



Filing Receipt

Filing Date - 2023-08-09 11:33:52 AM

Control Number - 55067

Item Number - 1588

**SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-23-21216
PUC DOCKET NO. 55067**

APPLICATION OF ONCOR	§	BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE
ELECTRIC DELIVERY COMPANY	§	
LLC TO AMEND ITS CERTIFICATE	§	
OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY	§	
FOR THE RAMHORN HILL –	§	OF
DUNHAM 345 KV TRANSMISSION	§	
LINE IN DENTON AND WISE	§	
COUNTIES	§	ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

HILLWOOD PARTIES’ RESPONSE TO
EDGAR BRENT WATKINS AND MARY ANN LIVENGOOD WATKINS’
FIRST REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

Hillwood Parties (“Hillwood Parties”) file this Response to Edgar Brent Watkins and Mary Ann Livengood Watkins’ (“Watkins”) First Requests for Information to Hillwood Parties. Hillwood Parties’ response to requests for information shall be made within five (5) working days, making the responses due by August 10, 2023. This response is therefore timely. All parties may treat the answers as if they were filed under oath.

Hillwood Parties file these responses without agreeing to the relevancy of the information sought and without waiving their right to object at the time of the hearing to the admissibility of information produced herein.

Respectfully submitted,

ENOCH KEVER PLLC
Andrew Kever
State Bar No. 11367050
Carolyn E. Shellman
State Bar No. 18196200
Christopher J. Kirby
State Bar No. 24116620
7600 N. Capital of Texas Hwy
Building B, Suite 200
Austin, Texas 78731
512-615-1201 (phone)
512-615-1198 (fax)
akever@enochkever.com
cshellman@enochkever.com
ckirby@enochkever.com

By: 
ATTORNEYS FOR HILLWOOD PARTIES

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a copy of this document was served by electronic mail, on all parties of record in this proceeding on August 9, 2023, in accordance with SOAH Order No. 1 in the above-styled proceeding and the Commission's Second Order Suspending Rules, issued in Project No. 50664.


Lynn Needles

**SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-23-21216
PUC DOCKET NO. 55067**

**HILLWOOD PARTIES' RESPONSE TO
WATKINS' FIRST REQUEST FOR INFORMATION**

WATKINS—HILLWOOD 1-1:

Please confirm that your property is not directly impacted by any of Segments V1-V3-V4.

RESPONSE:

We can confirm that Segments V1-V3-V4 do not cross property of the Hillwood Parties.

Prepared by or under the direction of the following Sponsor: L. Russell Laughlin

**SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-23-21216
PUC DOCKET NO. 55067**

**HILLWOOD PARTIES' RESPONSE TO
WATKINS' FIRST REQUEST FOR INFORMATION**

WATKINS—HILLWOOD 1-2:

You have previously indicated your support for Route 179. This route utilizes Segment V2.

- a. Would you support or “not oppose” a modified version of Route 179 identified as “Route 179R” in the Direct Testimony of T. Brian Almon (Docket Item No. 1426) that uses Segments V1-V3-V4 instead of Segment V2?
- b. If you cannot agree to support or “not oppose” Route 179R, please explain why.

RESPONSE:

We support Route 179 as contemplated in Oncor’s application. However, if the only difference between Route 179 and Mr. Almon’s suggested Route 179R is that Segment V2 in Route 179 is replaced by Segments V1-V3-V4 in Route 179R, and if Oncor and the other parties were to accept Route 179R as the uncontested agreed settlement route, Hillwood Parties would not oppose the agreed settlement route.

Prepared by or under the direction of the following Sponsor: L. Russell Laughlin

**SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-23-21216
PUC DOCKET NO. 55067**

**HILLWOOD PARTIES' RESPONSE TO
WATKINS' FIRST REQUEST FOR INFORMATION**

WATKINS—HILLWOOD 1-3:

Please confirm that your property is not directly impacted by any of Segments M5-R2-R5-U3-V3-V4.

RESPONSE:

We can confirm that Segments M5-R2-R5-U3-V3-V4 do not cross property of the Hillwood Parties.

Prepared by or under the direction of the following Sponsor: L. Russell Laughlin

**SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-23-21216
PUC DOCKET NO. 55067**

**HILLWOOD PARTIES' RESPONSE TO
WATKINS' FIRST REQUEST FOR INFORMATION**

WATKINS—HILLWOOD 1-4:

You have previously indicated your support for Route 179. This route utilizes Segments M2-M3-R4-V2.

- a. Would you support or “not oppose” a modified version of Route 179 identified as “Route 179-Watkins” in the Direct Testimony of T. Brian Almon (Docket Item No. 1426) that uses Segments M5-R2-R5-U3-V3-V4 instead of Segments M2-M3-R4-V2?
- b. If you cannot agree to support or “not oppose” Route 179-Watkins, please explain why.

RESPONSE:

We support Route 179 as contemplated in Oncor’s application. However, if the only difference between Route 179 and Mr. Almon’s suggested Route 179-Watkins is that Segment M2-M3-R4-V2 in Route 179 is replaced by Segments M5-R2-R5-U3-V3-V4 in Route 179-Watkins, and if Oncor and the other parties were to accept Route 179-Watkins as the uncontested agreed settlement route, Hillwood Parties would not oppose the agreed settlement route.

Prepared by or under the direction of the following Sponsor: L. Russell Laughlin