32% 33.59%
Southern California Edison Company Northern Indiana Public Service Company Entergy Louisiana, LLC Entergy Mississippi, LLC Entergy New Orleans, LLC Entergy Texas, Inc. Evergy Kansas Central, Inc. Evergy Matro, Inc. Evergy Metro, Inc. Evergy Missouri West, Inc. Evergi Missouri West, Inc. Eve	EIX ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR EVRG EVRG EVRG	58.27% 54.92% 44.55% 50.68% 45.63% 49.26% 34.89% NA	57.60% 52.05% 52.83% 53.57% 52.06% 50.01% 32.87%	54.48% 52.16% 56.92% 54.47% 54.48% 48.68% 33.00%	56.78% 53.04% 51.43% 52.91% 50.72% 49.32% 33.59%
Northern Indiana Public Service Company         Entergy Louisiana, LLC         Entergy Mississippi, LLC         Entergy New Orleans, LLC         Entergy Texas, Inc.         Evergy Kansas Central, Inc.         Evergy Kansas South, Inc.         Evergy Metro, Inc.         Evergy Missouri West, Inc.         Evergi Wissouri West, Inc.         Evergi West, Inc.         Evergi West, Inc. <td>ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR EVRG EVRG EVRG</td> <td>54.92% 44.55% 50.68% 45.63% 49.26% 34.89% NA</td> <td>52.05% 52.83% 53.57% 52.06% 50.01% 32.87%</td> <td>52.16% 56.92% 54.47% 54.48% 48.68% 33.00%</td> <td>53.04% 51.43% 52.91% 50.72% 49.32% 33.59%</td>	ETR ETR ETR ETR ETR EVRG EVRG EVRG	54.92% 44.55% 50.68% 45.63% 49.26% 34.89% NA	52.05% 52.83% 53.57% 52.06% 50.01% 32.87%	52.16% 56.92% 54.47% 54.48% 48.68% 33.00%	53.04% 51.43% 52.91% 50.72% 49.32% 33.59%
Entergy Louisiana, LLC Entergy Mississippi, LLC Entergy New Orleans, LLC Entergy Texas, Inc. Evergy Kansas Central, Inc. Evergy Kansas South, Inc. Evergy Metro, Inc. Evergy Missouri West, Inc. Vestar Energy (KPL) Edaho Power Company Florida Power & Light Company	ETR ETR ETR ETR EVRG EVRG EVRG	44.55% 50.68% 45.63% 49.26% 34.89% NA	52.83% 53.57% 52.06% 50.01% 32.87%	56.92% 54.47% 54.48% 48.68% 33.00%	51.43% 52.91% 50.72% 49.32% 33.59%
Entergy Mississippi, LLC Entergy New Orleans, LLC Entergy Texas, Inc. Evergy Kansas Central, Inc. Evergy Kansas South, Inc. Evergy Metro, Inc. Evergy Missouri West, Inc. Evergy Missouri Missou	ETR ETR ETR EVRG EVRG EVRG	50.68% 45.63% 49.26% 34.89% NA	53.57% 52.06% 50.01% 32.87%	54.47% 54.48% 48.68% 33.00%	52.91% 50.72% 49.32% 33.59%
Entergy New Orleans, LLC Entergy Texas, Inc. Evergy Kansas Central, Inc. Evergy Kansas South, Inc. Evergy Metro, Inc. Evergy Missouri West, Inc. Vestar Energy (KPL) daho Power Company Florida Power & Light Company	ETR ETR EVRG EVRG EVRG	45.63% 49.26% 34.89% NA	52.06% 50.01% 32.87%	54.48% 48.68% 33.00%	50.72% 49.32% 33.59%
Entergy Texas, Inc. Evergy Kansas Central, Inc. Evergy Kansas South, Inc. Evergy Metro, Inc. Evergy Missouri West, Inc. Evergy Missouri West, Inc. Evestar Energy (KPL) Edaho Power Company Florida Power & Light Company	etr Vrg Vrg Vrg	49.26% 34.89% NA	50.01% 32.87%	48.68% 33.00%	49.32% 33.59%
Evergy Kansas Central, Inc.       E         Evergy Kansas South, Inc.       E         Evergy Metro, Inc.       E         Evergy Missouri West, Inc.       E         Vergy Missouri West, Inc.       E         Vestar Energy (KPL)       E         daho Power Company       E         Florida Power & Light Company       E	VRG VRG VRG	34.89% NA	32.87%	33.00%	33.59%
Evergy Kansas South, Inc. E Evergy Metro, Inc. E Evergy Missouri West, Inc. E Vestar Energy (KPL) E daho Power Company Florida Power & Light Company	VRG VRG	NA			
Evergy Metro, Inc. E Evergy Missouri West, Inc. E Vestar Energy (KPL) E daho Power Company Florida Power & Light Company	VRG		NA	NA	NA
Evergy Missouri West, Inc. E Vestar Energy (KPL) E daho Power Company Florida Power & Light Company		48.00%	47.97%	48.64%	48.20%
Vestar Energy (KPL) E daho Power Company Florida Power & Light Company	VRG	43.98%	45.59%	47.99%	45.85%
daho Power Company Florida Power & Light Company	VRG	44.82%	41.97%	41.48%	42.76%
Florida Power & Light Company	IDA	50.58%	45.63%	45.00%	47.07%
	NEE	41.33%	36.86%	37.88%	38.69%
NorthWestern Corporation	NWE	50.11%	49.66%	52.18%	50.65%
Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company	OGE	46.47%	44.35%	46.62%	45.81%
Texas-New Mexico Power Company T	XNM	50.61%	49.59%	49.55%	49.91%
Public Service Company of New Mexico T	XNM	49.72%	51.30%	49.10%	50.04%
Arizona Public Service Company	PNW	50.44%	49.75%	48.88%	49.69%
Portland General Electric Company	POR	54.63%	56.76%	54.91%	55.43%
Kentucky Utilities Company	PPL	46.53%	46.14%	44.27%	45.65%
ouisville Gas and Electric Company	PPL	47.17%	45.52%	42.89%	45.19%
PL Electric Utilities Corporation	PPL	43.74%	43.96%	44.04%	43.91%
The Narragansett Electric Company	PPL	34.06%	34.58%	37.97%	35.54%
Alabama Power Company	SO	47.64%	47.78%	47.64%	47.69%
Beorgia Power Company	SO	43.68%	43.95%	44.40%	44.01%
/ississippi Power Company	SO	44.99%	44.33%	44.60%	44.64%
Northern States Power Company	XEL	47.42%	47.21%	47.35%	47.33%
Vorthern States Power Company	XEL	47.42%	47.21%	47.35%	47.33%
Public Service Company of Colorado	XEL	43.53%	42.82%	43.56%	43.30%
Southwestern Public Service Company	XEL	45.59%	45.70%	45.77%	45.69%
Operating Company					
/EAN		47.60%	47.39%	47.55%	47.51%
		48.06%	47.86%	47.68%	47.92%
.OW		34.06%	20 970/	33 00%	22 EO%

<u>Notes:</u> Sources: Operating Company FERC Form 1; S&P Capital IQ [2] Evergy Kansas South was removed because it is financed with more than 80% common equity

# **DOCKET NO. 57568**

\$ \$ \$

APPLICATION OF EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY TO CHANGE RATES PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF TEXAS

## DIRECT TESTIMONY

## OF

## JOSEPH S. WEISS

# CONCENTRIC ENERGY ADVISORS, INC.

## FOR

## EL PASO ELETRIC COMPANY

JANUARY 2025

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JOSEPH S. WEISS
#### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Joseph S. Weiss is a Vice President at Concentric Energy Advisors, Inc. ("Concentric"). Concentric was engaged by El Paso Electric Company ("EPE" or the "Company") to prepare a lead-lag study to determine the Company's cash working capital ("CWC") requirements. A lead-lag study measures the funds needed due to net timing differences between when a utility expends cash for the costs required to provide utility service and when it receives payment from customers for that service. Specifically, a lead-lag study measures "revenue lags," which are the number of days between when a utility provides service and when its customers pay for that service, and "expense leads," which are the number of days between when a utility incurs expenses and when it must pay for those expenses. The net of the revenue lags and expense leads, when multiplied by the Company's average daily Test Year expenses, results in the CWC requirement. The CWC requirement should be included as part of EPE's rate base for ratemaking purposes. Mr. Weiss applied the leads and lags developed in Concentric's study to pro forma daily average expenses in determining EPE's CWC requirement of negative \$8,129,393 to be included as a reduction to rate base.

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

### **SUBJECT**

I,	Introduction and Qualifications	1
Π.	Purpose and Scope	2
IΠ.	Summary of Findings	4
IV.	Approach	4
V.	Summary of the Cash Working Capital Analysis	6
	A. Revenue lag	6
	C. Other Components of Cash Working Capital	.15

#### EXIBITS

Exhibit JSW-1	Résumé and Testimony Listing
Exhibit JSW-2	Cash Working Capital Study - Summary of Working Capital Requirement
Exhibit JSW-3	Cash Working Capital Study – Summary of Revenue Lag
Exhibit JSW-4	Cash Working Capital Study – Summary of Other Revenues
Exhibit JSW-5	Cash Working Capital Study - Summary of Fuel Expense Leads
Exhibit JSW-6	Cash Working Capital Study – Summary of Purchased Power Expense
	Leads
Exhibit JSW-7	Cash Working Capital Study – Payroll and Benefits Expense Leads
Exhibit JSW-8	Cash Working Capital Study – Taxes Other Than Income Taxes
Exhibit JSW-9	Cash Working Capital Study – Income Tax Expense Leads

### <u>PAGE</u>

1		I. Introduction and Qualifications				
2	Q.1	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.				
3	Α.	My name is Joseph S. Weiss. My business address is 293 Boston Post Road West,				
4		Suite 500, Marlborough, Massachusetts 01752.				
5						
6	Q.2	BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED, AND IN WHAT POSITION?				
7	А.	I am a Vice President with Concentric Energy Advisors, Inc. ("Concentric").				
8						
9	Q.3	ON WHOSE BEHALF ARE YOU SUBMITTING THIS TESTIMONY?				
10	Α.	I am testifying in this proceeding before the Public Utility Commission of Texas ("PUCT"				
11		or the "Commission") on behalf of El Paso Electric Company ("EPE" or the "Company").				
12						
13	Q.4	PLEASE DESCRIBE CONCENTRIC.				
14	Α.	Concentric is a management consulting and economic advisory firm focused on the North				
15		American energy and water industries. Concentric specializes in regulatory and litigation				
16		support, transaction-related financial advisory services, energy market strategies, market				
17		assessments, energy commodity contracting and procurement, economic feasibility				
18		studies, and capital market analyses and negotiations.				
19						
20	Q.5	WHAT ARE YOUR RESPONSIBILITIES IN YOUR CURRENT POSITION?				
21	А.	As a consultant, my responsibilities include assisting clients in identifying and addressing				
22		business issues. My primary areas of focus have been regulatory, financial and accounting-				
23		related issues.				
24						
25	Q.6	PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATION.				
26	Α.	I have an M.B.A. from Southern Illinois University Edwardsville and a B.S. in Business				
27		Administration (magna cum laude) with a major in Accounting and Finance from Saint				
28		Louis University.				
29						
30	Q.7	PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR QUALIFICATIONS.				

A. I have approximately nineteen years of experience consulting to the energy industry. I
have worked on numerous projects involving revenue requirements (including cash
working capital), class cost of service, allocation and rate design, rate of return, affiliate
transactions, and rate case preparation for gas and electric utilities. I have managed and/or
participated in a wide variety of consulting engagements. A statement of my background and
qualifications is attached as Exhibit JSW-1.

- 7
- 8

#### Q.8 HAVE YOU EVER TESTIFIED IN A REGULATORY PROCEEDING?

9 A. Yes. I have provided support for filings with several utility commissions and filed
10 testimony as an expert witness on cash working capital before the Oklahoma Corporation
11 Commission, the Missouri Public Service Commission, the Illinois Commerce
12 Commission, the Maine Public Utilities Commission, and the Public Utilities Commission
13 of Ohio.

- 14
- 15

### II. Purpose and Scope

### 16 Q.9 WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?

- A. I have been asked by the Company to present the results of a lead-lag study prepared by
  Concentric that was used to develop cash working capital ("CWC") factors and ultimately
  to calculate the CWC requirement of the Company.
- 20

21

### Q.10 WHAT IS A "CASH WORKING CAPITAL" REQUIREMENT?

- A. A cash working capital requirement is the amount of funds the Company needs to keep on
  hand to finance its day-to-day operations.
- 24

### 25 Q.11 WHAT IS A LEAD-LAG STUDY?

A. A lead-lag study measures the funds needed due to net timing differences between when a utility expends cash for the costs required to provide utility service and when it receives payment from customers for that service. Specifically, a lead-lag study measures "revenue lags," which are the number of days between when a utility provides service and when its customers pay for that service, and "expense leads," which are the number of days between when a utility incurs expenses and when it must pay for those expenses. The net of the

1		revenue lags and expense leads, when multiplied by the Company's average daily Test Year			
2		Period expenses, results in the cash working capital requirement.			
3					
4	Q.12	HOW SHOULD THE RESULTS OF THE CASH WORKING CAPITAL ANALYSIS BE			
5		TREATED FOR RATEMAKING PURPOSES?			
6	Α.	The cash working capital requirement should be included as part of EPE's rate base for			
7		ratemaking purposes.			
8					
9	Q.13	WAS THE LEAD-LAG STUDY DEVELOPED BY CONCENTRIC CONSISTENT			
10		WITH THE PUCT'S SUBSTANTIVE RULES FOR SUCH STUDIES?			
11	Α.	Yes, it was. The Commission's rule in 16 Texas Administrative Code ("TAC")			
12		§ 25.231(c)(2)(B)(iii)(IV), which is provided as Attachment A to my direct testimony,			
13		addresses the development of a reasonable allowance for cash working capital by the use			
14		of a lead-lag study. The lead-lag study was developed by Concentric consistently with			
15		those rules.			
16					
17	Q.14	ARE YOU SPONSORING ANY EXHIBITS OR SCHEDULES IN THIS			
18		PROCEEDING?			
19	Α.	Yes. I sponsor Exhibits JSW-1 through JWS-9 and Schedule E-4. Exhibit JSW-1 contains			
20		my résumé and qualifications. Exhibits JSW-2 through JSW-9 show the revenue lags and			
21		expense leads that resulted from Concentric's lead-lag study, as well as EPE's requested			
22		level of cash working capital for the Test Year. The expense amounts to which the revenue			
23		lags and expense leads are applied have been provided by EPE witness. Schedule E-4			
24		contains the Working Cash Allowance for EPE.			
25					

