

Filing Receipt

Received - 2022-12-01 09:22:17 AM Control Number - 54401 ItemNumber - 1 DOCKET NO. ____

NON-STANDARD TRUE-UP FILING \$ PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF AEP TEXAS INC. PURSUANT TO \$ THE FINANCING ORDER IN \$ OF TEXAS DOCKET NO. 39931 \$

PETITION OF AEP TEXAS INC.

AEP Texas Inc. makes this non-standard true-up filing as the servicer of Transition Bonds issued in accordance with the Financing Order in Docket No. 39931 (the "Financing Order"). A non-standard true up is required because the forecasted billing units for one or more of the transition charge customer classes for the upcoming period has decreased by more than 10% compared to the billing units used to develop the periodic billing requirement allocation factors approved in the Financing Order (known as threshold billing units). Accordingly, AEP Texas has calculated revised rates for Rider 6.1.1.2.2.1 Initial/Adjusted Transition Charge-3 Rates – Rider TC-3 ("Rider TC-3") following the Financing Order's prescribed non-standard true-up adjustment provisions. With the exception of the revised rates, the proposed Rider TC-3 has been approved by the Public Utility Commission of Texas ("Commission"). AEP Texas requests approval of the revised Rider TC-3, effective March 1, 2023.

I. Jurisdiction

AEP Texas is a public utility as that term is defined in Public Utility Regulatory Act ("PURA")⁴ § 11.004(1), an electric utility as that term is defined in PURA § 31.002(6), and a transmission and distribution utility as that term is defined in PURA § 31.002(19). AEP Texas is the servicer of the Transition Bonds issued pursuant to the Financing Order. AEP Texas's business address is 539 North Carancahua Street, Corpus Christi, Texas 78401.

¹ Application of AEP Texas Central Company for Financing Order, Docket No. 39931, Financing Order (Jan. 12, 2012).

² Docket No. 39931, Financing Order at Finding of Fact No. 86.

³ The proposed Rider TC-3 is provided as Exhibit JAM-3 to the Direct Testimony of Jacob A. Miller, which accompanies this petition.

⁴ Tex. Util. Code Ann. §§ 11.001 – 66.016 (West).

The Commission has jurisdiction over this filing under PURA §§ 39.003 and 39.307. This filing is made in compliance with Findings of Fact Nos. 86 – 88 and Ordering Paragraph No. 13 of the Financing Order.

II. Affected Parties

The relief requested in this filing affects all retail electric providers ("REPs") serving enduse retail electric customers in AEP Texas's certificated service territory previously served by AEP Texas Central Company ("TCC") and will affect the retail electric customers of those REPs.

III. Authorized Representatives

AEP Texas's authorized business and legal representatives are:

Steven Beaty Leila Melhem Regulatory Consultant Senior Counsel

AEP Texas Inc. American Electric Power Service Corporation

400 West 15th Street, Suite 1520 400 West 15th Street, Suite 1520

Austin, Texas 78701 Austin, Texas 78701

Telephone: (512) 481-4550 Telephone: (512) 481-3321 Facsimile: (512) 481-4591 Facsimile: (512) 481-4591 Email: sjbeaty@aep.com Email: lmmelhem@aep.com

AEP Texas requests that all information, pleadings, and other documents in this matter be served on each of the persons above as well as emailed to aepaustintx@aep.com.

IV. Background

On December 2, 2011, AEP TCC filed an application for a financing order under Subchapter G of Chapter 39 of PURA to permit securitization of some of its stranded costs and other qualified costs. That proceeding was assigned Docket No. 39931. On January 12, 2012, the Commission approved the Financing Order, which authorized AEP TCC to securitize and cause the issuance of Transition Bonds with an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$800 million. In accordance with the Financing Order, AEP Texas Central Transition Funding III, LLC (the "Bond Company") issued Transition Bonds on March 14, 2012 and began billing transition charges (TC-3s) on March 14, 2012.

⁵ Docket No. 39931, Financing Order at Ordering Paragraph No. 2.

Effective December 31, 2016, AEP TCC and AEP Texas North Company ("TNC") were merged into their parent company, now called AEP Texas. The merger was approved by the Commission in Docket No. 46050 – *Application of AEP Texas Central Company, AEP Texas North Company, and AEP Utilities, Inc. for Approval of Merger*. The Commission ordered AEP Texas to "maintain separate TCC and TNC divisions, which will continue to charge separate rates and riders, and maintain separate tariffs, unless and until such time as the Commission may consider and approve consolidated rates and tariffs." Consistent with the Commission's order, AEP Texas maintains two divisions within AEP Texas: AEP Texas — Central Division (formerly TCC) and AEP Texas — North Division (formerly TNC). In AEP Texas's last rate case, Docket No. 49494, the Commission approved the consolidation of the rates of the two divisions with certain exceptions. Schedule TC-3 was one of those exceptions. Therefore, this filing only impacts the central division of AEP Texas.

