

Filing Receipt

Filing Date - 2023-07-12 02:51:56 PM

Control Number - 53719

Item Number - 527

SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-22-04394 PUC DOCKET NO. 53719

APPLICATION OF ENTERGY TEXAS,	§	PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
INC. FOR AUTHORITY TO CHANGE	§	
RATES	§	OF TEXAS

OFFICE OF PUBLIC UTILITY COUNSEL'S EXCEPTIONS TO THE PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

Courtney Hjaltman Chief Executive and Public Counsel State Bar No.24070294

Renee Wiersema Assistant Public Counsel State Bar No. 24094361 Justin Swearingen Senior Assistant Public Counsel State Bar No. 24096794 Chris Ekoh Senior Managing Public Counsel State Bar No. 06507015

OFFICE OF PUBLIC UTILITY COUNSEL 1701 N. Congress Avenue, Suite 9-180 P.O. Box 12397 Austin, Texas 787112397 512/936-7500 (Telephone) 512/936-7525 (Facsimile) renee.wiersema@opuc.texas.gov (Service) justin.swearingen@opuc.texas.gov (Service) chris.ekoh@opuc.texas.gov (Service) opuc eservice@opuc.texas.gov (Service)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction	1
IV. Vertically Integrated Electric Utility Ownership of TE and Charging Infrastructure	2
1. TECI-1 Rider	2
Conclusion	3

SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-22-04394 PUC DOCKET NO. 53719

APPLICATION OF ENTERGY TEXAS, \$ PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION INC. FOR AUTHORITY TO CHANGE \$ RATES \$ OF TEXAS

OFFICE OF PUBLIC UTILITY COUNSEL'S EXCEPTIONS TO THE PROPOSAL FOR DECISION

The Office of Public Utility Counsel ("OPUC"), representing the interests of residential and small commercial consumers in Texas, respectfully submits these exceptions to the proposal for decision ("PFD") issued by the State Office of Administrative Hearings ("SOAH") administrative law judges ("ALJs") in this proceeding on June 19, 2023. While OPUC does not agree with every position taken by the ALJs in analyzing these issues, OPUC is not filing exceptions on each issue in which its position was not adopted in the PFD.

INTRODUCTION

The PFD correctly rejects Entergy Texas, Inc.'s ("ETI") Transportation Electrification and Charging Demand Adjustment ("TECDA-1") Rider² as recommended by OPUC³ and Staff of the Public Utility Commission of Texas ("Commission Staff"),⁴ but concludes that "[i]f the Commission determines it is appropriate for ETI to own [transportation electrification ("TE")] and

¹ Proposal for Decision (Jun. 19, 2023).

² Id. at 36,

³ *Id.* at 33,

⁴ Id.

charging infrastructure, including charging stations, ETI's proposed [Transportation Electrification and Charging Infrastructure ("TECI-1")] Rider should be approved."⁵

OPUC's exceptions are limited to the recommendations made by the ALJs relating to the appropriateness of ETI's TECI-1 Rider to establish rates for recovery of costs for TE charging infrastructure and equipment. For the reasons discussed below, OPUC requests that the Commission issue an order in this proceeding consistent with OPUC's exceptions.

IV. Vertically Integrated Electric Utility Ownership of TE and Charging Infrastructure

1. TECI-1 Rider

OPUC appreciates the considerations of the ALJs regarding the increased risk if a TE customer defaults,⁶ however OPUC would like to clarify that its concern raised in Mr. Evan Evans's Direct Testimony is not about ETI bearing increased risks, but rather other customers bearing the risk of unrecovered costs if TE customers default.⁷ If the Commission determines it is prudent to approve the TECI-1 Rider, OPUC would reurge the Commission to include protections against the unrecovered costs from defaulting customers being borne by other electric service customers.⁸

⁵ *Id*. at 46.

⁶ Id. at 31,

⁷ Direct Testimony and Workpapers of Evan D. Evans at 27:17-26 (Oct. 26, 2022) (Evans Direct); Cross-Rebuttal Testimony of Evan D. Evans at 6:1-5 (Nov. 16, 2022).

⁸ Office of Public Utility Counsel's Post-Hearing Initial Brief on Preliminary Order Issues Nos. 68 and 69 at 5 (Jan. 13, 2023). (OPUC Post-Hearing Initial Brief); Office of Public Utility Counsel's Post-Hearing Reply Brief on Preliminary Order Issues Nos. 68 and 69 at 5 (Jan. 27, 2023). (OPUC Post-Hearing Reply Brief).

Additionally, the PFD does not address OPUC's position on rate case expenses. As

discussed in Mr. Evans's Direct Testimony, OPUC's Post-Hearing Initial Brief, 10 and OPUC's

Post-Hearing Reply Brief, 11 it is OPUC's position that all rate case expenses relative to the

TECI-1 and TECDA Riders should be separated out and not allocated to Residential Service or

other customer classes for which these riders are not applicable. Therefore, since no other party

objected to or rebutted OPUC's position on this rate case issue, OPUC urges the Commission to

include protections against the rate case expenses pertaining to these riders being borne by other

electric service customers who do not benefit from these riders.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated herein, OPUC respectfully requests that the Commission modify the

PFD to include findings of fact and conclusions of law consistent with these exceptions and that

OPUC be granted any other relief to which it may be entitled.

Date: July 12, 2023

⁹ Evans Direct at 36:6-9.

¹⁰ OPUC Post-Hearing Initial Brief at 6 (Jan. 13, 2023).

¹¹ OPUC Post-Hearing Reply Brief at 3 (Jan. 27, 2023).

3

Respectfully submitted,

Courtney Hjaltman Chief Executive and Public Counsel State Bar No.24070294

Renee Wiersema

Assistant Public Counsel

State Bar No. 24094361

Justin Swearingen

Senior Assistant Public Counsel

State Bar No. 24096794

Chris Ekoh

Senior Managing Public Counsel

State Bar No. 06507015

1701 N. Congress Avenue, Suite 9-180

P.O. Box 12397

Austin, Texas 787112397

512/936-7500 (Telephone)

512/936-7525 (Facsimile)

renee.wiersema@opuc.texas.gov (Service)

justin.swearingen@opuc.texas.gov (Service)

chris.ekoh@opuc.texas.gov (Service)

opuc_eservice@opuc.texas.gov (Service)

ATTORNEYS FOR THE OFFICE OF PUBLIC UTILITY COUNSEL

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-22-04394 PUC DOCKET NO. 53719

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing document was served on all parties of record in this proceeding on this 12th Day of July, 2023 by facsimile, electronic mail, and/or first class, U.S. Mail.

Renee Wiersema