1	Q.15	WERE THE SCHEDULES AND EXHIBITS YOU ARE SPONSORING OR CO				
2		SPONSORING PREPARED BY YOU OR UNDER YOUR DIRECT SUPERVISION?				
3	Α.	Yes, they were prepared under my direction and supervision and are accurate and complete				
4		to the best of my knowledge and belief.				
5						
6		III. Summary of Findings				
7	Q.16	FOR WHAT PERIOD WAS THE LEAD-LAG STUDY PERFORMED?				
8	А.	The lead-lag study analyzed the Company's cash transactions and invoices for the twelve				
9		months ended March 31, 2024. The calculated revenue lag and expense leads were then				
10		applied to adjusted Test Year, the 12 months ended September 30, 2024 expenses.				
11		Concentric reviewed data from the most recent twelve-month period for which actual data				
12		was readily available when the study was performed (i.e., the twelve months ended				
13		March 31, 2024). From discussions with Company personnel, it was determined that there				
14		were no significant changes in EPE's operations since March 31, 2024 affecting those				
15		expense leads and revenue lag calculations except for the collections lag as discussed in				
16		more detail below. This historical data reflects the Company's existing policies and				
17		practices.				
18						
19	Q.17	PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR FINDINGS REGARDING AN APPROPRIATE CWC				
20		ALLOWANCE FOR THE COMPANY.				
21	A.	Concentric's lead-lag study resulted in a total Company CWC allowance of negative				
22		\$8,129,393. That result is provided in Exhibit JSW-2.				
23						
24		IV. Approach				
25	Q.18	PLEASE PROVIDE AN OVERVIEW OF YOUR APPROACH TO DETERMINING				
26		THE COMPANY'S CASH WORKING CAPITAL REQUIREMENT.				
27	Α.	Concentric analyzed the significant cash inflows and outflows of the Company to develop				
28		lead-lag factors for EPE's revenues and expenses to derive a CWC allowance.				
29						

1 2

#### 0.19 WHAT ARE THE VARIOUS LAGS AND LEADS THAT SHOULD BE CONSIDERED IN A CASH WORKING CAPITAL ANALYSIS?

- 3 Α. Two broad categories of lags and leads should be considered: (1) lag times associated with 4 the collection of revenues owed to a company (i.e., revenue lags); and (2) lead times 5 associated with the payments for goods and services received by a company (i.e., expense 6 leads).
- 7

#### 8 WHAT IS A REVENUE LAG? Q.20

9 Α. A revenue lag refers to the elapsed time between the delivery of a company's products and 10 services (i.e., electricity generation, transmission, and distribution) and its ability to use the 11 funds received as payment for the delivery of those products and services. In other words, 12 the revenue lag measures the number of days from the date service was rendered by the 13 Company until the date payment was received from customers and such funds were 14 deposited and available to the Company.

15

#### 16 Q.21 WHAT IS AN EXPENSE LEAD?

#### 17 Α. The expense lead refers to the elapsed time from when a good or service is provided to a 18 company to the point in time when the company pays for the good or service and the funds 19 are no longer available to the company.

- 20

#### 21 WHAT WAS THE SOURCE OF INFORMATION YOU USED TO DETERMINE THE Q.22 22 LEADS AND LAGS IN YOUR CASH WORKING CAPITAL ANALYSIS?

23 EPE provided the accounting and financial data necessary for Concentric to complete the Α. 24 study. The information provided by the Company, together with analytical procedures 25 performed by Concentric, led to the determination of the appropriate number of lead-lag 26 days for EPE.

27

1	V. Summary of the Cash Working Capital Analysis					
2		A. Revenue lag				
3	Q.23	FROM WHAT SOURCES DOES EPE RECEIVE REVENUES?				
4	Α.	EPE's revenues include: (1) revenue from sales of electricity to retail customers and				
5		(2) wholesale and other revenues, which were comprised of (a) sales of electricity to				
6		wholesale customers, (b) wholesale transmission service revenues, and (c) other revenues.				
7						
8	Q.24	DESCRIBE YOUR CALCULATION OF THE REVENUE LAG FOR RETAIL				
9		CUSTOMERS.				
10	А.	In Concentric's analysis, the revenue lag for retail customers was divided into four distinct				
11		components: (1) a service lag, (2) a billing lag, (3) a collections lag, and (4) a payment				
12		processing lag. Considered together, these components of the retail revenue lag totaled				
13		54.6 lag days. An explanation of each component of the revenue lag follows, and the				
14		calculation of the revenue lag is provided in Exhibit JSW 3, page 1 of 3.				
15						
16	Q.25	WHAT IS MEANT BY SERVICE LAG?				
17	A.	The service lag refers to the number of days from the mid-point of the service period to the				
18		meter reading date for that service period. Using the mid-point methodology, which				
19	assumes that service is provided evenly throughout the service period, the average lag					
20	associated with the provisioning of service was 15.2 days (365 days in the year divided by					
21	12 months divided by 2).					
22						
23	Q.26	WHAT IS MEANT BY BILLING LAG?				
24	Α.	Billing lag refers to the average number of days from the date on which the meter was read				
25		until the customer was billed. This lag reflects the time needed to send and process meter				
26		reading data in the Company's Customer Information System, prepare bills, and deliver				
27		bills. Specifically, the meter reading file containing the meter reads obtained during the				
28		day is transferred at the end of the business day. That night, the meter reading file is				
29		uploaded into the Company's customer billing system, which creates a bill print file. The				
30		bill print file is sent to the bill print vendor the following morning. The vendor prints and				

1		stuffs the bills and mails them that day. Based on that process, Concentric estimated the
2		billing lag to be 1.0 day.
3		
4	Q.27	WHAT IS MEANT BY COLLECTIONS LAG?
5	A.	The collections lag refers to the average amount of time from the date when bills are issued
6		to the date that the Company receives payment from its customers.
7		
8	Q.28	HOW DID CONCENTRIC CALCULATE EPE'S COLLECTION LAG FOR PURPOSES
9		OF THIS PROCEEDING?
10	Α.	Concentric calculated the collection lag by analyzing an aging analysis of EPE's accounts
11		receivable. Such an analysis provides data regarding the average amount of time that
12		customer receivables are outstanding before they are collected. That analysis resulted in a
13		collections lag of 28.1 days, as provided in Exhibit JSW 3, page 2 of 3.
14		
15	Q.29	DID CONCENTRIC MAKE AN ADJUSMENT TO THE CALCULATION OF EPE'S
16		COLLECTION LAG FOR THE PURPOSE OF THIS PROCEEDING?
17	Α.	Yes.
18		
19	Q.30	WHY WAS AN ADJUSTMENT TO EPE'S COLLECTION LAG NECESSARY?
20	Α.	In Concentric's experience, customer payment patterns tend to fluctuate and because of that
21		an effort should be made to use the most recent accounts receivable data available. This is
22		especially true given the impact the COVID-19 pandemic had on customer payments. To
23		reflect current customer payment information, the collection lag was calculated using
24		twelve months of data ended September 30, 2024. In reviewing the data, it was decided to
25		cap the calculation at 75 days for the account receivable balance in the 90+ days aging
26		bucket. It was also decided to remove an allowance for uncollectible revenues from the
27		accounts receivables balances when calculating the collections lag. The uncollectible
28		factor used for the various aging buckets was based on average balances from 2017 through
29		2019 to reflect the three years prior to the COVID-19 pandemic. EPE witness Prieto
30		addresses the uncollectible factor, or "net uncollectible expense ratio", in her direct

1		testimony. These approaches are conservative since both limit the impact of the accounts				
2		receivable balance in the 61-90 days and 90+ days aging buckets.				
3						
4	Q.31	WHAT IS MEANT BY THE PAYMENT PRO	OCESSING LAG?			
5	A.	The payment processing lag reflects the amount	nt time taken to process custome	er payments.		
6		Specifically, different forms of customer pays	nent take different times, on av	verage, to be		
7		processed such that the funds become availab	le to the Company. Concentric	c inquired of		
8		the Company regarding the payment process	ing time for the various forms	of customer		
9		payment provided in Exhibit JSW-3, page 3 of	of 3. The resulting payment pr	ocessing lag		
10		was 1.3 days as shown in Exhibit JSW-3, page	e 3 of 3.			
11						
12	Q.32	PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE CALCULAT	TION OF REVENUE LAG I	DAYS FOR		
13		RETAIL CUSTOMERS.				
14	A.	The calculation of the overall revenue lag,	by lag component, is summa	rized in the		
15		following figure.				
16		Figure JSW-1: Revenue Lag by Component				
17		Component	Lag Days			
18		Service Lag	15.2			
19		Billing Lag	1.0			
20		Collections Lag 28.1				
21		Payment Processing Lag 1.3				
22		Total Lag 45.6				
23			<u> </u> ]			
24	Q.33	WHAT ADDITIONAL TYPES OF REVENUES ARE CONSIDERED IN THE				
	-					
25	-	REVENUE LAG?				
25 26	A.	REVENUE LAG? In addition to retail revenues, Concentric also	considered wholesale and oth	er revenues,		
25 26 27	А.	REVENUE LAG? In addition to retail revenues, Concentric also including wholesale generation sales, wholesa	considered wholesale and oth le transmission sales, and other	er revenues, revenues.		
25 26 27 28	A.	REVENUE LAG? In addition to retail revenues, Concentric also including wholesale generation sales, wholesa	considered wholesale and oth le transmission sales, and other	er revenues, revenues.		

- Q.34 DESCRIBE YOUR CALCULATION OF THE REVENUE LAG FOR WHOLESALE
   GENERATION AND TRANSMISSION CUSTOMERS.
- A. EPE provided Concentric with data regarding the amount and timing of revenue receipts
   from wholesale generation and wholesale transmission customers. Based on that data,
   Concentric estimated a revenue lag for wholesale generation revenues of 35.4 days, and a
   revenue lag for wholesale transmission revenues of 39.9 days, both of which are shown in
   Exhibit JSW-4.
- 8
- 9 Q.35 WHAT IS INCLUDED IN OTHER REVENUES?
- A. Other revenues for the Company include pole rental income, other electric property rental
   income, and other miscellaneous charges. Based on data provided by the Company for
   each type of other revenue, Concentric determined a revenue lag of 50.3 days as shown in
   Exhibit JSW-4. When weighted with wholesale generation sales and wholesale
   transmission sales, the revenue lag for wholesale and other revenues was 37.7 days, as also
   shown on Exhibit JSW-4.
- 16
- 17 Q.36 WHAT WAS THE RESULTING REVENUE LAG, INCLUSIVE OF BOTH RETAIL
  18 REVENUES AND WHOLESALE AND OTHER REVENUES?
- 19A.On a weighted basis, the revenue lag, inclusive of both the retail lag of 45.6 days and the20wholesale and other revenue lag of 37.7 days, was 44.4 days as shown on Exhibit JSW-2.
- 21
- 22

- B. Expense Leads
- Q.37 WHAT EXPENSE-RELATED LEADS WERE CONSIDERED IN THE LEAD-LAGANALYSIS?
- A. Lead times associated with the following broad expense categories were considered in the lead-lag study: (a) fuel expenses, (b) payroll and benefits, (c) expenses related to EPE's ownership in the Palo Verde Generating Station ("PVGS"), (d) other operations and maintenance ("O&M") expenses, (e) taxes other than income taxes, (f) income taxes, and (g) interest on customer deposits.

30

# Q.38 PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF THE EXPENSE LEADS ASSOCIATED WITH THE COMPANY'S FUEL EXPENSES.

A. Concentric analyzed data related to EPE's purchase of nuclear fuel and natural gas for its
generating units. Payments for nuclear fuel were made on a quarterly basis, with payments
made in the month following each quarter. Natural gas purchases were made from multiple
vendors, usually on a monthly basis, with payments made following the month of service.
The following table provides the expense leads by fuel type, which are also provided in
Exhibit JSW-5.

9 10

11

12

13

14

Figure JSW-2: Fuel Expense Leads	
Component	Lead Days
Nuclear Fuel	69.9
Natural Gas	39.2

# Q.39 PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF THE EXPENSE LEADS ASSOCIATED WITH THE COMPANY'S POWER PURCHASES.

A. Concentric analyzed accounting records related to EPE's purchase of power, including
from net-metered customers. Based on that data, Concentric estimated an expense lead of
45.5 as shown in Exhibit JSW 6, page 1 of 2.

20

# Q.40 PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF THE EXPENSE LEADS ASSOCIATED WITH THE COMPANY'S PAYROLL EXPENSES.

23 Α. EPE's regular payroll disbursements are made every two weeks with the 14 day payroll 24 period running from Monday to Sunday two weeks later. Employees are paid for each 25 payroll period on Friday following the end of the pay period, resulting in 26 to 27 regular 26 payroll disbursements during any given 12-month period (depending on when the first 27 Friday falls in the 12-month period). The midpoint of each 14 day payroll period is seven 28 days. There is an additional expense lead of four days from Sunday to midnight Thursday 29 (12:00 a.m. Friday) when payroll is disbursed. In addition, payroll is moved up by one day 30 whenever a holiday falls on a Friday. EPE also provides monthly payments on the first 31 day of each month for the upcoming month under supplemental employee retirement plans

("SERP").<sup>1</sup> Considering both regular payroll and SERP payments, the resulting payroll expense lead was 10.1 days as shown in Exhibit JSW-7, page 1 of 3. Finally, the funds for EPE's payroll taxes are withdrawn approximately one day ahead of its regular payroll funds. Therefore, the payroll expense lead was adjusted downward by one day to arrive at the expense lead for payroll taxes, from 10.1 days to 9.1 days, as discussed further below.

5 6

1

2

3

4

# Q.41 YOUR LEAD-LAG STUDY INCLUDES AN EXPENSE LEAD FOR PAYROLL DEDUCTIONS. WHAT DO THOSE REPRESENT AND HOW DID YOU ESTIMATE AN EXPENSE LEAD?

10 Payroll deductions represent employee contributions towards benefits programs that are Α. 11 deducted directly from employees' payroll. In circumstances in which EPE does not pay 12 associated vendors until some period after payroll is distributed to employees, the expense 13 lead for that portion of employees' payroll is extended, creating a cash working capital benefit for the Company relative to if EPE had relinquished access to that cash on the 14 15 payroll date. In other words, the expense lead associated with payroll that is deducted for 16 certain payroll deductions is extended by the amount of time between the payroll date and the eventual payment to benefits providers. Examples of these payroll deductions are 17 18 employee 401(k) contributions, employee contributions to insurance programs (medical, 19 dental, vision, and life insurance), union dues, charity contributions, and other benefits 20such as flex-spending accounts, parking, and gym memberships.

Concentric received data from EPE for each type of payroll deduction in order to analyze the additional expense lead associated with the portion of gross payroll that is used for payroll deductions. Payroll deductions added an unweighted 3.0 days to the expense lead for that portion of payroll for a total expense lead of 13.1 days (i.e., 10.1 days for payroll plus 3.0 days for payroll deductions) as shown in Exhibit JSW 7, page 2 of 3.

