

Filing Receipt

Received - 2023-02-01 11:28:37 AM Control Number - 53719 ItemNumber - 471

SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-22-04394 PUC DOCKET NO. 53719

| APPLICATION OF ENTERGY TEXAS, | § | STATE OFFICE |
|-------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| INC., FOR AUTHORITY TO CHANGE | § | OF |
| RATES | § | ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS |
| | § | |
| | Ü | |

Supplemental Direct Testimony and Exhibits

Of

Norman J. Gordon

On Behalf Of

CITIES SERVED BY ENTERGY TEXAS

Cities' Rate Case Expenses

February 1,2023

Table of Contents

| I. | INTRODUCTION AND QUALIFICATIONS | 1 |
|-------|--|----|
| П. | PURPOSE AND SUMMARY OF TESTIMONY | 2 |
| III. | EVALUATION OF RATE CASE EXPENSES | 3 |
| IV. | SCOPE OF REVIEW | 7 |
| V. | CITIES' EXPENSES IN DOCKET 53719 | 9 |
| VI. | HOURLY RATES | 9 |
| VII. | REASONABLENESS OF LEGAL COSTS | 11 |
| VIII. | REASONABLENESS OF CONSULTANTS' FEES | 12 |
| IX. | REASONABLENESS OF LEGAL EXPENSES IN DOCKET 44916 | 14 |
| Χ. | EXPENSES FOR RATE CASE EXPENSE TESTIMONY | 15 |
| ΧI | SHMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS | 16 |

SCHEDULES

Sch. NJG-1-S Cities Expense Summary through December 2022

Sch. NJG-2-S Cities Expenses Detail

SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-22-04394 PUC DOCKET NO. 53719

APPLICATION OF ENTERGY TEXAS, **STATE OFFICE** INC., FOR AUTHORITY TO CHANGE OF **RATES ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS**

Supplemental Direct Testimony and Exhibits

| 1 | | Norman J. Gordon |
|---|----|--|
| 2 | I. | INTRODUCTION AND QUALIFICATIONS |
| 3 | Q. | PLEASE IDENTIFY YOURSELF. |
| 4 | A. | I am Norman J. Gordon. My business address is PO Box 8, El Paso, Texas, 79940. I am |
| 5 | | a sole practitioner. |
| 6 | Q. | ON WHOSE BEHALF ARE YOU TESTIFYING? |
| 7 | A. | I am testifying on behalf of the Cities serviced by Entergy Texas, Inc. ¹ I am the same |
| 8 | | Norman J. Gordon who filed Direct Testimony on October 26, 2022. My experience and |
| 9 | | qualifications are outlined on Attachment A to my Direct Testimony. ² |

Supplemental Direct Testimony of Norman J. Gordon PUC DN 53719

Page 1 of 16

¹ Cities of Anahuac, Beaumont, Bridge City, Cleveland, Conroe, Dayton, Groves, Houston, Huntsville, Liberty, Montgomery, Navasota, Nederland, Oak Ridge North, Orange, Pine Forest, Pinehurst, Port Arthur, Port Neches, Roman Forest, Shenandoah, Silsbee, Sour Lake, Splendora, Vidor, West Orange and Willis.

² Direct Testimony of Norman J. Gordon filed October 26, 2022, Item 228

1

2

II. PURPOSE AND SUMMARY OF TESTIMONY

3 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?

- 4 A. I have been requested to update my review and evaluate the total fees and expenses
- 5 incurred subsequent to September 30, 2022 which was the cut-off date for the Direct
- 6 Testimony in this case PUC Docket No. 53719 to update the total through December 2022,
- 7 as well as the expenses incurred by the Cities in Docket 49916.³

8 Q. PLEASE PROVIDE A BRIEF SUMMARY OF YOUR TESTIMONY.

9 As I previously testified, the Cities retained the Lawton Law Firm to represent them in this A. 10 case. In turn The Lawton Law firm engaged the Consultants/Witnesses who filed direct 11 testimony on various issues in the case. For Docket No. 53719, I have generally reviewed 12 the case file itself, the amount and nature of the issues, the amount of discovery and the invoices of the various consultants and attorneys engaged on behalf of the Cities including 13 14 all the time entries. I have also reviewed the testimony filed by the other consultants for 15 the Cities that was filed on October 26, 2022. I have reviewed the invoices for service 16 through December 2022 and find both the hourly rates and total amounts invoiced to be 17 reasonable.

18 Q. WHAT ARE THE TOTAL AMOUNTS YOU ARE RECOMMENDING BE FOUND

19 **REASONABLE TO DATE?**

³ Application of Entergy Texas, Inc. for Authority to Reconcile Fuel and Purchased Power Costs, Docket No. 49916 (August 27, 2020)

- 1 A. For Docket No. 53719, through December 30, 2022, I recommend the following amounts
- 2 be found to be reasonable:

3

| Lawton Law Firm (Legal) | \$235,873.22 |
|---|--------------|
| Resolve Utility Consulting, PLLC (D. Garrett) | 48,431.25 |
| Garrett Group Consulting, Inc. (M Garrett) | 93,70500 |
| Nova Energy Consultants, Inc. (O'Donnell) | 18,095.00 |
| ReSolved Energy Consulting, LLC (K. Nalepa, E. Cromleigh) | 31,294.00 |
| Norman J. Gordon | 8,437.50 |
| TOTAL | \$435,835.97 |

- For Docket 44916 the amount is \$27,574 which is unchanged from the amount in my
- 5 Direct Testimony filed September 26, 2022.

6

7 III. EVALUATION OF RATE CASE EXPENSES

8 Q. WHAT STANDARDS DID YOU USE TO EVALUATE THE RATE CASE

9 EXPENSES INCURRED BY THE CITIES?

- 10 A. Texas Utilities Code §33.023 provides for the reimbursement to a municipality of its
- 11 reasonable rate case expenses to the extent found reasonable by the regulatory authority.
- I evaluated the reasonableness of the expenses pursuant to the precedents in cases before
- this Commission in the past. Specifically, I considered the recent decisions, including the

Supplemental Direct Testimony of Norman J. Gordon PUC DN 53719

Page 3 of 16

| 24 | | THE PAST? |
|----|----|---|
| 23 | Q. | DID YOU APPLY OTHER STANDARDS UTILIZED BY THE COMMISSION IN |
| 22 | | |
| 21 | | reasonably associated with each issue. |
| 20 | | (6) the specific issue or issues in the rate case and the amount of rate-case expenses |
| 19 | | (E) the occurrence and length of a hearing; and |
| 18 | | (D) the amount and complexity of discovery; |
| 17 | | (C) the novelty or complexity of the issues addressed; |
| 16 | | (B) the amount of money or value of property or interest at stake; |
| 15 | | (A) the size of the utility and number and type of consumers served; |
| 14 | | (5) the nature and scope of the rate case, including: |
| 13 | | other services or materials; |
| 12 | | (4) the expenses incurred for lodging, meals and beverages, transportation, or |
| 11 | | the services rendered; |
| 10 | | (3) the fees or other consideration paid to the attorney or other professional for |
| 9 | | professional; |
| 8 | | (2) the time and labor required and expended by the attorney or other |
| 7 | | professional in the rate case; |
| 6 | | (1) the nature, extent, and difficulty of the work done by the attorney or other |
| 5 | | evidence of reasonableness will consider: |
| 4 | | agr.), my experience and the language in Substantive Rule §25.245(b). ⁴ Evaluation and |
| 3 | | Tex., 916 S.W.2d 515(Tex. App. Austin—1995, judgment vacated and writ dism'd by |
| 2 | | expressions in the Austin Court of Appeals in the City of El Paso v. Pub. Util. Comm'n o |
| 1 | | decision in Entergy's last fully litigated rate case expense docket (Docket 40295), the |

4 16 T.A.C. 25.245

Yes, I also applied the standards utilized by the Commission in prior dockets. Specifically, I evaluated whether (a) the individual charges and rates are reasonable as compared to the usual charges for similar services; (b) the number of hours billed is reasonable; (c) the calculation of the charges is correct; (d) there is no double-billing of charges; (e) none of the charges has been recovered through reimbursement for other expenses; (f) none of the charges has been assigned to other matters; (g) there was no occasion in which there was billing in excess of 12 hours in a single day without explanation; (f) no luxury or personal items were included in expenses, such as first class travel, alcohol, valet parking, dry cleaning, designer coffee, or meals in excess of \$25 per person.⁵

A.

A.

10 Q. WHAT DID YOU REVIEW IN CONNECTION WITH YOUR EVALUATION?

I reviewed the Company's filing in general to get a sense of the issues raised, the extent of the testimony to be reviewed and any novelty in the issues. I also reviewed the amount of discovery in the case, and the testimony filed by the Cities' witnesses as well as discovery to the City. I have had discussions with Ms. Molly Mayhall Vandervoort and Mr. Daniel Lawton of the Lawton Law firm about the complexities and issues in the case as well as the resolution. I have reviewed the testimony filed by the Cities witnesses, reviewed their statements and declarations which are attached to this testimony as NJG-2-S.

Q. WHAT SERVICES HAVE BEEN PERFORMED TO DATE?

⁵ There are no meal or travel expenses included. See e.g. Application of El Paso Electric Company for Authority to Change Rates, Docket No. 8363, 14 P.U.C. Bull, 2834 (1989), Application of CenterPoint Energy Houston Electric, LLC for a Competition Transition Charge, Docket NO. 30706, Order (Jul. 14, 2005).

| 2 | | case, discovery and preparatory work for and finalizing the direct testimony, responses to |
|----|----|---|
| 3 | | discovery, reviewing rebuttal, preparation for the hearing and settlement negotiations |
| 4 | Q. | WHAT SERVICES HAVE YET TO BE PERFORMED? |
| 5 | A. | The additional work is the completion of the settlement negotiations and execution of a |
| 6 | | settlement agreement, the preparation and filing of proof of reasonableness of rate case |
| 7 | | expense and the completion of the case, including if necessary review of a Proposal for |
| 8 | | Decision and potential exceptions and replies. |
| 9 | Q. | DO YOU HAVE AN ESTIMATE OF THE AMOUNT THAT WILL BE BILLED IN |
| 10 | | ORDER TO COMPLETE THE CASE? |
| 11 | A. | No, assuming a proposed settlement is achieved and approved the remaining work will |
| 12 | | relate to the settlement and Cities rate case expense. In the joint procedural schedule filed |
| 13 | | on December 21, the parties proposed that any expenses incurred for service after |
| 14 | | December 2022 would be recorded by ETI as a regulatory asset and deferred for |
| 15 | | consideration in a future case. Thus, the Cities are not requesting estimates at this time. |
| 16 | Q. | HAVE YOU PERFORMED ANY OTHER ANALYSIS ON BEHALF OF THE |
| 17 | | CITIES? |
| 18 | A. | Yes. In my direct testimony I addressed the scope of work, and the standards of review as |
| 19 | | also identified above. I have also reviewed the qualifications experience and scope of |
| 20 | | work to be performed by the each of Cities' Consultants and witnesses. |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | Q. | WHAT OPINION HAVE YOU FORMED CONCERNING THOSE EXPENSES? |

Supplemental Direct Testimony of Norman J. Gordon PUC DN 53719

Through December 30, 2022, the records indicate analysis of the filing and issues in the

1 A.

| 1 | A. | I have concluded that the fees charged by the Cities' consultants and witnesses as identified |
|----|-------|---|
| 2 | | below are reasonable and necessary. None of the consultants billed for travel or other |
| 3 | | outside expenses. |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | IV. | SCOPE OF REVIEW |
| 6 | Q. | WHAT EVALUATION DID YOU CONDUCT? |
| 7 | A. | In accordance with prior Commission cases, including those indicated above, I informally |
| 8 | | audited invoices and other documentation, and based on my review I can affirm that: |
| 9 | | (1) the individual charges and rates, and charges for expenses were reasonable as |
| 10 | comp | ared to usual charges for such services; |
| 11 | | (2) the number of hours billed was reasonable. |
| 12 | | (2) the amount of each service was reasonable; |
| 13 | | (3) the calculation of charges was correct; |
| 14 | | (4) no double billing of charges occurred; |
| 15 | | (5) no charges had already been recovered through reimbursement for other |
| 16 | expen | ses; and |
| 17 | | (6) no charges should have been assigned to other matters. |
| 18 | | I specifically reviewed each expense item and time entry and ascertained that no occasions |
| 19 | | occurred where there was billing in excess of 12 hours for a single day. There were no |
| 20 | | travel expenses, and therefore, no luxury items were included, no first class travel, and no |
| 21 | | items such as alcohol. The only expenses charged were for the printing of testimony and |
| 22 | | workpapers. |

Supplemental Direct Testimony of Norman J. Gordon PUC DN 53719

1 I discussed the issues in the case with the Cities and attorneys including the nature and 2 difficulty of the analysis and cooperation by Entergy in the discovery process. 3 I compared the hourly rates of each of the attorneys to rates charged by other law firms doing work in this area. 5 I compared the hourly rates of the witnesses and other consultants to those charged by 6 other firms doing work in this area. 7 I reviewed the affidavits of the witnesses and attorneys which are attached to their 8 testimony. 9 I reviewed all the time entries by consultants and attorneys 10 I reviewed the direct testimony and cross-rebuttal testimony of Cities witnesses. 11 Q. HOW ARE THE COSTS AND EXPENSES REVIEWED BY THE CITIES? 12 A. As I said in my direct testimony, in the process, each consulting firm is responsible to 13 review its invoices prior to submission to Mr. Lawton. Upon receipt, Mr. Lawton reviews 14 the invoices for compliance with the standards, accuracy and mathematical errors. Upon 15 his approval, Mr. Lawton submits the invoices, including those of his firm to the Cities' 16 Steering Committee. Once the Steering Committee reviews the invoices, if they are 17 approved, they are forwarded to Entergy. At any stage if there are issues, or questions

discussion of that review follows.

18

19

20

21

about the invoices they are discussed and resolved. Based on the criteria I describe above,

I have also reviewed the invoices submitted to date by the attorneys and consultants. My

| 1 | V. | CITIES' EXPENSES IN DOCKET 53719 |
|----|-----|--|
| 2 | Q. | WHAT REVIEW HAVE YOU PERFORMED OF INVOICES IN DOCKET 53719? |
| 3 | A. | I have reviewed the invoices of the attorneys and consultants/witnesses submitted. I had |
| 4 | | previously reviewed the invoices for service through December 2022. For this |
| 5 | | supplemental testimony I reviewed the additional invoices for service through December |
| 6 | | 2022. I have provided the summary of hours billed, hourly rates hours and totals billed |
| 7 | | by firm and by statement on Schedule NJG-1-S. I have put the amounts billed for services |
| 8 | | after September 30, 2022 in bold. The declarations for each firm and statements are |
| 9 | | attached as Schedule NJG-2-S. |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | VI. | HOURLY RATES |
| 12 | Q. | WHAT ARE THE HOURLY RATES CHARGED BY THE ATTORNEYS IN THIS |
| 13 | | CASE? |
| 14 | A. | The hourly rates being charged are as follows: |
| 15 | | Daniel Lawton \$340 |
| 16 | | Molly Vandervoort \$240 |
| 17 | Q. | HAVE YOU FORMED AN OPINION REGARDING THE REASONABLENESS |
| 18 | | OF THE RATES CHARGED BY THE ATTORNEYS FOR THE CITIES? |
| 19 | A. | Yes, the hourly rates being charged are reasonable. |
| 20 | Q. | DESCRIBE THE BASIS FOR YOUR OPINION. |
| 21 | A. | First, I am familiar with and aware of the experience of each of the lawyers. Mr. Lawton |

Supplemental Direct Testimony of Norman J. Gordon PUC DN 53719

22

has been working and practicing in the area of utility regulation administrative law for

Page 9 of 16

many years both as an attorney and as a witness. Ms. Vandervoort has worked in this area for the last nine years and had experience in previous major rate cases. Both attorneys have the experience and background to justify the reasonableness of the rates charged for the complex work in this case. Their previous experience, no doubt, reduced legal costs to the Cities. The hourly rate charged by each of the attorneys is less than or comparable to the rates charged by others for similar work. The reasonableness of the hourly rates is demonstrated by the following chart which includes the hourly rates charged by other lawyers in recent hearings before the Public Utility Commission or Railroad Commission of Texas rate proceedings. The hourly rates I have reviewed were in Schedule NJG-3 of my Direct Testimony. Based on my experience and my review, I have concluded that hourly rates charged by the attorneys in this case are reasonable.

