

### **Filing Receipt**

Filing Date - 2023-08-10 05:04:19 PM

Control Number - 55067

Item Number - 1622

#### SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-23-21216 PUC DOCKET NO. 55067

APPLICATION OF ONCOR ELECTRIC

§

**DELIVERY COMPANY LLC TO AMEND** 

§

ITS CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE WISE COUNTIES

§

AND NECESSITY FOR THE RAMHORN

§

BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE OF

HILL - DUNHAM 345 KV

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

§
TRANSMISSION LINE IN DENTON AND

## EDGAR BRENT WATKINS AND MARY ANN LIVENGOOD'S FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION TO JOHN RODDAM

Pursuant to PUC Procedural Rule 22.141 and 22.144, Intervenors Edgar Brent Watkins and Mary Ann Livengood ("Watkins") request that John Roddam ("Roddam") provide responses to the following Requests for Information ("RFI" or "RFIs").

Please answer the attached questions in accordance with the procedural schedule and orders issued in this case, the Commission's Procedural Rules, the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, and other applicable law. Please copy the question immediately above the answer to each question. Please state the name of the witness or witnesses in this case who will sponsor the answer to the question at the hearing

and can vouch for the truth of the answer. The answer should be filed under oath, or the response should stipulate in writing that the answer can be treated by all parties as if filed under oath.

The questions should be answered in sufficient detail to fully present all of the relevant facts. In answering this request, Roddam is requested to furnish such information as is available to Roddam, including information Roddam is able to obtain

Edgar Brent Watkins and Mary Ann Livengood's First Request for Information to John Roddam Page 1 of 9 Docket No. 55067

by due diligence from Roddam's present or former attorneys, accountants, investigators, consultants, employees, agents, and persons acting on Roddam's behalf.

If the items requested to be produced herein have already been provided in other discovery answers to any party, it shall not be necessary to duplicate such production. It shall be sufficient that the answer containing the requested information is clearly identified. Where only a portion of the requested information has been previously provided, this shall be disclosed and all information necessary to fully and completely answer this discovery request shall be provided in your answer. If there is a relevant change in Roddam's answer after it is submitted such that it is no longer complete or accurate, please submit an amended answer, under oath, as a supplement to the original answer within five (5) working days of Roddam's discovery of the need for such amendment.

Please provide Roddam's response to the undersigned counsel:

Tyler Topper

Texas Bar No. 24059263

ttopper@mw-law.com

Christian Stewart

Texas Bar No. 24013569

cstewart@mw-law.com

MORGAN WILLIAMSON LLP

701 South Taylor, Suite 440

Amarillo, Texas 79101

Telephone: (806) 358-8116

Facsimile: (806) 350-7642

Edgar Brent Watkins and Mary Ann Livengood's First Request for Information to John Roddam Page 2 of 9 Docket No. 55067

#### **DEFINITIONS**

- 1. "Roddam" refers to John Roddam, and any person acting or purporting to act on their behalf, including without limitation, attorneys, agents, advisors, investigators, representatives, employees, or other persons.
- 2. "You" and "your" refers to the party to which the RFI is directed. 3. "Application" means the Application by Oncor Electric Delivery Company, LLC to Amend its Certificate of Convenience and Necessity for a Transmission Line in Denton and Wise Counties.
- 4. "Communication" means any oral, written, or electronic statements, representations, discussions, conversations, speeches, meetings, remarks, questions, answers, panel discussions, or symposium of which Roddam has knowledge, information or belief.
  - 5. "Concerning" means, in whole or in part, directly or indirectly, referring to,

relating to, being connected with, commenting on, responding to, showing, describing, analyzing, reflecting embodying, mentioning, or constituting the subject matter identified in the request.

