



## **Filing Receipt**

**Filing Date - 2023-07-25 07:31:08 AM**

**Control Number - 55067**

**Item Number - 1254**

# Oncor Electric Delivery Co. LLC

Electric Utilities United States

## ESG Risk Rating

# 16.5

Updated Aug 25, 2021


# -6.1

Momentum

# Low Risk

NEGL 0-10 LOW 10-20 MED 20-30 HIGH 30-40 SEVERE 40+

## ESG Risk Rating Distribution



## ESG Risk Rating Ranking

UNIVERSE	RANK (1st = lowest risk)	PERCENTILE (1st = lowest risk)
Global Universe	1573/13596	12th
Utilities INDUSTRY	24/604	5th
Electric Utilities SUBINDUSTRY	5/273	2nd

## Peers Table

Peers (Market cap \$0.0 - \$0.0bn)	Exposure	Management	ESG Risk Rating
1. Oncor Electric Delivery Co. LLC	38.6 Medium	60.9 Strong	<b>16.5 Low</b>
2. TenneT Holding B.V.	45.7 Medium	64.7 Strong	<b>17.9 Low</b>
3. Eurogrid GmbH	43.1 Medium	56.6 Strong	<b>20.2 Medium</b>
4. Statnett SF	44.2 Medium	52.8 Strong	<b>22.3 Medium</b>
5. AES Argentina Generación SA	61.4 High	68.4 Strong	<b>22.3 Medium</b>

# Oncor Electric Delivery Co. LLC

Electric Utilities United States


## ESG Risk Analysis

Exposure refers to the extent to which a company is exposed to different material ESG issues. The exposure score takes into consideration subindustry and company-specific factors such as its business model.

### ESG Risk Exposure

**38.6**  
**Medium**  
Beta = 0.73

**-6.3**  
Momentum



Oncor's operations involve the construction and maintenance of transmission and distribution lines and substations. Oncor's networks (139,000 miles of electric cable in FY2020) may directly and indirectly affect residential and adjacent areas, possibly generating community opposition. Mismanagement may lead to protests, project delays, fines or operational disruptions. Moreover, Oncor is exposed to health and safety incidents related to the construction, maintenance and expansion of its energy networks. Incidents have the potential to materially impact the company's operations through disruptions, delays, fines and lawsuits. In addition, as one of the biggest electricity transmission and distribution company in Texas, Oncor is exposed to issues related to service reliability. Thus, service interruptions may trigger customer complaints and lawsuits, as well as brand damages.

The company's overall exposure is medium and is significantly below subindustry average. Product Governance, Occupational Health and Safety and Community Relations are notable material ESG issues.

Management refers to how well a company is managing its relevant ESG issues. The management score assesses the robustness of a company's ESG programs, practices, and policies.

### ESG Risk Management

**60.9**  
**Strong**

**+8.0**  
Momentum



Oncor's overall ESG-related disclosure in its 2020 Corporate Sustainability Overview is not in accordance with GRI reporting standards, lagging behind best practice. The company's ESG-related issues are overseen by a committee, but reporting is insufficient to assess the level of authority in this area.

The company's overall management of material ESG issues is strong.

# Oncor Electric Delivery Co. LLC

Electric Utilities United States

## Material ESG Issues


These are the Material ESG Issues driving the ESG Risk Rating.

