



Filing Receipt

Filing Date - 2023-08-09 12:09:28 PM

Control Number - 55067

Item Number - 1599

**SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-23-21216
PUC DOCKET NO. 55067**

APPLICATION OF ONCOR ELECTRIC DELIVERY LLC TO AMEND ITS CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY FOR THE RAMHORN HILL – DUNHAM 345-KV TRANSMISSION LINE IN DENTON AND WISE COUNTIES	§ § § § § § §	BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
--	--	---

**MATTHEW SPAETHE’S RESPONSE TO EDGAR BRENT WATKINS AND MARY
ANN LIVENGOOD’S FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION**

TO: Edgar Brent Watkins and Mary Ann Livengood by and through their attorneys of record, Tyler Topper and Christian Steward, MORGAN WILLIAMSON LLP, 701 south Taylor, Suite 440, Amarillo, Texas 79101.

Pursuant to the Orders in this docket, P.U.C. PROC.R.22.144, and other applicable Commission rules, Matthew Spaethe hereby serves their Responses to Edgar Brent Watkins and Mary Ann Livengood’s First Set of Requests for Information. The responses to these questions may be treated as through provided under oath.

Respectfully submitted,

BRAUN & GRESHAM, PLLC
P.O. Box 1148 (Mailing)
Dripping Springs, Texas 78620
512-894-5426 (telephone)
512-894-3405 (fax)

/s/Patrick L. Reznik
Patrick L. Reznik
State Bar No. 16806780
preznik@braungresham.com
Carly Barton
State Bar No. 24086063
cbarton@braungresham.com

ATTORNEYS FOR MATTHEW SPAETHE

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was served on all parties and counsel of record on August 9, 2023, in accordance with PUC Procedural Rule 22.74 and Orders issued in Docket No. 55067 to date.

/s/Patrick L. Reznik

Patrick L. Reznik

**MATTHEW SPAETHE'S RESPONSE TO EDGAR BRENT WATKINS AND MARY
ANN LIVENGOOD'S FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION**

Watkins RFI No. 1-1. Please confirm that your property is not directly impacted by any of Segments V1-V3-V4.

RESPONSE: Confirmed.

Prepared by: Patrick L. Reznik
Sponsored by: Matthew Spaethe

Watkins RFI No. 1-2. You have previously indicated your support for Route 179. This route utilizes Segment V2.

- a. Would you support or “not oppose” a modified version of Route 179 identified as “Route 179R” in the Direct Testimony of T. Brian Almon (Docket Item No. 1426) that uses Segments V1-V3-V4 instead of Segment V2?
- b. If you cannot agree to support or “not oppose” Route 179R, please explain why.

RESPONSE: Yes.

Prepared by: Patrick L. Reznik
Sponsored by: Matthew Spaethe

Watkins RFI No. 1-3. Please confirm that your property is not directly impacted by any of Segments M5-R2-R5-U3-V3-V4.

RESPONSE: Confirmed.

Prepared by: Patrick L. Reznik
Sponsored by: Matthew Spaethe

Watkins RFI No. 1-4. You have previously indicated your support for Route 179. This route utilizes Segments M2-M3-R4-V2.

- a. Would you support or “not oppose” a modified version of Route 179 identified as “Route 179-Watkins” in the Direct Testimony of T. Brian Almon (Docket Item No. 1426) that uses Segments M5-R2-R5-U3-V3-V4 instead of Segments M2-M3-R4-V2?

b. If you cannot agree to support or “not oppose” Route 179-Watkins, please explain why.

RESPONSE: Yes.

Prepared by: Patrick L. Reznik
Sponsored by: Matthew Spaethe