AEP Texas is the servicer for the Bond Company with respect to the Transition Bonds, and in that role, it bills, collects, receives, and adjusts the transition charges imposed pursuant to Rider TC-3, and remits the amounts received to the trustee to repay the Transition Bonds. The Financing Order, Schedule TC-3, and Rider TC-3 set out the rates and terms and conditions under which the transition charges will be billed and collected with respect to the Transition Bonds. The Financing Order provides that retail electric customers must pay the principal, interest, and related costs of the Transition Bonds through TC-3s pursuant to the form of tariff approved in the Financing Order. The Financing Order establishes how the annual costs permitted to be recovered through TC-3 rates are allocated among TC-3 customer classes.

In Findings of Fact Nos. 86 - 88, the Financing Order sets out a procedure for adjusting the TC-3 rates for each customer class if the forecasted billing determinants for any one of the classes for an upcoming period decrease by more than 10% compared to the threshold billing units established for the annual period ending March 31, 2011. That procedure is referred to as a "non-standard true up." This filing is being made to comply with the procedures in the Financing Order for making a non-standard true up.

⁶ Docket No. 46050, Final Order at Ordering Paragraph No. 2 (Dec. 12, 2016).

V. Required Showing

A non-standard true-up is required because the billing determinants for the period of March 2023 through February 2024 for the Commercial and Small Industrial – Energy ("Commercial Energy") class are forecasted to decrease by more than 10% as compared to the billing determinants for the twelve months ending March 31, 2011, as shown in Appendix D to the Financing Order. The projected consumption for the Commercial Energy TC-3 class is 1,869,986,074 kWh, which is lower than the 90% threshold of 2,001,190,230 kWh in the Financing Order. In the testimony accompanying this petition, AEP Texas witness Mr. Jacob A. Miller identifies three factors influencing this lower usage.

The first factor is that a large portion of the customers in this class were existing customers prior to unbundling who were receiving service under energy-only tariff schedules. These customers migrated to this TC-3 class and were frozen from moving out of the class. However, many of those accounts were older accounts and may have terminated service, been replaced by new, more modern loads, or reduced their consumption.

The second factor is that the remaining customers in this class are new customers taking service under the current Secondary Voltage Service ≤ 10 kW. As the competitive Texas market has evolved, customers taking service under this tariff schedule may not demonstrate as high a kWh consumption pattern as the old accounts that have terminated.

A final and significant factor is that the Commission's new pro-forma tariff schedules use a threshold of 10 kW for determining whether new customers should be billed on an energy-only based tariff schedule or a demand-based tariff schedule. Prior to deregulation, the customer's usage characteristics rather than demand determined the type of tariff schedule that was most appropriate for the customer. Now, under the new pro-forma tariffs, new customers tend to qualify for service on the Secondary Voltage Service > 10 kW distribution rate class. New Secondary Voltage Service > 10 kW distribution rate class customers are assigned the Commercial and Small Industrial – Demand TC-3 rate, which uses the customer's demands for billing purposes. No new Secondary Voltage Service > 10 kW distribution rate class customers are allowed to be billed on the Commercial Energy TC-3 class charges.

AEP Texas has calculated revised TC-3 rates that comply with the provisions of the Financing Order and Schedule TC-3 so that the TC-3 rates applied to the various retail customer

classes will reflect the allocation contemplated by the non-standard true-up provisions of the Financing Order. The revised rates are as follows:

Transition Charge-3 Customer Class	<u>Initial/Adj</u>	usted TC-3 Rates	
Residential	\$0.002475	per kWh	$ \mathbf{R} $
Commercial and Small Industrial – Energy	\$0.009501	per kWh	I
Commercial and Small Industrial – Demand	\$0.775882	per kW or kVa	R
Large Industrial – Firm	\$0.016734	per kW or kVa	R
Large Industrial – Non-Firm	\$0.550692	per kW or kVa	I
Standby – Firm	\$0.075487	per Daily kW or kVa	I
Standby – Non-Firm	\$0.050574	per Daily kW or kVa	R
Municipal and Cotton Gin	\$0.004444	per kWh	$ \mathbf{R} $

The proposed transition charge rates are also set out in proposed revised Rider 6.1.1.2.2.1, Initial/Adjusted Transition Charge Rates – Rider TC-3, which is Exhibit JAM-3 to the testimony of Mr. Jacob A. Miller.⁷ Mr. Miller's testimony also addresses the calculation of the TC-3 rates and provides additional support for this filing.