Issue Name	ESG Risk Exposure		ESG Risk Management		ESG Risk Rating	Contribution to ESG Risk Rating
	Score	Category	Score	Category	Score   Category	
Occupational Health and Safety	5.1	Medium	53.6	Strong	2.6 Low	16.0%
Product Governance	4.2	Medium	50.4	Strong	2.3 Low	13.9%
Corporate Governance	5.0	Medium	56.0	Strong	2.2 Low	13.3%
Land Use and Biodiversity	3.4	Low	39.2	Average	2.1 Low	12.5%
Carbon -Own Operations	3.0	Low	35.4	Average	1.9 Negligible	11.8%
Emissions, Effluents and Waste	2.5	Low	48.1	Average	1.4 Negligible	8.4%
Business Ethics	5.3	Medium	77.5	Strong	1.4 Negligible	8.4%
Community Relations	6.8	Medium	89.7	Strong	1.3 Negligible	7.9%
Human Capital	3.4	Low	65.7	Strong	1.3 Negligible	7.8%
Overall	38.6	Medium	60.9	Strong	16.5 Low	100.0%

## Events Overview

Identify events that may negatively impact stakeholders, the environment, or the company's operations.

### Category (Events)

 Severe (0)

 High (0)

 Significant (0)

 Moderate (0)

 Low (2)

Labour Relations

Quality and Safety

# Oncor Electric Delivery Co. LLC

Electric Utilities United States

## Events Overview

Identify events that may negatively impact stakeholders, the environment, or the company's operations.

## Category (Events)

 **None (16)**

Access to Basic Services

Accounting and Taxation

Anti-Competitive Practices

Bribery and Corruption

Business Ethics

Community Relations

Data Privacy and Security

Emissions, Effluents and Waste

Energy Use and GHG Emissions

Intellectual Property

Land Use and Biodiversity

Lobbying and Public Policy

Marketing Practices

Occupational Health and Safety


Sanctions

Society - Human Rights

# Oncor Electric Delivery Co. LLC

Electric Utilities United States

## Risk Decomposition



## Momentum Details



# Oncor Electric Delivery Co. LLC

Electric Utilities United States

## GLOSSARY OF TERMS

### Beta (Beta, $\beta$ )

A factor that assesses the degree to which a company's exposure deviates from its **subindustry's** exposure on a **material ESG issue**. It is used to derive a company-specific issue exposure score for a material ESG issue. It ranges from 0 to 10, with 0 indicating no exposure, 1 indicating the subindustry average, and 10 indicating exposure that is ten times the subindustry average.

### Corporate Governance Pillar

A pillar provides a signal about a company's management of a specific Corporate Governance issue.

### ESG Risk Category

Companies' **ESG Risk Rating scores** are assigned to five ESG risk categories in the **ESG Risk Rating**:

**Negligible risk:** enterprise value is considered to have a negligible risk of material financial impacts driven by ESG factors

**Low risk:** enterprise value is considered to have a low risk of material financial impacts driven by ESG factors

**Medium risk:** enterprise value is considered to have a medium risk of material financial impacts driven by ESG factors

**High risk:** enterprise value is considered to have a high risk of material financial impacts driven by ESG factors

**Severe risk:** enterprise value is considered to have a severe risk of material financial impacts driven by ESG factors

Note that because ESG risks materialize at an unknown time in the future and depend on a variety of unpredictable conditions, no predictions on financial or share price impacts, or on the time horizon of such impacts, are intended or implied by these risk categories.

### ESG Risk Rating Score (Unmanaged Risk Score)

The company's final score in the **ESG Risk Rating**; it applies the concept of **risk decomposition** to derive the level of **unmanaged risk** for a company.

### Event Category

Sustainalytics categorizes events that have resulted in negative ESG impacts into five event categories: Category 1 (low impact); Category 2 (moderate impact); Category 3 (significant impact); Category 4 (high impact); and Category 5 (severe impact).

### Event Indicator

An indicator that provides a signal about a potential failure of management through involvement in controversies.

### Excess Exposure

The difference between the company's **exposure** and its **subindustry exposure**.

### Exposure

A company or **subindustry's** sensitivity or vulnerability to ESG risks.

### Idiosyncratic Issue

An issue that was not deemed material at the **subindustry level** during the **consultation process** but becomes a **material ESG issue** for a company based on the occurrence of a Category 4 or 5 event.

### Manageable Risk

Material ESG risk that can be influenced and managed through suitable policies, programmes and initiatives.