26

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> SERP payments included payments under non-qualified pension plans including Supplemental Employee Retirement Plans and Excess Benefit Plans.

1	Q.42	WHAT TYPES OF LEADS ASSOCIATED WITH THE COMPANY'S EMPLOYEE			
2		BENEFIT PROGRAMS WERE CONSIDERED IN THE ANALYSIS?			
3	Α.	As shown in Exhibit JSW-7, page 3 of 3, the analysis of lead times associated with			
4		employee benefits included consideration of the following types of benefit plans and			
5		expenses:			
6		• 401(k) administration and matching;			
7		• The EPE portion of medical, dental, and other health benefits;			
8		• The EPE portion of life insurance premiums;			
9		• The EPE portion of parking benefits; and			
10		Post retirement benefit-related costs.			
11		Concentric received data from EPE related to payments made by the Company for			
12		each of these programs. The dollar-weighted expense lead for benefits was 12.4 days as			
13		shown in Exhibit JSW-7, page 3 of 3.			
14					
15	Q.43	WHAT CALCULATIONS DID YOU PERFORM RELATED TO THE COMPANY'S			
16		INCENTIVE COMPENSATION PAYMENTS?			
17	Α.	During the Test Year, EPE made incentive compensation payments to its employees.			
18		Incentive compensation payments are made in the following year, resulting in an expense			
19		lead of 182 days (i.e., the midpoint of the prior year) plus the period between the end of			
20		the incentive compensation year and when payments are made, which was approximately			
21		53 days in the Test Year. The overall expense lead for non-financially based incentive			
22		compensation was thus determined to be 305.4 days as shown in Exhibit JSW 7.			
23					
24	Q.44	WHAT WAS THE RESULTING WEIGHTED EXPENSE LEAD FOR WAGES,			
25		SALARIES, AND BENEFITS?			
26	Α.	On a weighted basis, the expense lead for wages, salaries, and benefits-inclusive of			
27		regular payroll (10.1 days), payroll deductions (13.1 days), benefits (12.4 days), and			
28		incentive compensation (305.4 days)-was 30.5 days as shown on Exhibit JSW 7.			
29					

1 2

# Q.45 DESCRIBE CONCENTRIC'S ANALYSIS OF O&M EXPENSES RELATED TO EPE'S OWNERSHIP IN PVGS.

3 Α. EPE is invoiced weekly for PVGS expenses, with invoices representing estimated charges 4 for the concurrent month. Towards the end of each month, a true up is performed to charge 5 or credit EPE for any difference between estimated and actual PVGS expenses in the 6 previous month. Concentric analyzed the weekly payments and monthly true-ups related 7 to EPE's PVGS O&M, which had an average expense lead of 2.7 days. The working capital 8 requirement related to PVGS incorporates an adjustment to remove PVGS-related 9 materials and supplies amounts that are charged to O&M and that represent non-cash 10 charges.

11

# Q.46 WHAT DO "OTHER O&M" EXPENSES INCLUDE, AND WHAT APPROACH DID CONCENTRIC USE TO CALCULATE THE ASSOCIATED EXPENSE LEAD?

14 Α. O&M expenses include all payments made by EPE for O&M expenses that otherwise were 15 not analyzed as part of Concentric's review of fuel, payroll, benefits, and PVGS O&M 16 expenses. For the period April 1, 2023, to March 31, 2024, payments to 58 vendors made up approximately 75% of the total Other O&M expense amount, and the remainder 17 18 represented payments to smaller vendors. Concentric requested and analyzed 19 representative invoices from those 58 vendors to determine the relevant payment terms. 20Application of those representative payment terms to the remaining invoices for those 21 58 vendors resulted in a dollar-weighted expense lead of 50 days for approximately 75% 22 of total Other O&M expense.

Since the payment pattern of the Company is the same regardless of the vendor, Concentric applied the expense lead for the 58 vendors that represented approximately 75% of the total Other O&M expense to the remaining vendors which represented 25% of the total Other O&M expense. Concentric utilized the dollar-weighted expense lead for the 58 vendors which represented approximately 75% of total Other O&M expense for the overall dollar weighted expense lead of the Other O&M expense category.

Finally, Concentric adjusted the working capital requirement related to Other O&M to account for prepaid expenses that were charged to Other O&M and that reflect non-cash charges. 1 2 0.47

3

### Q.47 WHAT TAXES OTHER THAN INCOME TAXES AND FEES WERE CONSIDERED IN CONCENTRIC'S ANALYSIS?

A. Concentric's analysis also considered taxes other than income taxes and fees that EPE pays
 related to utility service. The table below provides those taxes and fees, along with their
 respective expense leads.

7	Figure JSW-3: Taxes Other Than Inco	Figure JSW-3: Taxes Other Than Income Taxes and Fees			
8	Component	Lead Days			
9	Payroll Taxes	9.1			
10	PVGS Payroll Taxes	2.7			
11	New Mexico Compensating Taxes	44.2			
12	New Mexico Public Regulation	265.0			
13	Commission Fees				
14	New Mexico Property Taxes	245.3			
15	Texas Gross Receipts Taxes	76.4			
16	Texas Franchise Fees	89.3			
17	Texas Public Utility Commission Fees	236.0			
18	Texas Property Taxes	211.9			
19	Arizona Property Taxes	211.4			
20					

The expense lead for payroll taxes was assumed to be the same as that for regular payroll (i.e., 10.1 days) less one day because the Company's payroll processing provider withdraws payroll taxes the day before payroll is paid. The expense lead for PVGS-related payroll taxes was assumed to be the same as that for PVGS O&M expenses (i.e., 2.7 days). EPE provided accounting and payment data related to each of the other categories of taxes and fees, upon which Concentric estimated expense leads for each as shown above and in Exhibit JSW-8.

28

### 29 Q.48 HOW DID YOUR STUDY ADDRESS FEDERAL INCOME TAXES?

30A.The expense lead attributable to EPE's federal income tax liability was calculated based on31quarterly payment dates on or about April 15, June 15, September 15, and December 15

for calendar year taxes. EPE estimates its quarterly taxable income based on the net income
from the prior quarter, which follows a cyclical pattern whereby taxable income tends to
be greater in the summer and fall (i.e., in the second and third quarters of the year),
following customer usage patterns. Based on the quarterly payment dates and an estimate
of the shape of the payments provided by the Company assuming greater taxable income
in the second and third quarters of each year relative to the first and fourth quarters,
Concentric calculated an expense lead of 34.3 days as shown in Exhibit JSW-9.

8

9 Q.49 HOW DID THE STUDY ADDRESS STATE INCOME TAXES?

A. In the Test Year, state income taxes were paid approximately quarterly. Like federal income taxes, state income tax payments follow a cyclical pattern. Concentric estimated the Company to have an expense lead associated with state income taxes of 33.9 days as shown in Exhibit JSW-9, reflecting a similar approach as was used for the federal tax payment dates. The lead-lag study also reflects Texas's state margin taxes, which are paid annually for the current year in May and then trued up in November. The resulting lead reflecting both the annual payment and the true-up was (48.0) days.

17

# 18 Q.50 WHAT CONSIDERATION DID YOUR STUDY MAKE FOR INTEREST PAID ON19 CUSTOMER DEPOSITS?

- A. Interest is paid by the Company at approximately the end of each calendar year for deposits
   made during the year. The expense lead was thus determined to be 182.5 days, reflecting
   the time between the midpoint of the year and payment of interest.
- 23
- 24

#### C. Other Components of Cash Working Capital

- 25 Q.51 WHAT OTHER COMPONENTS OF CASH WORKING CAPITAL DID YOU26 CONSIDER IN YOUR ANALYSIS?
- A. There are four other components of CWC, pass-through taxes and fees, that EPE incurred as part of the provision of utility service but that are remitted to the appropriate taxing authority without being recognized as expenses on the Company's books. Those taxes and fees are (1) New Mexico gross receipts taxes, (2) New Mexico franchise fees, (3) Texas sales and use taxes, and (4) Texas direct pay tax. Because collection of those taxes and the

associated remittance to taxing authorities occur at different times, there are cash working capital effects on the Company. However, these pass-through taxes and fees are not part 2 3 of the revenue requirement to which net lags or leads are applied in the lead-lag study, and 4 thus, would not be reflected in the cash working capital requirement without separate 5 As such, the total cash working capital requirement calculated by consideration. 6 Concentric separately includes the net (lead)/lag associated with those taxes and fees as 7 shown on Exhibit JSW-2.

8

1

9

#### DID CONCENTRIC'S LEAD-LAG STUDY ACCOUNT FOR PETTY CASH? O.52

10 Consistent with Commission Rule 16 TAC § 25.231(c)(2)(B)(iii)(IV)(-e-), Α. Yes. 11 Concentric included petty cash funds in the determination of the overall CWC allowance. 12 Specifically, that part of the Commission rule states that, "the balance of cash and working 13 funds included in the working cash allowance calculation shall consist of the average daily 14 bank balance of all noninterest bearing demand deposits and working cash funds." Petty 15 cash funds are amounts the Company must keep on hand and is primarily utilized for per 16 diem payments made to union personnel. The Company kept an average petty cash fund balance of \$25,635 during the Test Year. That amount, which represents shareholder-17 18 supplied funds, was added to the CWC allowance.

19

#### 20Q.53 BASED UPON THE RESULTS OF THE LEAD-LAG STUDY AND THE LEVEL OF 21 EXPENSES DESCRIBED IN THE TESTIMONY OF EPE WITNESS STEVEN SIERRA, 22 WHAT IS THE TOTAL COMPANY LEVEL OF CASH WORKING CAPITAL 23 **REQUIREMENTS?**

- 24 А. As shown on Exhibit JSW-2 and WP-B-1 Adjustment No. 8, applying the revenue lag and 25 expense leads that I have calculated to the expense levels provided by EPE witness Sierra 26 results in a total Company cash working capital requirement of negative \$8,129,393.
- 27

#### 28 Q.54 DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?

29 A. Yes.

30

31

#### 16 Texas Administrative Code § 25.231(c)(2)(B)(iii)(IV)

16 TAC § 25.231(c)(2)(B)(iii)(IV) addresses the development of a reasonable allowance for cash working capital by the use of a lead-lag study. Specifically, that rule states:

For all investor-owned electric utilities a reasonable allowance for cash working capital, including a request of zero, will be determined by the use of a lead-lag study. A lead-lag study will be performed in accordance with the following criteria:

- (-a-) The lead-lag study will use the cash method; all non-cash items, including but not limited to depreciation, amortization, deferred taxes, prepaid items, and return (including interest on long-term debt and dividends on preferred stock), will not be considered.
- (-b-) Any reasonable sampling method that is shown to be unbiased may be used in performing the lead-lag study.
- (-c-) The check clear date, or the invoice due date, whichever is later, will be used in calculating the lead-lag days used in the study. In those cases where multiple due dates and payment terms are offered by vendors, the invoice due date is the date corresponding to the terms accepted by the electric utility.
- (-d-) All funds received by the electric utility except electronic transfers shall be considered available for use no later than the business day following the receipt of the funds in any repository of the electric utility (e.g. lockbox, post office box, branch office). All funds received by electronic transfer will be considered available the day of receipt.
- (-e-) For electric utilities the balance of cash and working funds included in the working cash allowance calculation shall consist of the average daily bank balance of all noninterest bearing demand deposits and working cash funds.
- (-f-) The lead on federal income tax expense shall be calculated by measurement of the interval between the mid-point of the annual service period and the actual payment date of the electric utility.
- (-g-) If the cash working capital calculation results in a negative amount, the negative amount shall be included in rate base.



JOSEPH S. WEISS

Mr. Weiss has worked on projects involving revenue requirements, class cost of service, allocation and rate design, cash working capital, rate of return, affiliate transactions, and rate case preparation for gas and electric utilities. As a consultant, Mr. Weiss has provided support for filings with several utility commissions and filed testimony as an expert witness on cash working capital before the Corporation Commission of Oklahoma, the Missouri Public Service Commission, the Illinois Commerce Commission, the Maine Public Utilities Commission, and the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio. He has strong quantitative and research skills and experience in accounting issues. Prior to joining Concentric Energy Advisors, Mr. Weiss was a Consultant with Navigant Consulting, Inc. and has worked for a large Midwest investor-owned utility. Mr. Weiss has an M.B.A. from Southern Illinois University Edwardsville and a B.S. in Business Administration (magna cum laude) with a major in Accounting and Finance from Saint Louis University.

#### **REPRESENTATIVE PROJECT EXPERIENCE**

Rates/Regulatory Projects

- Worked on a draft report concerning the gas/electric interdependency of the Pacific Northwest Region.
- Supported lead-lag analysis and testimony for a Canadian electric utility.
- Provided rate case support of a gas rate case filing for a Midwestern gas utility. Work included assisting with a lead-lag study.
- Involved in the calculation and support of a return on equity for a natural gas company.
- Assisted with the preparation of six gas and electric rate case filings for a Midwestern utility. The project included work associated with a gas and electric lead-lag study and the preparation of the minimum filing requirements associated with the rate case. Project included post filing support which involved providing responses and corrections to deficiencies identified by the Illinois Commerce Commission in filing requirements and providing required data in response to data requests of the Illinois Commerce Commission.
- Assisted in the effort to collect and organize plant addition documentation for six Midwest utilities associated with the state commission's audit of rate base.
- Involved in the preparation of an electric rate case filing for an electric transmission and distribution provider in Texas. Work included the completion of the rate filing package associated with the rate case.
- Managed the preparation of the minimum filing requirements for a Midwestern holding company that held three different utility companies. Also managed the preparation of a lead-lag study for the utility. Project included post filing support which involved providing responses and corrections to deficiencies identified by the Illinois Commerce Commission in



- filing requirements and providing required data in response to data requests of the Illinois Commerce Commission.
- Managed the preparation of a lead-lag study for an electric rate case filing for a utility in Missouri.
- Worked on the completion of the revenue requirement and filing requirements for an interstate pipeline's rate filing.
- Assisted with a review of the reasonableness of the allocation of shared services costs assigned by Ameren Service Company to the Illinois operating utilities. The review included an assessment of the reasonableness of the accumulated costs, allocation factors employed and resulting allocated costs to each operating utility for the services provided. Concentric also benchmarked the costs of services to assess the reasonableness of the allocated costs compared to other companies.
- Provided testimony analyzing the services and related costs provided by companies affiliated with Ameren Missouri.
- Assisted with an allocated cost of service study and rate design related to an electric rate filing for a Midwestern utility.
- Managed the calculation of the revenue requirement and preparation of a lead-lag study for a gas rate case filing for a utility in Missouri.
- Managed the completion of a lead-lag study for an electric rate fling for a Midwestern utility.
- Managed the preparation of gas and electric rate case filings for a Midwestern utility. The project included work associated with a gas and electric lead-lag study and the preparation of the minimum filing requirements associated with the rate case which included the filing of a future test year. Project included post filing support which involved providing responses and corrections to deficiencies identified by the Illinois Commerce Commission in filing requirements, providing required data in response to data requests of the Illinois Commerce Commission, and assisting company with review and development of testimony.
- Managed the completion of the revenue requirement and filing requirements for an interstate pipeline's rate filing.
- Managed the completion of a lead-lag study for an electric filing for a Midwestern utility as well as post-filing support.
- Helped to develop a revenue requirement model to comply with a new performance-based formula ratemaking process for a Midwest electric utility.
- Managed the preparation of an initial formula rate filing for a Midwestern electric utility. The
  project included work associated with the preparation of the minimum filing requirements
  and the formula rate template. Project included post filing support which involved providing
  responses and corrections to deficiencies identified by the Illinois Commerce Commission in
  filing requirements, providing required data in response to data requests of the Illinois
  Commerce Commission, and assisting company with review and development of testimony.