12 Q. WHAT IS THE HOURLY RATE CHARGED BY THE CITIES' CONSULTANTS

13 IN THIS CASE?

14 A. The hourly rates are as follows:

Resolve Utility Consulting, PLLC

| 16 David Garrett | \$225 per hour |
|------------------|----------------|
|------------------|----------------|

17 Garrett Group Consulting, Inc.

| 18 | Mark Garrett | \$270 per hour |
|----|-----------------|----------------|
| 19 | Edwin Farrar | \$175 per hour |
| 20 | Heather Garrett | \$200 per hour |
| 21 | Garry Garrett | \$125 per hour |
| | | |

Nova Energy Consulting, Inc

Supplemental Direct Testimony of Norman J. Gordon PUC DN 53719

Page 10 of 16

| 1 | | Kevin O'Donnell | \$235 per hour |
|----|------|---|--|
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | | ReSolved Energy Consulting, LLC | |
| 4 | | Karl Nalepa | \$275 per hour |
| 5 | | Erin Cromleigh | \$185 per hour |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | VII. | REASONABLENESS OF LEGAL CO | OSTS |
| 8 | Q. | WHAT ARE THE ESTIMATED LE | GAL COSTS IN THIS PROCEEDING? |
| 9 | A. | According to Ms. Vandervoort's declar | ration the legal costs of this proceeding through |
| 10 | | December 2022 were \$235,146.00, in | fees for Mr. Lawton and Ms. Vandervoort. The |
| 11 | | time spent was in review of the filing, | preparation of material, review of the discovery |
| 12 | | communication with clients and evaluat | ion of the issues. |
| 13 | Q. | WHAT ARE THE EXPENSES TO D | ATE? |
| 14 | A. | The expenses to date are \$727.22 for p | printing and binding copies of the Cities' Direct |
| 15 | | testimony for the exhibit requirements | at the hearing as well as USB drives with the |
| 16 | | testimony. The total amount is reasona | ble and was necessary for the presentation at the |
| 17 | | scheduled hearing. | |
| 18 | Q. | HAVE YOU REVIEWED THE SPEC | CIFIC BILLINGS OF THE ATTORNEYS? |
| 19 | A. | I have reviewed all of the billings. Base | ed upon my review of the billings, my discussions |
| 20 | | with counsel, and my brief review of is: | sues in the case, I find that the number of overal |
| 21 | | hours is reasonable, and the amounts | for each service are reasonable. I found no |
| 22 | | unreasonable duplication of time and no | billings exceeding 12 hours in a single day. |
| | | | |

Supplemental Direct Testimony of Norman J. Gordon PUC DN 53719

Page 11 of 16

| 1 | Q. | ARE THE NUMBER OF HOURS AND TOTAL BILLS FOR THAT WORK |
|----|-------|--|
| 2 | | REASONABLE? |
| 3 | A. | Yes, based on the criteria, and my experience, both the total hours and the total expenses |
| 4 | | to date are reasonable. I found no improper time entries, no double billing and no |
| 5 | | descriptions which relate to other matters. |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | VIII. | REASONABLENESS OF CONSULTANTS' FEES |
| 9 | Q. | WHAT SERVICES HAVE BEEN INVOICED TO DATE BY THE |
| 10 | | CONSULANTS/WITNESSES? |
| 11 | A. | Each of the consultants/witnesses have submitted invoices for the work involved in the |
| 12 | | proceeding. |
| 13 | Q. | WHAT IS YOUR OPINION REGARDING THE AMOUNTS BILLED OF THE |
| 14 | | GARRETT GROUP? |
| 15 | A. | In my opinion the amounts billed are reasonable. I am familiar with the work of the |
| 16 | | Garrett Group and the qualifications and experience of Mark Garrett whose qualifications |
| 17 | | will be in his testimony. Edwin Farrar is a CPA with over 35 years of experience in all |
| 18 | | aspects of rate cases. Heather Garrett is a CPA and attorney with many years of experience |
| 19 | | as a regulatory consultant on financial matters and technical research. The Garrett Group |
| 20 | | was assigned general accounting responsibilities including payroll and pension expense |
| 21 | | issues, in my opinion the hourly rates are reasonable. I have reviewed the invoices dated, |

Supplemental Direct Testimony of Norman J. Gordon PUC DN 53719

the descriptions of the work along with the number of hours expended. For the preliminary

22

Page 12 of 16

| 1 | | work identified the hours and total amount billed is reasonable. There are no expenses |
|----|----|---|
| 2 | | billed. |
| 3 | Q. | WHAT IS YOUR OPINION REGARDING THE AMOUNTS BILLED BY |
| 4 | | RESOLVE UTILITY CONSULTING? |
| 5 | A. | In my opinion the amounts billed are reasonable. I am familiar with the work of David |
| 6 | | Garrett, as well as his experience, particularly in the field of depreciation studies. His area |
| 7 | | of responsibility in this case was depreciation amortization, and the demolition studies |
| 8 | | offered by ETI in support of its requested rate increase. He will address various issues |
| 9 | | related to the proposed depreciation rates. I have reviewed his statements, including the |
| 10 | | task descriptions the hours spent and the total number of hours. His hourly rate is |
| 11 | | reasonable, as are the total hours and the total amount billed. |
| 12 | Q. | WHAT IS YOUR OPINION REGARDING THE AMOUNTS BILLED BY NOVA |
| 13 | | ENERGY CONSULTANTS? |
| 14 | A. | In my opinion the hourly rate and total amounts billed are reasonable. I reviewed Mr. |
| 15 | | Kevin O'Donnell's resume as well as his past experience. His responsibility was rate of |
| 16 | | return on equity and overall return. In my opinion the hourly rates and total amount billed |
| 17 | | is reasonable. |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | Q. | WHAT IS YOUR OPINION REGARDING THE AMOUNTS BILLED BY |
| 21 | | RESOLVED ENERGY CONSULTING? |
| | | |

| 1 | A. | In my opinion the amount billed is reasonable. ReSolved was assigned the development | | | | | | | | |
|----|-----|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | | of the Cities' Cost of Service model, to reflect the recommendation of the other witnesses, | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | | I am familiar with the work of Mr. Nalepa and his group from other cases. In my opinion | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | | the rates are reasonable for Mr. Nalepa and Ms. Cromleigh. I have reviewed the invoices. | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | | I reviewed the descriptions of the work, and found no double billing, and no work not | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | | related to this case. The total for the tasks performed, in my experience is reasonable. | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | | There are no expenses billed. | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | IX. | REASONABLENESS OF LEGAL EXPENSES IN DOCKET 44916 | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | Q. | HAVE YOU REVIEWED THE REASONABLENESS OF CITIES LEGAL | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | | EXPENSES IN DOCKET 49916, ENTERGY'S FUEL RECONCILIATION? | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | A. | Yes, I have addressed those expenses in my direct testimony. | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | Q. | WHAT WERE THE EXPENSES INCURRED BY THE CITIES IN DOCKET | | | | | | | | |
| 13 | | 49916? | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

declaration of Ms. Vandervoort. Docket 49916 was a fuel reconciliation case which did
not go to hearing and was settled. Finding of Fact No. 61⁶ in the Final Order approving
the settlement deferred the review of rate case expenses for that case to a future base rate
proceeding. This is the first base rate proceeding since that settlement was approved. The
detailed billing information for the Lawton Law Firm for that docket are in attachment 1

14

A.

The Legal Fees incurred by the Cities in Docket 49916 were \$27,574.00 as detailed in the

⁶ Application of Entergy Texas, Inc. for Authority to Reconcile Fuel and Purchased Power Costs, Docket No. 49916 (August 27, 2020), FOF 61

- to the declaration of Molly Mayhall Vandervoort. As detailed in her declaration, the
- 2 hours spent were as follows:

| <u>Attorney</u> | <u>Rate</u> | Hours | Amount |
|---------------------------|-------------|--------------|---------------|
| Daniel Lawton | \$340 | 72.7 | \$24,718.00 |
| Molly Mayhall Vandervoort | \$240 | 11.9 | \$2,856.00 |
| Total | | | \$27,574.00 |

3 Q. WHAT IS YOUR OPINION ABOUT THE REASONABLENESS OF THE

4 AMOUNTS BILLED BY THE ATTORNEYS IN DOCKET 49916?

the total number of hours for each attorney. The case was settled which is an overall benefit to all parties, particularly by avoiding the hearing process. In addition, it appears from the settlement agreement⁷ certain other issues were deferred to future cases. I have

In my opinion, the amounts billed are reasonable. I reviewed the time entries as well as

- 9 previously testified that in my opinion the hourly rates for Mr. Lawton and Ms.
- Vandervoort in Docket 53719, are reasonable, and they are the same in Docket 49916.
- The number details of the time spent are sufficient, and the overall amount is reasonable.

12

5

A.

13 X. EXPENSES FOR RATE CASE EXPENSE TESTIMONY

14 Q. WHAT AMOUNTS HAVE YOU BILLED THROUGH DECEMBER 2022?

- A. In connection with the case, I billed a total of \$8,437.50 in fees for services through
- December 2022. There were no expenses. The description of services is provided in the

7 Id. Item 109

| 1 | | attached invoices, by day, and services performed. The invoice and support are attached |
|------------|-----|--|
| 2 | | to this testimony as part of Schedule NJG-2-S |
| 3 | Q. | WHAT HOURLY RATE DID YOU CHARGE FOR YOUR SERVICES? |
| 4 | A. | My rate for this case is \$375.00 per hour. The rate is comparable with the charges by |
| 5 | | attorneys for rate case expense testimony in other cases as well as the hourly rates |
| 6 | | charged as indicated in my direct testimony. |
| 7 | Q. | WAS THE WORK IN THIS CASE REASONABLE AND NECESSARY? |
| 8 | A. | All of the work done by me was necessary and reasonable with respect to both time and |
| 9 | | amount considering the nature, extent, and difficulty of the work, the originality of the |
| 10 | | issues presented including the nature of the issues raised and addressed by the Cities in |
| 11 | | this proceeding, and the amount of time spent by and charges by others for work of a |
| 12 | | similar nature in this and other proceedings. |
| | | |
| 13 | XI. | SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS |
| 14 | Q. | WILL YOU SUMMARIZE YOUR RECOMMENDATIONS? |
| 15 | A. | Yes, I reviewed the case file, the background of the attorneys and witnesses for the Cities, |
| 16 | | as well the actual billings and found them reasonable, for both Docket 53719 through |
| 1 <i>7</i> | | December 2022 and for Docket 49916. The total amount for Cities Rate Case Expenses |
| 18 | | through December 2022 is \$\$435,865.97. The total for Docket 49916 is \$25,574.00. The |
| 19 | | total for both cases is \$461,439.97 |
| 20 | Q. | DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY? |
| | | |

Supplemental Direct Testimony of Norman J. Gordon PUC DN 53719

21 A.

Yes, at this time.

Page 16 of 16

SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-22-04394 PUC DOCKET NO. 53719

| APPLICATION OF ENTERGY TEXAS, | § | STATE OFFICE |
|-------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| INC., FOR AUTHORITY TO CHANGE | § | OF |
| RATES | § | ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS |
| | 8 | |
| | 0 | |

Supplemental Direct Testimony and Exhibits

Of

Norman J. Gordon

SCHEDULE NJG-1-S SUMMARY OF EXPENSES THROUGH December 2022

PUC Docket 53719

Schedule NJG-1-S Supplemental February 1, 2023 Page 1 of 7

SUMMARY OF ALL 53719 EXPENSES

| Line No. | (a) | (b) | (c) | (d) | (e) | (f) | (g) | (h) |
|----------|-------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------------|
| 1 F | irm | Legal | Resolve | Garrett Gp. | Nova | ReSolved | Gordon | Total |
| | | | | | \$ - | | | \$ - |
| 5 | Services/Bi | lled | | | | | | |
| 2 | Jun-22 | \$ 988.00 | \$8,943.75 | | | \$ - | | \$ 9,931.75 |
| 3 | Jul-22 | \$ 39,920.00 | \$11,362.50 | \$5,920.00 | \$4,700.00 | \$ 4,142.50 | | \$ 66,045.00 |
| 4 | Aug-22 | \$ 32,328.00 | \$11,475.00 | \$14,922.50 | \$1,703.75 | \$ 2,551.00 | | \$ 62,980.25 |
| 5 | Sep-22 | \$ 42,020.00 | \$11,700.00 | \$25,977.50 | \$1,586.25 | \$ 2,623.00 | | \$ 83,906.75 |
| 6 | Oct-22 | \$ 50,760.00 | \$2,418.75 | \$32,850.00 | \$10,105.00 | 12,343.50 | | \$ 108,477.25 |
| 7 | Nov-22 | \$ 48,456.00 | \$2,531.25 | \$10,215.00 | | 7,603.00 | | \$ 68,805.25 |
| 8 | Dec-22 | \$ 21,401.22 | | \$3,820.00 | | 2,031.00 | \$8,437.50 | \$ 35,689.72 |
| 9 | Jan-23 | | | | | | | \$ · - |
| 10 | Feb-23 | | | | | | | |
| 11 | Total | \$235,873.22 | \$48,431.25 | 93,705.00 | \$18,095.00 | \$31,294.00 | \$ 8,437.50 | \$ 435,835.97 |

PUC Docket 53719

Schedule NJG-1-S Supplemental February 1, 2023 Page 2 of 7

LEGAL EXPENSE--LAWTON LAW FIRM

| Line No. | (a) | (b) | (c) | (d) | (e) | (f) | (g) |
|----------|-----------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|-------------|----------------|------------------|
| , | l Timekeeper | Lawton | , | /andervoort | | | |
| | • | Rate | \$340 | Rate | \$240 | | |
| | Services/Billed | | | | | | |
| | | <u>Hours</u> | Fees | <u>Hours</u> | <u>Fees</u> | <u>Expense</u> | <u>Total</u> |
| 2 | 2 Jun-22 | 1.0 | \$ 340.00 | 2.7 | \$ 648.00 | | \$ 988.00 |
| 3 | 3 Jul-22 | 110.0 | \$ 37,400.00 | 10.5 | \$ 2,520.00 | | \$ 39,920.00 |
| 4 | 1 Aug-22 | 90.0 | \$ 30,600.00 | 7.2 | \$ 1,728.00 | | \$ 32,328.00 |
| Ę | 5 Sep-22 | 107.0 | \$ 36,380.00 | 23.5 | \$ 5,640.00 | | \$ 42,020.00 |
| 6 | Oct-22 | 108.0 | \$ 36,720.00 | 58.5 | \$14,040.00 | | \$ 50,760.00 |
| 7 | 7 Nov-22 | 114.0 | \$ 38,760.00 | 40.4 | \$ 9,696.00 | | \$ 48,456.00 |
| 8 | B Dec-22 | 44.5 | \$ 15,130.00 | 23.1 | \$ 5,544.00 | \$727.22 | \$ 21,401.22 |
| ę |) Jan-23 | | | | | | |
| 10 |) Feb-23 | | | | | | |
| 11 | Total | 574.5 | \$ 195,330.00 | 165.9 | \$39,816.00 | | \$ 235,873.22 |

