



## **Filing Receipt**

**Filing Date - 2024-11-13 02:30:29 PM**

**Control Number - 56921**

**Item Number - 26**

**DOCKET NO. 56921**

<b>APPLICATION OF SOUTHWESTERN PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY TO AMEND ITS INTERRUPTIBLE CREDIT OPTION TARIFF, AND FOR APPROVAL OF A SOUTHWEST POWER POOL INTEGRATED MARKETPLACE DEMAND RESPONSE OPTION TARIFF AND AN OFF-PEAK ALTERNATE RIDER</b>	<b>§ § § § § § § § § §</b>	<b>PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION  OF TEXAS</b>
--	--	--

**SOUTHWESTERN PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY’S FIRST PETITION FOR REVIEW  
OF MUNICIPAL DECISIONS AND MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE**

Southwestern Public Service Company (“SPS”) files its First Petition for Review of Municipal Decisions and Motion to Consolidate concerning the decisions of the Cities listed in Exhibit A attached hereto.

In support of this petition and motion, SPS respectfully shows the following:

**I. Jurisdiction**

The Commission has jurisdiction over this matter under §§ 32.001(b), 33.051, and 33.053 of the Public Utility Regulatory Act (“PURA”), which govern appeals of decisions of a municipality’s governing body.

**II. Request for Review and Consolidation**

On August 23, 2024, SPS filed an application to amend its interruptible credit option tariff, and for approval of a Southwest Power Pool integrated marketplace demand response option tariff and an off-peak alternate rider with the Public Utility Commission of Texas (“Commission”) and with the municipalities in SPS’s Texas service territory that have retained original jurisdiction over SPS’s rates within their municipal limits, including the Cities listed in Exhibit A attached hereto. To the best of SPS’s knowledge, the Cities listed in Exhibit A took no action to deny or suspend the rates contained in SPS’s application by the requested effective date of October 18, 2024. The failure to suspend or deny the requested rates by the requested effective date is deemed approval by operation of law under PURA § 36.108. Therefore, SPS appeals the decisions of these cities

and respectfully requests that these decisions be consolidated with Docket No. 56921. These appeals involve issues of law and fact common to those involved in Docket No. 56921, and separate hearings of these appeals and Docket No. 56921 would result in unwarranted expenses, delay, or substantial injustice.

### **III. Conclusion**

SPS respectfully requests that the Commission review the actions of the Cities, consolidate SPS's appeals of the decisions by the Cities with Docket No. 56921, and grant the relief requested in the application.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Jeffrey B. Stuart

Stephanie G. Houle  
State Bar No. 24074443  
XCEL ENERGY SERVICES INC.  
919 Congress Ave., Suite 900  
Austin, Texas 78701  
(512) 236-6927  
(512) 236-6935 (Fax)  
Stephanie.G.Houle@xcelenergy.com

Jeffrey B. Stuart  
State Bar No. 24066169  
Eversheds Sutherland (US) LLP  
98 San Jacinto Blvd., Suite 1600  
Austin, Texas 78701  
(512) 721-2700  
(512) 721-2656 (Fax)  
jeffreystuart@eversheds-sutherland.us

### **Certificate of Service**

I certify that on the 13th day of November 2024, this instrument was filed with the Public Utility Commission of Texas and a true and correct copy of it was served on the parties of record in this docket by electronic mail.

/s/ Reva Lane Reyes  
Reva Lane Reyes

## EXHIBIT A

Abernathy	Gruver	Plainview
Adrian	Hale Center	Post
Amarillo	Happy	Ralls
Amherst	Hart	Ropesville
Anton	Hereford	Sanford
Bishop Hills	Higgins	Seagraves
Booker	Idalou	Seminole
Borger	Kress	Shallowater
Bovina	Lake Tanglewood	Silverton
Cactus	Lefors	Skellytown
Canadian	Levelland	Slaton
Canyon	Littlefield	Spearman
Channing	Lockney	Springlake
Claude	Lorenzo	Stinnett
Crosbyton	Lubbock	Stratford
Dalhart	McLean	Sudan
Darrouzett	Meadow	Sunray
Denver City	Miami	Tahoka
Dimmitt	Morton	Timbercreek
Dumas	Muleshoe	Vega
Earth	New Deal	Wellman
Farwell	Olton	Wheeler
Floydada	Palisades	White Deer
Follett	Pampa	Whiteface
Friona	Panhandle	Wilson
Fritch	Perryton	Wolfforth
Groom	Petersburg	