



Filing Receipt

Filing Date - 2023-07-31 01:07:01 PM

Control Number - 55067

Item Number - 1434

**SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-23-21216
PUC DOCKET NO. 55067**

APPLICATION OF ONCOR ELECTRIC DELIVERY LLC TO AMEND ITS CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY FOR THE RAMHORN HILL – DUNAHM 345-KV TRANSMISSION LINE IN DENTON AND WISE COUNTIES	§ § § § § § §	BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
--	---------------------------------	--

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF INTERVENOR JANET BEVERLY

Intervenor Janet Beverly files this Direct Testimony, which is attached.

Intervenor stipulates that this Direct Testimony can be treated by all parties as if the answers were filed under oath.

Respectfully submitted,

BRAUN & GRESHAM, PLLC
P.O. Box 1148 (Mailing)
Dripping Springs, Texas 78620
14101 Hwy. 290 W., Bldg. 1100 (Physical)
Austin, Texas 78737
512-894-5426 (telephone)
512-894-3405 (fax)

/s/Patrick L. Reznik
Patrick L. Reznik
State Bar No. 16806780
preznik@braungresham.com
Carly Barton
State Bar No. 24086063
cbarton@braungresham.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was served on all parties and counsel of record on July 31, 2023, in accordance with PUC Procedural Rule 22.74 and Orders issued in Docket No. 50067 to date.

/s/Patrick L. Reznik

Patrick L. Reznik

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	4
II.	POSITION ON PROPOSED ROUTES.....	4
III.	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	4

I. INTRODUCTION

QUESTION: PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND CURRENT ADDRESS FOR THE RECORD.

ANSWER: My name is Janet Beverly, and my current address is 3643 Dove Hollow Lane, Justin TX 76247.

QUESTION: ARE YOU AN INTERVENOR IN SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-23-21216 AND PUC DOCKET NO. 55067?

ANSWER: Yes.

II. POSITION ON PROPOSED ROUTES

QUESTION: WHAT ROUTES DO YOU OPPOSE?

ANSWER: I oppose any route using Segments Q1, Q2, Q5, O8, and O3.

QUESTION: DO YOU SUPPORT ONCOR'S RECOMMENDED ROUTE 179?

ANSWER: Yes.

III. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

QUESTION: HOW WOULD YOU SUMMARIZE YOUR POSITION IN THIS PROCEEDING REGARDING ONCOR'S RECOMMENDED ROUTE 179 AND THE PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE ROUTES?

ANSWER: I specifically oppose any route using Segments Q1, Q2, Q5, O8, O3 and Routes 67, 68, 69. I fully support Oncor's Recommended Route 179.

QUESTION: DO YOU HAVE ANYTHING ELSE TO ADD?

ANSWER: My husband and I bought this property in 1994. We moved out of the city to the country. This is where we raised our children and retired. This is our forever home. Our grandchildren were born and raised here. I lost my husband in 2020 and it would devastate me if Oncor chooses Segment O8 to put their electric lines near my property. I oppose these lines being put near my property, because of humming and we are in a flood zone in the back of the property. The tower visibility would ruin our sunsets, sunrises, stars, and moon. There are already plans for a housing area that has been platted. There are also talks of an elementary and a middle school along this segment. I support Oncor's recommended route 179.

QUESTION : DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?

ANSWER: Yes.