PUC Docket 53719

Schedule NJG-1-S Supplemental February 1, 2023 Page 3 of 7

Resolve Utility Consultanting PLLC

| Line No. | (a) | (b) | (c) | (d) | (e) |
|----------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------|---------|-------------|
| 1 | Timekeeper | D. Garrett Rate | \$225 | | Total |
| | Services/Billed | b | | | |
| 2 | i. | Hours | Fees | Expense | |
| 3 | Jun-22 | | | | |
| 4 | Jul-22 | 39.75 | \$8,943.75 | | \$8,943.75 |
| 5 | Aug-22 | 50.50 | \$11,362.50 | | \$11,362.50 |
| 6 | Sep-22 | 51.00 | \$11,475.00 | | \$11,475.00 |
| 7 | Oct-22 | 52.00 | \$11,700.00 | | \$11,700.00 |
| 8 | Nov-22 | 10.75 | \$2,418.75 | | \$2,418.75 |
| 9 | Dec-22 | 11.25 | \$2,531.25 | | \$2,531.25 |
| 10 | Jan-23 | | | | |
| 11 | Feb-23 | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 12 | Total | 215.25 | \$48,431.25 | | \$48,431.25 |

PUC Docket 53719

Schedule NJG-1-S Supplemental February 1, 2023 Page 4 of 7

GARRETT GROUP CONSULTING, INC.

| Line No. | (a) | (b) | (c) | (d) | (e) | (f) | (g) | (h) | (i) | (j) | (k) |
|----------|-----------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|---------------|--------|-------------|
| | 1 Timekeeper | M Garrett | Е | Farrar | Н | Garrett | G | Garrett | | | |
| | , | Rate | \$270 | Rate | 175 | Rate | \$200 | Rate | \$125 | | |
| | Services/Billed | t | | | | | | | | | |
| | Month | <u>Hours</u> | <u>Fees</u> | <u>Hours</u> | <u>Fees</u> | <u>Hours</u> | <u>Fees</u> | <u>Hours</u> | <u>Fees</u> E | xpense | Total |
| | 2 Jun-22 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 3 Jul-22 | 16.0 | \$4,320.00 | | | 5.5 | \$1,100.00 | 4.0 | \$500.00 | | \$5,920.00 |
| | 4 Aug-22 | 35.5 | \$9,585.00 | 5 | \$875.00 | 17 | \$3,400.00 | 8.5 | \$1,062.50 | | \$14,922.50 |
| | 5 Sep-22 | 54.5 | \$14,715.00 | 37.0 | \$6,475.00 | 20.5 | \$4,100.00 | 5.5 | \$687.50 | | \$25,977.50 |
| | 6 Oct-22 | 67.5 | \$18,225.00 | 49.0 | \$8,575.00 | 26.5 | \$5,300.00 | 6.0 | \$750.00 | | \$32,850.00 |
| | 7 Nov-22 | 29.5 | \$7,965.00 | 6.0 | \$1,050.00 | 3.5 | \$700.00 | 4.0 | \$500.00 | | \$10,215.00 |
| | 8 Dec-22 | 13.5 | \$3,645.00 | 1.0 | \$175.00 | | | | | | \$3,820.00 |
| | 9 Jan-23 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 0 Feb-23 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 1 Total | 216.5 | \$58,455.00 | 98.0 | \$17.150.00 | 73.0 | \$14.600.00 | 28.0 | \$3,500.00 | | \$93,705.00 |

PUC Docket 53719

Schedule NJG-1-S Supplemental February 1, 2023 Page 5 of 7

NOVA ENERGY CONSLITANTS, INC.

| Estimate Line No. | \$19,270.00 (a) | (b) | | (c) | (d) | (e) | (f) | (g) |
|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|----|-----------|----------|------|---------|-------|
| 1 | Timekeeper | K. O'Donnell Rate | | \$235 | W. Odonr | nell | | |
| | Billed | | | | | | | |
| | Month | Hours | Fe | es | Hours | Fees | Expense | Total |
| 2 | Jun-22 | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Jul-22 | 20 | \$ | 4,700.00 | | | | |
| 4 | Aug-22 | 7.25 | \$ | 1,703.75 | | | | |
| 5 | Sep-22 | 6.75 | \$ | 1,586.25 | | | | |
| 6 | Oct-22 | 43 | \$ | 10,105.00 | | | | |
| 7 | Nov-22 | | | | | | | |
| 8 | Dec-22 | | | | | | | |
| 9 | Jan-23 | | | | | | | |
| 10 | Feb-23 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | Total | 77 | \$ | 18,095.00 | | | | |

PUC Docket 53719

Schedule NJG-1-S Supplemental February 1, 2023 Page 6 of 7

RESOLVED ENERGY CONSULTING, LLC

| Line No. | (a) | (b) | | (c) | (d) | | (e) | (h) | (i) |
|----------|------------|--------------|----|-------------|--------------|----|-------------|----------------|-------------------|
| 1 | Timekeeper | Nalepa | | | Cromleigh | | | | |
| 2 | | Rate | | \$275 | Rate | | \$185 | | |
| | Billed | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Month | <u>Hours</u> | | <u>Fees</u> | <u>Hours</u> | | <u>Fees</u> | <u>Expense</u> | <u>Total</u> |
| 4 | Jun-22 | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | Jul-22 | 8 | \$ | 2,200.00 | 10.5 | \$ | 1,942.50 | | 4,142.50 |
| 6 | Aug-22 | 4.5 | \$ | 1,237.50 | 7.1 | \$ | 1,313.50 | | 2,551.00 |
| 7 | Sep-22 | 9 | \$ | 2,475.00 | 0.8 | \$ | 148.00 | | 2,623.00 |
| 8 | Oct-22 | 28 | \$ | 7,700.00 | 25.1 | \$ | 4,643.50 | | 12,343.50 |
| 9 | Nov-22 | 22.4 | \$ | 6,160.00 | 7.8 | \$ | 1,443.00 | | 7,603.00 |
| 10 | Dec-22 | 5.3 | \$ | 1,457.50 | 3.1 | \$ | 573.50 | | 2,031.00 |
| 11 | Jan-23 | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | Feb-23 | | | | | | | | |
| 4.0 | 7.4.1 | 77.0 | • | 24 000 00 | 54.4 | • | 40.004.00 | | #04.004.00 |
| 13 | Total | 77.2 | \$ | 21,230.00 | 54.4 | \$ | 10,064.00 | | \$31,294.00 |

PUC Docket 53719

Schedule NJG-1-S Supplemental February 1, 2023 Page 7 of 7

NORMAN J. GORDON

| (e) | (d) | (c) | (b) | (a) | Line No. |
|-------------|---------|------------|------------------|-----------------|----------|
| Total | | \$375 | N Gordon Rate | Timekeeper | 1 |
| | | | | Services/Billed | |
| | Expense | Fees | Hours | | |
| | | | | Jun-22 | 2 |
| | | | | Jul-22 | 3 |
| | | | | Aug-22 | 4 |
| | | | | Sep-22 | 5 |
| \$8,437.50 | | \$8,437.50 | 22.5 | Oct-22 | 6 |
| | | | | Nov-22 | 7 |
| | | | | Dec-22 | 8 |
| | | | | Jan-23 | 9 |
| | | | | Feb-23 | 10 |
| | | | | | |
| \$ 8,437,50 | | \$8,437.50 | 22.5 | Total | 11 |

SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-22-04394 PUC DOCKET NO. 53719

| APPLICATION OF ENTERGY TEXAS, | § | STATE OFFICE |
|-------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| INC., FOR AUTHORITY TO CHANGE | § | OF |
| RATES | § | ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS |
| | 8 | |
| | 0 | |

Supplemental Direct Testimony and Exhibits

Of

Norman J. Gordon

SCHEDULE NJG-2-S INVOICES AND DECLARATIONS LAWTON LAW FIRM

SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-22-04394 PUC DOCKET NO. 53719

APPLICATION OF ENTERGY TEXAS, § BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE OF INC. FOR AUTHORITY TO CHANGE § ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS RATES

RATE CASE EXPENSE DECLARATION OF MOLLY MAYHALL VANDERVOORT FOR EXPENSES THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2022

STATE OF TEXAS \$
COUNTY OF TRAVIS \$

- My name is Molly Mayhall Vandervoort. My business address is 12600 Hill Country Boulevard, Suite R-275, Austin, Texas 78738. I am over eighteen years of age and am not disqualified from making this declaration. I declare under penalty of perjury that the information in this declaration provided under Chapter 132, Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code, is true and correct.
- 2. This declaration supports the reasonableness of the fees charged by the Lawton Law Firm, P.C. for work performed in PUC Docket No. 53719, SOAH Docket No. 473-22-04394 and in PUC Docket No. 49916, SOAH Docket No. 473-20-0259. The Lawton Law Firm was retained by certain municipalities located within the Entergy Texas, Inc. Service Area that will be impacted by the base rate increase proposed in this case. The municipalities that retained the Lawton Law Firm in these proceedings are the Cities of Anahuac, Beaumont, Bridge City, Cleveland, Dayton, Groves, Houston, Huntsville, Liberty, Montgomery, Navasota, Nederland, Oak Ridge North, Orange, Pine Forest, Pinehurst, Port Arthur, Port Neches, Roman Forest, Rose City, Shenandoah, Silsbee, Sour Lake, Splendora, Vidor, West Orange, and Willis ("Cities").
- 3. Mr. Daniel Lawton is the owner of the Lawton Law Firm and is an attorney licensed in the State of Texas. He received his undergraduate degree from Merrimack College, his master's degree in economics from Tufts University, and his law degree from Texas Southern University. He has worked in the area of utility regulation for over 35 years as an attorney, as an expert witness, and as an analyst for the Public Utilities Commission of Minnesota. He has served as lead counsel in numerous base rate cases and other administrative dockets before City Councils, the Public Utility Commission of Texas, the Railroad Commission of Texas, State District Courts, and Texas Appellate Courts, including the Supreme Court of Texas. Mr. Lawton has filed testimony and testified as an expert witness in cases before the Public Utility Commission of Texas, the Railroad Commission of Texas, and in many other jurisdictions throughout the United States.

- 4. I am an attorney licensed in the State of Texas. I received my undergraduate degree from New York University and my law degree from University of Texas. I have been in the private practice of law since 2005 and have practiced in the area of utility regulation with the Lawton Law Firm since 2009. I have participated in many base rate cases and other administrative dockets before City Councils, the Public Utility Commission of Texas, and the Railroad Commission of Texas.
- 5. Regarding Docket No. 53719, I address the reasonableness of the fees charged by the Lawton Law Firm for work performed through December 31, 2022. During that time, the Lawton Law Firm billed a total of \$235,873.22, which breaks down to \$235,146 in fees and \$727.22 in printing expenses for pre-filed expert testimony and workpapers. The time was spent reviewing and analyzing the application, developing discovery requests, reviewing discovery responses, and preparing pre-filed written testimony, completion of discovery, reviewing the testimony of other intervenors, PUC staff, cross-rebuttal and rebuttal testimony, discovery on and from other parties, advising the Cities in their disposition of the case under their original jurisdiction, settlement negotiations, and preparation for the hearing. A detailed description of the services provided can be found in Attachment 1.
- 6. A breakdown of billing hours and charges by attorney for Docket No. 53719 is presented in the table below:

THE LAWTON LAW FIRM'S FEES – DOCKET NO. 53719 JULY 1, 2022 THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2022

| ATTORNEY | HOURLY RATE | HOURS | TOTAL |
|---------------------------|-------------|-------|--------------|
| Daniel Lawton | \$340.00 | 574.5 | \$195,330.00 |
| Molly Mayhall Vandervoort | \$240.00 | 165.9 | \$39,816.00 |
| Total Fees | | 740.4 | \$235,146.00 |
| | | | |
| EXPENSES: | | | \$727.22 |
| | | | |
| TOTAL FEES & EXPENSES | | | \$235,873.22 |

7. I also address the reasonableness of the fees charged by the Lawton Law Firm for work performed in Docket No. 49916, a fuel reconciliation case filed by Entergy in September 2019. From September 2019 through May 2020, the Lawton Law Firm billed a total of \$27,574. There were no expenses charged. The time was spent reviewing and analyzing the application, reviewing discovery responses, reviewing rebuttal testimony, participating in settlement negotiations, and finalizing the settlement. A detailed description of the services provided can be found in Attachment 2.

8. A breakdown of billing hours and charges by attorney for Docket No. 49916 is presented in the table below:

THE LAWTON LAW FIRM'S FEES – DOCKET NO. 49916 SEPTEMBER 2019 THROUGH MAY 2019

| ATTORNEY | HOURLY RATE | HOURS | TOTAL |
|---------------------------|-------------|-------|--------------------|
| Daniel Lawton | \$340.00 | 72.7 | \$24,718.00 |
| Molly Mayhall Vandervoort | \$240.00 | 11.9 | \$2,856.00 |
| Total | | 84.6 | <u>\$27,574.00</u> |

- 9. All services were for my time or for that of Mr. Lawton. There is no double-billing of charges; none of the charges has been recovered through reimbursement for other expenses; none of the charges should have been assigned to other matters; there was no occasion on which there was billing in excess of 12 hours in a single day; and no luxury or personal items were included, such as first class travel, alcohol, valet parking, dry cleaning, designer coffee, or meals in excess of \$25 per person.
- 10. Mr. Lawton's billing rate is \$340.00 per hour and my billing rate is \$240.00 per hour. These are the rates we charge to all clients for similar work in rate proceedings. I am familiar with the hourly rates charged by other attorneys to perform similar services before utility regulatory agencies in Texas, through the cases in which I have acted as counsel. In my opinion, the Lawton Law Firm's rates are reasonable based on our years of experience and by comparison to the rates charged by other attorneys to perform similar work.
- 11. All of the work done by Mr. Lawton and by me was necessary and reasonable with respect to both time and amount considering the nature, extent, and difficulty of the work, the originality of the issues presented including the nature of the issues raised and addressed by the Cities in this proceeding, and the amount of time spent by and charged by others for work of a similar nature in this and other proceedings.

Further Declarant Says Not.

Dated January 20, 2023

Molly Mayhall Vandervoort

MCM Vandervoort

THE LAWTON LAW FIRM, P.C.

