

# **Filing Receipt**

Filing Date - 2023-07-31 02:52:40 PM

Control Number - 55067

Item Number - 1456

#### SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-23-21216 PUC DOCKET NO. 55067

ş

§ §

§

ş ş

ŝ

APPLICATION OF ONCOR ELECTRIC DELIVERY LLC TO AMEND ITS CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY FOR THE RAMHORN HILL – DUNHAM 345-KV TRANSMISSION LINE IN DENTON AND WISE COUNTIES BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE

OF

**ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS** 

#### DIRECT TESTIMONY OF INTERVENOR PEGGY LOGAN MCCURDY

Intervenor Peggy Logan McCurdy files this Direct Testimony, which is attached. Peggy

Logan McCurdy stipulates that this Direct Testimony can be treated by all parties as if the

answers were filed under oath.

Respectfully submitted,

BRAUN & GRESHAM, PLLC P.O. Box 1148 (Mailing) Dripping Springs, Texas 78620 14101 Hwy. 290 W., Bldg. 1100 (Physical) Austin, Texas 78737 512-894-5426 (telephone) 512-894-3405 (fax)

/s/Patrick L. Reznik Patrick L. Reznik State Bar No. 16806780 preznik@braungresham.com Carly Barton State Bar No. 24086063 cbarton@braungresham.com

#### ATTORNEYS FOR PEGGY LOGAN MCCURDY

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was served on all parties and counsel of record on July 31, 2023, in accordance with PUC Procedural Rule 22.74 and Orders issued in Docket No. 50067 to date.

/s/Patrick L. Reznik Patrick L. Reznik

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I.	INTRODUCTION	4
II.	PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF TESTIMONY	5
III.	DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY AND THE LINE'S IMPACT	5
IV.	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	.11
	EXHIBIT A – MAP OF HEADQUARTERS4, 8,	12
	EXHIBIT B – MAP OF 26 ACRES	13

1	I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>
2 3 4 5	QUESTION: PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND CURRENT ADDRESS FOR THE RECORD.
6	ANSWER: My name is Peggy Logan McCurdy, and my current address is P.O. Box
7	88, Rhome, TX 76078.
8 9 10 11 12 13	QUESTION: ARE YOU AN INTERVENOR IN SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-23-21216 AND PUC DOCKET NO. 55067 AND ON WHOSE BEHALF ARE YOU TESTIFYING?
14	ANSWER: Yes. I'm testifying behalf of myself, and I own Tracts #2826, 2827, 2196,
15	2197, 2223 identified in Oncor's Application. I also filed a Request to Intervene as
16	"Peggy McCurdy" as that is what Oncor's records had as my name. See Interchange
17	Item #783.
18 19 20 21 22 23	QUESTION: HAVE YOU EVER TESTIFIED IN A PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF TEXAS ("PUC" OR "COMMISSION") PROCEEDING? ANSWER: No.
24 25 26 27	QUESTION: WHERE IS YOUR PROPERTY LOCATED?
28	ANSWER: My family's ranching headquarters is located west of South County Line
29	Road and south of West County Line Road in Wise and Denton counties. It is
30	approximately 200 acres. This property will be referred to as "Headquarters." See
31	Exhibit A. A second property impacted by the proposed line is located east of South
32	County Line Road, approximately 2 miles north of State Highway 114, in Denton
33	County. This property is approximately 26 acres and will be referred to as "26 Acres."
34 35 36 37 38	See Exhibit B.
	Direct Testimony of Peggy Logan McCurdy SOAH Docket No. 473-23-21216 PUC Docket No. 55067