- 6. "Date" means the exact day, month, and year, if ascertainable or, if not, the best approximation.
- 7. "Describe" or "identify" when used in reference to a document, means you must state, to the fullest extent possible, the following:
  - a. The nature (e.g. letter, handwritten note) of the document;

Edgar Brent Watkins and Mary Ann Livengood's First Request for Information to John Roddam Page  $\bf 3$  of  $\bf 9$  Docket No.  $\bf 55067$ 

- b. The title or heading that appears on the document;
- c. The date of the document and the date of each addendum, supplement, or other addition or change;
- d. The identity of the author of the document; any signatory or signatories of the document; and the person on whose behalf or at whose request or direction the document was prepared or delivered; and
- e. The present location of the document, and the name, address, position or title, and telephone number(s) of the person(s) having custody of the document.
- 8. The terms "document" or "documents" are used in their broadest sense to include, but not be limited to, all written or graphic matter of every kind and

description whether printed, produced, or reproduced, by any process whether visually, magnetically, mechanically, electronically, or by hand, whether final or draft, original or reproduction whether privileged or otherwise excludable from discovery, and whether or not in your actually or constructive possession, custody or control. These shall also be construed to include every copy of a document where there copy contains any commentary or notation of any kinds that does not appear on the original or any other copy.

Edgar Brent Watkins and Mary Ann Livengood's First Request for Information to John Roddam Page **4** of **9** Docket No. 55067

- 9. The words "segment" or "segments" reference the individually numbered/lettered portions of the preferred and alternative routes described in the Application.
- 10. Words used in the plural shall also be taken to mean and to include the singular. Words used in the singular shall also be taken to mean and include the plural.
- 11. The present tense shall be construed to include the past tense, and the past tense shall be construed to include the present tense.
- 12. "Each" shall be construed to include the word "every" and "every" shall be construed to include the word "each".
  - 13. The term "including" means "including, but not limited to". 14. Oncor's

"Route 179" consists of the following combination of Segments:

A0-A4-B1-B61-B62-C1-C21-C23-C7-E2-E1-E6-G1-G3-H41-H42-H8-I8-J3-K1-L5-L4-L3-L2-M1-M2-M3-R4-V2-Z.

- 15. T. Brian Almon's "Route 179R" consists of the following combination of Segments: A0-A4-B1-B61-B62-C1-C21-C23-C7-E2-E1-E6-G1-G3-H41-H42-H8-I8-J3-K1-L5-L4-L3-L2-M1-M2-M3-R4-V1-V3-V4-Z.
- 16. T. Brian Almon's "Route 179-Watkins" consists of the following combination of Segments: A0-A4-B1-B61-B62-C1-C21-C23-C7-E2-E1-E6-G1-G3-H41-H42-H8-I8-J3-K1-L5-L4-L3-L2-M1-M5-R2-R5-U3-V3-V4-Z.

Edgar Brent Watkins and Mary Ann Livengood's First Request for Information to John Roddam Page **5** of **9** Docket No. 55067

#### **INSTRUCTIONS**

- 1. As to any request for information to which you are unable to respond to in whole or in part for any reason, please state the grounds for inability respond. When you believe that a complete answer to a particular request for information or part thereof is not possible, please answer each request for information to the extent possible and furnish a statement explaining: (a) the reason for your inability to respond further; and (b) whatever information or knowledge you have concerning the non-responsive portion.
- 2. For each document or other requested information that you assert is privileged, please comply with the requirements of Rule 193.3 of the Texas Rules of

Civil Procedure and P.U.C. Proc. R. 22.144.

- 3. For every document that no longer exists or cannot be located; identify the document; state how and when the document passed out of existence, or when it could no longer be located; and state the reason(s) for the disappearance; identify each person having knowledge about the disposition or loss of the document; and identify each document evidencing the existence or nonexistence of each document that cannot be located.
- 4. It is requested that all documents that might impact on the subject matter of the Application be preserved and that any ongoing process of document destruction involving such documents cease.