### Managed Risk

Material ESG Risk that has been managed by a company through suitable policies, programmes and initiatives.

### Management

A company's handling of ESG risks.

### Management Gap

Refers to the difference between what a company has managed and what a company could possibly manage. It indicates how far the company's performance is from best practice.

### Management Indicator

An indicator that provides a signal about a company's management of an ESG issue through policies, programmes or quantitative performance.

### Material ESG Issue

A core building block of the **ESG Risk Rating**. An ESG issue is considered to be material within the rating if it is likely to have a significant effect on the enterprise value of a typical company within a given **subindustry**.

### Subindustry

Subindustries are defined as part of Sustainalytics' own classification system.

### Unmanageable Risk

Material ESG Risk inherent from the intrinsic nature of the products or services of a company and/or the nature of a company's business, which cannot be managed by the company if the company continues to offer the same type of products or services and remains in the same line of business.

### Unmanaged Risk

Material ESG risk that has not been managed by a company, and includes two types of risk: **unmanageable risk**, as well as risks that could be managed by a company through suitable initiatives, but which may not yet be managed (**management gap**).

# Oncor Electric Delivery Co. LLC

Electric Utilities United States

## DISCLAIMER

Copyright © 2021 Sustainalytics. All rights reserved.

The information, methodologies, data and opinions contained or reflected herein are proprietary of Sustainalytics and/or its third parties suppliers (Third Party Data), intended for internal, non-commercial use, and may not be copied, distributed or used in any way, including via citation, unless otherwise explicitly agreed in writing. They are provided for informational purposes only and (1) do not constitute investment advice; (2) cannot be interpreted as an offer or indication to buy or sell securities, to select a project or make any kind of business transactions; (3) do not represent an assessment of the issuer's economic performance, financial obligations nor of its creditworthiness.

These are based on information made available by third parties, subject to continuous change and therefore are not warranted as to their merchantability, completeness, accuracy or fitness for a particular purpose. The information and data are provided "as is" and reflect Sustainalytics' opinion at the date of their elaboration and publication. Sustainalytics nor any of its third-party suppliers accept any liability for damage arising from the use of the information, data or opinions contained herein, in any manner whatsoever, except where explicitly required by law. Any reference to third party names or Third Party Data is for appropriate acknowledgement of their ownership and does not constitute a sponsorship or endorsement by such owner. A list of our third-party data providers and their respective terms of use is available on our website. For more information, visit <http://www.sustainalytics.com/legal-disclaimers>.



**Sheron White**  
**16528 Cowboy Trail**  
**Justin, TX 76247**

**Monday, July 24, 2023**

**BE AN INTERVENOR**

Intervenors are considered a party to the hearing and your statement will be treated as evidence. Intervention is only granted to directly affected landowners. However, any landowner may request intervene and obtain a ruling on their specific concerns.

To Whom This Concern.

My interest in this docket qualifies me as an intervenor.

I understand the need for an electricity grid. The area is growing which means additional transmission lines are needed. However, I am intervening and voting against this Oncor PUC Docket No. 55067. This would be located directly near our property. Justin citizens will not receive their electricity through these lines. So, why run them through our neighborhood, within 250 feet of my property?

How does this positively impact the growth of Justin's municipal or residents? This will cause a 30% reduction in our property value. It seems that the financial and physical space for Justin and its residents will be negatively impacted. With the size of the Oncor project requiring a one-hundred-foot-wide clearance, trees, and other environmental aesthetics will be removed permanently.

I recently had cancer surgery in addition to some esophageal and asthma issues. My health is very important to me. I do not need any additional challenges to my health. The attached 2021 Risk Rating Report shows that the risk is either medium, strong, or lagging behind safety.

What about the Justin Town Square Project?

I am not in favor and asking that you do not proceed with these plans. What other land can you use that will not be impacted by its permanence?