## CONCENTRIC

- Managed the completion of the revenue requirement and minimum filing requirements for an electric rate filing for a utility in Illinois under new legislation as well as subsequent filings. The work included support throughout the rate case process.
- Assisted with an allocated cost of service study related to a gas rate filing for a Northeastern utility.
- Filed expert testimony in support of the company's cash working capital requirement before the Oklahoma Corporation Commission.
- Managed the preparation of minimum filing requirements utilizing a future test year for a gas filing for a Midwestern utility in multiple rate case filings.
- Managed the completion of lead-lag studies and associated testimony on behalf of our client. Testified to the results of the studies before the Illinois Commerce Commission.
- Prepared a lead-lag study and associated testimony on behalf of our client. Testified to results of the study before the Missouri Public Service Commission.
- Prepared a lead-lag study as well as assistance with the development of the revenue requirement and associated testimony on behalf of our client. Testimony was filed as part of as rate proceeding before the Idaho Public Utilities Commission. The work included support throughout the rate case process.
- Managed the completion of a lead-lag study and associated testimony on behalf of the client in its two most recent rate proceedings. Testimony was filed as part of a rate proceeding before the State Corporation Commission of Virginia.
- Managed the preparation of the Minimum Filing Requirements for a Midwestern gas utility's rate filing utilizing a future test year before the Illinois Commerce Commission and the Company's subsequent filing.
- Managed the completion of a lead-lag study and associated testimony on behalf of the client. Also assisted the client with the development of the revenue requirement. Testimony was filed as part of a rate proceeding before the Maine Public Utilities Commission.
- Managed the completion of a lead-lag study and associated testimony on behalf of the client as part of a rate proceeding before the Tennessee Public Utility Commission.
- Prepared a lead-lag study and associated testimony on behalf of the client. Testimony was filed as part of a rate proceeding in West Virginia.
- Managed the completion of a lead-lag study and associated testimony on behalf of the client. Testimony was filed as part of a rate proceeding before the Georgia Public Service Commission.
- Assisted with the preparation of testimony related to shared services and benchmarking in multiple rate proceedings before the Missouri Public Service Commission.
- Market Research Experience
- Conducted research on gas and electric revenue decoupling mechanisms.
- Researched recent and proposed pipeline projects.
- Research to support expert testimony in a return on equity rate proceeding.



#### **PROFESSIONAL HISTORY**

#### Concentric Energy Advisors, Inc. (2007 - Present)

Vice President Assistant Vice President Senior Project Manager Project Manager Senior Consultant Consultant

Navigant Consulting, Inc. (2006 – 2007) Consultant

#### Ameren Corporation (2005 - 2006)

Consultant and Tax Intern

#### **EDUCATION**

#### Saint Louis University

B.S.B.A., Accounting and Finance, magna cum laude, December 2005

#### Southern Illinois University Edwardsville

M.B.A., August 2013



SPONSOR	DATE	CASE/APPLICANT	DOCKET	SUBJECT		
Illinois Commerce Commission						
Ameren Illinois Company	01/15	Ameren Illinois Company	Docket #15-0142	Cash Working Capital (Gas)		
Ameren Illinois Company	04/15	Ameren Illinois Company	Docket #15-0305	Cash Working Capital (Electric)		
Ameren Illinois Company	01/18	Ameren Illinois Company	Docket #18-0463	Cash Working Capital (Gas)		
Ameren Illinois Company	04/18	Ameren Illinois Company	Docket #18-0807	Cash Working Capital (Electric)		
Ameren Illinois Company	02/20	Ameren Illinois Company	Docket #20-0308	Cash Working Capital (Gas)		
Ameren Illinois Company	04/20	Ameren Illinois Company	Docket #20-0381	Cash Working Capital (Electric)		
Ameren Illinois Company	04/21	Ameren Illinois Company	Docket #21-0365	Cash Working Capital (Electric)		
Ameren Illinois Company	01/23	Ameren Illinois Company	Docket #23-0067	Cash Working Capital (Gas)		
Ameren Illinois Company	01/23	Ameren Illinois Company	Docket #23-0082	Cash Working Capital (Electric)		
Maine Public Utilities Cor	mmission			'		
Bangor Natural Gas	03/21	Bangor National Gas	Docket #	Cash Working		
Company		Company	2021-00024	Capital (Gas)		
Central Maine Power Company	08/22	Central Maine Power Company	Docket #2022- 00152	Cash Working Capital (Electric)		
Missouri Public Service Commission						
Ameren Missouri	07/14	Ameren Missouri	ER 2014-0258	Cash Working Capital (Electric)		



SPONSOR	DATE	CASE/APPLICANT	DOCKET	SUBJECT			
Ameren Missouri	06/24	Ameren Missouri Electric Rate Filing	ER 2024-0319	Affiliate Transactions and Benchmarking			
Ameren Missouri	09/24	Ameren Missouri Gas Rate Filing	GR 2024-0369	Affiliate Transactions and Benchmarking			
Public Utilities Commissi	on of Ohi	D .					
Northeast Ohio Natural Gas Corporation	4/23	Northeast Ohio Natural Gas Corporation	Case No. 23-0154- GA-AIR	Cash Working Capital (Gas)			
Oklahoma Corporation Commission							
Arkansas Oklahoma Gas Corporation	01/13	Arkansas Oklahoma Gas Corporation	Cause No. PUD 201200236	Cash Working Capital (Gas)			

		Total Company			,			
Line		Revenue	Average Daily	Revenue	Expense	Net	Working Capital	Work Paper
No.	Description	Requirement	Amount	Lag Days	Lead Days	(Lead)/Lag	Requirement	Reference
	(A)	(B)	(C)=(B)/300	(U)	(E)	(F)=(D)-(E)	(G)=(C)X(F)	(H)
1	Energy Costs:	C 34000.046	♠ OF 000		e0 0		(2,445,200)	
2	Nuclear	3 34,999,910	Φ 30,090	44.4	20.3	(20.00) (D E 20	(2,440,200)	D
2	Gas Duraharan Daura	/ 3,033,981	200,093	44.4	39.2	0.20 (1.40)	1,040,484	D D
4	Purchased Power	140,212,630	304,144	44.4	40.0	(1.10)	(422,009)	D
6	Operation & Maintenance Expenses:							
ž	Wares Salaries and Benefits	88,008,296	241 119	44 4	30.5	13.90	3 351 549	С
8	Palo Verde O&M*	105 568 392	289.228	44.4	2.7	41 70	12 060 827	сă
ğ	Other O&M*	136 305 013	373 438	44.4	50.0	(5.60)	(2,091,255)	C-4
10	Saler Salin	100,000,010	010,400		00.0	(3.00)	(2,001,200)	0.
11	Taxes Other Than Income Taxes:							
12	Pavroll Taxes	6.014.345	16.478	44.4	9.1	35.30	581.661	С
13	Pavroll Taxes - Palo Verde	3,427,959	9.392	44.4	2.7	41.70	391,633	C-3
14	New Mexico	-1						
15	Compensating Tax	88.342	242	44.4	44.2	0.20	48	G-1
16	Public Regulation Commission	923,782	2.531	44.4	265.0	(220,60)	(558.319)	D-1
17	Property Tax	4,515.387	12.371	44.4	245.3	(200.90)	(2,485,318)	D-2
18	Texas							
19	Gross Receipts	20,091.531	55.045	44.4	76.4	(32.00)	(1.761,449)	D-3
20	Franchise Fees	31,994,665	87,657	44.4	89.3	(44.90)	(3,935,782)	D-4
21	Public Utility Commission Fee	1,487,566	4,076	44.4	236.0	(191.60)	(780,870)	D-5
22	Property	20,100.598	55.070	44.4	211.9	(167.50)	(9.224,247)	D-6
23	Arizona							
24	Property	7,928,839	21,723	44.4	211.4	(167.00)	(3,627,715)	D-7
25								
26	Income Taxes:							
27	Federal Current	47,967,634	131,418	44.4	34.3	10, 10	1,327,324	E-1
28	State Current (New Mexico)	2,099,866	5,753	44.4	33.9	10.50	60,407	E-2
29	State Current (Arizona)	999,764	2,739	44.4	33.9	10.50	28,760	E-3
30	State Gross Margin Tax	2,127,914	5,830	44.4	(48.0)	92.40	538,683	E-4
31								_
32	Interest on Customer Deposits	543,595	1,489	44.4	182.5	(138.10)	(205,673)	F
33								
34	Cash Working Capital Requirement From Re	venue Requirement	S				(8,157,011)	
30	<b>0</b> //							
30	Other				44.0	0.00		0.4
37	New Mexico Gross Receipts	-	-	44.4	44.2	0.20	-	G-1
38	New Mexico Franchise Fees	390,534	1,070	44.4	43.2	1.2U	1,284	G-2
39 40	Texas Direct Pay Tax	400.000	-	44.4	40.0	3.80	-	G-4
40	Lexas Sales and Use Lax	102,033	260	44.4	40.7	3.70	1,034	G-3
41	Helly Cash Funds						25,300	п
42								
40	Total Company Morting Capital					<u>-</u>	/8 100 202	
44 AE	rotar company working capitar					\$	(o, 123,383)	
40	Musicalan adjustes as far an an an art of a state	aniala anal armadira	erection ODM -504	0 476 405 /D-1-1	(and a) and COD 474 a	OR (Other ORM) (assessed Ort	adula E 4)	
40	<ul> <li>includes adjustment for pre-payments and mati</li> </ul>	enais and supplies ch	arged to Carvi of S1	10, 170, 460 (Palo V	reiue) anu 533,171,2	so (other oan) (source: Scr	ieuuie E-4)	

### EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY CASH WORKING CAPITAL REQUIREMENT - LEAD-LAG STUDY FOR THE TEST YEAR ENDED MARCH 31, 2024

#### Exhibit JSW-3 Page 1 of 3

#### EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY CASH WORKING CAPITAL REQUIREMENT - LEAD-LAG STUDY FOR THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2024 SUMMARY OF REVENUE LAG

Line		
No.	Revenue Lag Component	Lag Days
	(A)	(B)
1	Service Lag	15.2
2	Billing Lag	1.0
3	Collection Lag	28.1
4	Payment Processing Lag	1.3
5	Total	45.6

Source: Work Paper A

Exhibit JSW-3 Page 1 of 3

Exhibit JSW-3 Page 2 of 3

#### EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY CASH WORKING CAPITAL REQUIREMENT - LEAD-LAG STUDY FOR THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2024 CALCULATION OF COLLECTIONS LAG

Line				Uncollectible	Receivable Net of	Weighting	Weighted
No.	Time Period	Midpoint	Revenues	Factor [1]	Uncollectible	Factor	Lag Days
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	
1	0-30 Days	15.0	\$ 52,517,475	0.79%	52,100,437.93	70.2%	10.53
2	31-60 Days	44.5	12,263,829	6.58%	11,456,790.68	15.4%	6.87
3	61-90 Days	74.5	5,733,789	36.35%	3,649,445.84	4.9%	3.66
4	90+ Days	74.5	12,615,827	44.63%	6,986,012.30	9.4%	7.01
5	Total		\$ 83,130,921		\$ 74,192,687		28.1

Source: Work Paper A-1

Notes

[1] Uncollectible factor derived from 3-year average for 2017, 2018 and 2019.

#### Exhibit JSW-3 Page 3 of 3

#### EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY CASH WORKING CAPITAL REQUIREMENT - LEAD-LAG STUDY FOR THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2024 CALCULATION OF PAYMENT PROCESSING LAG

Line		Receipt of Funds		Weighted Receipt of
No.	Payment Method	Lag	Revenues (%)	Funds Lag
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
1	ACH Electronic Check	2.00	14.5%	0.3
2	Bill2Pay ACH	2.00	2.7%	0.1
3	Bill2Pay Cash	2.00	0.1%	0.0
4	Bill2Pay Credit Card	2.00	10.2%	0.2
5	Cash	1.00	0.3%	0.0
6	Check	1.00	71.6%	0.7
7	Money Order	2.00	0.0%	0.0
8	Wire	-	0.6%	-
9				-
	Total		100.0%	1.3

Source: Work Paper A-2

Exhibit JSW-4 Page 1 of 1

#### EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY CASH WORKING CAPITAL REQUIREMENT - LEAD-LAG STUDY FOR THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2024 SUMMARY OF OTHER REVENUES

Line					
No.	Description	Lag Days	Revenues	Weighting	Weighted Lag
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
1	Wholesale Transactions	35.4	\$ 95,316,028	61.1%	21.6
2	Wholesale Transmission Sales	39.9	51,951,688	33.3%	13.3
3	Other Revenues	50.3	8,728,244	5.6%	2.8
4	Total		\$ 155,995,960	100.0%	37.7

Source: Work Paper A

Exhibit JSW-4 Page 1 of 1

Exhibit JSW-5 Page 1 of 2

#### EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY CASH WORKING CAPITAL REQUIREMENT - LEAD-LAG STUDY FOR THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2024 SUMMARY OF FUEL EXPENSE LEADS - NUCLEAR

Line						
No.	Month	Lead Days	Total	Expenses	Weighting	Weighted Lag
	(A)	(B)		(C)	(D)	(E)
1	Rio Grande Resource Trust II	69.9	\$	33,885,302	100.0%	69.9
2	Total		\$	33,885,302	100.0%	69.9

Source: Work Paper B-1

Exhibit JSW-5 Page 1 of 2

Exhibit JSW-5 Page 2 of 2

#### EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY CASH WORKING CAPITAL REQUIREMENT - LEAD-LAG STUDY FOR THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2024 SUMMARY OF FUEL EXPENSE LEADS - NATURAL GAS