Edgar Brent Watkins and Mary Ann Livengood's First Request for Information to John Roddam Page 6 of 9 Docket No. 55067

5. Furnish all requested documents available to you and known by you, or in your possession, custody, or control or that of your agents and attorneys. 6. In those instances where you choose to answer a request for information by referring to a specific document or record, it is requested that the specification be in sufficient detail to permit the requestor to locate and identify the record(s) and/or document(s) from which the answer is to be ascertained, as readily as you can. 7. In those instances when requested information or documents are stored only on software, computer based information, or other data compilations, you should either produce the raw data along with all codes and programs for translating it into usable

form, or produce the information or documents in a finished usable form that

includes all necessary glossaries, keys, indices for interpretation of the material. 8.

Please respond to each request for information and indicate clearly the request for

information to which each response is responsive. When requests for information

contain subparts, indicate in your answer the subpart to which each particular part

of your response is in response.

9. You are under a duty to supplement your responses to these requests for

information that are incomplete or incorrect when made. Furthermore, you are

under a duty to timely supplement and/or amend your responses if you receive,

obtain, or generate information within the scope of any request for information

between the time of the original responses and the conclusion of this proceeding.

Edgar Brent Watkins and Mary Ann Livengood's First Request for Information to

John Roddam Page 7 of 9 Docket No. 55067

10. Unless otherwise indicated, the discovery requested in these requests is

for information related to the time period from June, 2018 through and including the

present. All requested documents, data compilations, and recordings whenever

actually prepared or generated that related to this time period are to be produced.

Respectfully submitted,

Morgan Williamson, LLP 701 South Taylor, Suite 440

Amarillo, Texas 79101

Telephone: (806) 358-8116

Facsimile: (806) 350-7642

Tyler Topper
Texas Bar No. 24059263
ttopper@mw-law.com
Christian Stewart
Texas Bar No. 24013569
cstewart@mw-law.com

ATTORNEYS FOR EDGAR BRENT WATKINS AND MARY ANN LIVENGOOD

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify a copy of this document is being filed in the Public Utility Commission's Interchange System and served on all parties of record as required by orders in this docket, the Commission's rules, and the Commission's First and Second Orders Suspending Rules issued on March 16, 2020 and July 16, 2020, in Project No. 50664.

Tyler Topper

Edgar Brent Watkins and Mary Ann Livengood's First Request for Information to John Roddam Page 8 of 9 Docket No. 55067

# EDGAR BRENT WATKINS AND MARY ANN LIVENGOOD'S FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION TO JOHN RODDAM

**Watkins RFI No. 1-1.** In the Direct Testimony of T. Brian Almon (Docket Item No. 1426), Mr. Almon identified a modified version of Route 179, which was identified as "Route 179R," consisting of the following combination of Segments: A0-A4-B1-B61-B62-C1-C21-C23-C7-E2-E1-E6-G1-G3-H41-H42-H8-I8-J3-K1-L5-L4-L3-L2-M1-M2 - M3-R4-V1-V3-V4-Z.

a. Please confirm that your property is not directly impacted by any of Route 179R.

- b. Would you support or "not oppose" Route 179R?
- c. If you cannot agree to support or "not oppose" Route 179R, please explain why.

Response: My property would not be directly impacted by route 179R

I would not oppose route 179R

**Watkins RFI No. 1-2.** In the Direct Testimony of T. Brian Almon (Docket Item No. 1426), Mr. Almon identified another modified version of Route 179, which was identified as "Route 179-Watkins," consisting of the following combination of Segments: A0-A4-B1-B61-B62-C1-C21-C23-C7-E2-E1-E6-G1-G3-H41-H42-H8-I8-J3-K1-L5-L4-L3-L2-M1-M5-R2-R5-U3-V3-V4-Z.

- a. Please confirm that your property is not directly impacted by any of Route 179-Watkins.
- b. Would you support or "not oppose" Route 179-Watkins?
- c. If you cannot agree to support or "not oppose" Route 179-Watkins, please explain why.

Response: My property would not be directly impacted by route 179-Watkins

### I would not oppose route 179-Watkins

Edgar Brent Watkins and Mary Ann Livengood's First Request for Information to John Roddam Page  $\bf 9$  of  $\bf 9$  Docket No. 55067