Page 4

1 2	QUESTION: HOW LONG HAVE YOU OWNED THE PROPERTY?
3	ANSWER: My grandfather was a pioneer in this area of north Texas in the 1870's. The
4	land affected by the proposed transmission line has been in my family for over 100 years
5	and continually operated by my family as an agricultural endeavor. The Headquarters and
6	the 26 Acres are currently owned by me and the Floyd T. McCurdy Testamentary Trust.
7 8 9 10 11	QUESTION: ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH THIS AREA OF TEXAS? ANSWER: Yes
12 13	II. PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF TESTIMONY
14 15 16	QUESTION: WHAT IS THE PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?
17	ANSWER: The purpose of my testimony is to (a) describe my property, (b) describe the
18	expected impact of the proposed transmission line on my property, (c) voice my
19	opposition against Segment Q1, Q2, O3, O6, O8, P1, and Routes 10, 11, 19, 67, 170, 186,
20	187, 191, and 192 which utilize these Segments, and (d) voice my support for Oncor's
21	Recommended Route 179.
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	III. <u>DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY AND THE LINE'S IMPACT</u> QUESTION: ARE THERE ANY HABITABLE STRUCTURES ON THE PROPERTY? ANSWER: Yes. I am 91 years old, and I live in our family home that is 420 feet from the
31	Segment Q1. It is identified as habitable structure 689.
32 33 34 35 36 37 38	QUESTION: PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR PROPERTY'S TERRAIN AND ITS ECOLOGICAL OR BIOLOGICAL FEATURES. ANSWER: The property that is our ranch Headquarters is a good example of the open rolling prairie landscape of this area of north Texas that is quickly being developed. The Direct Testimony of Peggy Logan McCurdy SOAH Docket No. 473-23-21216 PUC Docket No. 55067
	Page 5

grass is native to the area and supports wildlife such as native and migratory birds, rabbit, coyote, fox, skunk, armadillo, and occasional roadrunner. Harriet Creek runs through the corner of the property and supports the mentioned wildlife as well as frogs, snakes, and small fish. A branch that runs through the middle of the property directs water flow northward toward Harriet Creek. These creeks, as well as a stock tank, supply water for wildlife and our cattle. The hill on which our family home is located commands a panoramic view of the land around us.

8

9 The 26 Acres has similar wildlife and ecological features. A branch of Elizabeth creek
10 runs through the property and provides water for wildlife and our cattle.

11

#### 12

13 QUESTION: PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR PROPERTY'S CURRENT USES,
14 INCLUDING BRIEFLY DESCRIBING ANY RANCH OR SPECIALIZED
15 OPERATIONS.
16

17ANSWER: The property located west of South County Line Road and south of West 18 County Road, serves as the headquarters for our agricultural operations in other parts of 19 Wise County and elsewhere. Our barns, corrals, tack room, machine shop, granaries, 20 liquid feed storage tanks, fuel tanks, feed grinder, truck scales, and machinery are all on 21 this property. We are primarily a cow/calf operation, but since this is our headquarters, 22 our horses, sick cattle, bottle fed calves, bulls, and expecting heifers are brought here for 23 care and close monitoring. We cultivate wheat, oats, and hay. We bring our yearling 24 calves to this property in the winter to graze the winter wheat. In the summer, the mature 25 wheat, oats, and hay are harvested and stored for feed to supplement the native grass in 26 the winter. The ability to fully use all the features of the Headquarters is vital to our entire 27 operation, not just this particular property. A transmission line would impair our use of 28 this property, especially during the construction phase.

- 29
- 30
- QUESTION: HAVE YOU OR YOUR FAMILY UNDERTAKEN ANY EFFORTS TO
   RESTORE THE LAND OR THE ENIRONMENTAL QUALITY OF THE PROPERTY?
- 33

