



## **Filing Receipt**

**Filing Date - 2023-07-31 01:07:33 PM**

**Control Number - 55067**

**Item Number - 1435**

**SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-23-21216  
PUC DOCKET NO. 55067**

<b>APPLICATION OF ONCOR ELECTRIC DELIVERY LLC TO AMEND ITS CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY FOR THE RAMHORN HILL – DUNAHM 345-KV TRANSMISSION LINE IN DENTON AND WISE COUNTIES</b>	§ § § § § § §	<b>BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE     OF    ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS</b>
--	---------------------------------	--

**DIRECT TESTIMONY OF INTERVENOR KEITH NORRIS**

Intervenor Keith Norris files this Direct Testimony, which is attached. Intervenor stipulates that this Direct Testimony can be treated by all parties as if the answers were filed under oath.

Respectfully submitted,

BRAUN & GRESHAM, PLLC  
P.O. Box 1148 (Mailing)  
Dripping Springs, Texas 78620  
14101 Hwy. 290 W., Bldg. 1100 (Physical)  
Austin, Texas 78737  
512-894-5426 (telephone)  
512-894-3405 (fax)

/s/Patrick L. Reznik  
Patrick L. Reznik  
State Bar No. 16806780  
[preznik@braungresham.com](mailto:preznik@braungresham.com)  
Carly Barton  
State Bar No. 24086063  
[cbarton@braungresham.com](mailto:cbarton@braungresham.com)

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was served on all parties and counsel of record on July 31, 2023, in accordance with PUC Procedural Rule 22.74 and Orders issued in Docket No. 50067 to date.

/s/Patrick L. Reznik

\_\_\_\_\_  
Patrick L. Reznik

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I.	INTRODUCTION .....	4
II.	POSITION ON PROPOSED ROUTES.....	4
III.	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS .....	4

## **I. INTRODUCTION**

QUESTION: PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND CURRENT ADDRESS FOR THE RECORD.

ANSWER: My name is Keith Norris, and my current address is 9691 Bluebaugh Rd, Justin TX 76247.

QUESTION: ARE YOU AN INTERVENOR IN SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-23-21216 AND PUC DOCKET NO. 55067?

ANSWER: Yes.

## **II. POSITION ON PROPOSED ROUTES**

QUESTION: WHAT ROUTES DO YOU OPPOSE?

ANSWER: I oppose any route using Segments Q1, Q2, Q5, O8, and O3.

QUESTION: DO YOU SUPPORT ONCOR'S RECOMMENDED ROUTE 179?

ANSWER: Yes.

## **III. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

QUESTION: HOW WOULD YOU SUMMARIZE YOUR POSITION IN THIS PROCEEDING REGARDING ONCOR'S RECOMMENDED ROUTE 179 AND THE PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE ROUTES?

ANSWER: I specifically oppose any route using Segments Q1, Q2, Q5, O8, O3 and Routes 67, 68, 69. I fully support Oncor's Recommended Route 179.

QUESTION: DO YOU HAVE ANYTHING ELSE TO ADD?

ANSWER: I have owned my property since 1996. I've raised my child here and plan on being here the rest of my life. I really wish you all would use Route 179 so at 59 years of age I do not have to consider starting over.

QUESTION: DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?

ANSWER: Yes.