Line						
No.	Vendor	Lead Days	То	tal Expenses	Weighting	Weighted Lag
	(A)	(B)		(C)	(D)	(E)
1	Vendor 1	39.1	\$	16,022,007	12.5%	4.9
2	Vendor 2	40.3		864,894	0.7%	0.3
3	Vendor 3	41.0		194,680	0.2%	0.1
4	Vendor 4	40.1		13,567,706	10.6%	4.2
5	Vendor 5	39.9		21,080,098	16.4%	6.6
6	Vendor 6	39.3		7,428,516	5.8%	2.3
7	Vendor 7	41.4		200,035	0.2%	0.1
8	Vendor 8	36.7		23,667,165	18.4%	6.8
9	Vendor 9	30.2		1,499,830	1.2%	0.4
10	Vendor 10	39.9		14,683,147	11.4%	4.6
11	Vendor 11	39.1		1,103,668	0.9%	0.3
12	Vendor 12	39.5		6,111,970	4.8%	1.9
13	Vendor 13	45.0		814,742	0.6%	0.3
14	Vendor 14	46.0		75,000	0.1%	0.0
15	Vendor 15	44.9		4,378,925	3.4%	1.5
16	Vendor 16	46.0		266,582	0.2%	0.1
17	Vendor 17	39.4		16,352,785	12.7%	5.0
18	Vendor 18	39.5		3,795	0.0%	0.0
19	Vendor 19	40.5		7,020	0.0%	0.0
20	Vendor 20	39.8		1,239,804	1.0%	0.4
	Source: Work Paper B-2		\$	128,322,566	100.0%	39.2

Exhibit JSW-5 Page 2 of 2

#### Exhibit JSW-6 Page 1 of 3

#### EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY CASH WORKING CAPITAL REQUIREMENT - LEAD-LAG STUDY FOR THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2024 SUMMARY OF PURCHASED POWER EXPENSE LEADS

Line						
No.	Description	Lead Days	Тс	otal Expenses	Weighting	Weighted Lag
	(A)	(B)		(C)	(D)	(E)
1	Purchased Power	<b>4</b> 6.7	\$	75,866,692	95.6%	<b>4</b> 4.6
2	RECs - Buy Backs	28.5		956,377	1.2%	0.3
3	RECs - Four Peaks	15.2		2,498,090	3.1%	0.5
4	Other (WREGIS)	59.1		766	0.0%	0.0
5	Total		\$	79,321,926	100.0%	45.5

Source: Work Paper B

#### Exhibit JSW-6 Page 2 of 3

#### EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY CASH WORKING CAPITAL REQUIREMENT - LEAD-LAG STUDY FOR THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2024 SUMMARY OF PURCHASED POWER EXPENSE LEADS

Line						
No.	Vendor	Lead Days	Total Exp	penses	Weighting	Weighted Lag
	(A)	(B)	(C)	)	(D)	(E)
1	Vendor 1	35.0	\$	540	0.0%	0.0
2	Vendor 2	34.0	1	,633,786	2.2%	0.7
3	Vendor 3	35.7		25,200	0.0%	0.0
4	Vendor 4	34.0		118,000	0.2%	0.1
5	Vendor 5	34.0		204,800	0.3%	0.1
6	Vendor 6	84.5	8	3,419,568	11.1%	9.4
7	Vendor 7	32.0		25,500	0.0%	0.0
8	Vendor 8	34.7		500,979	0.7%	0.2
9	Vendor 9	34.1	4	,060,127	5.4%	1.8
10	Vendor 10	36.2	3	3,454,129	4.6%	1.6
11	Vendor 11	49.5	1	,113,295	1.5%	0.7
12	Vendor 12	41.0		356,450	0.5%	0.2
13	Vendor 13	50.4	5	i,952,270	7.8%	4.0
14	Vendor 14	37.8	11	,038,293	14.5%	5.5
15	Vendor 15	36.5		275,978	0.4%	0.1
16	Vendor 16	41.0		16,269	0.0%	0.0
17	Vendor 17	47.8		95,824	0.1%	0.1
18	Vendor 18	50.7		871,917	1.1%	0.6
19	Vendor 19	35.5		125,743	0.2%	0.1
20	Vendor 20	39.7		527,242	0.7%	0.3
21	Vendor 21	34.4		427,122	0.6%	0.2
22	Vendor 22	18.8	3	3,059,184	4.0%	0.8
23	Vendor 23	36.0		134,150	0.2%	0.1
24	Vendor 24	45.1		199,835	0.3%	0.1
25	Vendor 25	47.6	6	5,156,802	8.1%	3.9
26	Vendor 26	53.8	2	2,499,316	3.3%	1.8
27	Vendor 27	51.0	2	2,740,379	3.6%	1.8
28	Vendor 28	39.0	1	,596,063	2.1%	0.8
29	Vendor 29	36.4	1	,269,527	1.7%	0.6
30	Vendor 30	34.5		305,484	0.4%	0.1
31	Vendor 31	42.6		180,600	0.2%	0.1

Exhibit JSW-6 Page 2 of 2

#### Exhibit JSW-6 Page 3 of 3

		EL PASO ELECT	RIC	COMPANY		
		CASH WORKING CAPITAL REQU	JIRE	MENT - LEAD-LAG STU	JDY	
		FOR THE TWELVE MONTHS	S END	DED MARCH 31, 2024		
		SUMMARY OF PURCHASED	POW	<b>/ER EXPENSE LEADS</b>		
32	Vendor 32	38.6		3,877,4 <b>1</b> 1	5.1%	2.0
33	Vendor 33	34.4		8,365,745	11.0%	3.8
34	Vendor 34	65.7		5,824,540	7.7%	5.0
35	Vendor 35	18.4		414,626	0.5%	0.1
			\$	75,866,692	100.0%	46.66

Exhibit JSW-7 Page 1 of 3

#### EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY CASH WORKING CAPITAL REQUIREMENT - LEAD-LAG STUDY FOR THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2024 PAYROLL AND BENEFITS EXPENSE LEADS

Line						
No.	Description	Lead Days	Total Expenses		Weighting	Weighted Lag
	(A)	(B)		(C)	(D)	(E)
1	Gross Regular Payroll Net of Taxes		\$	100,681,924		
2	Less: Payroll Deductions with Incremental Expense Lead			20,132,319		
3	Gross Regular Payroll Net of Payroll Deductions with Incremental Expense Lead	10.1	\$	80,549,605	59.5%	6.0
4	Payroll Deductions with Incremental Expense Lead	13.1		20,132,319	14.9%	2.0
5	Benefits	12.4		25,777,460	19.0%	2.4
6	Incentive Comp	305.4		8,955,528	6.6%	20.2
7	Payroll, Payroll Deductions, Benefits	341.1	\$	135,414,913	100.0%	30.5

Source: Work Paper C

Exhibit JSW-7 Page 1 of 3
Exhibit JSW-7 Page 2 of 3

### EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY CASH WORKING CAPITAL REQUIREMENT - LEAD-LAG STUDY FOR THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2024 PAYROLL EXPENSE DEDUCTIONS LEADS

Line						
No.	Category	Lead Days	То	tal Expenses	Weighting	Weighted Lag
	(A)	(B)		(C)	(D)	(E)
1	401(k) Employee Contribution	4.0	\$	13,135,792	65.2%	2.6
2	AD&D	1.0		94,559	0.5%	0.0
3	COPE	1.0		13,371	0.1%	0.0
4	Dental	1.0		383,573	1.9%	0.0
5	EPE Charitable Foundation	1.0		4,500	0.0%	0.0
6	EPIC	1.0		29,069	0.1%	0.0
7	Flex Dep	1.0		59,906	0.3%	0.0
8	Flex Med	1.0		576,338	2.9%	0.0
9	HSA	1.0		168,897	0.8%	0.0
10	Medical	1.0		3,553,961	17.7%	0.2
11	Optional Life Insurance	1.0		482,375	2.4%	0.0
12	Parking	1.0		252,041	1.3%	0.0
13	STD Buy-Up	1.0		123,131	0.6%	0.0
14	Union Dues	1.0		327,768	1.6%	0.0
15	United Way	1.0		101,958	0.5%	0.0
16	Vision	1.0		133,192	0.7%	0.0
17	Voluntary Insurance	1.0		45,561	0.2%	0.0
18	Wage Attachments	1.0		646,329	3.2%	0.0
19	Total		\$	20,132,319	100.0%	3.0
20	Regular Payroll Expense Lead					10.1

21 Total

Source: C-1B C-1C Summary

13.1

Exhibit JSW-7 Page 2 of 3

Exhibit JSW-7 Page 3 of 3

### EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY CASH WORKING CAPITAL REQUIREMENT - LEAD-LAG STUDY FOR THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2024 BENEFITS EXPENSE LEADS

Line						
No.	Category		То	tal Expenses	Weighting	Lead Days
	(A)			(B)	(C)	(D)
1	401K Administration	1 <b>1</b> 6.7	\$	91,708	0.4%	0.4
2	401K Matching	5.0		6,305,800	24.5%	1.2
3	Dental - Administration	25.2		102,082	0.4%	0.1
4	Dental - Benefits	13.2		706,335	2.7%	0.4
5	Insurance (Life/ADD/Disability)	21.1		453,992	1.8%	0.4
6	Medical - Administration	30.3		1,159,493	4.5%	1.4
7	Medical - Claims	13.8		10,449,922	40.5%	5.6
8	Medical - Rx	10.9		3,610,971	14.0%	1.5
9	OPEB Administration	130.1		204,486	0.8%	1.0
10	Parking Benefit	11.3		358,679	1.4%	0.2
11	Pension Administration	160.9		159,993	0.6%	1.0
12	Pension Funding	(8.5)		2,174,000	8.4%	(0.7)
13	Total		\$	25,777,460	100.0%	12.41

Source: C-1B C-1C Summary

Exhibit JSW-7 Page 3 of 3

Exhibit JSW-8 Page 1 of 1

### EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY CASH WORKING CAPITAL REQUIREMENT - LEAD-LAG STUDY FOR THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2024 TAXES OTHER THAN INCOME TAXES

Line				
No.	Description	Lead Days	Tot	al Expenses
	(A)	(B)		(C)
1	NM Compensating Tax	44.2	\$	11,629,423
2	NM Public Regulation Commission	265.0		874,803
3	New Mexico Property Taxes	245.3		4,698,851
4	Texas Gross Receipts Tax	76.4		11,671,159
5	Texas Franchise Fees	89.3		28,189,924
6	Texas Public Utility Commission Fee	236.0		1,321,850
7	Texas Property Tax	211.9		18,303,645
8	Arizona Property Taxes	211.4		6,837,798

Source: Work Paper D

Exhibit JSW-8 Page 1 of 1

#### Exhibit JSW-9 Page 1 of 1

#### EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY CASH WORKING CAPITAL REQUIREMENT - LEAD-LAG STUDY FOR THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2024 INCOME TAX EXPENSE LEADS

#### FEDERAL

Line					
No.		Description	Lead Days	Weighting [1]	Weighted Lag
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
1	1Q 2023		58.5	30.7%	17.9
2	2Q 2023		23.0	58.4%	13.4
з	3Q 2023		26.5	12.3%	3.3
4	4Q 2023		25.5	-1.4%	(0.4)
5	Total			100.0%	34.3

Source: Work Paper E-1

#### STATE - ARIZONA AND NEW MEXICO

Line					
No.		Description	Lead Days	Weighting [1]	Weighted Lag
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
6	1Q 2023		58.5	30.7%	17.9
7	2Q 2023		23.0	58.4%	13.4
8	3Q 2023		23.5	12.3%	2.9
9	4Q 2023		25.5	-1.4%	(0.4)
10	Total			100.0%	33.9

Source: Work Paper E-2

#### STATE - TEXAS

Line				
No.	Description	Lead Days	Weighting [1]	Weighted Lag
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
11	Annual Payment	(48.0)	100.0%	(48.0)
12	Tru-Up Payment	- ·	0.0%	
13	Total		100.0%	(48.0)

Source: Work Paper E-4

[1] Weightings are based on the relative weightings of quarterly book income/(losses).

Exhibit JSW-9 Page 1 of 1

## DOCKET NO 57568

\$0.00

APPLICATION OF EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY TO CHANGE RATES

PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF TEXAS

## DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

## ELLEN LAPSON, CFA

## FOR

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY

JANUARY 2025

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

### **SUBJECT**

## PAGE

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	3
III.	EPE CAPITAL EXPENDITURES	4
IV.	CREDIT RATINGS AND FINANCIAL STRENGTH	6
V.	KEY CREDIT RATING RATIOS OF cash FLOW LEVERAGE	.15
VI.	EPE'S CAPITAL STRUCTURE	.18
VII.	FINANCIAL FORECAST MODEL	.20
VIII.	CONCLUSIONS	.23

## EXHIBITS

Exhibit EL-1	Professional Quali	fications
--------------	--------------------	-----------

- Exhibit EL-2 Rating Symbols Correlation
- Exhibit EL-3 Distribution of Moody's Utility Ratings
- Exhibit EL-4 Moody's Opinion, "El Paso Electric Company", December 18, 2024 -CONFIDENTIAL
- Exhibit EL-5 Fitch Report "El Paso Electric Company", May 2, 2024 CONFIDENTIAL

# I. INTRODUCTION

- 2 Q1. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, OCCUPATION, BUSINESS ADDRESS AND PARTY
  3 FOR WHOM YOU ARE FILING TESTIMONY.
- A. My name is Ellen Lapson, CFA. My business address is 370 Riverside Drive, New York,
  NY 10025. I am the principal of Lapson Advisory, an independent financial consulting
  firm. I am providing direct testimony in this docket on behalf of El Paso Electric Company,
  ("EPE" or "the Company".)
- 8

## 9 Q2. WHAT IS YOUR EDUCATIONAL AND BUSINESS BACKGROUND.

10 Α. I have earned the designation of Chartered Financial Analyst (CFA) and a Master of 11 Business Administration from New York University Stern School of Business, where I 12 specialized in financial accounting and finance. I have worked in the capital markets with 13 particular focus on the financial affairs of regulated public utilities for more than 50 years. 14 I began my career as a securities analyst at Argus Research Corporation analyzing equity 15 securities of utility companies. After five years, I switched to the fixed income and 16 commercial lending market as a commercial banker and later an investment banker at a 17 predecessor of J.P. Morgan; for twenty years, I structured and executed debt financing 18 transactions for utility and infrastructure companies. Thereafter, I was employed for 19 seventeen years, first as a senior director and then as a managing director at a credit rating 20 agency, Fitch Ratings. At Fitch I directed analysts who rated credit in the sectors of 21 electricity, natural gas, and project finance and frequently chaired rating committees. In 22 2012, I left that position and founded Lapson Advisory. The list of my professional 23 qualifications appears in Exhibit EL-1.

