

Control Number: 55067



Item Number: 947

Comments in Docket No. 55067EDFINED

If you want to be a PROTESTOR only, please complete this form. Although public comments are not treated as evidence, they help inform the PUC and its staff of the public concerns and identify issues to be explored. The PUC welcomes such participation in its proceedings. For USPS, send one copy to: For all other delivery or courier services, send one copy to: Public Utility Commission of Texas Public Utility Commission of Texas Central Records Central Records P.O. Box 13326 1701 N. Congress Avc. Austin, TX 78711-3326 Austin, TX 78701 Last Name: Harbin Address, City, State: 431 Chisholm Trl, Justin, TX 76247 I am NOT requesting to intervene in this proceeding. As a PROTESTOR, I understand the following: I am NOT a party to this case; My comments are not considered evidence in this case; and I have no further obligation to participate in the proceeding. Please check one of the following: I own property with a habitable structure located near one or more of the utility's proposed routes for a transmission line. One or more of the utility's proposed routes would cross my property. Other. Please describe and provide comments. You may attach a separate page, if necessary. My neighborhood, Reatta Ridge located in Justin, TX adjacent to Trail Creek which is one route being considered for this transmission line. While I would prefer a different route, 1 do not agree with this decision being open for protest allowing cities and individuals with deep pockets and high-power attorneys an unfair advantage and delaying this important project which will help insure continued reliable electric service to this entire area. Continued on back

Signature of person submitting comments:

Last Revised: October 27th. 2021

Date: 7/18/202 Z

The cities of Justin, Argile, and Northlake and most likely others have already worked together through attorneys and mediation and killed some routes including one on the north side of Justin though the Timberbrook Addition and now working to push the line further south.

This should not be delayed further allowing these and other entities opportunity to cut backdoor deals outside the normal process. This should be decided by an engineering team not politicians. The routes should be evaluated based on merit related to:

- 1. Direct input from the property owners, not cities and entities with high-power legal teams with their own agendas.
- 2. least intrusive route based on property use.
- 3. most efficient route to get this in process soon as possible.
- 4. Maybe a few other things, but EVERY possible route should be evaluated by the same criteria, and none should be absolved from list by arbitration. Allowing some to be removed from this list of potential routes outside this process certainly raises questions of ethics.