



Filing Receipt

Received - 2022-11-18 10:54:42 AM
Control Number - 53727
ItemNumber - 197



DUGGINS
WREN
MANN &
ROMERO, LLP

One American Center
600 Congress
Suite 1900
Austin, TX 78701

P.O. Box 1149
Austin, TX 78767

p: 512.744.9300
E 512.744.9399
www.dwmrlaw.com

November 18, 2022

Via E-Filing

Records Coordinator
Central Records
Public Utility Commissions of Texas
1701 N. Congress Avenue
Austin, Texas 78704

RE: **PUC Docket No. 53727; SOAH Docket No. 473-22-05831; *Joint Application of AEP Texas, Inc. and Sharyland Utilities, L.L.C. to Amend Certificates of Convenience and Necessity for the La Palma-to-Kingfisher Double-Circuit 345-KV Transmission Line in Cameron County***

To whom it may concern:

The joint applicants, AEP Texas Inc. and Sharyland Utilities, L.L.C., are not filing exceptions to the Proposal for Decision (PFD) in this proceeding. However, the joint applicants have identified the following errata and suggestions for clarity in the PFD. Several suggestions relate to clarifying whether the information at issue relates to all alternative routes or to the five top alternative routes, Routes 2, 4, 5, 6, and 19, as discussed on page 16 of the PFD and FOF 21.


- Section IV.E.1, Background section: Paragraph at bottom of page 17 (1st sentence of paragraph) should say “western” instead of “eastern” side of the study area when referring to parties’ opposition.
- Section IV.E.1, Background section: Paragraph top of page 18 (2nd sentence of paragraph) should say “southeast” instead of “southwest” when referring to Links O and Q.
- Section IV.E.1, Background section: First full bullet point at the top of page 19 referring to Blanca and Luis Chapa and Link N2 should say “southwest corner” instead of “southeast corner.”
- FOF 51: Should state that 146 notices were mailed to landowners for March 8 and 9 open house meetings instead of 145. (See EA Section 3.3.2 of EA.)
- FOF 52: Should state that 496 individuals and entities received an invitation letter instead of 495. (See Section 3.3.2 of EA.)

November 18, 2022

Page 2

- FOF 95: We suggest clarification as follows: “For all alternative routes, ~~t~~The number of cemeteries located within 1,000 feet of a proposed route ranges from zero to one. For the top alternative routes, Routes 2, 4, 5, 6, and 19₂ all have one cemetery (the San Benito City cemetery) located within 1,000 feet of their centerlines.”
- FOF 108: We recommend replacing “*state-listed... species **in** Cameron County*” with “*state-listed... species **for** Cameron County*” for consistency with wording in the EA, at page 84.
- FOF 160: We suggest as follows for clarity and correction: “There are no AM radio transmitters within 10,000 feet of the centerlines of the primary alternative routes, and between zero and two FM radio transmitters or electronic communication towers within 2,000 feet of the centerlines of the alternative routes; -of the top alternative routes under consideration here, there is one on Route 2 and none on Routes ~~43~~₂, 5, 6, and 19.”
- FOF 162: We suggest the following for clarity at the end of the finding: “but none of the top alternative routes (Routes 2, 4-6, and 19) are near that airport.”

Very truly yours,



Everett Britt
Duggins Wren Mann & Romero, LLP
600 Congress Avenue, Suite 1900
Austin, Texas 78701
(512) 744-9300
ebritt@dwmrlaw.com

cc: All Parties of Record