24

## 25 Q3. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR RESPONSIBILITIES IN YOUR CURRENT POSITION.

A. At Lapson Advisory, I advise companies in the utility and infrastructure sector on how to maintain or improve their access to capital markets. This includes: (1) testifying as an expert witness on utility financial matters; (2) advising bond issuers or borrowers on the ratings they are likely to achieve from one or more credit rating agencies; and (3) conducting research and writing white papers on the impact of a proposed regulatory treatment or accounting rules on the financial welfare of affected companies. Also, I have
 developed and conducted professional training programs in corporate finance, project
 finance, and credit analysis for mid-level professionals in the gas and electric sectors.

4

# 5 Q4. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY 6 COMMISSION OF TEXAS ("COMMISSION") OR ANY OTHER COMMISSIONS?

A. Yes, the following is a list of docket numbers of my prior testimony before this
Commission: Dockets 46416, 46957, 47527, 48371, 48401, 48929, 49421, 49849, 51547,
52487, 53601, 54316, and 55867. Also, I have testified as an expert financial witness in
seventeen state jurisdictions and before the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.
Exhibit EL-1 includes a list of my expert witness assignments in various state and federal
jurisdictions.

- 13
- 14

# Q5. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?

A. The purpose of my direct testimony is to support the regulatory capital structure for EPE requested by Company witness Richard Gonzalez. The authorized and actual capital structure are major factors determining EPE's operating cash flow, in combination with the authorized return on equity and regulatory accounting measures adopted by the Commission. The resultant operating cash flow determines the Company's financial strength, liquidity, and its ability to attract capital, all of which are essential to EPE's ability to satisfy the electric service needs of the public and fulfill its public interest mandate.

22

# 23 Q6. WAS YOUR TESTIMONY PREPARED BY YOU OR UNDER YOUR SUPERVISION?

- 24 A. Yes.
- 25

# 26 Q7. IS THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN YOUR TESTIMONY TRUE AND 27 CORRECT TO THE BEST OF YOUR KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF?

- 28 A. Yes.
- 29
- 30 Q8. ARE YOU SPONSORING ANY EXHIBITS?

1	А.	Yes.	Yes. I am sponsoring the following Exhibits:		
2		Exhib	it EL-1	Professional Qualifications	
3		Exhib	it EL-2	Rating Symbols Correlation	
4		Exhibit EL-3		Distribution of Moody's Utility Ratings	
5		Exhib	it EL-4	Moody's Opinion, "El Paso Electric Company", December 18, 2024	
6		Exhib	it EL-5	Fitch Report "El Paso Electric Company", May 2, 2024	
7					
8	Q9.	HOW	IS THE B	ALANCE OF YOUR TESTIMONY STRUCTURED?	
9	Α.	The re	emainder o	f my Direct Testimony is organized as follows:	
10		II.	Executiv	e Summary	
11		II <b>I</b> ,	Capital E	Expenditures and Source of Funding	
12		IV.	Credit Ra	atings and Financial Strength	
13		V.	Rating A	gencies' Key Credit Ratios	
14		VI.	EPE Cap	vital Structure	
15		VII.	Financial	l Forecast Model	
16		VIII.	Conclusi	ons	
17					
18				II. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	
19	Q10,	PLEA	SE SUMN	ARIZE THE KEY POINTS OF YOUR TESTIMONY.	
20	Α.	EPE's	financial	strength is essential to enable the Company to carry out its obligation to	
21		serve	customers	Easy and continuous access to credit and long-term debt funding allows	
22		the ut	ility to pro	ocure energy resources, maintain and expand its networks, provide high	
23		quality	y service,	and satisfy increasing customer demands. To support these needs, it is	
24		impor	tant that re	gulated capital structure and rates be set at a level that supports financial	
25		stabili	ty and cap	ital attraction.	
26			In 2022,	the Commission authorized EPE to establish rates based upon a capital	
27		structi	ure of 51 p	ercent equity and 49 percent long-term debt. Since the beginning of 2022,	
28		the ov	wners of l	EPE have invested \$590 million of additional equity in EPE to fund	
29		substa	intial ongo	ing capital expenditures and balance the additional debt needed to fund	
30		constr	uction and	operations. To put this incremental equity investment into perspective, it	

3

4

5

is equal to 39 percent of EPE's total common equity at the start of 2022.

In the current rate application, EPE is seeking authorization for a regulatory capital structure of 56.4 percent equity and 43.6 percent long-term debt, consistent with the Company's actual capital structure at the end of the third quarter (September 30, 2024) with an adjustment for dividends paid as shown in Table EL-6.

In the light of EPE's large capital expenditure budget and required external 6 7 financing over the years 2025 – 2027, sustaining the Company's current credit ratings is of 8 great importance. As I explain in Section VII and the related exhibit EL-7, enhancing the 9 authorized equity capital to 56.4 percent and reducing debt leverage will support the 10 important credit ratios of cash flow to debt that are the key financial metrics of Moody's 11 and Fitch, the two credit rating agencies that rate EPE's debt. The requested capital 12 structure will enhance and support the Company's creditworthiness during a period when 13 high customer demand growth and the related major capital expenditures require ongoing 14 debt financing from the bond market and revolving credit banks. I recommend that the 15 Commission authorize a regulatory capital structure in line with EPE's request of 16 56.4 percent equity.

- 17
- 18

### **III. EPE CAPITAL EXPENDITURES**

# 19 Q11. WHAT IS DRIVING INCREASES IN THE COMPANY'S CAPITAL INVESTMENT20 PLAN?

21 Several factors are responsible for growing capital investments. One is the demand for Α. 22 new customer connections and the need to enhance the distribution system to meet 23 increasing load. The number of customers grew by 1.5% per annum from 2013 to 2023, 24 and the growth rate is on the increase to 1.75% per annum. Customers' increasing demand 25 for power is an important driver; EPE's peak load in July 2024 was 8.3% greater than the 26 2023 peak, indicative of the need for additional power supply. As referenced in EPE 27 witness George Novela's testimony, EPE has an ongoing project to complete the 28 installation of 150 megawatts of solar power generation (the Texas Solar One facility). 29 Other investment needs are the Company's share of upgrades at the Palo Verde Generating 30 Station, enhancements to the transmission and distribution system, and advanced metering.

2 Q12. ARE EPE'S CAPITAL INVESTMENTS HIGH RELATIVE TO THE SIZE OF THE3 COMPANY?

1

19

30

4 Α. Yes, EPE's capital expenditures ("Capex") are high in relation to the Company's operating 5 cash flow and the existing fixed asset portfolio. A useful measure for analyzing the scale 6 of a utility's capital expenditures is the ratio of Capex to annual depreciation charges for 7 property, plant and equipment ("PP&E") in commercial service. The costs of capital 8 equipment have experienced inflation over the past twenty years, and the current cost of 9 investing in new PP&E is substantially higher than the historical cost of a utility's existing 10 fixed assets. Therefore, a ratio of Capex to Depreciation of 1.0 times indicates that the 11 cash flow from depreciation charges will not even suffice to pay for the replacement of the 12 utility's aged infrastructure, and it certainly will not cover the added costs to supply new 13 loads.

EPE's total Capex amounted to \$1,577 million for the period 2020-2023, or 3.1 times the annual depreciation charges for those years. EPE's budgeted Capex for the three years 2025-2027 is \$3,310 million, 5 times the depreciation charges in those three years. EPE's historic and projected annual capital investments are shown in comparison with annual depreciation charges in Table EL-1.

Table EL-1

20	Actual and Budgeted Capital Investment (\$ millions)				
21		Capital		Capital Investment /	
22		Investment.	Depreciation	Depreciation	
23	Year	(CapEx)*	Charges	(%)	
24	2020	\$285	\$107	266%	
25	2021	\$371	\$114	325%	
20	2022	\$395	\$134	295%	
26	2023	\$526	\$148	356%	
27	2024 Estimate	\$703	\$175	402%	
28	2025-27 Average per annum	\$1,103	\$217	508%	
29	*Notes: Includes PP&E, n	uclear fuel, a	and capitalized	interest during	
20	construction.				

482

Q13. HOW DOES THE CAPEX BUDGETED FOR 2025-27 COMPARE WITH THE SIZE OF
 THE CURRENT PP&E?

A. To put the current three-year capital investment plan in perspective, the budgeted Capex of \$3.3 billion for 2025-2027 represents an 82% increment relative to EPE's total investment in PP&E net of depreciation of \$4 billion at September 30, 2024. The implication of such a high level of capital investment is that internal operating cash flow will cover very little of the needed funding, and therefore substantial amounts of external financing will be required.

- 9
- 10

### IV. CREDIT RATINGS AND FINANCIAL STRENGTH

11 Q14. SHOULD THE COMMISSION BE CONCERNED ABOUT SUSTAINING EPE'S12 FINANCIAL CONDITION?

13 Α. Yes. Sound financial condition enables the Company not only to cover its operating 14 expenses but also to attract capital on favorable terms during all phases of the capital 15 market cycle, in good times and bad. The generation, transmission, and distribution of 16 electricity is a capital-intensive business. The Company has the obligation to invest 17 continuously in long-lived fixed assets to serve growth in connections and usage, comply 18 with changing governmental mandates and safety regulations, replace infrastructure at the 19 end of its useful life, and enhance the resilience and reliability of its systems. When a 20 utility has sound and stable financial condition, it is better able to deal with stressful events 21 that arise and still maintain a satisfactory level of service to customers.

As I explained in the preceding section, EPE faces heightened needs for investment in its network and power generation. To fulfill these obligations, EPE needs to be able to access the capital and bank markets on good terms regardless of capital market cycles.

- 25
- 26

## 6 Q15. HOW DOES EPE SOURCE FUNDS FOR ITS CAPITAL EXPENDITURES?

A. Between issues of long-term bonds, EPE uses its Revolving Credit Facility ("RCF") as a
 flexible source of funding to manage the periodic variations in its operating cash flow
 including fluctuating costs of fuels and purchased power, and interim funding of capital
 investments, prior to issuing new long-term debt. EPE periodically issues long-term bonds

1

to refund maturing bonds, pay down the revolving credit balance, and to fund new longterm investments. EPE's RCF currently permits borrowing up to \$550 million.

3 4 EPE keeps its capital structure in balance by periodically receiving infusions of common equity from investors in the Infrastructure Investments Fund ("IIF").

5

# 6 Q16. WHY ARE FAVORABLE CREDIT RATINGS ESSENTIAL FOR A UTILITY?

A. When a utility has credit ratings from nationally recognized credit rating agencies that compare favorably with those of peer utility companies, the utility will benefit from open access to the capital and bank market on favorable terms, especially during a period of heightened capital expenditures. During periods of market stress, companies with credit ratings that are at or near the bottom of the investment grade category or below investment grade (that is, in the speculative range of ratings) are vulnerable to constrained market conditions and may not be able to come to market on reasonable terms.

14

# 15 Q17. HOW MUCH FINANCING WILL EPE REQUIRE TO FUND ITS PROJECTED16 CAPITAL INVESTMENTS?

A. As shown in Table EL-2 below, EPE's projected capital investments and other financial
 needs for the three years 2025 - 2027 will outpace internal cash flow sources. The
 Company will need to bring in approximately \$2.65 billion from debt and equity sources
 to cover the capital budget requirements.

 21
 /

 22
 /

 23
 /

 24
 /

 25
 /

 26
 /

 27
 /

 28
 /

 29
 /

 30
 /

1						
2		Table EL-2				
3		Capital Requirements 2025 - 27				
4		and Sources of Capital (	\$ millions)			
5			Total 3 Years			
6		<b>Capital Requirements</b>				
7		Capital Expenditures	\$3,101			
8		Nuclear Fuel Purchases	\$108			
9		Capitalized Interest	\$102			
10		Retire Long-Term Debt	\$64			
11		Dividends Paid	<u>\$488_</u>			
12		<b>Total Capital Required</b>	\$3,863			
13						
14		Sources of Capital				
15		Cash From Operations	\$1,227			
16		Long-Term Debt	\$1,241			
17		Equity Contributions	\$1,408			
18		Net Incr./(Decr.) in ST Debt	\$13			
19		Other	<u>(\$26)</u>			
20		<b>Total Sources of Capital</b>	\$3,863			
21		Notes: ST - Short-Term.				
22		Figures are rounded.				
23						
24						
25	Q18.	WHAT ROLE DO CREDIT RATING AGEN	ICIES AND THEIR RATINGS PLAY IN			
26		THE FINANCIAL MARKET?				
27	Α.	The typical investors in long-term utility bond	s are sophisticated entities such as pension			
28		funds, insurers, and mutual funds (that is, institutional investors), as well as family offices				
29		and wealth managers. For all fixed-incom	e investors, credit ratings function as a			
30		framework for comparing the returns avail	able on both long-term and short-term			

1 instruments in the context of a convention for considering credit risk. Many institutional 2 investors have portfolio limits or regulations that limit the aggregate amount of their 3 portfolio that they can purchase or hold at specific rating levels, with high limits for instruments of the highest rating tiers and progressively lower limits for successively lower 4 5 rating tiers. To attract investors to purchase bonds or notes of lower relative credit quality, 6 issuers must offer higher interest rates and/or shorten the maturity of the instruments they 7 issue. When bankers offer new-issue bonds to investors, a key piece of information is the 8 instruments' credit ratings. Institutional investors frequently perform in-house research to 9 supplement the research published by two or more nationally recognized credit rating 10 agencies, but they conduct their own research within the context of comparison with the credit ratings of nationally recognized rating agencies. 11

Furthermore, commercial bankers use credit ratings as the basis for automatic pricing adjustments in their credit agreements and to calculate the amount of capital reserves they must keep against loans and commitments to borrowers of different credit quality. Higher credit limits are available at lower cost for higher rated credits. Credit ratings are also widely used by vendors, energy suppliers, and lessors to decide upon credit terms and pricing.

18 In brief, investors and bankers do not cede their decision-making to the credit rating 19 agencies, but the ratings and research reports issued by nationally recognized credit rating 20 agencies are extremely influential and are deeply embedded in the operation of the bond 21 market and banking markets.

