

Filing Receipt

Filing Date - 2023-07-26 04:00:50 PM

Control Number - 55067

Item Number - 1357

SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-23-21216 PUC DOCKET NO. 55067

APPLICATION OF ONCOR	§	BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE
ELECTRIC DELIVERY LCC TO	§	
AMEND ITS CERTIFICATE OF	§	
CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY	§	OF
FOR THE RAMHORN HILL-	§	
DUNHAM 345-KV TRANSMISSION	§	
LINE IN DENTON AND WISE	§	
COUNTIES	§	ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

of

HARVEY H. MUELLER, II

on behalf of

H3M PROPERTY HOLDINGS, LP

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Heading</u>	<u>Page</u>
I.	INTRODUCTION	
Π.	PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY	2
Ш.	DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY AND IMPACT	
	OF THE TRANSMISSION LINE ON THE PROPERTY	2
rv.	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	

1		I. INTRODUCTION
2	Q	Please state your name and address.
3	A.	"My name is Harvey H. Mueller, II. I am the general partner of H3M Property Holdings,
4		LP. My mailing address is 6467 Southwest Boulevard, Fort Worth, Texas 76132-2777."
5	Q.	Please describe your background, profession and/or experience.
6	A.	"I have extensive experience in commercial development and other aspects of real
7		estate."
8	Q.	Please describe your history with the property potentially affected.
9	A.	"This property was purchased with the intent to develop it commercially because it is
10		located on State Highway 114."
11		II. PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY
12	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?
13	A.	"The purpose of my testimony is to: (i) describe the property; (ii) describe the expected
14		impact of the proposed transmission line on the property; (iii) voice my opposition
15		against certain links and routes; and (iv) provide information on the route that I prefer."
16 17		III, DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY AND IMPACT OF THE TRANSMISSION LINE ON THE PROPERTY
18 19	Q.	Please describe your property.
20	A .	"H3M Property Holdings, LP owns approximately 10.59 acres, which would be affected
	A,	
21		by the proposed transmission line if any route utilizing Links P1, P4 or O6 were approved
22		by the PUC. This property has been identified as Tract 2330, 2609, 2613, 2617 in this
23		matter."
24	Ο.	Are there any habitable structures or other improvements on your property?

- 1 A. "There are not habitable structures on the Property, however it is in close proximity to a
- subdivision known as Shale Creek Subdivision. There are approximately 200 habitable
- 3 structures within the subdivision and another 800+ planned. I do believe these structures
- 4 will be impacted by the proposed transmission line."
- 5 Q. Please describe your Property's terrain and any ecological features.
- 6 A. "The property is typical commercial raw land for the area."
- 7 Q. Please describe the Property's current uses and operations.
- 8 A. "Currently, there is a vertical natural gas well that is producing on the Property and has
- 9 approximately 10 more years of profitable economic life remaining. Additionally, there
- is a grass lease in place for livestock grazing."
- 11 Q. Are there planned future uses of the property that are different from the current
- use(s)? If so, please explain.
- 13 A. "The Property is planned to be used for future commercial development. This Property is
- a large undeveloped tract situated along State Highway 114 and South County Line Road.
- I would intend that this Property be developed in an environmentally and ecologically
- friendly manner. Construction of power lines through this Property would be detrimental
- to that effort. Additionally, use of Links P1, P4, P5, S1, O6 or P3 by Oncor would front
- onto State Highway 114 and wrap around the Shale Creek Subdivision. Use of any of
- these links would affect the numerous habitable structures already located in the area, as
- well as the future development. This would be detrimental to the public by affecting
- views and devaluation to the Property and the properties located within the Subdivision."
- 22 O. If the transmission line is built on the Property, do you have any concerns about
- ONCOR having access to the Property?

1 A. "I have concerns, similar to residents in the Subdivision regarding third party access to 2 the property."

IV. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Q. Please summarize your position in this proceeding regarding Oncor's proposed
 alternative routes.

3

- A. "I'm strongly in favor of the placement of the transmission line along Route 179 as

 proposed by Oncor's Brenda J. Perkins in the Office Memorandum dated May 30, 2023,

 and attached as Attachment No. 7 to the Application. Route 179 is one of the cheaper

 alternative routes and would only cost approximately \$175,208,000.00. Additionally, it

 only has 97 habitable structures within 500 feet of the centerline of the route, which is

 only 4 more than Route 164 which has the least amount of habitable structures."
- Q. Do you have any further statements regarding why you do not support placement of the transmission line in the area of your Property?
- 14 A. Yes, the effect the transmission line will have on my property as well as the Shale Creek
 15 Subdivision is extremely detrimental in terms of the value of the property, use of the
 16 property and health of the residents. I am strongly opposed to any route including links
 17 P1, O6, P3, P5, S1 and P4. More specifically, I am opposed to Routes 10, 11, 19, 33, 54,
 18 92, 117, 154, 175, 178, 186, 187, 191, 192, 216, 217, 218, 219 and 221. All of these
 19 routes have significantly more habitable structures on the route than Route 179 with a
 20 range from 108-400.
- 21 Q. Why are you specifically opposed to link P1?
- 22 A. Link P1 will directly affect the Property because it will be placed directly on my property.

 23 Portions of my property will be rendered unusable, and it will affect my ability to develop

1	the property for	or commercial p	purposes by	y limiting th	e area that can	be used.	Furthermore
---	------------------	-----------------	-------------	---------------	-----------------	----------	--------------------

- P1 has 111 habitable structures on the link, with more planned for the Shale Creek
- 3 Subdivision. Placing a line on this link will greatly impact those habitats and future
- 4 residential and commercial development.
- 5 Q. Are you aware there are four routes less costly than Route 179? What basis do you
- 6 have to oppose those four routes?
- 7 A. While they are slightly less costly, they have more than double the amount of habitable
- 8 structures and include more corners in the route. The 4 less expensive routes have a
- 9 range of 320-400 habitable structures on them, cross wetlands on an average length of
- 492 621 feet and cross streams on average length of 3,000 feet. Route 179 crosses 0
- streams and is only parallel to streams for approximately 1,351 feet.
- 12 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 13 A. Yes, this concludes my testimony, subject to subsequent correction.

14

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	I certify a copy of this document is being filed in the Public Utility Commission's
3	Interchange System and served on all parties of record as required by orders in this docket, the
4	Commission's rules, and the Commission's orders in Project No. 50664.
5	
6	· Note william
7	Todd W. Boykin

8