1 ANSWER: Yes, we have made efforts to restore the native grasses and prevent soil 2 erosion. Many years ago, a larger portion of our property was cultivated. We have 3 restored much of the land to native grass and maintained this grass through proper 4 grazing and weed control. A portion of Headquarters that's most conducive to cultivation has been terraced to prevent soil erosion. Terraces make cultivation more difficult, but it 5 6 is better for the environment. We do not allow hunting on the property, so wildlife 7 flourishes. We have placed some of our other property, not involved in this matter, in the 8 federal Conservation Reserve Program that's committed to restoring native grassland, 9 protecting wildlife habitat, and protecting water quality. These issues are very important 10 to our family. 11 12 13 QUSTION: ARE THERE ANY WATER WELL SITES ON THE PROPERTY? IF SO, DESCRIBE THE GENRAL LOCATION. 14 15 16 ANSWER: There is a water well directly north of the family home. 17 18 QUESTION: ARE THERE ANY GAS WELLS ON THE PROPERTY? IF SO, 19 20 DESCRIBE THE GENERAL LOCATION. 21 22 ANSWER: On the Headquarters, there is a gas well about 680 feet southwest of the 23 habitable structure and about 200 feet from the proposed Segment Q1. There is another 24 gas well in the northwest corner of the property. These are low producing wells with a 25 small footprint of which we have partial ownership, and we can control who enters our 26 property and when. There is a low producing gas well on 26 Acres, approximately 640 27 feet east of South County line road and proposed Segment O6. 28 29 30 QUESTION: ARE THERE ANY PIPELINE EASEMENTS ON THE PROPERTY? IF 31 SO, DESCRIBE THE GENERAL LOCATION. 32 33 ANSWER: There are pipeline easements on all four sides of Headquarters. On 26 Acres, 34 there is a pipeline easement along the northern and eastern property lines. 35 36

1 QUESTION: PLEASE DESCRIBE ANY PLANNED FUTURE USES OF YOUR PROPERTY IF THOSE USES ARE DIFFERENT FROM THE CURRENT USES 2 3 PREVIOUSLY DESCRIBED. 4 5 ANSWER: We will continue our agricultural operations and preservation of the native 6 prairie land on our properties. 7 8 9 QUESTION: DO ANY EXISTING TRANSMISSION OR DISTRIBUTION LINES 10 CROSS YOUR PROPERTY? IF SO, PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW AND WHERE THEY CROSS THE PROPERTY, 11 12 13 ANSWER: The only existing line is a distribution line that has the small wooden poles 14 and delivers electricity to the family home and one of the barns. 15 16 QUESTION: ARE THERE CURRENT PLANS FOR OTHER UTILITY FEATURES 17 18 ON YOUR PROPERTY? IF SO, PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW AND WHERE THEY 19 CROSS THE PROPERTY. 20 21 ANSWER: No. 22 23 OUESTION: WOULD THE SEGMENTS THAT MIGHT IMPACT YOUR 24 PROPERTY RUN ALONG ANY BOUNDARY LINES OF YOUR PROPERTY? 25 26 ANSWER: Proposed Segment Q1 splits apart Headquarters and does not follow property 27 lines or existing rights-of-way. See Exhibit A. On 26 Acres, Segments O6 and P1 28 parallel the property line adjacent to South County Line Road. See Exhibit B. 29 30 31 OUESTION: HOW WOULD A 345-KV ELECTRIC TRANSMISSION LINE 32 IMPACT YOUR PROPERTY AND ITS OPERATIONS? 33 34 ANSWER: The land impacted by the proposed electric transmission line has been in our family over 100 years and has been continually operated by our family for 5 generations. 35 36 We would like to maintain this undeveloped prairie land for generations to come. 37 38 On the Headquarters, the proposed transmission line (Segment Q1) splits apart our family 39 farming and ranching operation. During construction of the line, our ability to access the Direct Testimony of Peggy Logan McCurdy SOAH Docket No. 473-23-21216 PUC Docket No. 55067 Page 8

1 cattle and crops on approximately 2/3 of this acreage could be severely impeded. The 2 winter wheat, the summer crops, the grassland, and a stock tank could be inaccessible for 3 an unknown period and at an inopportune time. We would incur considerable expense to 4 relocate the cattle and forgo the income and forage from the cultivated crops. Because 5 the cattle are specifically brought to this property for care, monitoring or feeding, they are 6 not easily moved elsewhere. The proposed line is 420 feet directly behind our family 7 home where our 91-year-old mother resides. It is even closer to our barns and corrals. It 8 would cross the creek, its branch, and cultivated land. This will most likely cause soil 9 erosion and degrade the quality of the water. The proposed line does not directly parallel 10 existing rights-of-way or property lines. The existence of a transmission line from one end of Headquarters to the other would be especially egregious to us. (Note: Segment P7 11 12 also affects this property, but it parallels the property line on our southern border and is 13 not as impactful as Segment Q1.)