22

# 23 Q19. WHAT ARE EPE'S CURRENT CREDIT RATINGS?

- A. EPE'S credit is rated by Moody's Investors Service ("Moody's) and Fitch Ratings ("Fitch").
   Their long-term credit ratings are shown in Table EL-3 below.
- 26
   /

   27
   /

   28
   /

   29
   /

   30
   /

1				
2		Table EL-	3	
3		Long Term Issuer Cr	edit Ratings	
4				
5			Moody's	Fitch*
6		Long -Term Issuer Rating	Baa2	BBB
7		Senior Unsecured Debt	Baa2	BBB+
8		Rating Outlook	Stable	Stable
9		*Fitch's Long Term Issuer Rati	ng is called th	he "Issuer
10		Default Rating".		
11				
12	Q20,	PLEASE EXPLAIN THE SIGNIFIC	CANCE OF	THE RATINGS SHOWN IN THE
13		TABLE ABOVE.		
14	А.	As shown in Table EL-3, the rating sy	mbols used b	y Moody's and Fitch are different, but
15		the two agencies have similar views ab	out the Comp	any's financial strength. Moody's long-
16		term issuer rating of Baa2 and Fitch's	issuer defaul	t rating of BBB are equivalent ratings
17		that express the agencies' views of	of EPE's bas	sic financial strength and stability.
18		Exhibit EL-2 "Correspondence of Cree	lit Rating Syr	mbols" shows the equivalences among
19		the symbols used by major credit rating	gagencies. A	s shown in that exhibit, Baa2 and BBB
20		correspond exactly.		
21		The ratings that investors look t	to as indicator	rs of a company's financial strength are
22		Moody's Long-term Debt Rating and F	itch's Issuer D	Default Rating. Ratings that incorporate
23		the probability of recovery after a defa	ult are not co	nsidered to be indicators of the issuer's
24		basic financial strength. <sup>1</sup>		
25				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Moody's applies its Long-term Credit Rating to an issuer's senior unsecured notes and bonds, and the same rating assesses the general probability of a default by the entity. Fitch's foundational rating is the Issuer Default Rating (IDR) that expresses the general probability of default by the issuer. Fitch sometimes rates the issuer's unsecured bonds and notes higher or lower than the IDR, expressing a view of the probability of recovery for the debt instrument in case of default. Fitch rates EPE's senior unsecured notes and bonds BBB+, one notch higher than the BBB Issuer Default Rating, reflecting Fitch's view that the senior unsecured debt of EPE has somewhat better-than-average recovery prospects in the event of a default.

1	Q21.	HOW DOES MOODY'S DEFINE THEIR CORPORATE ISSUER RATING OF BAA2?
2	Α.	Moody's defines ratings in the Baa category as follows:
3		• Baa: Obligations rated Baa are judged to be medium-grade and subject to moderate
4		credit risk and as such may possess certain speculative characteristics.
5		• Moody's appends numerical modifiers 1, 2, and 3 to each generic rating classification
6		from Aa through Caa. The modifier 1 indicates that the obligation ranks in the higher end
7		of its generic rating category; the modifier 2 indicates a mid-range ranking; and the
8		modifier 3 indicates a ranking at the lower end of that generic rating category. <sup>2</sup>
9		
10	Q22.	WHAT IS FITCH'S DEFINITION OF ITS CORPORATE ISSUER RATING OF BBB?
11	A.	Fitch defines corporate issuer ratings in the BBB category as follows:
12		• Good credit quality. Ratings of "BBB" indicate that expectations of default risk are
13		currently low. The capacity for payment of financial commitments is considered adequate,
14		but adverse business or economic conditions are more likely to impair this capacity. <sup>3</sup>
15		• Fitch divides each broad rating category from AA to CCC into three sub-categories
16		with a plus symbol (+) denoting ratings in the upper part of the general rating category; no
17		+ or - symbol denotes a mid-range credit, and a minus symbol (-) denotes ratings at the
18		low end of the general category.
19		Ratings of Baa3 for Moody's and BBB- for Fitch are the lowest sub-category within
20		the BBB and Baa categories respectively, and each is the lowest rating category in the
21		range of investment grade ratings. Ratings below Baa3 or BBB- are in the speculative
22		grade.
23		
24	Q23.	HOW DO EPE'S LONG-TERM CREDIT RATINGS COMPARE WITH THE RATINGS
25		OF PEER U.S. INVESTOR-OWNED UTILITIES?
26	Α.	EPE is a utility operating company ("Opco"), and its ratings are appropriately compared
27		with the credit ratings of other Opcos, not with utility holding companies. EPE's ratings

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Moody's Investors Service, "Rating Symbols and Definitions", October 16, 2024, at 6, <u>https://ratings.moodys.com/api/rmc-documents/53954</u>

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Fitch Ratings, "Rating Definitions", June 11, 2024, at 9, <u>https://www.fitchratings.com/research/fund-asset-managers/rating-definitions-24-04-2023.</u>

1	are low relativ	ve to the ratings of of	ther U.S. elec	tric and gas Opcos.	This is illustrated by	
2	comparing EPE's Moody's rating with the credit ratings for the universe of 130 electric and					
3	gas Opcos rated by Moody's. Table EL-4 below summarizes the distribution of ratings of					
4	U.S. investor-	owned Opcos as of N	lovember 11,	2024.		
5		Ta	able EL-4			
6		Moody's Ratings	of 130 U.S. U	Jtility Opcos		
7		Summary Statistics,	, 130 U.S. In	vestor-Owned		
8		Utilities Rate	ed by Moody'	's*		
9				Percent of		
10		Rating	Number	Total		
11		A1	7	5,4%		
12		A2	17	13.1%		
13		A3	40	30.8%		
14		Baa1	44	33.8%		
15		Baa2	16	12.3%		
16		Baa3	3	2.3%		
17		Below Baa3	<u>3</u>	<u>2.3%</u>		
18		Total	130	100.0%		
19						
20		Baa1 and higher	108	83.1%		
21		Baa2	16	12.3%		
22		Baa3 and lower	<u>6</u>	<u>4.6%</u>		
23		Total	130	100.0%		
24		*Long-term issuer	ratings at N	November 11,		
25		2024. Includes Elec	rtric only, Ga	s Distribution		
26		only, and Combinat	ion Gas and E	Electric Opcos.		
27						
28	As shown in	Table EL-4 above ar	nd in the relat	ted exhibit EL-3, of	130 electric and gas	
29	Opcos with published Moody's ratings, approximately 83 percent are rated higher than					
30	EPE; 12 perce	ent are rated Baa2, the	e same as EPB	E, and fewer than 5 p	percent are rated Baa3	

or lower.

1 2

# Q24. WHY IS THE FOCUS IN TABLE EL-4 UPON THE DISTRIBUTION OF MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS?

- 5 A. Since Moody's publishes more utility Opco ratings than Fitch, the larger universe of 6 Moody's U.S. utility Opco ratings offers greater statistical significance for an analysis of 7 ratings dispersion.<sup>4</sup> Therefore, the Moody's data provides the best representation of the 8 full spectrum of credit quality among EPE's U.S. Opco peers.
- 9

- 20 Regulatory support for EPE's current ratings of Baa2 and BBB would assure 21 investors that EPE is not vulnerable to a downgrade to Baa3/BBB-, thus facilitating EPE's 22 access to capital and financial resilience.
- 23

# Q26. WHY DO YOU CHOOSE TO MODEL THE POTENTIAL CREDIT IMPACTS FROM THE RATE CASE USING MOODY'S APPROACH?

A. For three reasons. First, Moody's ratings are more influential with the investing public,
and Moody's covers a wider range of the utility sector. Second, Moody's analytical
approach to rating EPE is more transparent, and therefore it is easier to project the future

IN YOUR PROFESSIONAL OPINION, IS IT A MATTER OF CONCERN THAT EPE'S 10 Q25. 11 ISSUER RATINGS ARE LOW RELATIVE TO THE UNIVERSE OF RATED OPCOS? 12 Yes. Ratings of Baa2 by Moody's and BBB by Fitch are within the low end of the Α. 13 investment grade, and adverse developments in the realm of regulation, climate, or 14 commodity prices could cause either or both agencies to reduce their ratings by at least one 15 notch. A single notch downgrade by Moody's from Baa2 would put EPE in the rating 16 category of Baa3, and similarly a downgrade by Fitch of a single notch would result in a 17 rating downgrade to BBB-. Investors view utility Opcos with ratings of Baa3 or BBB-18 with suspicion, since they have potential risk of a further downgrade to below investment 19 grade.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Fitch's ratings of U.S. electric and gas utility Opco's covered 58% of the U.S. utility Opcos rated by Moody's at November 19, 2024.

1

impact of the rate proceeding upon their key credit indicator. Finally, Moody's rating of
 EPE is more vulnerable to downgrade, while Fitch has revealed less stringent conditions
 for downgrading EPE.

3 4

# 5 Q27. HOW HAS MOODY'S CHARACTERIZED EPE'S FINANCIAL CONDITION IN 6 RECENT CREDIT REVIEWS?

7 Α. Moody's reported on June 11, 2024 that it had affirmed EPE's credit rating of Baa2 with a 8 Stable rating outlook because it expected that the Company's ratio of cash flow from 9 operations before changes in working capital ("CFO pre-WC") to debt would be sustained in the range of 15 to16 percent over the next two years.<sup>5</sup> That signifies that if in reality 10 11 EPE's cash flow does not sustain that level, the rating agency would take a negative rating action. To place that in context, Moody's also noted in the report that EPE's key cash flow 12 ratio of CFO pre-WC to debt had fallen below 15% for the years 2020-2022, with an 13 14 average of around 14 percent. As discussed below, 15% is the benchmark for considering 15 a downgrade from the Baa2 rating. The drop in the ratio was due to: 1. the delayed order 16 on EPE's 2021 rate case that exacerbated a cost recovery lag; 2. higher than average 17 deferred fuel balances from spikes in regional natural gas costs; and 3. the delayed commercial operation date of the new Newman Unit 6 gas-fueled power plant.<sup>6</sup> (The first 18 two items are products of the regulatory process and regulatory rules, while the third 19 20 resulted from supply-chain and construction delays that were prevalent in the industry 21 during that period.)

22

# Q28. COULD THE OUTCOME OF THE CURRENT RATE APPLICATION AFFECT 24 MOODY'S RATING OF THE COMPANY'S DEBT?

- A. Yes, the results of this current rate application could affect EPE's future ratings either
   positively or negatively. Moody's most recent Credit Opinion states:
- EPE's ratings could be upgraded if the company's regulatory environments remain supportive, including timely recovery of costs and investments, and the ability for the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Moody's press release "Moody's Affirms El Paso Electric's Ratings, Outlook Stable", June 11, 2024. Exhibit EL-4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Ibid.

1		utility to earn its authorized returns; and financial metrics improve such that its CFO pre-
2		WC to debt ratio is sustained above 19%. <sup>7</sup>
3		Conversely, Moody's presents the following rationale for a potential downgrade of
4		EPE's credit rating:
5		EPE's ratings could be downgraded if its ratio of CFO pre-WC to debt falls below
6		15% on a sustained basis. A downgrade could be considered if a more contentious political
7		or regulatory environment emerges in Texas or New Mexico, or if political intervention by
8		the El Paso City Council creates material uncertainty over cost or investment recovery.8
9		
10	Q29.	MOODY'S CURRENTLY ASSESSES EPE'S CREDIT OUTLOOK AS "STABLE".
11		DOES A STABLE OUTLOOK BY A CREDIT RATING AGENCY ASSURE THAT
12		THERE WILL BE NO DOWNWARD RATING CHANGE?
13	Α.	No. A Stable outlook is not a guarantee of inaction. Although the rating agencies'
14		procedures require a review of the public ratings of each rated entity at a minimum of once
14 15		procedures require a review of the public ratings of each rated entity at a minimum of once per year, the agencies review important developments affecting the companies they rate
14 15 16		procedures require a review of the public ratings of each rated entity at a minimum of once per year, the agencies review important developments affecting the companies they rate and take rating actions at any time when they become aware of a change in a company's
14 15 16 17		procedures require a review of the public ratings of each rated entity at a minimum of once per year, the agencies review important developments affecting the companies they rate and take rating actions at any time when they become aware of a change in a company's circumstances or outlook. Negative rating actions could include changing the outlook to
14 15 16 17 18		procedures require a review of the public ratings of each rated entity at a minimum of once per year, the agencies review important developments affecting the companies they rate and take rating actions at any time when they become aware of a change in a company's circumstances or outlook. Negative rating actions could include changing the outlook to Negative, instituting Watch Negative, or downgrading the rating. <sup>9</sup> In my experience,
14 15 16 17 18 19		procedures require a review of the public ratings of each rated entity at a minimum of once per year, the agencies review important developments affecting the companies they rate and take rating actions at any time when they become aware of a change in a company's circumstances or outlook. Negative rating actions could include changing the outlook to Negative, instituting Watch Negative, or downgrading the rating. <sup>9</sup> In my experience, unfavorable outcomes of regulatory proceedings are common triggers for credit reviews
14 15 16 17 18 19 20		procedures require a review of the public ratings of each rated entity at a minimum of once per year, the agencies review important developments affecting the companies they rate and take rating actions at any time when they become aware of a change in a company's circumstances or outlook. Negative rating actions could include changing the outlook to Negative, instituting Watch Negative, or downgrading the rating. <sup>9</sup> In my experience, unfavorable outcomes of regulatory proceedings are common triggers for credit reviews and negative rating actions by Moody's and Fitch. Also, as the credit rating agencies take
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		procedures require a review of the public ratings of each rated entity at a minimum of once per year, the agencies review important developments affecting the companies they rate and take rating actions at any time when they become aware of a change in a company's circumstances or outlook. Negative rating actions could include changing the outlook to Negative, instituting Watch Negative, or downgrading the rating. <sup>9</sup> In my experience, unfavorable outcomes of regulatory proceedings are common triggers for credit reviews and negative rating actions by Moody's and Fitch. Also, as the credit rating agencies take note of EPE's increased capital expense budget and resultant need for greater levels of
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		procedures require a review of the public ratings of each rated entity at a minimum of once per year, the agencies review important developments affecting the companies they rate and take rating actions at any time when they become aware of a change in a company's circumstances or outlook. Negative rating actions could include changing the outlook to Negative, instituting Watch Negative, or downgrading the rating. <sup>9</sup> In my experience, unfavorable outcomes of regulatory proceedings are common triggers for credit reviews and negative rating actions by Moody's and Fitch. Also, as the credit rating agencies take note of EPE's increased capital expense budget and resultant need for greater levels of external financing, the agencies will incorporate that into their analyses, and that could lead
<ol> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> </ol>		procedures require a review of the public ratings of each rated entity at a minimum of once per year, the agencies review important developments affecting the companies they rate and take rating actions at any time when they become aware of a change in a company's circumstances or outlook. Negative rating actions could include changing the outlook to Negative, instituting Watch Negative, or downgrading the rating. <sup>9</sup> In my experience, unfavorable outcomes of regulatory proceedings are common triggers for credit reviews and negative rating actions by Moody's and Fitch. Also, as the credit rating agencies take note of EPE's increased capital expense budget and resultant need for greater levels of external financing, the agencies will incorporate that into their analyses, and that could lead to a more negative review of EPE's credit status.

25

V. **KEY CREDIT RATING RATIOS OF cash FLOW LEVERAGE** 

Q30. PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW THE LEVELS OF CASH FLOW AND DEBT IMPACT THE 27 KEY CREDIT RATIO USED BY MOODY'S.