12600 Hill Country Blodi, Suite R-275 • Austin, Texas 78738 • 512/322-0019 • Fax: 512/329-2604

August 2022 Invoice for July 2022 Services-PUC Docket No. 53719; Application of

| Total July 20. | 22 Services-PUC | Docket No came | |
|---|-------------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Entergy Texas, In Daniel Lawton | nc. For Authority | To de | Application of |
| Daniel Lawton | 111.0 Hrs | To Change Rates | |
| Molly Mayhall Vandervoort | | \$340.00 | \$37,740.00 |
| Total Fees | 13.2 Hrs | \$240.00 | |
| | | 1 10:00 | \$3,168.00 |
| | | | \$40,908.00 |
| EXPENSES: | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Total Fees and Expenses | | | |
| z ces and Expenses | | | |
| * Please see attachment {Attachment Letter} | | | \$40,908.00 |
| (Attachment Lefter) | | | |

THE LAWTON LAW FIRM, P.C.

August 2022 Invoice for July 2022 Services-PUC Docket No. 53719; Application of Entergy Texas, Inc. For Authority To Change Rates

Daniel Lawton

| 6/21/22 | 1.0 Hrs | C.III |
|------------|--------------|--|
| 7/2/22 | 5.5 Hrs | Call in to ETI conference rate case overview. |
| | J.5 Firs | recytew gocuments from miles |
| 7/5/22 | 7.5 Hrs | consultants to address and follow-up |
| | 7.5 Firs | |
| | 1 | identify issues, review testimony O&M & benchmarking, outline consultant group |
| 7/6/22 | 2.5 Hrs | consultant group |
| 1 | 2.5 1718 | Continue overview and analysis of Company |
| | | Continue overview and analysis of Company cost of servi schedules identify issues, review testimony O&M & benchmarkin outline for consultant group |
| 7/7/22 | 7.5 Hrs | Outline for consultant answer |
| | /.3 Hrs | Begin modeling rete base |
| 7/8/22 | 1.5 Hrs | Begin modeling rate base issues identifying increased investme review of deferred assets and storm reserve issues Additional modeling rate |
| | 1.5 Hrs | Additional modeling |
| 7/9/22 | C C YT | Additional modeling rate base issues identifying increase investment review of deferred assets and storm reserve issues Continue modeling rate base issues identifying increase |
| | 5.5 Hrs | Continue modeling retal |
| | 1 | Continue modeling rate base issues identifying increased investmen review of deferred assets and storm reserve issues |
| 7/15/22 | 8.0 Hrs | items to investigate |
| 7/16/22 | | Review ETI Application and POE To 1 |
| 7/18/22 | 5.5 Hrs | Continue review ETI Application and ROE Testimony Summary of ROE as in the state of the state o |
| 1/18/22 | 7.5 Hrs | Summary of DOB |
| 7/19/22 | | |
| 7/19/22 | 3.5 Hrs | profit enhancement issues outline of case follow-up on issues Review and summary of Spindletch issues (115) |
| 7/20/22 | | Review and summary of Spindletop issues/life extension gas storage amounts/ cushion gas issue approx 50% of columns of the storage amounts of the storage amount |
| 1120122 | 5.5 Hrs | amounts/ cushion gas issue approx. 50% of volumes Continue review and supprox. 50% of volumes |
| 7/21/22 | - | Continue review and summary of Spindletop issues/ life extension gas storage amounts/ cushion gas issue approximately formula to the storage amounts of Spindletop issues/ life extension gas issue approximately formula to the storage amounts of Spindletop issues/ life extension gas issue approximately formula to the storage amounts of Spindletop issues/ life extension gas issue approximately formula to the storage amounts of Spindletop issues of Spindletop issues/ life extension gas issue approximately for the storage amounts of Spindletop issues/ life extension gas storage amounts of Spindletop issues/ life extension gas issue approximately for the storage amounts of Spindletop issues/ life extension gas storage amounts of Spindletop issues/ life extension gas issue approximately for the storage amounts of Spindletop issues/ life extension gas issue approximately for the storage amounts of Spindletop issues/ life extension gas issue approximately for the storage amounts of Spindletop issues/ life extension gas issue approximately for the storage amounts of Spindletop issues/ life extension gas issue approximately for the storage amounts of the storage am |
| 1121122 | 6.0 Hrs | gas storage amounts/ cushion gas issue approx. 50% of volumes |
| | 1 | Continue review and summary of Spindletop issues/ life extension gas storage amounts/ cushion gas issue approx. 50% of volumes |
| 7/22/22 | | Begin review of tariff oh and approx. 30% of volumes |
| 1122122 | 7.5 Hrs | Continue review of tooles |
| 7/23/22 | | Continue review of tariff changes and review potential for aggregation over various accounts |
| 142144 | 5.0 Hrs | Continue review of the Continue |
| | | Continue review of tariff changes and review potential for aggregation over various accounts/ begin review. |
| /25/22 | | changes through time and all design review of allocation and |
| 12122 | 5.5 Hrs | changes through time and allocation differential for interruptible allocation differential for interruptible allocation differential for interruptible |
| /27/22 | - | allocation differential for interest and changes through time and |
| -1124 | 6.5 Hrs | Research prior case intermediate |
| /28/22 | | of new tariff issue for MISO load response on aggregation Review Lofton testimony COS identifies: |
| | 7.5 Hrs | Review Lofton testimony Coo : 1 Copyright on aggregation |
| /29/22 | | prior case/ model summer of definity issues/ review changes since |
| -1166 | 6.5 Hrs | Start review of other witness estimate impacts |
| 30/22 | | changes since prior and withess testimony on storm reserve identify |
| | 5.5 Hrs | Summary of issue status for consultant follow up. |
| otal Hours | 111.0 Hrs | status for consultant follow up. |

| | Enteroy Tox | ly 2022 Services-PUC Docket No. 53719; Application of |
|-----------|-------------|--|
| 6/21/22 | O S IT | cas, Inc. For Authority To Change Rates Attend video conference |
| | U.o rars | |
| 6/24/22 | 1.8 Hrs | filing. |
| 6/27/22 | 0.1 Hrs | Draft correspondence to Cities and consultants re: rate case filing Correspond w/ Cities re: July base rate |
| | 0.1 1713 | Correspond w/ Cities re: July base rate case filing |
| 7/1/22 | | 1 |
| 7/6/22 | 0.2 Hrs | Correspondence w/ Cities re: rate case filing |
| 110122 | 3.6 Hrs | Dian & life intervention D is |
| 7/7/22 | | suspension ordinance, recommendation letter to clients |
| 7/11/22 | 0'.3 Hrs | Correspond w/ Cities re case filing |
| 7/12/22 | 0.7 Hrs | Correspond w/ Cities re case filing file protective order certification Correspond w/ client suspension and |
| | 0.2 Hrs | Correspond w/ client suspension ordinance |
| 7/13/22 | 0.1 Hrs | Correspond w/ consultants |
| 7/14/22 | 0.6 Hrs | Correspond of consultants |
| 7/15/22 | 0.3 Hrs | Correspond w/ client suspension ordinance |
| 7/20/00 | | Correspond w/ client suspension ordinance & reviewed Citics ordinance |
| 7/20/22 | 1.4 Hrs | Correspond w/ madi |
| 7/21/22 | | Correspond w/ parties procedural schedule, Correspond w/ client suspension ordinance |
| 1121122 | 0.3 Hrs | Correspond w/ parties many |
| 7/22/22 | | Correspond w/ parties procedural schedule, Correspond w/ client suspension ordinance |
| 7/25/22 | 0.7 Hrs | Attend pre-hearing conference |
| 7/28/22 | 1.0 Hrs | Correspond w/ parties procedural schedule |
| | 0.6 Hrs | Review cities suspension ordinance |
| 1/29/22 | 0.6 Hrs | Correspond w/ consults of |
| | | Correspnd w/ consultants, reviewed discovery |
| | | |
| | | |
| · · · · · | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| OTAL | 12.0 *** | |
| () | 13.2 HRS | |

THE LAWTON LAW FIRM, P.C.

12600 Hill Country Blvd., Suite R-275 • Austin, Texas 78738 • 5 12/322-0019 • Fax: 512/329-2604

September 2022 Invoice for August 2022 Services-PUC Docket No. 53719; Application of Entergy Texas, Inc. For Authority To Change Rates

| Daniel Lawton | 00.0.77 | | |
|---------------------------|----------|------------------|-------------|
| | 90.0 Hrs | \$340.00 | \$30,600.00 |
| Molly Mayhall Vandervoort | 7.2 Hrs | \$240.00 | \$1,728.00 |
| Total Fees | | 1 12 10.00 | |
| | | | \$32,328.00 |
| EXPENSES: | | | |
| EM ENSES: | | | |
| | | | |
| | | - | |
| | | | |
| Total Fees and Expenses | | | |
| - confixed and Expenses | | | \$32,328.00 |

^{*} Please see attachment {Attachment Letter}

September 2022 Invoice for August 2022 Services-PUC Docket No. 53719; Application of Entergy Texas, Inc. For Authority To Change Rates

| | | Daniel Lawton |
|-------------|----------|--|
| 8/2/22 | 3.5 Hrs | Review incurance |
| 0/2/02 | | Review insurance reserve testimony, schedules & calculations changes since prior case. |
| 8/3/22 | 5.5 Hrs | Continue review insurance calculations, changes since testimony estimates for ETL |
| 8/5/22 | 6.0 Hrs | Continue review prior Wilson testimony estimates for ETI, mode estimates of insurance alternatives. |
| 8/8/22 | 5.5 Hrs | Finalize issues & model estimates, summarize issues for proceeding & summary to discuss w/ consultant. Begin analysis of witness Whaley testimony on taxes current & deforred FIT |
| 8/9/22 | 4.5 Hrs | Continue analysis of witness Whaley testimony on taxa- |
| 8/11/22 | 4.5 Hrs | Continue analysis of FIN-48 issues and prior decisions and |
| 8/12/22 | 5.5 Hrs | |
| 8/16/22 | 6.0 Hrs | Summary of tax issues and approach to DTA Analysis & review witness Elbe testimony on cost of service and allocation issues address print allocation issues address print allocation. |
| 8/17/22 | 4.5 Hrs | Continue analysis & review witness Elbe testimony on cost of service and allocation issues begin modeling. |
| 8/19/22 | 5.5 Hrs | Research prior testimony on allegation and issue |
| 8/22/22 | 6.0 Hrs | Analysis of capacity & allocation issues including interruptible capacity, begin analysis of power through issues as an alternative for other customers. Summary of analysis relative to |
| 8/23/22 | 4.0 Hrs | |
| 8/24/22 | 6.5 Hrs | Review and model data on allocation Schedule O, review changes Continue review and model data on allocation allocators per Schedule O. Review to iff |
| 8/25/22 | 3.0 Hrs | Continue review tariff proposals & impacts of new tariffs. |
| 8/26/22 | 5.5 Hrs | Continue ROE analysis w/ undeted market data for the local success. |
| 8/29/22 | 5.5 Hrs | Continue ROE analysis w/ undated market data for the first |
| 8/30/22 | 3.0 Hrs | Finalize ROE & Bonus issues to address Start as a second s |
| 3/31/22 | 5.5 Hrs | K financial metrics, review annual data summary of issues Review Lighting impacts & tariffs & other tariffs impacting municipal water, pumping & sewer, calculate rate impacts & summary of issues. |
| Total Hours | 90.0 Hrs | Committee y of 155des. |

| of To | otomory Towns | ugust 2022 Services-PUC Docket No. 53719; Application |
|--------------|---------------|---|
| <u>ui Ei</u> | | |
| | Mo | olly Mayhall Vandervoort |
| 8/2/22 | 1.8 Hrs | Work w/ Cities on suspension ordinances, reviewed discover responses and requests, correspond w/ consultants on procedural schedule dates |
| 8/5/22 | 0.2 Hrs | |
| 8/12/22 | 0.9 Hrs | Continued reviewed discover responses and requests Continued reviewed discover responses and requests |
| 8/15/22 | 0.1 Hrs | Continued Cities suspension ordinances |
| 8/1722 | 2.3 Hrs | Reviewed consultant proposed RFI's and filed Cities 1st, 2nd, and 3rd RFI |
| 8/19/22 | 0.9 Hrs | Reviewed 45 day update and correspond w/ consultants |
| 8/26/22 | 0.8 Hrs | discovery consultants re: initial issue findins, reviewed |
| 8/30/22 | 0.2 Hrs | .Call w/ ETI counsel to discuss discovery and 45-day Update filing. |
| | | y - F - was Millig. |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | - | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| OTAL | 7.2 HRS | |

12600 Hill Country Blvd., Suite R-275 • Austin, Texas 78738 • 512/3220019 • Fax: 512/329-2604

October 2022 Invoice for September 2022 Services-PUC Docket No. 53719; Application of Entergy Texas, Inc. For Authority To Change Rates

| Daniel Lawton | 107.0 Hrs | \$340.00 | \$36,380.00 |
|---------------------------|-----------|----------|-------------|
| Molly Mayhall Vandervoort | 23.5 Hrs | \$240.00 | \$5,640.00 |
| Total Fees | | | \$42,020.00 |
| EXPENSES: | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | 1 |
| Total Fees and Expenses | 4 | | \$42,020.00 |
| nr | | | |

^{*} Please see attachment {Attachment Letter}

October 2022 Invoice for September 2022 Services-PUC Docket No. 53719; Application of Entergy Texas, Inc. For Authority To Change Rates

Daniel Lawton

| 9/2/22 | | |
|--------------|---|--|
| 112122 | 6.5 Hrs | Review transmission investment, TCRF & securitized Transeview K. Vongkhamchanh testinger |
| 9/3/22 | | Review K. Vongkhamchanh testimony. |
| | 4.5 Hrs | Continue review transmission in the continue review transmission i |
| 9/5/22 | 7.0 Hrs | Trans. Review K. Vongkhamchanh testimony. |
| | 7.0 Firs | |
| | | Trans. Review K. Vongkhamchanh testimony finalize issusumary. |
| 9/8/22 | 6.5 Hrs | Pouls coll |
| 9/9/22 | 6.5 Hrs | Review affiliate cost request and allocation methods by category. |
| | 0.5 Firs | |
| 9/10/22 | 3.5 Hrs | methods by cost category review of prior case costs. |
| | 3.5 1118 | |
| | [; | methods by cost category summary of issues and review of prior costs. |
| 9/12/22 | 6.5 Hrs | Review Demi |
| 0.11 | | Review Dornier testimony Spindletop issues, review inventor issues surrounding periods of tight supply |
| 9/13/22 | 6.0 Hrs | issues surrounding periods of tight supply |
| | 1 | |
| 9/14/22 | | inventory issues surrounding periods of tight supply - issues |
| 9/14/22 | 6.0 Hrs | Analysis & review witness |
| 9/15/22 | | Analysis & review witness compensation & payroll issues, start modeling and comparing COS impacts. |
| 2113122 | 5.0 Hrs | Review outstanding COS issues to being 5 |
| 9/16/22 | | Review outstanding COS issues to bring forward and modeling and comparing COS impacts. |
| 5/10/22 | 6.0 Hrs | Finalize outstanding COS in the state of the |
| 9/17/22 | 4077 | comparing COS issues to bring forward and modeling and Review McLome to the comparing COS issues. |
| 9/19/22 | 4.0 Hrs | 1 - 10 17 17 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 |
| 2112122 | 5.0 Hrs | John McHome tosting |
| 9/20/22 | CCYY | summary of issues to be addressed. |
| | 6.5 Hrs | Continue review of Mari |
| | ' | summary of . issues to be addressed, begin review on nuclear decommissioning issues and assumptions |
| 9/22/22 | 5.0 Hrs | decommissioning issues and assumptions. |
| | 0.01118 | Continue leview on must |
| 9/23/22 | 5.5 Hrs | assumptions. Review Holtec alternative summary of issues and Review rate design & tariff in the summary of issues. |
| 10.1/00 | | Review rate design & tariff issues and power through benefits to customers. |
| 9/24/22 | 3.0 Hrs | Continue review rate desired |
| 0/25/22 | | Continue review rate design & tariff issues and power through benefits to customers. |
| 1123122 | 4.0 Hrs | Review rate design & toriff in |
| /29/22 | | Review rate design & tariff issues and power through benefits to customers summary of issues on tariff rate design approach |
| 127122 | 5.0 Hrs | Review Lofton testimony and treat Ill design approach |
| /30/22 | | COS and rate have in the trace adjustments through schedules |
| 130122 | 5.0 Hrs | Continue review Lofton testing |
| otal Hours | 107.0 Hrs | Continue review Lofton testimony and trace adjustments through schedules COS and rate base issues. |
| viai filling | 1 MOZ A TT | 100003, |