In accordance with Finding of Fact No. 88 in the Financing Order, this filing is being made at least 90 days before the date of the proposed true-up adjustment. AEP Texas proposes that the adjustment be effective for bills rendered beginning on March 1, 2023, which is the date upon which the bills for the first cycle for the month of March will be rendered.

VI. Scope of Proceeding and Proposed Procedural Schedule

The scope of this proceeding is limited to determining whether the proposed adjustment complies with the Financing Order.⁸ The Commission must conduct a contested case proceeding pursuant to PURA § 39.003 and issue a final order by the proposed true-up adjustment date stated in the filing.⁹

Since this proceeding is limited in scope and is intended to be resolved within 90 days, AEP Texas proposes that a schedule be established similar to that required for fuel factor change proceedings, which must be concluded within 90 days under Commission rules, and that the schedule allow for the possibility of informal disposition pursuant to 16 Texas Administrative Code § 22.35. This procedure was proposed in Docket No. 24775, *Non-Standard True-up Filing*

⁷ The proposed Rider TC-3 is provided as Exhibit JAM-3 to Mr. Miller's testimony.

⁸ Docket No. 39931, Financing Order at Finding of Fact No. 88.

⁹ *Id*.

of AEP Texas Central Company Pursuant to the Financing Order in Docket No. 21528 (December 17, 2001) and has been used in all of AEP Texas's subsequent non-standard true-up filings. Specifically, AEP Texas requests that the presiding officer establish a procedural schedule that will enable the Commission to issue its order in this proceeding within 60 days after the date this petition is filed if no hearing is requested within 30 days of the filing of the petition, and within 90 days after the date of this petition if a hearing is requested within 30 days of the filing of the petition. If a hearing is requested, AEP Texas respectfully requests that the hearing be held no earlier than the first business day after the 45th day following the filing of the petition.

VII. Notice

Concurrently with the filing of this petition, AEP Texas will provide a copy of this petition to Commission Staff, the Office of Public Utility Counsel, each party that participated in Docket No. 39931, each retail electric provider that has been certified by the Commission to provide retail electric service in AEP Texas's service area, and municipalities and cooperatives who serve customers in areas of dual certification with AEP Texas. In accordance with the Commission's Second Order Suspending Rules issued on July 16, 2020 in Project No. 50664, AEP Texas will provide the notice via email. Through the certificate of service filed with this application, AEP Texas is certifying that notice has been provided as set forth above. AEP Texas requests that the Commission accept this in lieu of a separately filed proof of notice. ¹⁰

VIII. Protective Order

As part of this proceeding, AEP Texas expects that it may need to provide to one or more parties highly sensitive or confidential information. Accordingly, AEP Texas requests that the Commission enter its standard protective order in this docket, and that, pending entry of the protective order, the parties treat the proposed protective order as a confidentiality agreement.

IX. Requested Relief

AEP Texas requests that the Commission approve: (a) a procedural schedule that leads to Commission approval of the requested rates within 60 or 90 days, depending on whether a hearing

 $^{^{10}}$ Application of Entergy Texas, Inc. for a Consulting Fee Rider, Docket No. 51571, Final Order at Findings of Fact Nos. 10 - 12 (Apr. 7, 2021).

is requested; (b) AEP Texas's proposed form and method of notice; (c) entry of the Commission's standard protective order; (d) the revised proposed Rider TC-3, effective March 1, 2023, provided as Exhibit JAM-3 to the Direct Testimony of Jacob A. Miller; and (e) any such other relief to which AEP Texas may be justly entitled.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

/s/ Leila Melhem

AMERICAN ELECTRIC POWER SERVICE CORPORATION

Leila Melhem State Bar No. 24083492 400 West 15th Street, Suite 1520 Austin, Texas 78701 Telephone: (512) 481-3321

Facsimile: (512) 481-4591 Email: lmmelhem@aep.com

ATTORNEY FOR AEP TEXAS INC.

Certificate of Service

I certify that on December 1, 2022, a copy of this petition was provided via email to Commission Staff, the Office of Public Utility Counsel, each party that participated in Docket No. 39931, each retail electric provider that has been certified by the Commission to provide retail electric service in AEP Texas's service area, and municipalities and cooperatives who serve customers in areas of dual certification with AEP Texas in accordance with the Commission's Second Order Suspending Rules issued on July 16, 2020, in Project No. 50664.