<sup>7</sup> Moody's Investors Service, "El Paso Electric Company, Update to Credit Analysis" December 18, 2024, at 2.

<sup>8</sup> Ibid.

<sup>9</sup> A negative rating outlook indicates that the expected future direction of the rating is likely to be downward, but without any defined timeframe. The designation of Rating Watch Negative indicates that the rating agency expects to lower the rating in the near future.

1 A. Each credit rating agency employs credit ratios that represent a measure of cash flow 2 divided by the entity's debt (or debt divided by the cash flow measure), and these ratios are 3 key determinants of the financial part of each agency's rating process. Earlier, I mentioned that for Moody's, that measure is called CFO Pre-WC divided by Debt. This is a cash flow 4 5 measure of debt leverage. Moody's discloses this ratio as a key financial benchmark in 6 virtually all corporate credit rating decisions, and the agency's credit reports on utility 7 companies typically disclose the target or expected ratio of CFO Pre-WC/Debt. The 8 denominator of this ratio is total debt. Reducing the denominator (Debt) while increasing 9 or keeping constant the numerator (CFO Pre-WC, that is, cash from operations before 10 working capital) would enhance the ratio and would be a favorable credit indicator. On 11 the other hand, increasing debt as the denominator while keeping constant or reducing the numerator CFO Pre-WC would lower the resulting ratio and indicate weaker credit. 12

13

# 14 Q31. PLEASE DEMONSTRATE THE CALCULATION OF THE KEY CASH FLOW 15 LEVERAGE RATIO USED BY MOODY'S.

A. Table EL-5 below illustrates the calculations of the agency's ratios. The ratios shown in
 the table for the full below are consistent with the results published by Moody's in their
 reports. I have summarized the most recent guidance by Moody's of the sensitivity of the
 current rating either upward or downward related to the cash flow leverage ratio of CFO
 pre-WC / Debt .

 21
 /

 22
 /

 23
 /

 24
 /

 25
 /

 26
 /

 27
 /

 28
 /

 29
 /

 30
 /

1		Table EL-5						
2		Calculating Moody's Key Cash Flow to Debt Ratio						
3			(\$ million)					
4					LTM			
5			FY 2022	FY 2023	9/30/2024			
6								
7		Cash from Operations pre-WC	272	344	322			
8		Total Moody's Adjusted Debt	1,949	2,199	2,057			
9		MOODY'S CFO pre-WC/ Debt	14.0%	15.6%	15.7%			
10		Moody's Guidance: Downgrade 1	likely if this ra	tio is sustained	below 15%;			
11		Upgrade is possible if this ratio is	sustained abo	ve 19%. (a)				
12								
13		Notes: FY - Fiscal Year ended D	ecember 31; L	TM - Last twe	ve months.			
14		(a) Moody's Update to Credit Ana	alysis, Decemt	oer 18, 2024.				
15								
16	Q32.	WHAT ARE THE MAJOR ELEMENTS (	OF EPE'S RA	TE APPLICA	TION THAT			
17		AFFECT EPE'S FUTURE CASH FLOW CRE	EDIT METRIC	S?				
18	Α.	Several elements of EPE's rate request are designed to increase or protect the utility's key						
19		cash flow leverage ratios. Included among these are the request to increase the equity						
20		proportion of the capital structure (which I will explain below); and the requested return						
21		on equity of 10.7 percent. Also, a decision to	allow EPE to	recover its full	investment in			
22		the Newman 6 Unit would protect EPE's cash f	low and cash f	low ratios; on t	he other hand,			
23		reduced recovery of the investment in Newman	n 6 would be d	etrimental to E	PE's cash flow			
24		and key cash flow ratios.						
25								
26	Q33.	WHAT IS THE EFFECT OF VARYING A	A UTILITY'S	AUTHORIZE	ED CAPITAL			
27		STRUCTURE UPON RATING AGENCIES'	KEY CASH F	LOW RATIOS	?			
28	A.	Altering the proportions of equity and debt in t	he capital strue	cture has a pow	erful effect on			
29		CFO Pre-WC/Debt (Moody's favored ratio	). Reducing t	he amount of	f debt in the			
30		denominator improves the ratio of cash flow to	debt. The imp	rovement is fu	ther enhanced			

1		if the amount of cash flow is increased at the same time.							
2									
3		VI.	EPE'S CAP	ITAL S	FRUCTURE				
4	Q34.	WHAT IS EPE'S ACTUAL CAPITAL STRUCTURE AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 2024?							
5	Α.	The table below shows EPE's actual capital structure at the end of the third quarter of 2024							
6		reflecting the Company's	balance sheet a	t that dat	e, as adjusted to refl	lect the divid	ends paid		
7		to shareholders in Octo	ber 2024. E	EPE's cu	rrent rate request	application	requests		
8		Commission authorizatio	n of the capita	l structu	re of 56.4% equity	and 43.6%	debt, the		
9		same as the adjusted pro-	forma capital s	tructure s	shown in Table EL-	6 below.			
10				Table	EL-6				
11			EPE Regu	latory C	Capital Structure				
12		Te	est Year Ended	Septemb	er 30, 2024 (\$ Mill	ions)			
13			4 D 1	67	A 11	A 11 / 1	0 (		
14			As Reported	<u>%</u>	<u>Adjustment (A)</u>	Adjusted	<u>%</u>		
15		Common Equity	\$2,238.9	57,6%	(\$108.8)	\$2,130.1	56.4%		
16		Long-Term Debt	<u>\$1,646.1</u>	42,4%		<u>\$1,646.1</u>	43.6%		
17		Total Canital	\$3 885 0	100.0	(\$108.8)	\$3 776 2	100.0		
18		Total Cupital	45,005,0	100,0	(0100.0)	ψ5,770.2	100,0		
19		(A) Dividends paid in October 2024, after the end of the test year.							
20									
21	Q35.	PLEASE COMPARE	THE PATTER	RN OF	EQUITY INVES	TMENT B	Y EPE'S		
22		OWNERS SINCE 2022 AND THE EQUITY NEEDS IN THE NEXT SEVERAL YEARS.							
23	Α.	EPE's owners have invested \$590 million as additional equity in the Company since their							
24		acquisition of the Company in 2022, including \$353 million in 2024, as shown in							
25		Table EL-7 below. EPE's budget for 2025-2027 indicate plans for continued equity							
26		investments.							
27				/					
28				/					
29				/					
30				/					

1		Table EL-7						
2		Equity Infusions by Year						
3		(\$ millions)						
4			<u>2022</u>	<u>2023</u>	<u>2024</u>	Total 2022-24		
5		Actual	\$70	\$167	\$353	\$590		
6 7			2025	2026	2027	Total 2025-27		
8		Forecast	\$538	\$520	\$350	\$1,408		
9 10	036	IS THE AUTHORIZI	ED RE	CIII ATORY	САРІТАІ	STRUCTURE	Δ ΜΔΙΟΒ	
11	Q30.	DETERMINANT OF EI		TIDE ENIAN	CIAL COM	MTION2	A MAJOR	
11	Δ	Ves At the conclusion	f this n	roceeding the (	CIAL CONI	will octablish no	w rated based	
12	л.	upon the authorized an		of oquity and	dobt comm	will establish he	structure in	
13		upon the authorized amounts of equity and debt comprising the capital structure in						
14		combination with many other factors, which will influence EPE's future operating cash						
15		maintain going forward. These two quantities, operating each flow and dobt, are precisely						
17		the components used by EDE's credit rating especies to evaluate the Component's financial						
19		strength and credit worthings. I will address that tonic in the following spatian						
10		snenghi and creditworth	illess. 1 v	will aduless tha	ii topie ili the	ronowing secur	<b>л</b> 1.	
19 20	Q37.	ARE THERE FACTORS	S SPECI	FIC TO THE C	RCUMSTA	NCES OF EPE	THAT CALL	
21		FOR HIGHER EQUITY	CAPIT	ALIZATION A	AND REDU	CED DEBT LEV	ERAGE?	
22	A.	Yes. EPE bears three ris	ks that v	vould be mitiga	ted by increa	using equity and i	reducing debt	
23		leverage. The first is EPE's very small size and the related concentrated geographical and						
24		demographic concentration. For example, in its December 18, 2024, credit report on the						
25		Company, Moody's state	ed "[EP	E's] credit is co	onstrained by	vits relatively si	mall size and	
26		market concentration", a	and in th	he same report	cited "Sma	ll size and scale	" as a Credit	
27		Challenge. <sup>10</sup>						
28		Secondly, EPE is	an inte	grated electric	utility that g	enerates power a	nd thus bears	
29		not only the risks of e	lectric 1	power distribu	tion and tra	nsmission but a	lso the risks	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Moody's Investors Service, "El Paso Electric Company, Update to Credit Analysis", December 18, 2024 at 1 and 2.

associated with power supply and power production. Unlike a pure distribution and
 transmission utility, EPE is subject to the risks of building and operating power facilities
 and possible disallowances and delays in recovering costs of fuel and purchased power.

Third is EPE's dependence on nuclear power generation as a joint owner of
Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station for a large proportion of the power it generates.
Some major bond funds and investment managers avoid ownership of the bonds of utilities
with nuclear exposure because it is considered a concentrated risk factor.

8 Increasing the equity ratio with a corresponding reduction of debt leverage is an 9 effective way to mitigate these three risk elements.

- 10
- 11

### VII. FINANCIAL FORECAST MODEL

12 Q38. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE FINANCIAL FORECAST MODEL.

A. The Company operates a financial forecasting model that produces a forecasted balance
 sheet, income statement, and cash flow statement based upon various input assumptions.
 The Company performed forecasts for the period of 2024 through 2027 based on two
 scenarios with inputs that I specified. The two scenarios are:

17 <u>Case 1</u>. With authorized regulatory equity of 51 percent and return on equity of
 9.35 percent, similar to those authorized in the Company's last rate case, and income tax
 19 rate of 21 percent.

<u>Case 2</u>. With EPE's requested equity ratio of 56.4% and a return on equity of 10.7 percent;
the tax rate is the same as the one used in Case 1.

# The forecast models produce inputs that enable me to calculate Moody's key financial ratio in each of these cases, based on my understanding of the rating agency's methodologies.

25

# 26 Q39. HOW DO THE ASSUMPTIONS OF THE TWO CASES AFFECT MOODY'S KEY27 CASH FLOW LEVERAGE RATIO?

- A. Table EL-8 summarizes the results of Cases 1 and 2 for Moody's ratio of CFO pre-Working
  Capital/Debt.
- 30

1		Table EL-8           Comparing Moody's Credit Ratios in Two Cases							
2		Comparing moody 5 Credit Natios in 1960 Cases							
3		Case 1	Equity Ratio	ROE	Federal Tax Rate				
4 5		Assumptions	51%	9.35%	21%				
6									
7			(\$ million)						
8			2025	2026	2027				
9		Cash from Operations pre-WC	324.9	294.8	474.4				
10		Total Moody's Adjusted Debt	2,950.3	3,423.1	3,764.2				
11		CFO pre-WC/ Debt (%)	11.0%	8,6%	12.6%				
12									
13		Case 2	Equity Ratio	ROE	Federal Tax Rate				
14		Assumptions	56.4%	10,70%	21%				
15			(\$ million)						
16			(@ 00000)	2026	2027				
17			2025	2020	2027				
18		Cash from Operations pre-WC	348.3	339.0	533.7				
19		Total Moody's Adjusted Debt	2,719.6	3,133.4	3,430.6				
20		CFO pre-WC/ Debt %	12.8%	10.8%	15.6%				
21		Moody's Guidance: Downgrade	vie likelviftbie	ratio is su	stained below 15%				
22		Moody's Guidance: Downgrade is likely if this ratio is sustained below 15%;							
23		(a) Maadwa Undata ta Cradit A	nalveia. Deser	b = 18.30	(a)				
24		(a) Moody's Update to Credit A	nalysis, Decem	ber 18, 20	024.				
25									
26	Q40.	PLEASE EXPLAIN THE RESULTS OF CA	SE 1.						
27	Α.	In Case 1, with a regulatory equity ratio of 51	1% and a ROE	of 9.35 pe	rcent, Moody's ratio				
28		of CFO pre-Working Capital/Debt falls in 202	25 through 2027	to levels	that are significantly				
29		below Moody's hurdle of 15 percent on a	sustained bas	is. The	ratio of Cash from				
30		Operations pre-WC / Debt is 11 percent in 2	025, 8.6 percen	t in 2026,	and 12.6 percent in				

1 2027. The deficiency in the ratio relative to Moody's 15 percent hurdle for all three years 2 is significant and sustained. The pattern of the key credit ratio in Case 1 in 2025 - 2027 is 3 consistent with Moody's rating of Baa3, the lowest credit rating within the investment grade category. In the case of a decision by the Commission consistent with the assumptions 4 5 modeled in Case 1, Moody's would have several reasons to downgrade EPE's credit rating 6 to Baa3. In addition to the weak cash flow ratio, Moody's would note the high capital 7 expenditures and the unfavorable result of the rate proceeding would undermine Moody's 8 assessment of the credit supportiveness of the regulatory environment. Many or most bond 9 investors shy away from investments in utility Opcos with ratings in the Baa3 category 10 because in the event of stress events that put further pressure upon the company, a 11 downgrade to below investment grade is possible.

12

13

## Q41. WHAT IS THE OUTCOME FOR THE MOODY'S RATIO IN CASE 2?

A. In Case 2, with an authorized regulatory equity ratio of 56.4% and debt ratio of 43.6 percent
and authorized ROE of 10.7 percent, the resulting ratio of Cash from Operations preWorking Capital/Debt is below the Moody's threshold for maintaining the current Baa2
rating in 2025 at 12.8 percent and in 2026 at 10.8 percent, but the ratio recovers in 2027 to
15.6 percent.

19

# 20 Q42. CAN YOU PREDICT THE OUTCOME FOR MOODY'S CREDIT RATING IN CASE 2?

21 Although the leverage ratio of Cash from Operations pre-Working Capital/Debt is below Α. 22 Moody's lower threshold for the Baa2 rating in two out of three years, the ratio recovers in 23 2027 to a level that is within the Baa2 range. Also, there are several factors in Case 2 that 24 may contribute to maintaining the Baa2 Moody's rating. Regulatory support has an 25 important weight upon Moody's ratings of regulated utilities, and I think that the 26 Commission's decision to authorize a greater proportion of equity in the regulatory capital 27 structure and EPE's resulting reduced reliance on debt would be a positive rating factor. 28 The improved equity ratio and the assumed ROE of 10.7 percent in this case would also be 29 perceived by the credit rating agency and by fixed income investors as evidence of the 30 Commission's support for strong credit. In my view, these factors would influence