

Filing Receipt

Filing Date - 2024-03-29 11:20:39 AM

Control Number - 54614

Item Number - 60



One American Center 600 Congress Suite 1900 Austin, TX 78701

March 29, 2024

Austin, TX 78767

E 512.744.9399 www.dwmrlaw.com

 Public Utility Commission of Texas P.O. Box 1149 Central Records - 1701 North Congress Avenue p: 512.744.9300 Austin, Texas 78711

> SOAH Docket No. 473-24-04312; PUC Docket No. 54614 -Application of El Re: Paso Electric Company for Approval of Texas Electric Vehicle-Ready Pilot Programs and Tariffs

To the Filing Clerk of the Public Utility Commission of Texas:

Please find attached the Company's Errata No. 1 to the Rebuttal Testimony of Manuel Carrasco. The errata consist of redlined edits to Mr. Carrasco's response to Q10 on page 3 of 5. A redlined copy and a clean copy of the errata page is attached. A clean copy of Mr. Carrasco's rebuttal testimony including these changes will be included in the Company's exhibits as uploaded to the file sharing site later today and as made available to SOAH and the court reporter.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Respectfully,

Everett Britt

Duggins Wren Mann & Romero, LLP 600 Congress Avenue, Suite 1900

Austin, Texas 78701

(512) 744-9300

ebritt@dwmrlaw.com

cc: All parties of record

1	EXCESS OF THOSE COVERED BY THE LINE EXTENSION POLICY WILL BE
2	INCLUDED IN EPE'S BASE RATES AND RECOVERED FROM ALL OF EPE'S
3	TEXAS RETAIL CUSTOMERS WHO TAKE SERVICE AT DISTRIBUTION
4	VOLTAGES?

No. The costs of improvements to EPE's distribution system up to the utility meter that are needed to support a customer's EV charging equipment will be treated in the same manner as costs of improvement to support the equipment of any other non-EV customer. Instances in which the estimated line extension cost exceeds those covered by the line extension policy require the customer to be responsible for those costs as a customer contribution. Customer contributions are deductions from net plant in service in the development of the rate base upon which the rate of return is applied in determining a revenue requirement, and these amounts will still be deducted from net plant in service even though the contribution amounts will be paid by EPE's Electrification department on behalf of the customer under the PowerConnect Pilot Program. While I understand that the amounts covered by the PowerConnect pilot program would typically be capitalized, whether these amounts will be included in rate base in a future rate proceeding is an issue to be decided in that future case. Mr. Evans' testimony that the costs of improvements to EPE's distribution system in excess of those covered by EPE's Line Extension Policy will be included in EPE's rate base, is inaccurate.

A.

- Q11. WILL PROPERTY TAXES, INSURANCE EXPENSES, AND OPERATIONS AND
 MAINTENANCE EXPENSES RELATED TO THOSE DISTRIBUTION FACILITIES
 BE TREATED IN THE SAME MANNER AS EXPENSES RELATED TO OTHER
 DISTRIBUTION FACILITIES?
- Yes. Property taxes, insurance expenses, and operation and maintenance expenses related to those distribution facilities that support the customer's EV charging equipment will be treated in the same manner as costs of improvements to support the equipment of any other non-EV customer.

1		EXCESS OF THOSE COVERED BY THE LINE EXTENSION POLICY WILL BE
2		INCLUDED IN EPE'S BASE RATES AND RECOVERED FROM ALL OF EPE'S
3		TEXAS RETAIL CUSTOMERS WHO TAKE SERVICE AT DISTRIBUTION
4		VOLTAGES?
5	A.	No. The costs of improvements to EPE's distribution system up to the utility meter that are
6		needed to support a customer's EV charging equipment will be treated in the same manner
7		as costs of improvement to support the equipment of any other non-EV customer. Instances
8		in which the estimated line extension cost exceeds those covered by the line extension
9		policy require the customer to be responsible for those costs as a customer contribution.
10		Customer contributions are deductions from net plant in service in the development of the
11		rate base upon which the rate of return is applied in determining a revenue requirement.
12		While I understand that the amounts covered by the PowerConnect pilot program would
13		typically be capitalized, whether these amounts will be included in rate base in a future rate
14		proceeding is an issue to be decided in that future case. Mr. Evans' testimony that the costs
15		of improvements to EPE's distribution system in excess of those covered by EPE's Line
16		Extension Policy will be included in EPE's rate base is inaccurate.
17		
18	Q11,	WILL PROPERTY TAXES, INSURANCE EXPENSES, AND OPERATIONS AND
19		MAINTENANCE EXPENSES RELATED TO THOSE DISTRIBUTION FACILITIES
20		BE TREATED IN THE SAME MANNER AS EXPENSES RELATED TO OTHER
21		DISTRIBUTION FACILITIES?
22	A.	Yes. Property taxes, insurance expenses, and operation and maintenance expenses related
23		to those distribution facilities that support the customer's EV charging equipment will be
24		treated in the same manner as costs of improvements to support the equipment of any other
25		non-EV customer.
26		
27		V. Take Charge TX Pilot Program
28	Q12.	HOW DO YOU RESPOND TO MR. NARVAEZ'S TESTIMONY THAT THIS
29		PROGRAM SHOULD BE REJECTED BECAUSE IT RELIES ON NON-STANDARD
30		PRICING?