/s/ Gregory K. Gullickson

PUC DOCKET NO. _____ PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF TEXAS

NON-STANDARD TRUE-UP FILING

OF

AEP TEXAS INC.

PURSUANT TO THE FINANCING ORDER
IN DOCKET NO. 39931

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

JACOB A. MILLER

FOR

AEP TEXAS INC.

DECEMBER 1, 2022

TESTIMONY INDEX

SUBJ	<u>ECT</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY	2
III.	BACKGROUND	3
IV.	NON-STANDARD TRUE-UP	5
V.	REVISED TARIFF	8
VI	CONCLUSION	9

EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT JAM-1	Calculation of the TC-3s
EXHIBIT JAM-2	Rate Comparison
EXHIBIT JAM-3	Transition Charge Rates (Rider TC-3)

I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

- 2 Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, POSITION, AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
- 3 A. My name is Jacob A. Miller. I am employed as a Senior Regulatory Consultant in the
- 4 Regulated Pricing and Analysis Department, part of the American Electric Power
- 5 Service Corporation (AEPSC) Regulatory Services Department. AEPSC is a
- 6 subsidiary of American Electric Power Company, Inc. (AEP), as is AEP Texas Inc.
- 7 (AEP Texas or Company). My business address is 212 East Sixth Street, Tulsa,
- 8 Oklahoma 74119.

- 9 Q. PLEASE BRIEFLY DESCRIBE THE AEPSC REGULATORY SERVICES
- 10 DEPARTMENT AND YOUR CURRENT JOB RESPONSIBILITIES.
- 11 A. AEPSC Regulatory Services provides coordination and tariff-related services for the
- 12 AEP operating utility companies, including AEP Texas. My current responsibilities
- include cost-of-service analysis, rate design, and tariff provisions for the three AEP
- West operating companies. I am also responsible for the preparation of, and support
- for, filings before the various state commissions under whose jurisdiction AEP or its
- subsidiaries provide service.
- 17 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL AND PROFESSIONAL
- 18 EXPERIENCE.
- 19 A. In 2012, I received a Bachelor of Science in Business Administration from Oklahoma
- 20 State University. I received a Master of Business Administration and Master of Energy
- Business from the University of Tulsa in 2013 and 2019, respectively. I have attended
- 22 workshops sponsored by Electric Utility Consultants Inc. including their Electric Cost-

1		of-Service and Electric Utility Pricing courses.
2		I began my professional career in 2010, having worked in various analyst roles
3		within the oil and gas industry until May 2016, when I began employment with AEPSC
4		as a Transmission Project Coordinator. In April 2018, I accepted the position of
5		Regulatory Consultant and have since progressed to my current title of Senior
6		Regulatory Consultant.
7	Q.	HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY PROVIDED TESTIMONY OR TESTIFIED BEFORE
8		THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF TEXAS (COMMISSION)?
9	A.	Yes, I provided testimony before this Commission, including previous AEP Texas TC-
10		3 non-standard true-up filings, in Docket Nos. 51518 and 52876, as well as Docket No.
11		54040, Southwestern Electric Power Company's Transmission Cost Recovery Factor
12		update filing. I have also provided testimony before the Oklahoma Corporation
13		Commission.
14		II. <u>PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY</u>
15	Q.	PLEASE EXPLAIN THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS FILING.
16	A.	The purpose of my testimony in this filing is to support the calculation of Transition
17		Charge-3 (TC-3) rates by rate class pursuant to the non-standard true-up provisions as
18		approved in Docket No. 39931, Findings of Fact Nos. (FOF) 86 through 88 and support
19		the tariff (Rider TC-3) revised in this filing.
20		Effective December 31, 2016, AEP Texas Central Company (TCC) and AEP
21		Texas North Company (TNC) were merged into their parent company, now called AEP
22		Texas. The merger was approved by the Commission in Docket No. 46050 -

1	Application of AEP Texas Central Company, AEP Texas North Company, and AEP
2	Utilities, Inc. for Approval of Merger. The Commission ordered AEP Texas to
3	"maintain separate TCC and TNC divisions, which will continue to charge separate
4	rates and riders, and maintain separate tariffs, unless and until such time as the
5	Commission may consider and approve consolidated rates and tariffs." Consistent
6	with the Commission's order, AEP Texas maintains two divisions within AEP Texas:
7	AEP Texas - Central Division (formerly TCC) and AEP Texas - North Division
8	(formerly TNC). In AEP Texas' last rate case, Docket No. 49494, the Commission
9	approved the consolidation of the rates of the two divisions with certain exceptions.
10	Schedule TC-3 was one of those exceptions. Therefore, this filing only affects the
11	Central Division of AEP Texas.

