

Filing Receipt

Filing Date - 2023-09-07 03:01:36 PM

Control Number - 55067

Item Number - 1790

SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-23-21216 PUC DOCKET NO. 55067

INITIAL POST-HEARING BRIEF OF PRO SE INTERVENORS <u>Daniel Mathews Dennis and Melissa Mae Dennis</u>

INDEX OF ABBREVIATIONS, ACRONYMS, AND DEFINITIONS

ALJ Administrative law judge

EMF Electromagnetic Field

ELF Extremely Low Frequency

MF Magnetic Field

PUCT Public Utility Commission of Texas

SOAH State Office of Administrative Hearings

We/Our <u>Daniel Mathews Dennis and Melissa Mae</u>

Dennis

TO THE HONORABLE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGES (ALJs), STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS (SOAH),

COMES NOW, Daniel Mathews Dennis and Melissa Mae Dennis, and file this Initial Brief for SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-23-21216, PUC DOCKET NO. 55067, Ramhorn Hill-Dunham 345 kV Project by the Oncor Electric Delivery Company LLC ("Oncor")

The evidence presented in this case was clear that Link J4 is not a viable option for consideration because of the substantial adverse impact on the residents, landowners, environmental aspects, and cherished community values. In light of this, we earnestly beseech the Administrative Law Judges (ALJs) and the Public Utility Commission of Texas (PUCT) NOT to recommend Link J4 as a suitable route for this project.

The evidence presented in the hearing indicated that most parties are in agreement that Route 179-C is the "best meets" route for the Ramhorn Hill- Dunham project considering costs, community impact, and environmental impact. While we are generally in agreement to this conclusion given the evidence provided in the hearing, we would ask that you reconsider Link J3 the way it was presented.

Link J3, as currently planned, passes through the heart of the Legacy Ranch neighborhood, intersecting its central greenbelt. This segment of Link J3 within Route 179-C necessitates several sharp turns over a short distance, compelling the installation of multiple utility poles and a wider easement. Regrettably, this configuration would profoundly

affect the well-being, quality of life, and property values of the landowners and the larger community in the vicinity. The presence of an increased number of utility structures would be visually disconcerting and congest the area, as there are no existing tall structures to mitigate their visual impact. The wider easement, in addition to limiting land use, poses a potential safety hazard to residents in case of structural damage. Therefore, we implore the ALJs to advocate for a realignment of Link J3, shifting it further southward, away from the Legacy Ranch neighborhood and outside the municipal boundaries of Justin. Our close-knit community not only resides here but also shares a collective life and takes immense pride in our neighborhood.

Our concerns extend to the safety of High Voltage Transmission Lines. Dr. Gelmann, Oncor's expert witness at the hearing, was questioned regarding the safety of these lines, yet provided no reassuring evidence regarding their impact on human health. Dr. Gelmann's assertion that the approximate 2-year lifespan of a laboratory rat is a sufficient model for comparison to the average 70-year human lifespan in the United States is, in our view, insufficient. We contend that there are more biologically analogous specimens with comparable lifespans, genetic profiles, and lifestyles to draw more accurate comparisons. The majority of long-term studies cited in this case, despite presenting evidence of adverse effects, tend to conclude that such effects require further research due to multiple variables. This lack of conclusive safety data raises serious concerns. Dr. Gelmann also acknowledged the potential risks posed to individuals with medical devices and metallic implants. In the absence of definitive proof of safety, a consensus asserting the safety of exposure to EMFs and ELF MFs remains elusive.

Furthermore, we wish to draw attention to concerns surrounding the notification process initiated by Oncor regarding this project. It has come to our attention that while Oncor organized a public meeting on December 7th and 8th, not all residents of Justin were duly informed of this event. It was through my next door neighbor who had just moved in, who informed us! Shortly after, I reached out to Mayor. There was then immediately a town hall meeting scheduled and a subsequent city council meeting. Many residents in our community were then made aware of the project's details and the necessary procedures for intervention. Had the community been promptly and comprehensively notified about this project, and provided with clear quidance on early-stage intervention, it is our firm belief that greater community involvement, additional evidentiary support, and potentially different outcomes in these proceedings would have been realized.

SOAH ORDER No.2, Section V requires the following:

"Service will be by the PUCT Interchange System. However, if a filing requires an action of another party, direct service on that party is required. Email service is a valid method of providing direct service to a party from whom action is required."