October 2022 Invoice for September 2022 Services-PUC Docket No. 53719; Application of Entergy Texas, Inc. For Authority To Change Rates

Molly Mayhall Vandervoort

| <u> </u> | | |
|----------|----------|--|
| 9/6/22 | 0.5 Hrs | Draft & serve discovery request. |
| 9/8/22 | 0.4 Hrs | Call w/ consultant Nalepa case issues, correspond w/consultant testimony issues. |
| 9/9/22 | 1.1 Hrs | Draft & serve discovery request. Review discovery requests a responses correspond w/consultant testimony issues. |
| 9/13/22 | 2.9 Hrs | Review discovery requests & responses and correspond v |
| 9/14/22 | 2.1 Hrs | Review discovery requests & responses |
| 9/15/22 | 1.1 Hrs | Review discovery requests & responses Review discovery requests & responses |
| 9/16/22 | 1.4 Hrs | Review discovery requests & responses |
| 9/21/22 | 0.3 Hrs | Correspond w/consultant testimony issues. |
| 9/22/22 | 2.2 Hrs | Review discovery and the stumony issues. |
| 9/23/22 | 3.0 Hrs | Review discovery requests & responses, reviewed errata. Review discovery requests & responses |
| 9/26/22 | 0.8 Hrs | Working w/ Cities or was a responses |
| 9/27/22 | 1.6 Hrs | Working w/ Cities on proposed rate ordinances. |
| 9/28/22 | 0.2 Hrs | Working w/ Cities on proposed rate ordinances. |
| 9/29/22 | 2.2 Hrs | Call w/N. Gordon re/ Testimony on rate case expenses |
| 9/30/22 | 3.7 Hrs | Correspond w/ Cities re: case recommendations Draft & serve discovery request. Review discovery requests & responses. Review application. |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| OTAL | 23.5 HRS | |

THE LAWTON LAW FIRM, P.C. 12600 Hill Country Blvd., Suite R-275 • Austin, Texas 78738 • 512/322-0019 • Fax: 512/329-2604 November 2022 Invoice for October 2022 Services-PUC Docket No. 53719; Application of Entergy Texas, Inc. For Authority To Change Rates Daniel Lawton 108.0 Hrs \$340.00 Molly Mayhall Vandervoort \$36,720.00 58.5 Hrs \$240.00 \$14,040.00 Total Fees \$50,760.00 **EXPENSES: Total Fees and Expenses**

\$50,760.00

^{*} Please see attachment {Attachment Letter}

| November 202 | 2 Invoice for Oc | tober 2022 Services-PUC Docket No. 53719; Application |
|--------------|------------------|---|
| of Ente | ergy Texas, Inc. | For Authority To Change Rates |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | Daniel Lawton |
| 10/1/22 | 3.5 Hrs | Review testimony on ROE (Bulkley) also review capital structure |
| 10/1/22 | 3.5 ms | identify documents for hearing and that O'Donnell needs to address |
| 10/3/22 | 4.5 Hrs | Continue review of testimony on ROE (Bulkley) and review capital structure pull together documents. |
| 10/4/22 | 5.0 Hrs | Continue review of testimony on ROE (Bulkley) and review capital |
| | | structure pull together documents final issue summary- review KO draft |
| 10/5/22 | 4.5 Hrs | Review financial related incentive comp issues, review outline Raeder testimony, review discovery and prior case decisions. |
| 10/6/22 | 6.5 Hrs | Continue review financial related incentive comp issues, review |
| | | outline Raeder testimony, review discovery and prior case decisions. |
| 10/8/22 | 4.0 Hrs | Continue review financial related incentive comp issues, review |
| | | outline Raeder testimony, review discovery and prior case decisions discuss w/ Mark G. |
| 10/10/22 | 6.0 Hrs | Call w/ Molly M discuss testimony issues, review alternative |
| | | approaches to self-insurance accrual issue, review discovery, review |
| 10/11/00 | - COTT | testimony cost of service issues that will be addressed. |
| 10/11/22 | 6.0 Hrs | Call w/ Molly M. case issues, call w/ K.O. issues to address in 2 nd draft. Review of LT incentive compensation for case discuss issue |
| | | w/ witness, continue supplemental modeling and quantification of |
| | | issues. |
| 10/12/22 | 6.0 Hrs | Continue review of LT incentive comp issue discuss modeling issues |
| | | w/Karl N. Outline issues that will be brought forward and model impacts of issues. |
| 10/14/22 | 5.0 Hrs | Review outstanding COS issues to bring forward and modeling and |
| 10/11/22 | 3.0 1113 | comparing COS impacts. |
| 10/15/22 | 3.0 Hrs | Continue modeling outstanding COS issues and comparing COS impacts summary of issues, discuss issue approach w/ consultants. |
| 10/19/22 | 5.0 Hrs | Review and provide comments on D. Garrett depreciation analysis. |
| 10,17,22 | 2.0 1115 | Add issue expansion and address quantification of depreciation |
| 10/00/00 | ~ ~ ~ ~ | components. Pull up prior orders on specific depreciation issues. |
| 10/20/22 | 5.0 Hrs | Continue review and provide comments on D. Garrett depreciation. Review next draft on O'Donnell analyze market data and authorized |
| | | RQE's in 2022 by jurisdiction. Start review of Nalepa issues and cost |
| | | of service impacts. |
| 10/21/22 | 6.5 Hrs | Continue review of Nalepa issues and cost of service impacts. |
| | | Review of Garrett testimony and issues. Pull together all issue impacts in cost of service compare to Nalepa model results. |
| 10/22/22 | 5.0 Hrs | Continue review of consultant testimony of issues and prepare for |
| | 2.01113 | filing, discuss coordination issues w/ Molly M. |
| 10/24/22 | 5.5 Hrs | Continue review of consultant testimony of issues and prepare for |
| 10/05/00 | COIL | filing and quantification of issues in cost of service model. Finalize review of consultant testimony of issues and prepare for |
| 10/25/22 | 6.0 Hrs | filing. Begin draft outline for hearing on issues presented |
| 10/27/22 | 5.0 Hrs | Continue draft outline for hearing on issues presented. Start review |
| | | of intervener testimony identify issues to be addressed in cross- |
| | | rebuttal. |

| 10/28/22 | 6.0 Hrs | I Continue | |
|-------------|-----------|--|-------|
| | 0.01115 | Continue review of intervener testimony identify issues addressed in cross-rebuttal. Identify similar COS adjustmen identify different quantifications outline saints. | to l |
| 10/29/22 | | issues for hearing | ach c |
| 10/29/22 | 4.0 Hrs | Continue to identify aim 11. COO. | |
| | | quantifications outline going forward approach on issues for he | arin |
| 10/31/22 | | W/consultants | imon |
| 10/31/22 | 6.0 Hrs | Briefing outline for Cities in | ortin |
| | | arguments on same issues – pull supporting discovery respon | ses a |
| Total Hours | 108.0 Hrs | T-g-state extitotes, | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | l l | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

THE LAWTON LAW FIRM, P.C. November 2022 Invoice for October 2022 Services-PUC Docket No. 53719; Application of Entergy Texas, Inc. For Authority To Change Rates Molly Mayhall Vandervoort Draft & serve Cities 7th discovery request. Review requests and 10/4/22 1.6 Hrs responses. 10/6/22 1.4 Hrs Review and comments on draft ROE testimony. 10/7/22 0.4 Hrs Review discovery request and responses correspond w/consultant testimony issues. 10/10/22 Review discovery requests & responses and correspond w/ 1.3 Hrs consultants re rate case expense declarations, call w/ DL discuss testimony. 10/11/22 2.7 Hrs Review discovery requests & responses, correspond w/ consultants re: testimony, call w/ DL re: consultant testimony, review O'Donnell draft, call w/ O'Donnell on testimony. 10/12/22 Correspond w/ Citics re: rate ordinances, correspond w/ consultants 1.0 Hrs re: rate case expense declarations for N. Gordon. 10/13/22 Review discovery requests & responses, Draft Lawton Law Firm 2.3 Hrs rate case expense declaration, review testimony. 10/14/22 2.2 Hrs Draft Lawton Law Firm rate case expense declaration, review discovery, review Cities ordinances. 10/18/22 Review supplemental testimony, correspond w/ N. Gordon re: rate 4.3 Hrs case expense. Correspond w/consultants draft testimony. 10/19/22 5.2 Hrs Review and provide comments D. Garrett testimony, finalize Lawton Law Firm rate case expense declaration, correspond w/ consultants re: draft testimony 10/20/22 4.2 Hrs Review discovery responses. Review Nalepa & O'Donnell. Drafts provide comments & edits. 10/21/22 4.2 Hrs Review Cities rate ordinances, review discovery responses. Review Nalepa & M. Garrett testimony provide comments and edits. 10/22/22 2.4 Hrs 10/24/22 5.2 Hrs Call w/ DL and consultants re: testimony, review and provide comments on testimony 10/25/22 7.8 Hrs Attend prehearing via zoom, call w/ consultants re: testimony, review and suggested edits testimony. 10/26/22 7.4 Hrs Review, redact, finalize, and file Cities testimony. Review municipal rate ordinance actions, review discovery, review 10/27/22 1.8 Hrs draft response to SOAH Order 8, review and file workpapers 10/28/22 3.1 Hrs Status update to clients, correspond w/ consultants re: intervener testimony issues TOTAL 58.5 HRS

THE LAWTON LAW FIRM, P.C. 12600 Hill Country Blvd., Suite R 75 • Austin, Texas 78738 • 512/3220019 • Fax: 512/329 2604 December 2022 Invoice for November 2022 Services-PUC Docket No. 53719: Application of Entergy Texas, Inc. For Authority To Change Rates Daniel Lawton 114.0 Hrs \$340.00 \$38,760.00 Molly Mayhall Vandervoort 40.4 Hrs \$240.00 \$9,696.00 Total Fees \$48,456.00 **EXPENSES:** Total Fees and Expenses \$48,456.00 * Please see attachment {Attachment Letter}

December 2022 Invoice for November 2022 Services-PUC Docket No. 53719:

Application of Entergy Texas, Inc. For Authority To Change Rates

| | | Authority To Change Rates |
|----------------------|-----------|--|
| | | |
| | | Daniel Lawton |
| 11/1/22 | | |
| 11/1/22 | 4.5 Hrs | New data on ROE (Bulkley) summary analysis of authorized ROE' and equity ratios further analysis summary. |
| | | and equity ratios further analysis summary for bonus ROE and lov |
| 11/3/22 | 6.5 Hrs | The state of the s |
| | 0.5 ms | Continue summary for bonus ROE and low-rate issue |
| | | Conclination. Added review of other to the |
| 11/4/22 | 5.5 Hrs | low O&M. Summary exhibits and issue summary for hearing. Review and model Staff issues and Staff case quantification. |
| 11/8/22 | 6.5 Hrs | Continue |
| 11/0/0- | | quantification, quantification, |
| 11/9/22 | 6.0 Hrs | Review & summary of McHone decommissioning study & |
| | | comparison to prior case for plant analysis and some |
| 11/11/22 | 4.5.77 | |
| 11/11/22 | 4.5 Hrs | Continue review & summary of McHone decommissioning study & |
| | | I companion to brior case for plant analysis and it |
| | | evaluation. Begin evaluation of Watson life & salvage summary to prior estimony. |
| 11/14/22 | 3.5 Hrs | Continue evaluation of Watson life & salvage summary to prior |
| 4472 | | 1 Country, Julillary of Issues for hearing & helpfing |
| 11/15/22 | 5.0 Hrs | Summary of parties analyses, quantify and evaluate each harvest |
| 11/16/22 | 4:0.77 | Countait Overall case tiling |
| 11/10/22 | 4.0 Hrs | Start rebuttal review by issue and model impacts, develop issue |
| 11/17/22 | 6.0 Hrs | Summary by Wilness for hearing & or briefing |
| | 0.01113 | Continue rebuttal review by issue and model impacts, develop issue summary by witness for hearing &/ or briefing. |
| 11/18/22 | 7.5 Hrs | Continue rebuttal review by issue and model impacts, develop issue |
| 11/10/00 | | Summary by Witness for hearing &/ or briefing |
| 11/19/22 | 4.0 Hrs | Continue rebuttal review by issue and model impacts, dayolds issue |
| | | I summing by Witness for hearing &/ or briefing Evaluate cross |
| 11/21/22 | 7.0 Hrs | outline issues for hearing and witness cross. Develop and identify |
| | 7.0 1113 | documents for hearing exhibits. |
| 11/22/22 | 6.0 Hrs | Outline issues for hearing and witness cross. Develop and identify |
| | | documents for hearing exhibits. |
| 11/23/22 | 6.0 Hrs | Outline issues for hearing and witness cross Develop and identify |
| 11/25/22 | | documents for hearing exhibits. |
| 11/25/22 11/26/22 | 5.5 Hrs | Hearing prep of cross, exhibits, issue and briefing outlines. |
| | 5.0 Hrs | Hearing prep of cross, exhibits, issue and briefing outlines. |
| 11/28/22 | 7.0 Hrs | Hearing prep of cross, exhibits, issue and briefing outlines. |
| 11/29/22 | 7.0 Hrs | Hearing prep of cross, exhibits, issue and briefing outlines. |
| 11/30/22 | 7.0 Hrs | Hearing prep of cross, exhibits, issue and briefing outlines. |
| | | |
| Total Hours | 114.0 Hrs | |
| | | <u> </u> |