- 12 Q. WHAT RELIEF IS THE COMPANY REQUESTING IN THIS FILING?
- 13 A. The Company is requesting approval of Rider TC-3 effective with the first billing cycle
 14 for March 2023 (March 1, 2023) which incorporates TC-3 rates calculated utilizing the
 15 non-standard true-up adjustment.

16 III. <u>BACKGROUND</u>

- 17 Q. PLEASE PROVIDE A BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE HISTORY OF THE TC-3
 18 RATES.
- On December 2, 2011, AEP Texas Central Company (TCC) (formerly known as Central Power and Light Company) filed an application for a Financing Order under

¹ Docket No. 46050, *Application of AEP Texas Central Company, AEP Texas North Company, and AEP Utilities, Inc. for Approval of Merger*, Final Order at Ordering Paragraph No. 2 (Dec. 12, 2016).

Subchapter G of Chapter 39 of the Public Utility Regulatory Act (PURA) to permit
securitization of some of its stranded costs and other qualified costs as described in that
application. That proceeding was assigned Docket No. 39931. On January 12, 2012,
the Public Utility Commission of Texas (PUC or Commission) issued a Final Order
(Financing Order) that authorized the issuance of Transition Bonds for TCC for the
recovery of stranded costs, carrying costs, and up-front qualified costs associated with
such bonds through Rider TC-3. In accordance with the Financing Order, AEP Texas
Central Transition Funding III LLC, (Bond Company) securitized the stranded costs
and other qualified costs on March 14, 2012 by issuing Transition Bonds and TCC
began billing TC-3s on March 14, 2012. AEP Texas is the Servicer for the Bond
Company with respect to the Transition Bonds, and in that role, it bills, collects,
receives, and adjusts the transition charges imposed pursuant to AEP Texas' Tariff for
Electric Delivery Service, Section 6.1.1.2.2.1 – Initial/Adjusted Transition Charge-3
Rates - Rider TC-3, and remits the amounts received to the trustee to repay the
Transition Bonds. The Financing Order, Schedule TC-3, and Rider TC-3 set out the
rates and terms and conditions under which the transition charges will be billed and
collected with respect to the Transition Bonds.

This filing is being made to comply with the procedures in the Financing Order for making a non-standard true-up, which among other things, require this filing be made 90 days in advance of the proposed effective date of the non-standard true-up. This filing is being made 90 days prior to the first billing cycle for March 2023 so that the TC-3 rates will reflect the non-standard true-up provision of the Financing Order.

1 IV. NON-STANDARD TRUE-UP 2 Q. WHAT IS THE NON-STANDARD TRUE-UP ADJUSTMENT? 3 FOF 86 of the Financing Order states that a non-standard true-up adjustment will be A. 4 applied if the forecasted billing units for one or more of the transition charge customer 5 classes for an upcoming period decrease by more than 10% compared to the billing units for the 12 months ending March 31, 2011 as shown in Appendix D of the 6 7 Financing Order in Docket No. 39931. FOFs 87 and 88 set forth a non-standard true-8 up adjustment procedure for adjusting the transition charges to each customer class and 9 the process to be followed for a non-standard true-up filing. 10 O. WHY IS THE NON-STANDARD TRUE-UP ADJUSTMENT NEEDED AT THIS 11 TIME? 12 A. The billing determinants for the period of March 2023 through February 2024 for the 13 Commercial and Small Industrial Energy (Commercial Energy) class are forecasted to 14 decrease by more than 10% as compared to the billing determinants for the twelve

The Commercial Energy TC-3 class is demonstrating lower than forecasted kWh usage compared to 2011 levels. There are three possible contributing factors influencing this lower usage. The first factor is that a large portion of the customers in this class were existing customers prior to unbundling who were receiving service under energy-only tariff schedules. These customers migrated to this TC-3 class and

months ending March 31, 2011, as shown in Appendix D to the Financing Order.