14

The 26 Acres involves Segments O6 and P1. During construction of the line on this land, access to cattle would likely be cut off because there is no other access point except from the county road, which the line would parallel. We would incur the expense to move the cattle during the construction phase. Degradation to the creek that provides water for our cattle will likely occur during construction across the creek.

20 21

24

22 QUESTION: WOULD YOU HAVE TO REGULARLY DRIVE UNDER THE 23 TRANSMISSION LINE IF INSTALLED ON YOUR PROPERTY.

ANSWER: Yes. The proposed line cutting across Headquarters is in our cultivated land. Planting, maintaining, and harvesting the crops would put us underneath the lines. About 850 feet of an internal ranch dirt road would probably be beneath the overhang of the line. Multiple times a day our family would go under the proposed line to access the cattle and crops on 2/3s of our property.

30

31 QUESTION: DESCRIBE THE AESTHETIC IMPACT TO YOUR PROPERTY IF 32 ONCOR INSTALLS A 345-KV TRANSMISSION LINE ON YOUR PROPERTY.

33

1	ANSWER: Headquarters is a beautiful rolling prairie. It is one of the few remaining
2	large tracts of undeveloped land along South County Line Road. If one looks west from
3	the back porch of our family home or west from our barns, in the foreground are cattle
4	grazing, beyond is verdant green wheat in the winter and golden wheat in the summer,
5	and most spectacularly, one can watch a glorious sunset as it descends over a crest of
6	trees on our western border. If the proposed line is built, looking west in your immediate
7	vision will be a huge transmission line extending from north to south on our property.
8 9 10 11 12	QUESTION: IS THIS YOUR FIRST EFFORT TO COMMUNICATE YOUR CONCERNS WITH ONCOR? ANSWER: We filed a Request to Intervene, with comments.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	QUESTION: IF THE TRANSMISSION LINE IS BUILT ON YOUR PROPERTY, DO YOU HAVE ANY CONCERNS ABOUT ONCOR HAVING ACCESS TO YOUR PROPERTY? Yes, we have concerns about Oncor/third party having access because even if
20	unintentionally, they could agitate the cattle, trample crops and grass, leave ruts if the
21	ground is wet, leave gates open, and enter by the wrong gates. We purposely control
22	access to the gas wells for similar reasons.
23 24 25 26 27 28	QUESTION: IF THE TRANSMISSION LINE IS BUILT ON YOUR PROPERTY, DO YOU HAVE ANY OTHER CONCERNS? ANSWER: Yes, we're concerned about the detrimental health effects of the transmission
29	line on our family members who will daily be within 500 feet or working directly under
30	the transmission line.
31 32 33 34 35 36 37	IV. <u>CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS</u> QUESTION: HOW WOULD YOU SUMMARIZE YOUR POSITION IN THIS PROCEEDING REGARDING ONCOR'S RECOMMENDED ROUTE 179 AND THE PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE ROUTES?

ANSWER: We specifically oppose using Segment Q1 and Route 67. This sequence spans our whole Headquarters splitting it in two and is especially egregious to us. It is only 420 feet from our family home and even closer to our barns. It could cause environmental damage and negative health effects. It would cause economic hardship during construction because 2/3s of our property could be unusable.

7

We also oppose Segments O6 and P1, which would cut off access during construction to
our cattle on our 26 Acres. In addition, there are many habitable structures along links
O6 and P1 in the Shale Creek Subdivision.

11

We fully support Oncor's Recommended Route 179, which would have the least impact on the least number of people and the environment. Route 179 is one of the cheaper alternative routes and would cost only \$10,627,000, or 6.5%, more than the cheapest route. Route 179 only has 97 habitable structures within 500 feet of the centerline, which is only 4 more than then Route 164 which has the least amount of habitable structures.

17 18

19 QUESTION: WHY DO YOU OPPOSE THE FOUR ROUTES THAT ARE CHEAPER20 THAN ROUTE 179?

21

ANSWER: The four least expensive routes impact a range of 320-400 habitable structures. Route 179 only impacts 97, which is just 4 more habitable structures than the route with the least number.

25

26 QUESTION: DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?

27 28 ANSWER; Yes,