December 2022 Invoice for November 2022 Services-PUC Docket No. 53719; Application of Entergy Texas, Inc. For Authority To Change Rates Molly Mayhall Vandervoort

| | 1 ₂ · | |
|----------|------------------|---|
| 11/1/22 | 0.6 Hrs | Correspond w/ Cities re: proposed rate denial ordinance, review ETI's 1st RFI to Parties and correspond w/ consultants. |
| 11/2/22 | 1.0 Hrs | Review and comments on draft ROE testimony. |
| 11/4/22 | 0.7 Hrs | Call w/ ETI re: discovery issue, review Staff testimony filing, call w/ consultants Re: ETI 1st request. |
| 11/6/22 | 0.3 Hrs | Correspond w/ consultants Re: ETI 1st request. |
| 11/7/22 | 4.9 Hrs | Correspond w/ consultants Re: ETI 1st request, review discovery requests and responses, draft response to ETI 1st. |
| 11/8/22 | 3.9 Hrs | Reviewed testimony and discovery responses additional draft response to ETI 1st. |
| 11/10/22 | 2.3 Hrs | Review discovery requests & responses. |
| 11/14/22 | 1.6 Hrs | Call w/ consultants discuss proposed cross-rebuttal issues, review discovery requests & responses. |
| 11/15/22 | 3.7 Hrs | Review testimony and discovery responses, review draft cross- rebuttal testimony. |
| 11/16/22 | 2.3 Hrs | Edit and finalize cross-rebuttal testimony, draft response to Staff 1st RFI to interveners, review rebuttal and cross-rebuttal testimony. |
| 11/17/22 | 4.1 Hrs | Review rebuttal and cross-rebuttal testimony, attend video conference re: rate case expense procedure, review discovery requests and responses. |
| 11/18/22 | 2.4 Hrs | Call w/ DL discuss rebuttal testimony, review rebuttal and cross-rebuttal. |
| 11/21/22 | 0.9 Hrs | Attend video conference re: settlement issues. |
| 11/22/22 | 0.4 Hrs | Correspond w/ parties re: settlement issues. |
| 11/28/22 | 2.6 Hrs | Correspond w/ parties re: settlement issues, and pre-trial matters, and rate case expense matters. |
| 11/29/22 | 4.8 Hrs | Call w/ DL pretrial matters, review & file Cities RFI to ETI, review & comment on pretrial brief. |
| 11/30/22 | 3.9 Hrs | Review and comment on draft trial brief, review issues and discovery prepared for hearing |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| TOTAL | 40.4 HRS | |

12600 Hill Country Blvd., Suite R-275 • Austin, Texas 78738 • 512/322-0019 • Fax: 512/329-2604

January 2023 Invoice for December 2022 Services-PUC Docket No. 53719; Application of Entergy Texas, Inc. For Authority To Change Rates

| Daniel Lawton | 44.5 Hrs | \$340.00 | \$15,130.00 |
|--------------------------------|----------|----------|-------------|
| Molly Mayhall Vandervoort | 23.1 Hrs | \$240.00 | \$5,544.00 |
| Total Fees | | | \$20,674.00 |
| EXPENSES: | | | |
| Rainmaker Printing | | | \$727.22 |
| | | | |
| Total Fees and Expenses | | | \$21,401.22 |

^{*} Please see attachment {Attachment Letter}

THE LAWTON LAW FIRM, P.C. January 2023 Invoice for December 2022 Services-PUC Docket No. 53719; Application of Entergy Texas, Inc. For Authority To Change Rates Daniel Lawton 12/1/22 Continue outline of issues for hearing, witness cross and examination. Develop and finalize documents for hearing exhibits to 6.5 Hrs upload for hearing. Continue settlement discussions, analysis and issue quantification. 12/2/22 Continue outline of issues for hearing, witness cross and refine 6.5 Hrs examination. Develop and finalize documents for hearing exhibits to upload for hearing. Continue settlement discussions, analysis and issue quantification. 12/3/22 Continue outline of issues for hearing, witness cross and refine 7.0 Hrs examination. Develop and finalize documents for hearing exhibits to upload for hearing. Continue settlement discussions, analysis and issue quantification. 12/5/22 Cortinue outline of issues for hearing, witness cross and refine 5.5 Hrs examination. Develop and finalize documents for hearing exhibits to upload for hearing. Continue settlement discussions, analysis and issue quantification. 12/6/22 6.0 Hrs Address case settlement issues. 12/7/22 4.5 Hrs Address case settlement issues. 12/8/22 3.5 Hrs Settlement issues including impacts on allocation and rate design. 12/28/22 5.0 Hrs Summary of analyses and review of potential allocation alternative in settlement. Total Hours 44.5 Hrs

THE LAWTON LAW FIRM, P.C. January 2023 Invoice for December 2022 Services-PUC Docket No. 53719; Application of Entergy Texas, Inc. For Authority To Change Rates Molly Mayhall Vandervoort

| 10/1/00 | | |
|------------|--------------|--|
| 12/1/22 | 7.2 Hrs | Correspond w/ consultants and parties re: pretrial matters, attend |
| 12/2/22 | 7.7 Hrs | prehearing video conference, attend settlement video conference. |
| 12/2/22 | 7.7 mis | Correspond w/ parties re: pretrial matters, respond to TIEC 1st RFI, |
| | | attend videoconference on settlement, prepare and file Trial Exhibits |
| 12/5/22 | 3.6 Hrs | for hearing, trial preparation. |
| | 3.01118 | Videoconference on settlement, prepare cross exhibits – update |
| | | exhibit lists, review settlement term sheet, call w/ parties on settlement terms. |
| 12/6/22 | 1.4 Hrs | Attend video conference wil |
| 1 | 1.71115 | Attend video conference on settlement, correspond w/ consultants re; settlement status, calls discuss settlement |
| 12/7/22 | 1.1 Hrs | Correspond w/ consultants and the state of t |
| | 1.1 1113 | Correspond w/ consultants re; settlement allocation and rate design issues, review updated settlement term sheet. |
| 12/8/22 | 0.9 Hrs | Reviewed settlement and abatement, call w/ OPUC re: allocation & |
| | 0.5 1115 | rate design issues. |
| 12/9/22 | 0.4 Hrs | Correspond w/ parties settlement and abatement. |
| 12/12/22 | 0.2 Hrs | Correspond w/ OPLIC |
| 12/14/22 | | Correspond w/ OPUC re settlement and allocation. |
| | 0.3 Hrs | Correspond w/ Parties settlement and abatement issues. |
| 12/19/22 | 0.3 Hrs | Review proposed procedural schedule and issues re: rate case |
| | | expenses. |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| 1 | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| - <u> </u> | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| TOTAL | 22 1 TYD C | |
| TOTAL | 23.1 HRS | |



INVOICE

Invoice Number: Invoice Date:

INV1756459 12/7/2022

Account Number: Balance Due: RM-0235 \$727.22

Bill To:

Lawton Law Firm 12600 Hill Country Blvd. Suite R-275 Austin, TX 78738

Ship To: Lawton Law Firm 12600 Hill Country Blvd. Suite R-275 Austin, TX 78738

| 501110 | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|------------|---------|---------|---------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|---------------------|
| Sales Order N | Sales Order No P. O. Number 53719 | | <u> </u> | Ship Metho | xd | | Payment Terms | | | Payment Due | |
| | | | | | | | Net 30 | | | 3/7/2023 | |
| Remarks | | | | | | _ [- | S | ales Person | | | |
| Ordered by Molly | | | | | | | | | | Andy Gamez | |
| Item No | | Description | Ser | al No | Order | Ship | BkO | UM | Price | Disc | Amour |
| EDS:Digital Scan/Print:Digital BW Prints | Digital E | Black and White Prints | | | 2640.00 | 2640.00 | 0.0 | EACH | \$0.12 | 1 5.55 | \$316.80 |
| DM- 8Inding:GBC | GBC Bin | ding | | | 24.00 | 24.00 | 0.0 | EACH | 42.75 | | 1 |
| OM- Supplies:Flash Drives: IDM- GB | Flash Dr | ive | | | 3.00 | 3.00 | 9.0 | EACH | \$3.75 \$40.00 | | \$90.00 \$120.00 |
| DM-Labor DM- | Labor | | | | 2.50 | 2.50 | 0.0 | EACH | \$50.00 | | \$125.00 |
| Supplies:Envelopes | Envelope | es | | | 10.00 | 10.00 | 0.0 | EACH | \$2.00 | | \$20.00 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Please remit to: | | | | | | | | | | ubtotal | \$671,80 |
| EIN # 81-5293028 | | | | | | | | | | iscount | \$0.00 |
| O Box 791070 an Antonio, TX 78279 | | | | | | | | | | Freight | \$0.00 |
| mail: Receivables@ub | 90 000 | | | | | | | | | les Tax | \$55.42 |
| hone: 210-918-6000 | eo.com | | | | | | | | | e Total | \$727.22 |
| inline and Credit Card p | ayments | will no longer be accepted to pay | your involces. | | | | | | | ice Due | \$727.22 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Page 1 of 1 |

12600 Hill Country Blvd., Suite R-275 • Austin, Texas 78738 • 512/322-0019 • Fax: 512/329-2604

September 2019 Invoice-PUC Docket No. 49916; Application of Entergy Texas, Inc. For Authority to Reconcile Fuel and Purchase Power Costs Factor

| Daniel Lawton | 30.1 Hrs | \$340.00 | \$10,234.00 |
|--------------------------|----------|----------|-------------|
| Molly Mayhall Vandevoort | 3.4 Hrs | \$240.00 | \$816.00 |
| Total Fees | | | \$11,050.00 |
| EXPENSES: | | | |
| Total Fees and Expenses | | | \$11,050.00 |

^{*} Please see attachment {Attachment Letter}

INVOICE FOR SERVICES FOR September 2019 Invoice-PUC Docket No. 49916; Application of Entergy Texas, Inc. For Authority to Reconcile Fuel and Purchase Power Costs Factor

Daniel Lawton

| 5.2 Hrs | Review testimony and issue review and analysis of fuel costs |
|----------|---|
| 5.1 Hrs | Review testimony and continue issue review and analysis of fuel costs |
| 4.4 Hrs | Review testimony and continue issue review and analysis of fuel costs |
| 5.6 Hrs | Review testimony and continue issue review and analysis of fuel costs |
| 4.8 Hrs | Review historical period gas costs analysis of market to ETI |
| 5.0 Hrs | Continue review historical period gas costs analysis of market to ETI, review prior case issues on fuel costs |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| 30.1 HRS | |
| | |
| | 5.1 Hrs 4.4 Hrs 5.6 Hrs 4.8 Hrs |

INVOICE FOR SERVICES FOR September 2019 Invoice-PUC Docket No. 49916; Application of Entergy Texas, Inc. For Authority to Reconcile Fuel and Purchase Power Costs Factor

Molly Mayhall Vandervoort

| 9/23/19 | 1.0 Hrs | Draft & file intervention, draft letter to client |
|---------|---------|---|
| 9/24/19 | 1.5 Hrs | Review Application & testimony |
| 9/26/19 | 0.9 Hrs | Review Application & testimony |
| 20 | | |
| | | |
| 40. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Total | 3.4 Hrs | |

October 2019 Invoice-PUC Docket No. 49916; Application of Entergy Texas, Inc. For Authority to Reconcile Fuel and Purchase Power Costs Factor

| Total Fees and Expenses | | | \$9,960.00 |
|--------------------------|----------|----------|------------|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | Ψ2,200.00 |
| EXPENSES: | | | \$9,960,00 |
| Total Tees | | | \$.00 |
| Total Fees | | | Φ.00.00 |
| Molly Mayhall Vandevoort | 0.7 Hrs | \$240.00 | \$168.00 |
| Daniel Lawton | 28.8 Hrs | \$340.00 | \$9,792.00 |

^{*} Please see attachment {Attachment Letter}

INVOICE FOR SERVICES FOR October 2019 Invoice-PUC Docket No. 49916; Application of Entergy Texas, Inc. For Authority to Reconcile Fuel and Purchase Power Costs Factor

Daniel Lawton

| 10/2/19 | 3.2 Hrs | Review & analysis of purchase power elements | | |
|--|----------|--|--|--|
| 10/4/19 | 2.8 Hrs | Continued review & analysis of purchase power elements | | |
| 10/7/19 | 2.2 Hrs | Continued review, analysis, & model quantification of purchase power elements | | |
| 10/11/19 | 3.1 Hrs | Summary of purchase power issues & initial analysis of exclusion of capacity related portion of pp costs | | |
| 10/15/19 | 2.4 Hrs | Analysis & summary of MISO issues | | |
| 10/18/19 | 2.2 Hrs | Analysis & summary of MISO issues | | |
| 10/21/19 | 3.3 Hrs | Finalize & summary of MISO initial analysis | | |
| 10/24/19 | 2.3 Hrs | Review gas costs analysis | | |
| 10/28/19 | 3.2 Hrs | Continue gas costs analysis relative to market index | | |
| 10/29/19 | 2.2 Hrs | Review discovery provided re OPUC, continue gas costs analysis relative to market index | | |
| 10/30/19 | 1.9 Hrs | Summary of gas costs analysis relative to market index for initial review; summary of documents needed to continue fuel analysis | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Walter State of the State of th | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Total Hours | 28.8 HRS | | | |
| *************************************** | | | | |
| | | | | |

INVOICE FOR SERVICES FOR October 2019 Invoice-PUC Docket No. 49916; Application of Entergy Texas, Inc. For Authority to Reconcile Fuel and Purchase Power Costs Factor

Molly Mayhall Vandervoort

| 10/8/19 | 0.2 Hrs | Review proposed procedural schedule | |
|---------|---------|-------------------------------------|---|
| 10/9/19 | 0.5 Hrs | Attend pre-hearing conference | |
| | | | *************************************** |
| | | | ~~~ |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | · | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Total | 0.7 Hrs | | |

12600 Hill Country Blvd., Suite R-275 • Austin, Texas 78738 • 512/322-0019 • Fax: 512/329-2604

April 2020 Invoice-PUC Docket No. 49916; Application of Entergy Texas, Inc. For Authority to Reconcile Fuel and Purchase Power Costs Factor

| | C Fuer and Turen | ase Fower Costs Fa | ector |
|---------------------------|------------------|--------------------|------------|
| Daniel Lawton | 10.0 Hrs | \$340.00 | \$3,400.00 |
| Molly Mayhall Vandervoort | 6.0 Hrs | \$240.00 | 1,440.00 |
| Total Fees | | | \$4,840.00 |
| EXPENSES: | | | |
| | | | |
| Total Fees and Expenses | | | \$4,840.00 |

^{*} Please see attachment {Attachment Letter}

April 2020 Invoice-PUC Docket No. 49916; Application of Entergy Texas, Inc. For Authority to Reconcile Fuel and Purchase Power Costs Factor

Daniel Lawton

| 4/16/20 | 2.2 Hrs | Review rebuttal testimony on issues |
|-------------|----------|---|
| 4/17/20 | 1.2 Hrs | Review rebuttal testimony on issues |
| 4/20/20 | 1.6 Hrs | Review rebuttal testimony on issues summary of potential resolution, also analyzed rebuttal cost/benefit analysis |
| 4/23/20 | 1.5 Hrs | Research past Spindletop issues & resolutions tied to fuel |
| 4/27/20 | 2.3 Hrs | Analysis of issues for settlement. Call w/ ETI counsel call w/ parties |
| 4/30/20 | 1.2 Hrs | Call w/ ETI counsel call w/ parties re settlement, call w/ ETI |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Total Hours | 10.0 HRS | |
| | | |
| | | |

<u>April 2020 Invoice-PUC Docket No. 49916; Application of Entergy Texas, Inc. For</u> <u>Authority to Reconcile Fuel and Purchase Power Costs Factor</u>

Molly Mayhall Vandervoort

| 4/8/20 | 0.4 Hrs | Filed & served notice for appearance at prehearing conference |
|---------------|----------|--|
| 4/14/20 | 1.5 Hrs | Review OPUC Norwood issue summary |
| 4/17/20 | 1.0 Hrs | Review rebuttal on Norwood issue |
| 4/22/20 | 1.7 Hrs | Review Rebuttal on Norwood issues |
| 4/23/20 | 0.8 Hrs | Discuss issue/ settlement w/ DL |
| 4/30/20 | 0.6 Hrs. | Participated in teleconference w/ parties regarding settlement |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| 705 (1 | | |
| <u>T</u> otal | 6.0 Hrs | |

