

# **Filing Receipt**

Filing Date - 2023-07-26 03:58:21 PM

Control Number - 55067

Item Number - 1356

## SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-23-21216 PUC DOCKET NO. 55067

APPLICATION OF ONCOR	§	BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE
ELECTRIC DELIVERY LCC TO	§	
AMEND ITS CERTIFICATE OF	§	
CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY	§	OF
FOR THE RAMHORN HILL-	§	
<b>DUNHAM 345-KV TRANSMISSION</b>	§	
LINE IN DENTON AND WISE	§	
COUNTIES	§	ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

### DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

of

## ROSS ARTHUR BREWER

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Heading</u>	<u>Page</u>
I.	INTRODUCTION	2
II.	PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY	,
ш.	DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY AND IMPACT OF THE TRANSMISSION LINE ON THE PROPERTY	2
IV.	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	4
	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	6

1		I. INTRODUCTION
2	Q	Please state your name and address.
3	A.	"My name is Ross Arthur Brewer. My mailing address is 12004 Forest Lawn Road
4		Rhome, Texas 76078."
5	Q.	Please describe your history with the property potentially affected.
6	A.	"This property was purchased for the purpose of being my permanent residence. There is
7		about a section and a half of open acreage directly behind my lot."
8		II. PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY
9	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?
10	A.	"The purpose of my testimony is to: (i) describe my property; (ii) describe the expected
11		impact of the proposed transmission line on my property; (iii) voice my opposition
12		against certain links and routes; and (iv) provide information on the route that I prefer."
13 14		III. DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY AND IMPACT OF THE TRANSMISSION LINE ON THE PROPERTY
15 16	Q.	Please describe your property.
17	A.	"I own Lot 4, Block 24 in Shale Creek Subdivision, Phase 1, which would be extremely
18		affected by the proposed transmission line if any route utilizing Links S1, P5, P4, P3, O6
19		or P1 were approved by the PUC. This property has been identified as Tract 2740 in this
20		matter."
21	Q.	Are there any habitable structures or other improvements on your property or near
22		your property?
23	A.	"My house is on this property, and it is a part of a subdivision known as Shale Creek
24		Subdivision. There are approximately 200 habitable structures within the subdivision and

- another 800+ planned. These structures will be impacted significantly by the proposed
- 2 transmission line."
- 3 Q. Please describe your Property's terrain and any ecological features.
- 4 A. "The property is typical for a subdivision residential lot."
- 5 Q. Please describe the Property's current uses and operations.
- 6 A. "The Property is my home."
- 7 O. What will be the impact of the transmission line on your property.
- 8 A. "If any route using S1 or P4 are used, the transmission line would be in my backyard. I
- have concerns for the value of my property and the views from my property. I suffer
- from multiple sclerosis along with demyelinating symptoms and am also concerned that a
- transmission line that is close to my home could have further detrimental effects to my
- health from electromagnetic radiation including headaches, fatigue, anxiety, insomnia and
- other effects. An electrical wheelchair will more than likely be necessary in the future as
- well as having a nerve stimulator implanted into my spine. The electromagnetic radiation
- could have serious effects on those items. Construction of power lines through this
- Property could also be detrimental to the future development of the subdivision. The use
- of Links P1, P4, P5, S1, O6 or P3 by Oncor would front onto State Highway 114 and
- wrap around the Shale Creek Subdivision. Use of any of these links would affect the
- numerous habitable structures already located in the area, as well as the future
- development. This would be detrimental to the public by affecting views and devaluation
- 21 to the properties located within the Subdivision."
- 22 Q. If the transmission line is built on the Property, do you have any concerns about
- 23 ONCOR having access to the Property?

- 1 A. "I have concerns, similar to residents in the Subdivision regarding third party access to my property."
- 3 IV. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
- Q. Please summarize your position in this proceeding regarding Oncor's proposed
   alternative routes.
- A. "I'm strongly in favor of the placement of the transmission line along Route 179 as

  proposed by Oncor's Brenda J. Perkins in the Office Memorandum dated May 30, 2023,

  and attached as Attachment No. 7 to the Application. Route 179 is one of the cheaper

  alternative routes and would only cost approximately \$175,208,000.00. Additionally, it

  only has 97 habitable structures within 500 feet of the centerline of the route, which is

  only 4 more than Route 164 which has the least amount of habitable structures."
- Q. Do you have any further statements regarding why you do not support placement of the transmission line in the area of your Property?
- 14 A. Yes, the effect the transmission line will have on my property as well as the Shale Creek
  15 Subdivision is extremely detrimental in terms of the value of the property, use of the
  16 property and health of myself and the other residents. I am strongly opposed to any route
  17 including links P1, O6, P3, P5, S1 and P4. More specifically, I am opposed to Routes 10,
  18 11, 19, 33, 54, 92, 117, 154, 175, 178, 186, 187, 191, 192, 216, 217, 218, 219 and 221.
  19 All of these routes have significantly more habitable structures on the route than Route
  20 179 with a range from 108-400."
- 21 Q. Why are you specifically opposed to link P4?
- 22 A. Link P4 will directly affect my Property because it will be placed directly on or along the
  23 boundary of my property. The view from my backyard, and the backyard of my

- neighbors will be affected. There is no doubt that this would cause the value of my
- 2 property to go down. Link P4 has 84 habitable structures on the link, with more planned
- for the Shale Creek Subdivision. Routes using P4 are more costly and have 3 to 4 times
- 4 more habitable structures than using 179. Using this link in any route will greatly impact
- 5 those habitats and future residential development.
- 6 Q. Why are you specifically opposed to link P1?
- 7 A. Link P1 will affect the Property because it will be placed along the entrance of the Shale
- 8 Creek Subdivision. This will affect views and the attractiveness of the subdivision as it
- 9 will be the first thing they see and drive under upon entering the subdivision. This would
- then affect the values of the Property including mine. Furthermore, P1 has 111 habitable
- structures on the link, with more planned for the Shale Creek Subdivision. Routes using
- P1 are more costly and have 3 to 4 times more habitable structures than using 179.
- 13 Placing a line on this link will greatly impact those habitats and future residential and
- 14 commercial development.
- 15 Q. Are you aware there are four routes less costly than Route 179? What basis do you
- 16 have to oppose those four routes?
- 17 A. While they are slightly less costly, they have more than double the amount of habitable
- structures and include more corners in the route. The 4 less expensive routes have a
- range of 320-400 habitable structures on them, cross wetlands on an average length of
- 492 621 feet and cross streams on average length of 3,000 feet. Route 179 crosses 0
- 21 streams and is only parallel to streams for approximately 1,351 feet.
- 22 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 23 A. Yes, this concludes my testimony, subject to subsequent correction.

# CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I certify a copy of this document is being filed in the Public Utility Commission's Interchange System and served on all parties of record as required by orders in this docket, the Commission's rules, and the Commission's orders in Project No. 50664. Todd W. Boykin