Therefore, in accordance with FOF 86 of the Financing Order, the TC-3 rates proposed

to be effective beginning March 1, 2023 are to be adjusted pursuant to the Non-

Standard True-Up provisions of the Financing Order.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

were frozen from moving out of the class. However, these are typically older accounts
and many have either terminated service, have been replaced by new, more modern
loads, or have reduced their consumption. The second factor is that the remaining
customers in this class are new customers taking service under the current Secondary
Voltage Service \leq 10 kW. As the competitive Texas market has evolved, customers
taking service under this tariff schedule may not demonstrate as high a kWh
consumption pattern as the old accounts that have terminated. A final and significant
factor is that the Commission's new pro-forma tariff schedules use a threshold of 10
kW for determining whether new customers should be billed on an energy-only based
tariff schedule or a demand- based tariff schedule. Prior to deregulation, the customer's
usage characteristics rather than demand determined the type of tariff schedule that was
most appropriate for the customer. Now, under the new pro-forma tariffs, new
customers tend to qualify for service on the Secondary Voltage Service $\geq 10~\mathrm{kW}$
distribution rate class. New Secondary Voltage Service > 10 kW distribution rate class
customers are assigned the Commercial and Small Industrial Demand (Commercial
Demand) TC-3 rate, which uses the customer's demands for billing purposes. No new
Secondary Voltage Service > 10 kW distribution rate class customers are allowed to be
billed on the Commercial Energy TC-3 class charges.

- 19 Q. HOW IS THE NON-STANDARD TRUE-UP ADJUSTMENT CALCULATED?
- As prescribed in FOF 87 in the Financing Order and Schedule TC-3, the non-standard true-up shall be conducted in the following manner:
- 22 (a) allocate the upcoming period's PBR based on the PBRAFs approved in this Financing Order;

1 2 3 4		(b)	calculate undercollections or overcollections, including without limitation any caused by REP defaults, from the preceding period in each class by subtracting the previous period's transition charge revenues collected from each class from the PBR determined for that class for the same period;
5 6		(c)	sum the amounts allocated to each customer class in steps (a) and (b) to determine an adjusted PBR for each transition charge customer class;
7 8 9		(d)	divide the PBR for each customer class by the maximum of the forecasted billing units or the threshold billing units for that class, to determine the "threshold rate";
10 11		(e)	multiply the threshold rate by the forecasted billing units for each class to determine the expected collections under the threshold rate;
12 13 14		(f)	allocate the difference in the adjusted PBR and the expected collections calculated in step (e) among the transition charge customer classes using the PBRAFs approved in this Financing Order;
15 16 17		(g)	add the amount allocated to each class in step (f) above to the expected collection amount by class calculated in step (e) above to determine the final PBR for each class; and
18 19		(h)	divide the final PBR for each class by the forecasted billing units to determine the transition charge rate by class for the upcoming period.
20		The ca	alculation of the TC-3 rates, detailed in Exhibit JAM-1 to this testimony, complies
21		with th	hese provisions of the Financing Order and Schedule TC-3 detailed above.
22	Q.	PLEA	SE EXPLAIN THE PERIODIC BILLING REQUIREMENT (PBR) USED IN
23		THE (CURRENT FILING.
24	A.	The P	BR for the period March 2023 through February 2024 is \$75,266,470. This
25		amour	nt corresponds to the actual interest rates and other factors known at issuance in
26		March	2012. Each year's PBR is substantially levelized for the expected life of the
27		securi	tization as was determined in Docket No. 39931. Each class's over/under
28		collect	tions are included in the calculation as well as items such as interest earned on
29		collect	tions and a charge-off true-up. The charge-off true-up has been revised based on
30		the RI	EP's recent charge-off experience and is included in this filing. Summing the

31

true-up amount for each class produces an overall over-recovery of \$5,334,905 which

- has been incorporated into the current filing to arrive at an adjusted PBR of
- 2 \$69,931,565.
- 3 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE PROJECTED BILLING UNITS USED IN THE CURRENT
- 4 FILING.
- 5 A. AEP Economic Forecasting and Analysis forecasts kWh and kW by TC-3 class based
- on historical billing units for each TC-3 class through August 2022. Historical kWh
- and kW for each TC-3 class are used to arrive at projected billing units for March 2023
- 8 through February 2024. Projected kWh billing units are used for the Residential,
- 9 Municipal and Cotton Gin, and Commercial Energy TC-3 classes. Projected kW
- billing units are used for the Commercial Demand, Large Industrial Firm and Non-
- Firm, and Standby Firm and Non-Firm TC-3 classes.
- 12 V. REVISED TARIFF
- 13 Q. HAVE YOU PROVIDED A REVISED TARIFF REFLECTING THE
- 14 NON-STANDARD TRUE-UP CALCULATION OF TC-3S?
- 15 A. Yes. Exhibit JAM-3 contains the Transition Charge Rates Rider TC-3 calculated
- using the non-standard adjustment, which has been marked showing the changes from
- 17 the current tariff.
- 18 Q. WHAT CHANGES ARE PROPOSED FOR RIDER TC-3?
- 19 A. The rates are changed as indicated with margin notations on Exhibit JAM-3, page 2 of
- 20 2. An effective date of March 1, 2023 has been added to the header of each page.
- 21 Q. HOW DO THE PROPOSED TC-3 RATES COMPARE TO THE CURRENT RATES?
- 22 A. Please see Exhibit JAM-2 for rate comparisons. The proposed TC-3 rates for the