12600 Hill Country Blvd., Suite R-275 • Austin, Texas 78738 • 512/322-0019 • Fax: 512/329-2604

June 2020 Invoice-PUC Docket No. 49916; Application of Entergy Texas, Inc. For
Authority to Reconcile Fuel and Purchase Power Costs Factor

| Daniel Lawton | 3.8 Hrs | \$340.00 | 1,292.00 |
|---------------------------|---------|----------|------------|
| Molly Mayhall Vandervoort | 1.8 Hrs | \$240.00 | \$432.00 |
| Total Fees | | | 1,724.00 |
| EXPENSES: | | | |
| | | | |
| Total Fees and Expenses | | | \$1,724.00 |

^{*} Please see attachment {Attachment Letter}

June 2020 Invoice-PUC Docket No. 49916; Application of Entergy Texas, Inc. For Authority to Reconcile Fuel and Purchase Power Costs Factor

Daniel Lawton

| 5/27/20 | 2.3 Hrs | Review settlement documents |
|--------------|--------------|---|
| 5/28/20 | 1.5 Hrs | Review settlement documents issue summary for clien |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Total Hours | 3.8 HRS | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

<u>June 2020 Invoice-PUC Docket No. 49916; Application of Entergy Texas, Inc. For Authority to Reconcile Fuel and Purchase Power Costs Factor</u>

Molly Mayhall Vandervoort

| 5/1/20 | 0.5 Hrs | Participate in teleconference to discuss settlement |
|-------------|---------|---|
| 5/5/20 | 0.3 Hrs | Participate in teleconference to discuss settlement |
| 5/29/20 | 1.0 Hrs | Review draft settlement documents |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Total | 1.8 Hrs | |

RESOLVE UTILITY CONSULTING, PLLC

SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-22-04394 PUC DOCKET NO. 53719

APPLICATION OF ENTERGY TEXAS, § BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE OF INC. FOR AUTHORITY TO CHANGE § ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS RATES

RATE CASE EXPENSE DECLARATION OF DAVID GARRETT

STATE OF OKLAHOMA §
COUNTY OF OKLAHOMA §

- My name is David J. Garrett. I am over eighteen years of age and am not disqualified from making this declaration. I declare under penalty of perjury that the information in this declaration provided under Chapter 132 Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code is true and correct.
- 2. I am the Managing Member of Resolve Utility Consulting PLLC (hereinafter "Resolve"). My business address is 101 Park Avenue, Suite 1125, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73102.
- 3. Resolve has been retained to provide expert analysis and testimony for certain Cities served by Entergy Texas, Inc. ("ETI") in this base rate proceeding filed by ETI at the Public Utility Commission of Texas, Docket No. 53719.
- 4. This declaration addresses the necessity for and reasonableness of Resolve's fee-related charges through December 31, 2022, and estimated charges through the end of these proceedings.
- 5. Resolve's actual fees through December 31, 2022, correspond to time spent reviewing and analyzing ETI's application, developing discovery requests, reviewing discovery responses, and preparing pre-filed written testimony. The hours charged are summarized in the following table and the statements for services are attached to this declaration.

RESOLVE'S EXPENSES JULY 1, 2022 THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2022

| CONSULTANTS | HOURLY RATE | HOURS | ACTUAL TOTAL |
|---------------|-------------|--------|--------------------|
| David Garrett | \$225 | 215.25 | \$48,431.25 |
| | | | |
| Total Actual | | | <u>\$48,431.25</u> |

6. My billing rate is \$225 per hour. This is my normal billing rate that I charge to all clients for this type of work in rate proceedings. I am familiar with the hourly rates charged by other consultants to perform similar services. Given that I have more than 12 years of utility rate regulatory experience, my billing rate is reasonable.

- 7. No Resolve personnel billed in excess of 12 hours on any given day to this case. No Resolve personnel incurred any airline, lodging, or meal expenses. No Resolve personnel charged for any luxury items. There are no instances of double billing for Resolve's services.
- 8. No additional fees or expenses are anticipated through the completion of this case unless any issues arise that require further litigation or appeal.
- 9. Based on my experience relating to analysis of rate proceeding matters and the reasonableness of rate case expenses before the Public Utility Commission of Texas, I conclude that: (1) Resolve's hourly rates are reasonable; and (2) the 220 actual and estimated hours in this case are both reasonable and necessary.

Further Declarant Says Not.

 $_{Dated\;this}$ 26th $_{dav\;of}$ January 2023

David J. Garrett

Managing Member, Resolve Utility Consulting

12 July 1



Bill To

Resolve Utility Consulting PLLC

101 Park Avenue Suite 1125 Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73102 (405) 249-1050

INVOICE

| Bill To Lawton Law Firm, P.C. | Invoice# Invoice Date Project Name | | INV-000617 | |
|---|--|--------|-----------------------------|--|
| 12600 Hill Country Blvd. | | | 09/05/22 | |
| Suite R275 Austin, TX 78738 | | | ETI Rate Case, PUC 53719 | |
| | | | | |
| Task & Date | Hours | Rate | Amount | |
| Review testimony, exhibits, and workpapers 07/09/22 | 4.50 | 225.00 | 1,012.50 | |
| Review testimony, depreciation study, workpapers, and review life and net salvage analyses | 5.25 | 225.00 | 1,181.25 | |
| Review testimony, depreciation study, workpapers, and review life and net salvage analyses | 5,00 | 225.00 | 1,125.00 | |
| Review testimony, exhibits, and workpapers 07/22/22 | 4.75 | 225.00 | 1,068.75 | |
| Review testimony, depreciation study, workpapers, and review life and net salvage analyses | 5.50 | 225.00 | 1,237.50 | |
| Review service life, net salvage, and reserve analyses, and review depreciation study and testimony | 4.50 | 225.00 | 1,012.50 | |

| Task & Date | Hours | Rate | Amount | |
|--|-------|----------|------------|--|
| Review service life, net salvage, and reserve analyses, and review depreciation study and testimony 07/29/22 | 5.25 | 225.00 | 1,181.25 | |
| Review testimony, depreciation study, workpapers, and review life and net salvage analyses 07/30/22 | 5.00 | 225.00 | 1,125.00 | |
| Total Hours 39.75 | | Total | \$8,943.75 | |
| | Bala | ance Due | \$8,943.75 | |



Bill To

Resolve Utility Consulting PLLC

INV-000620

101 Park Avenue Suite 1125 Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73102 (405) 249-1050

INVOICE

Invoice#

Lawton Law Firm, P.C. 12600 Hill Country Blvd. Invoice Date 09/05/22 Suite R275 ETI Rate Case, PUC Project Name Austin, TX 78738 53719 Task & Date Hours Rate **Amount** Review service life, net salvage, and reserve analyses, and 5.50 225.00 1,237.50 review depreciation study and testimony 08/02/22 Review testimony, depreciation study, workpapers, and 5.25 225.00 1,181.25 review life and net salvage analyses 08/04/22 Review and organize actuarial data, and review salvage and 5.75 225.00 1,293.75 reserve analyses and testimony 08/08/22 Review and organize actuarial data, and review salvage and 5.00 225.00 1,125.00 reserve analyses and testimony 08/11/22 Review and draft discovery, review depreciation study and 4.75 1,068.75 225.00 testimony 08/15/22 Review and organize actuarial data, and review salvage and 5.50 225.00 1,237.50 reserve analyses and testimony 08/16/22

| Task & Date | Hours | Rate | Amount |
|--|-------|-----------|-------------|
| Review service life, net salvage, and reserve analyses, and review depreciation study and testimony 08/22/22 | 5.75 | 225.00 | 1,293.75 |
| Review testimony, depreciation study, workpapers, and review life and net salvage analyses | 5.25 | 225.00 | 1,181.25 |
| Review service life, net salvage, and reserve analyses, and review depreciation study and testimony 08/27/22 | 2.25 | 225.00 | 506.25 |
| Review and organize actuarial data, and review salvage and reserve analyses and testimony 08/30/22 | 5.50 | 225.00 | 1,237.50 |
| Total Hours 50.50 | | Total | \$11,362.50 |
| | Ва | lance Due | \$11,362.50 |



Bill To

Resolve Utility Consulting PLLC

INV-000625

101 Park Avenue Suite 1125 Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73102 (405) 249-1050

INVOICE

Invoice#

Lawton Law Firm, P.C. 12600 Hill Country Blvd. Invoice Date 10/04/22 Suite R275 ETI Rate Case, PUC Project Name Austin, TX 78738 53719 Task & Date Hours Rate **Amount** Review and organize actuarial data, and review salvage and 4.75 225.00 1,068.75 reserve analyses and testimony 09/01/22 Review service life, net salvage, and reserve analyses, and 5.00 225.00 1,125.00 review depreciation study and testimony 09/05/22 Review and organize actuarial data, and review salvage and 4.50 225.00 1,012.50 reserve analyses and testimony 09/08/22 Review and organize actuarial data, and review salvage and 5.25 1,181.25 225.00 reserve analyses and testimony 09/13/22 956.25 Review and organize actuarial data, and review salvage and 4.25 225.00 reserve analyses and testimony 09/15/22 Review testimony, depreciation study, workpapers, and 4.00 225.00 900.00 review life and net salvage analyses 09/16/22

| Task & Date | Hours | Rate | Amount |
|---|-------|-----------|-------------|
| Review and conduct remaining life and net salvage analyses 09/19/22 | 5.50 | 225.00 | 1,237.50 |
| Review and revise depreciation calculations and confer with counsel 09/20/22 | 1.75 | 225.00 | 393.75 |
| Review and conduct remaining life and net salvage analyses 09/26/22 | 3.75 | 225.00 | 843.75 |
| Review and conduct remaining life and net salvage analyses 09/27/22 | 4.25 | 225.00 | 956.25 |
| Review and conduct remaining life and net salvage analyses 09/29/22 | 3.50 | 225.00 | 787.50 |
| Conduct and review depreciation calculations and confer with experts 09/30/22 | 4.50 | 225.00 | 1,012.50 |
| Total Hours 51.00 | | Total | \$11,475.00 |
| | Ва | lance Due | \$11,475.00 |



Bill To

10/13/22

10/14/22

Draft testimony, build workpapers, and conduct analyses

Resolve Utility Consulting PLLC

INV-000643

101 Park Avenue Suite 1125 Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73102 (405) 249-1050

INVOICE

Invoice#

4.75

225.00

Lawton Law Firm, P.C. 12600 Hill Country Blvd. Invoice Date 11/03/22 Suite R275 ETI Rate Case, PUC Project Name Austin, TX 78738 53719 Task & Date Hours Rate **Amount** Review and conduct remaining life and net salvage analyses 2.25 225.00 506.25 10/01/22 Review and organize actuarial data, and review salvage and 2.50 225.00 562.50 reserve analyses and testimony 10/03/22 Review and conduct remaining life and net salvage analyses 2.75 225.00 618.75 10/06/22 Review and organize actuarial data, and review salvage and 4.50 225.00 1,012.50 reserve analyses and testimony 10/07/22 Draft testimony, build workpapers, and conduct analyses 5.25 225.00 1,181.25 10/11/22 Draft testimony, build workpapers, and conduct analyses 5.75 225.00 1,293.75

1,068.75

| Task & Date | Hours | Rate | Amount |
|---|-------|-----------|-------------|
| Draft testimony, build workpapers, and conduct analyses 10/15/22 | 5.00 | 225.00 | 1,125.00 |
| Draft testimony, build workpapers, and conduct analyses | 6.25 | 225.00 | 1,406.25 |
| Draft testimony, build workpapers, and submit draft for review 10/18/22 | 5.50 | 225.00 | 1,237.50 |
| Review and revise testimony and workpapers, confer with experts, and conduct analyses | 3.25 | 225.00 | 731.25 |
| Confer with counsel and experts, and review and revise testimony 10/24/22 | 2.50 | 225.00 | 562.50 |
| Review intervenor filed testimony 10/29/22 | 1.75 | 225.00 | 393.75 |
| Total Hours 52.00 | | Total | \$11,700.00 |
| | Ва | lance Due | \$11,700.00 |



Bill To

Lawton Law Firm, P.C.

Resolve Utility Consulting PLLC

INV-000651

101 Park Avenue Suite 1125 Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73102 (405) 249-1050

INVOICE

Invoice#

Balance Due

12/01/22 12600 Hill Country Blvd. Invoice Date Suite R275 ETI Rate Case, PUC Project Name Austin, TX 78738 53719 Task & Date Hours Rate Amount Review filed testimony and exhibits, and review discovery and 2.25 225.00 506.25 workpapers 11/03/22 2.75 Review rebuttal testimony and exhibits 225.00 618.75 11/17/22 Review rebuttal testimony and exhibits, and review 3.25 225.00 731.25 workpapers and depreciation calculations 11/22/22 Review testimony and exhibits and prepare for hearing 2.50 225.00 562.50 11/30/22 Total Hours 10.75 Total \$2,418.75

\$2,418.75



Bill To

Resolve Utility Consulting PLLC

INV-000678

101 Park Avenue Suite 1125 Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73102 (405) 249-1050

INVOICE

Invoice#

Lawton Law Firm, P.C. 01/16/23 12600 Hill Country Blvd. Invoice Date Suite R275 ETI Rate Case, PUC Project Name Austin, TX 78738 53719 Task & Date Hours Rate Amount Review testimony, workpapers, and discovery, and prepare 3.50 225.00 787.50 for hearing 12/01/22 675.00 Review testimony, workpapers, and discovery, and prepare 3.00 225.00 for hearing 12/02/22 Review testimony, workpapers, and discovery, and prepare 2.25 225.00 506.25 for hearing 12/03/22 Review testimony, workpapers, and discovery, and prepare 2.50 225.00 562.50 for hearing 12/05/22 Total Hours 11.25 Total \$2,531.25

\$2,531.25

Balance Due

GARRETT GROUP CONSULTING, INC.

SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-22-04394 PUC DOCKET NO. 53719

APPLICATION OF ENTERGY TEXAS, § BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE OF INC. FOR AUTHORITY TO CHANGE § ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS RATES

RATE CASE EXPENSE DECLARATION OF MARK E. GARRETT

ş

§

STATE OF OKLAHOMA

COUNTY OF OKLAHOMA

- My name is Mark E. Garrett. I am over eighteen years of age and am not disqualified from making this declaration. I declare under penalty of perjury that the information in this declaration provided under Chapter 132 Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code is true and correct.
- 2. I am President of Garrett Group Consulting, Inc. ("GGCI") My business address is 4028 Oakdale Farm Circle, Edmond OK 73013.
- 3. Garrett Group has been retained to provide expert analysis and testimony for certain Cities served by Entergy Texas, Inc. ("ETI") in this base rate proceeding filed by ETI at the Public Utility Commission of Texas, Docket No. 53719.
- 4. This declaration addresses the necessity for and reasonableness of GGCI's fee-related charges through December 31, 2022, and estimated charges through the end of these proceedings.
- 5. GGCI's actual fees through December 31, 2022, correspond to time spent reviewing and analyzing ETI's application, developing discovery requests, reviewing discovery responses, and preparing pre-filed written testimony, reviewing the testimony of other intervenors, PUC staff, discovery on other parties, analysis for rebuttal and cross-rebuttal, and preparation for the hearing. The hours charged are summarized in the following table and the statements for services are attached to this declaration.