Oncor did not provide direct service to parties regarding "NOTICE OF IN-PERSON SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE" (Item# 1643), which they filed into the PUCT Interchange filer August, 14. 2023. This document requires action from other parties, as it requests a reply with a deadline.

"Settling transmission line cases is best achieved when the parties are able to sit in a room together to review maps, discuss routing issues, and build consensus on a settlement route. Accordingly, Oncor strongly encourages all parties who are able to do so to attend the settlement conference in person. If you would like to discuss a specific route at the settlement conference that consists of filed links but is not included in

Oncor's CCN application, please email Regulatory@Oncor.com with the details of such route no later than 5:00 PM on Tuesday, August 15, 2023, to ensure adequate time to prepare exhibits. For those who are unable to attend the settlement conference in person, an option for remote participation via the WebEx videoconferencing platform will be available. If you plan to participate in the settlement conference remotely, please contact Regulatory@Oncor.com for login information. "

No direct service was given, therefore, in the constant barrage of documents being filed, we can deduce why Intervenors were not aware, as we were unaware, of this "settlement conference," as well as another "technical conference" held on June $26^{\rm tn}$ 2023 (PUCT Item 21), until looking at all the approved exhibits in preparation of this initial post hearing brief.

ONCOR'S response to SOAH ORDER NO. 1 (Regarding intervenors who did not file testimony or a statement of position) stated the following:

"Approximately 400 parties filed requests to intervene in this proceeding, but fewer than a hundred filed documents styled as testimony or a statement of position. "(PUCT Filing 1634, Section II)

and further:

"moves to dismiss all intervenors listed in section III above and all other intervenors the ALJ's deem not to have filed written testimony or a statement of position in this docket."

"Any intervenor who does not file direct testimony or a statement of position by July 31, 2023, will be stricken as a party under 16 Texas Administrative Code sections 22.124 and 22.161" (SOAH ORDER No. 2, Section III).

In this same filing Oncor states the following:

"Oncor appreciates the substantial public participation in this proceeding. In reviewing the parties' filings, Oncor strived to ensure that all intervenor concerns are fully and fairly considered. Yet, the spectrum of formatting choices employed in these filings blurs the distinction between those that satisfy the requirements of SOAH Order No. 1 and those that do not "(PUCT Filing 1634, Section II).

Oncor failed to provide Protestors and Intervenors with any instructions regarding the formatting of their filings, how to categorize them, or the specific language required for their 'statement of position.' As a result, Protestors and Intervenors were left to navigate these procedures independently, often under tight time constraints, and consequently submitted their forms to the best of their understanding.

It's important to note that pro se Intervenors, who represent themselves in this case, are recognized as parties. Therefore, greater consideration should have been extended to parties lacking legal representation.

This raises the question of why there wasn't an initial effort to provide clear guidance for filing, or alternatively, to address filing issues rather than seeking the dismissal of these parties from the case. Throughout the proceedings, there was evident confusion that could have been alleviated through proactive planning and unambiguous instructions.

CONCLUSION AND RELIEF SOUGHT

We respectfully request that Your Honors refrain from endorsing Links J4, J3, and J22 to the Commission, and instead recommend one of the southernmost routes within the study area, including links like I12. Route 179-C appears to be under serious consideration. Considered broadly, using the furthest south route would have the least interference with EXISTING development and would be able to utilize EXISTING rights-of-way like railroad and electrical easements along SH114.

We, Daniel and Melissa Dennis, respectfully urge the esteemed Administrative Law Judges (ALJs) to make a determination that the incorporation of routes featuring Links J4 and J3, in their current configuration, should NOT be granted approval. Such routes, if approved, would undoubtedly cast adverse ramifications upon the cherished community values of our modest city. In furtherance of this plea, we implore the ALJs to abstain from recommending to the Commission the endorsement of any route as the "Best Meets" option if it includes Links J4 and J3, in their existing form.

We expect other parties will submit additional analysis in their briefs and we reserve the right to respond in our reply brief to those analyses.

In conclusion, we appreciate the diligent consideration given to this matter by the ALJs. We trust that your esteemed authority will act judiciously and conscientiously in addressing these concerns and implementing necessary measures to ensure the safety, well-being, and interests of the public are protected.

Respectfully Submitted,

Daniel and Melissa Dennis 215 Cedar Crest Dr. Justin, TX 76247

B7E5E4DD6FDF441...

9/7/2023

Daniel Mathews Dennis

9/7/2023