1		Commercial and Small Industrial – Energy, Large Industrial – Non-Firm, and Standby
2		Firm classes are higher than the current TC-3 rates. Proposed TC-3 rates for all other
3		TC-3 classes are lower compared to current TC-3 rates. These changes are primarily
4		due to individual class over/under collections last period and change in billing unit
5		forecasts.
6		VI. <u>CONCLUSION</u>
7	Q.	WHAT RELIEF IS THE COMPANY REQUESTING IN THIS PROCEEDING?

- 8 A. The Company is requesting that the proposed Rider TC-3 be approved effective with the first billing cycle of March 2023 (March 1, 2023).
- 10 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?
- 11 A. Yes, it does.

AEP TEXAS CENTRAL DIVISION TRANSITION CHARGE-3 RATES - RIDER TC-3

Calculation of Rider TC-3 Rates
Non-Standard True-up per Financing Order of Docket No. 39931

	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	:(1)	.(m)	
Testentonos (1833	DERAF	Resignicabiling Regulacion	PriorPeriod (Over)Uniter Recovery	Alusei Cor	Dorcovsted Billing Units	Threshold Billing Office	Createrol Passinglichi Billigitalis	Threshold Refe	<u>Experied</u> Collections	Difference (Columnal=1).	Allocation per Alberta	er er	Alpaci Richies Chreskie	Billigunia
Residential	39.2853%	29,568,658	(4,067,471)	25,501,187	10,500,297,623	7,933,417,698	10,500,297,623	0.002429	25,501,187	-	482,044	25,983,231	0.002475	per kWh
Commercial and Small Industrial - Energy	22.6320%	17,034,307	1,681,023	18,715,331	1,869,986,074	2,001,190,230	2,001,190,230	0.009352	17,488,296	1,227,034	277,702	17,765,999	0.009501	per kWh
Commercial and Small Industrial - Demand	29.4288%	22,150,019	(1,294,869)	20,855,150	27,344,696	20,285,437	27,344,696	0.762676	20,855,150	-	361,101	21,216,251	0.775882	per kW or kVa
Large Industrial - Firm	2.2118%	1,664,744	(1,503,457)	161,287	11,260,380	2,781,430	11,260,380	0.014323	161,287	-	27,140	188,426	0.016734	per kW or kVa
Large Industrial - Non-Firm	1.9842%	1,493,437	(23,662)	1,469,775	2,713,172	2,451,956	2,713,172	0.541718	1,469,775	-	24,347	1,494,122	0.550692	per kW or kVa
Standby - Firm	1.4922%	1,123,126	(2,873)	1,120,253	15,082,947	13,267,104	15,082,947	0.074273	1,120,253	-	18,310	1,138,563	0.075487	per Daily kW or kVa
Standby - Non-Firm	0.2533%	190,650	(55,913)	134,737	2,725,637	2,329,963	2,725,637	0.049433	134,737	-	3,108	137,845	0.050574	per Daily kW or kVa
Municipal and Cotton Gin	2.7124%	2,041,528	(67,683)	1,973,845	451,642,222	398,605,318	451,642,222	0.004370	1,973,845	-	33,282	2,007,127	0.004444	per kWh
Total	100.0000%	75,266,470	(5,334,905)	69,931,565				_	68,704,531	1,227,034	1,227,034	69,931,565		

AEP TEXAS CENTRAL DIVISION TRANSITION CHARGE-3 RATES - RIDER TC-3

Rate Comparison

_	Perforl 1	Pariol2	_	Rafe Change		
TC-3 Gustomer Glass	Rider TOS Rate	Rider KC-BIRate	Billing Units	parunit	%	
Residential	0.002804	0.002475	per kWh	(0.000329)	-11.73%	
Commercial and Small Industrial - Energy	0.008341	0.009501	per kWh	0.001160	13.91%	
Commercial and Small Industrial - Demand	0.794383	0.775882	per kW or kVa	(0.018501)	-2.33%	
Large Industrial - Firm	0.230220	0.016734	per kW or kVa	(0.213486)	-92.73%	
Large Industrial - Non-Firm	0.532084	0.550692	per kW or kVa	0.018608	3.50%	
Standby - Firm	0.069933	0.075487	per Daily kW or kVa	0.005554	7.94%	
Standby - Non-Firm	0.072694	0.050574	per Daily kW or kVa	(0.022120)	-30.43%	
Municipal and Cotton Gin	0.004487	0.004444	per kWh	(0.000043)	-0.96%	

