Control Number: 55067



Comments in Docket No. 55067

RECEIVED

2023 JUL 19 PH12: 04 If you want to be a PROTESTOR only, please complete this form. Although public comments are not treated as evidence, they help inform the PUC and its staff of the public concerns and its staff of the public concerns are not explored. The PUC welcomes such participation in its proceedings.

For USPS, send one copy to:

For all other delivery or courier services, send one copy to:

Public Utility Commission of Texas Central Records P.O. Box 13326 Austin, TX 78711-3326 Public Utility Commission of Texas Central Records 1701 N. Congress Ave. Austin, TX 78701

First Name: Douglas	Last Name: Smith
Phone Number: 214-632-2333	Fax Number: NA
Address, City, State: 2513 Lady Viviane Ln Lewisville TX 75056	

1 am NOT requesting to intervene in this proceeding. As a PROTESTOR, I understand the following:

- I am NOT a party to this case;
- My comments are not considered evidence in this case; and
- I have no further obligation to participate in the proceeding.

Please check one of the following:

1 own property with a habitable structure located near one or more of the utility's proposed routes for a transmission line.

One or more of the utility's proposed routes would cross my property.

V Other. Please describe and provide comments. You may attach a separate page, if necessary.

Please see attached email previously sent to Oncor.

I do support the modified height structures Oncor has previously suggested could be constructed.

Signature of person submitting comments:

Date: 7/16/23

Last Revised: October 27th, 2021

From: Doug Smith Sent: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 4:01 PM To: transmissionprojects@oncor.com Subject: Ramhorn Hill - Dunham 345kV Transmission Line Project

Attn: Mr. Ife Adetoro, Regulatory Manager Oncor Electric Delivery Company LLC.

It has come to my attention of the proposed routing of the Ramhorn Hill - Dunham 345kV Transmission Line Project along 1171 instead of the Town of Northlake's proposal for the old 337 route which would keep it out of potential danger for aircraft landing or departing at 52F. While it may not impact normal operations it may be a hazard for pilots with emergency conditions or operating in not prime conditions much like this pilot flying to low due to weather:

https://www.bbc.com/news/av/world-us-canada-63782132#:~:text=A%20small%20plane%20crashed%20into%20highvoltage%20power%20lines,crash%20caused%20mass%20power%20outages%20throughout%20the%20 area.

I own my hangar and aircraft at 52F and have a significant investment in both. I have been flying for over 40 years and would hate to end up like the plane in the attached link.

Please strongly consider the Town of Northlake's proposal for the old 337 route instead of the 1171 route that could pose a danger to aircraft landing or departing 52F

Thank you for you consideration on this matter.

Regards, Doug Smith 214-632-2333

. .