

Control Number: 55728



Item Number: 81

RECEIVED

2024 HAY 22 PM 2: 46

PUBLIC UTILITY COMPISSION FILING CLERK

OPEN MEETING COVER SHEET COMMISSIONER MEMORANDUM

MEETING DATE:

May 23, 2024

DATE DELIVERED:

May 22, 2024

AGENDA ITEM NO.:

14

CAPTION:

Docket No. 55728; SOAH Docket No. 473-24-04701 – Application of the City of San Antonio, acting by and through the City Public Service Board (CPS Energy), to Amend Its Certificate of Convenience and Necessity for the Proposed SAT15 138-kV Transmission Line Project in

Bexar County

DESCRIPTION:

Chairman Thomas Gleeson Memorandum

Public Utility Commission of Texas

Memorandum

TO:

Commissioner Lori Cobos

Commissioner Jimmy Glotfelty Commissioner Kathleen Jackson

FROM:

Chairman Thomas J. Gleeson

DATE:

May 22, 2024

RE:

May 23, 2024, Open Meeting-Agenda Item No. 14

Docket No. 55728—Application of the City of San Antonio, acting by and through the City Public Service Board (CPS Energy) to Amend its Certificate of Convenience and Necessity for the Proposed SAT 215 138-kV Transmission

Line Project in Bexar County

This case involves a unanimous agreement of the parties of a CCN case. The parties have agreed on route S. On April 11, 2024, the Commission remanded this proceeding back to docket management to allow the parties to file evidence in support of route S addressing the routing criteria in PURA and the Commission's rules and policies. On April 22, 2024, CPS Energy filed the testimony of Daniel T. Otto in support of agreed route S. On May 1, 2024, Commission Staff filed testimony in support of route S.

I have concerns about route S which I would like to discuss at the open meeting. Specifically, I would like to discuss the relative merits of route S and route H. Before the parties reached an agreement, CPS Energy, Staff, Texas Parks and Wildlife and the North San Antonio Hills Homeowners' Association, Inc. all supported route H as the best route. I am concerned that route S appears to have been proposed primarily to reduce the impact of this transmission line on the future development of the property owned by intervenor Vise Oaks I, LTD. I am also concerned about the impact of route S on habitable structures.

I look forward to our discussion at the open meeting tomorrow.