AEP TEXAS Page 1 of 2

TARIFF FOR ELECTRIC DELIVERY SERVICE

Certified Service Area previously served by AEP Texas Central Company Applicable:

Chapter: Section: 6.1.1 Section Title: Delivery System Charges

Revision: Thirteenth Effective Date: March 1, 2023 |T|

Initial / Adjusted Transition Charge-3 Rates – Rider TC-3 6.1.1.2.2.1

AVAILABILITY

This schedule is applicable to billed energy consumption and demands of retail customers taking service from the Company during the term that this schedule is in effect, and to the facilities, premises, and loads of all other retail customers obligated to pay TC-3 Charges as provided in Schedule TC-3, Section 6.1.1.2.2. Terms defined in Schedule TC-3 that are used herein shall have the same meaning as set forth in Schedule TC-3.

RATE CLASSES

For purposes of billing Initial/Adjusted Transition Charge-3 Rates (TC-3 Rates), each retail enduse customer will be designated as a customer belonging to one of eight classes as identified and defined by Schedule TC-3.

TRANSITION CHARGE-3 RATES

The Initial/Adjusted TC-3 Rates shall be determined in accordance with and are subject to the provisions set forth in the Financing Order and Schedule TC-3. Not less than 15 days prior to the first billing cycle for the Company's March 2013 billing month and no less frequently than annually thereafter, the Company or successor Servicer will file a revision to Rider TC-3 setting forth the Adjusted TC-3 Rates to be effective for the upcoming period. If made as a result of the annual true-up adjustment in Schedule TC-3, the Adjusted TC-3 Rates will become effective on the first billing cycle of the Company's March billing month. In accordance with Schedule TC-3 an interim true-up is mandatory semi-annually (or quarterly after the last scheduled maturity date of the Transition Bonds) if the Servicer forecasts that transition charge collections will be insufficient to make all scheduled payments of principal, interest and other amounts in respect of the Transition Bonds on a timely basis during the current or next succeeding payment period and/or or to replenish any draws upon the capital subaccount. Optional interim true-ups may also be made at any time as described in Schedule TC-3. If an interim true-up adjustment is made pursuant to Schedule TC-3, the Adjusted TC-3 Rates will be become effective on the first billing cycle of the Company's billing month that is not less than 15 days following the making of the interim true-up adjustment filing. If a Non-Standard True-Up filing pursuant to Schedule TC-3 is made to revise the Rider TC-3, the filing will be made at least 90 days prior to the first billing cycle for the Company's March billing month.

AEP TEXAS Page 2 of 2

TARIFF FOR ELECTRIC DELIVERY SERVICE

Certified Service Area previously served by AEP Texas Central Company Applicable:

Section: 6.1.1 Chapter: Section Title: Delivery System Charges

Effective Date: March 1, 2023 Thirteenth Revision: T

Initial / Adjusted Transition Charge-3 Rates – Rider TC-3 6.1.1.2.2.1

Transition Charge-3 Customer Class	<u>Initial/Adj</u>	usted TC-3 Rates	
Residential	\$0.002475	per kWh	R
Commercial and Small Industrial – Energy	\$0.009501	per kWh	I
Commercial and Small Industrial – Demand	\$0.775882	per kW or kVa	R
Large Industrial – Firm	\$0.016734	per kW or kVa	R
Large Industrial – Non-Firm	\$0.550692	per kW or kVa	I
Standby – Firm	\$0.075487	per Daily kW or kVa	I
Standby – Non-Firm	\$0.050574	per Daily kW or kVa	R
Municipal and Cotton Gin	\$0.004444	per kWh	R

The Initial/Adjusted TC-3 Rates are multiplied by the kWh or kVa, as applicable, read, estimated or determined during the billing month and will be applied to bills rendered on and after the effective date.

NOTICE

This rate schedule is subject to the Company's Tariff and Applicable Legal Authorities.

The following files are not convertible:

 ${\tt Exhibits\ JAM\ 1\&2\ and\ Workpapers\ -\ Rider}$ TC-3 Eff 20230301 (Recovered).xlsx

Please see the ZIP file for this Filing on the PUC Interchange in order to access these files.

Contact centralrecords@puc.texas.gov if you have any questions.