GGCI's EXPENSES
JULY 1, 2022 THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2022

| CONSULTANTS | HOURLY RATE | HOURS | ACTUAL TOTAL |
|-----------------|-------------|-------|-----------------|
| Mark Garrett | \$270 | 216.5 | \$58,455 |
| Edwin Farrar | \$175 | 98.0 | \$17,150 |
| Heather Garrett | \$200 | 73 | \$14,600 |
| Garry Garrett | \$125 | 28 | \$3,500 |
| Total Actual | - | | \$93,705 |

- 6. My billing rate is \$270 per hour. This is my normal billing rate for this type of work in rate case proceedings. I am familiar with the hourly rates charged by other consultants to perform similar services. Given my qualifications and more than 30 years of utility rate regulatory experience, my billing rate is reasonable.
- 7. Assisting me in this proceeding are: (a) Edwin C. Farrar, a CPA with over 35 years of regulatory experience; (b) Heather A. Garrett an attorney/CPA with over 20 years of regulatory experience; and (c) Garry J. Garrett, a Research Analyst with over 20 years of regulatory experience. Each of these individuals work under my direction and supervision.
- 8. No GGCI personnel billed in excess of 12 hours on any given day to this case. No GGCI personnel incurred any airline, lodging, or meal expenses. No GGCI personnel charged for any luxury items. There are no instances of double billing for GGCI's services.
- 9. No additional fees or expenses are anticipated through the completion of the case unless any issues arise that require further litigation or appeal.
- 10. Based on my experience relating to analysis of rate proceeding matters and the reasonableness of rate case expenses before the Public Utility Commission of Texas, I conclude that: (1) GGCI's hourly rates are reasonable; and (2) the \$93,705.00 total of actual fees in this case are both reasonable and necessary.

Further Declarant Says Not. January 16, 2023

Mark E. Garrett

GARRETT GROUP CONSULTING, INC. 4028 OAKDALE FARM CIRCLE EDMOND, OK 73013

TELEPHONE (405) 203-5415

E-MAIL: MGARRETT@GARRETTGROUPLLC,COM

November 14, 2022

Mr. Daniel J. Lawton The Lawton Law Firm 12600 Hill Country Blvd Ste R-275 Austin, TX 78738

RE: Entergy Texas, Inc. - Docket No. 53719

Our invoice for professional services for October 2022 in connection with the above-referenced case follows:

I. Professional Services:

| III. | I. Total Invoice: | | | | | | \$32,850.00 |
|------|-------------------|--|------|----------|----------|----------|-------------|
| 11. | Expe | enses: | | | | | \$0.00 |
| | D. | Garry J. Garrett (Details in Attachment D) | 6.0 | hours at | \$125.00 | per hour | \$750.00 |
| | C. | Heather Garrett, JD, CPA — (Details in Attachment C) | 26.5 | hours at | \$200.00 | per hour | \$5,300.00 |
| | B. | Edwin Farrar, CPA — (Details in Attachment B) | 49.0 | hours at | \$175.00 | per hour | \$8,575.00 |
| | A. | Mark Garrett, JD, CPA — (Details in Attachment A) | 67.5 | hours at | \$270.00 | per hour | \$18,225.00 |

We appreciate the opportunity to work with you on this case. Please call me if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

Mark E. Garre Attachments

1. Gan I

Attachment A Mark Garrett

| S'L9 | fatoT | |
|-------|---|------------|
| 2.0 | Review testimony filed by other parties; | 10/31/2022 |
| 0.4 | Review testimony filed by other parties; | 10/28/2022 |
| 0.2 | Review testimony filed by other parties; | 10/27/2022 |
| 0.8 | Review testimony filed by other parties; | 10/56/2022 |
| 0.4 | Work on issues; draft testimony and exhibits; | 10/25/2022 |
| 2.8 | Work on issues; draft testimony and exhibits; | 10/24/2022 |
| 0.2 | Work on issues; draft testimony and exhibits; | 10/23/2022 |
| 5.1 | Work on issues; draft testimony and exhibits; | 10/22/2022 |
| \$°\$ | Work on issues; draft testimony and exhibits; | 10/21/2022 |
| 0.9 | Work on issues; draft testimony and exhibits; | 10/20/2022 |
| 0.4 | Work on issues; draft testimony and exhibits; | 10/16/2022 |
| 0'7 | Work on issues; draft testimony and exhibits; | 10/18/2022 |
| 0.2 | Work on issues; draft testimony and exhibits; | 10/17/2022 |
| 0.4 | Develop issues; | 10/14/2022 |
| 0.4 | Review testimony and schedules; develop issues; | 10/13/2022 |
| 0.2 | Develop issues; | 7202/11/01 |
| 2.5 | Review discovery; Develop issues; | 10/10/2022 |
| 0.4 | Develop issues; | 10/9/5022 |
| 0.4 | Review RFIs; develop issues; | 10/3/2022 |
| 3.5 | Develop issues; | 10/1/5022 |
| Hours | syse'T | SəteQ |

Attachment B Edwin Farrar

| Dates | Tasks | Hours |
|------------|--|-------|
| 10/3/2022 | Review exhibits; | 1.0 |
| 10/4/2022 | Perform analysis; | 2.0 |
| 10/5/2022 | Perform analysis; | 2.0 |
| 10/7/2022 | Review testimony and exhibits, draft testimony; | 4.0 |
| 10/8/2022 | Review testimony and exhibits, draft testimony; | 1.0 |
| 10/9/2022 | Review testimony and exhibits, draft testimony; | 6.0 |
| 10/10/2022 | Review testimony and exhibits, draft testimony; | 2.0 |
| 10/11/2022 | Review testimony and exhibits, draft testimony; | 2.0 |
| 10/12/2022 | Review testimony and exhibits, draft testimony; | 5.0 |
| 10/13/2022 | Review testimony and exhibits, draft testimony; | 5.0 |
| 10/14/2022 | Review testimony and exhibits, draft testimony; | 3.0 |
| 10/15/2022 | Review testimony and exhibits, draft testimony; | 1.0 |
| 10/17/2022 | Review testimony and exhibits, perform analysis; | 3.0 |
| 10/18/2022 | Review testimony and exhibits, perform analysis; | 9.0 |
| 10/19/2022 | Review testimony, exhibits and discovery; | 1.0 |
| 10/21/2022 | Perform analysis; | 2.0 |
| | Total | 49.0 |

Attachment C Heather Garrett

| Dates | Tasks | Hours |
|------------|--------------------------------------|-------|
| 10/10/2022 | Review discovery; | 1.5 |
| 10/13/2022 | Work on testimony draft; | 3.5 |
| 10/15/2022 | Work on testimony draft; | 2.5 |
| 10/16/2022 | Work on testimony draft; | 2.0 |
| 10/17/2022 | Work on schedules; | 1.0 |
| 10/20/2022 | Review workpapers and exhibits; | 1.5 |
| 10/21/2022 | Work on testimony draft; | 6.5 |
| 10/24/2022 | Revisions to testimony and exhibits; | 4.5 |
| 10/25/2022 | Revisions to testimony and exhibits; | 3.5 |
| | Total | 26.5 |

Attachment D Garry J. Garrett

| Dates | Tasks | Hours |
|------------|--------------------|-------|
| 10/3/2022 | Review discovery; | 1.5 |
| 10/8/2022 | Issue development; | 1.5 |
| 10/10/2022 | Review discovery; | 2.0 |
| 10/11/2022 | Review discovery; | 1.0 |
| | Totals | 6.0 |

GARRETT GROUP CONSULTING, INC. 4028 OAKDALE FARM CIRCLE EDMOND, OK 73013

TELEPHONE (405) 203-5415

E-MAIL: MGARRETT@GARRETTGROUPLLC.COM

December 7, 2022

Mr. Daniel J. Lawton The Lawton Law Firm 12600 Hill Country Blvd Ste R-275 Austin, TX 78738

RE: Entergy Texas, Inc. - Docket No. 53719

Our invoice for professional services for November 2022 in connection with the above-referenced case follows:

I. Professional Services:

| | A. | Mark Garrett, JD, CPA — (Details in Attachment A) | 29.5 | hours at | \$270.00 | per hour | \$7,965.00 |
|------|---------------------|--|------|----------|----------|----------|-------------|
| | В. | Edwin Farrar, CPA — (Details in Attachment B) | 6.0 | hours at | \$175.00 | per hour | \$1,050.00 |
| | C. | Heather Garrett, JD, CPA — (Details in Attachment C) | 3.5 | hours at | \$200.00 | per hour | \$700.00 |
| | D. | Garry J. Garrett (Details in Attachment D) | 4.0 | hours at | \$125.00 | per hour | \$500.00 |
| 11. | Expe | enses: | | | | | \$0.00 |
| III. | III. Total Invoice: | | | | | | \$10,215.00 |

We appreciate the opportunity to work with you on this case. Please call me if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

Attachments

Attachment A Mark Garrett

| Dates | Tasks | Hours |
|------------|--|-------|
| 11/3/2022 | Review Staff testimony and exhibits; | 3.5 |
| 11/7/2022 | Review Staff testimony and exhibits; | 3.5 |
| 11/17/2022 | Review rebuttal and intervenor testimony and exhibits; | 4.0 |
| 11/18/2022 | Review rebuttal and intervenor testimony and exhibits; | 3.5 |
| 11/22/2022 | Review rebuttal and intervenor testimony and exhibits; | 3.5 |
| 11/23/2022 | Review testimony and exhibits; review discovery; | 4.0 |
| 11/29/2022 | Trial preparation; | 3.5 |
| 11/30/2022 | Trial preparation; | 4.0 |
| | Total | 29.5 |

Attachment B Edwin Farrar

| Dates | Tasks | Hours |
|------------|---|-------|
| 11/28/2022 | Review rebuttal testimony and exhibits; | 4.0 |
| 11/29/2022 | Review rebuttal testimony; draft discovery; | 2.0 |
| | | |
| | Total | 6.0 |

Attachment C Heather Garrett

| Dates | Tasks | Hours |
|------------|--|-------|
| 11/21/2022 | Review rebuttal testimony and schedules; | 1.5 |
| 11/23/2022 | Work on trial preparation; | 1.0 |
| 11/29/2022 | Work on trial preparation; | 1.0 |
| | | |
| | Total | 3.5 |

Attachment D Garry J. Garrett

| Dates | Tasks | Hours |
|------------|--------------------|-------|
| 11/1/2022 | Work on discovery; | 1.0 |
| 11/18/2022 | Work on discovery; | 1.5 |
| 11/29/2022 | Work on discovery; | 1.5 |
| | Total | 4.0 |

GARRETT GROUP CONSULTING, INC. 4028 OAKDALE FARM CIRCLE EDMOND, OK 73013

TELEPHONE (405) 203-5415

E-MAIL: MGARRETT@GARRETTGROUPLLC.COM

January 15, 2023

Mr. Daniel J. Lawton The Lawton Law Firm 12600 Hill Country Blvd Ste R-275 Austin, TX 78738

RE: Entergy Texas, Inc. - Docket No. 53719

Our invoice for professional services for December 2022 in connection with the above-referenced case follows:

I. Professional Services:

| | A. | Mark Garrett, JD, CPA — (Details in Attachment A) | 13.5 | hours at \$270.00 per hour | \$3,645.00 |
|------|------|---|------|----------------------------|------------|
| | В. | Edwin Farrar, CPA — (Details in Attachment B) | 1.0 | hours at \$175.00 per hour | \$175.00 |
| II. | Expo | enses: | | | |
| III. | Tota | ıl Invoice: | | | \$3,820,00 |

We appreciate the opportunity to work with you on this case. Please call me if you should have any questions.

Sincerely,

Attachments

Attachment A Mark Garrett

| Dates | Tasks | Hours |
|-----------|--------------------|-------|
| 12/1/2022 | Trial preparation; | 2.5 |
| 12/2/2022 | Trial preparation; | 2.0 |
| 12/3/2022 | Trial preparation; | 3.5 |
| 12/4/2022 | Trial preparation; | 3.5 |
| 12/5/2022 | Trial preparation; | 2.0 |
| | Total | 13.5 |

Attachment B Edwin Farrar

| Dates | Tasks | Hours |
|-----------|---|-------|
| 12/1/2022 | Review exhibits and testimony references; | 1.0 |
| | | |
| | Total | 1.0 |

NOVA ENERGY CONSULTANTS, INC.

SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-22-04394 PUC DOCKET NO. 53719

APPLICATION OF ENTERGY TEXAS, INC. FOR AUTHORITY TO CHANGE RATES

BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

RATE CASE EXPENSE DECLARATION OF NOVA ENERGY CONSULTANTS, INC.

§ §

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA
COUNTY OF WAKE

- My name is Kevin O'Donnell. I am over eighteen years of age and am not disqualified from making this declaration. I declare under penalty of perjury that the information in this declaration provided under Chapter 132 Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code is true and correct.
- 2. I am a financial analyst, with Nova Energy Consultants, Inc., where I serve as President. My business address is 1350-101 SE Maynard Rd., Cary, NC 27511.
- 3. Nova Energy Consultants, Inc. has been retained to provide expert analysis and testimony for certain Cities served by Entergy Texas, Inc. ("ETI") in this base rate proceeding filed by ETI at the Public Utility Commission of Texas, Docket No. 53719.
- 4. This declaration addresses the necessity for and reasonableness of Nova Energy Consultants, Inc.'s fee-related charges through December 31, 2022, and estimated charges through the end of these proceedings.
- 5. Nova Energy Consultants, Inc.'s actual fees through December 31, 2022, correspond to time spent reviewing and analyzing ETI's application, developing discovery requests, reviewing discovery responses, preparing pre-filed written testimony, responding to discovery requests, reviewing the testimony of other intervenors, PUC staff, analysis of cross-rebuttal and rebuttal testimony, discovery on other parties, and preparation for the hearing. The hours charged are summarized in the following table and the statements for services are attached to this declaration.

NOVA ENERGY CONSULTANTS, INC.'s EXPENSES JULY 1, 2022 THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2022

| CONSULTANTS | HOURLY RATE | <u>HOURS</u> | ACTUAL TOTAL |
|-----------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Kevin O'Donnell | \$235 | 77 | \$18,095 |
| Total Actual | | | <u>\$18,095</u> |

6. My billing rate is \$235 per hour. This is my normal billing rate that I charge to all clients for this type of work in rate proceedings. I am familiar with the hourly rates charged by other consultants to perform similar services. Given that I have more than 37 years of

utility rate regulatory experience, my billing rate is reasonable.

- 7. No Nova Energy Consultants, Inc. personnel billed in excess of 12 hours on any given day to this case. No Nova Energy Consultants, Inc. personnel incurred any airline, lodging, or meal expenses. No Nova Energy Consultants, Inc. personnel charged for any luxury items. There are no instances of double billing for Nova Energy Consultants, Inc.'s services.
- 8. No additional fees or expenses are anticipated through the completion of the case unless any issues arise that require further litigation or appeal.
- 9. Based on my experience relating to analysis of rate proceeding matters and the reasonableness of rate case expenses before the Public Utility Commission of Texas, I conclude that: (1) Nova Energy Consultants, Inc.'s hourly rates are reasonable; and (2) the 77 hours of services provided in this case are both reasonable and necessary.

Further Declarant Says Not.

Dated: January 18, 2023

Kevin O'Donnell

Kem O Hornell

Nova Energy Consultants, Inc.

1350 S.E. Maynard Rd., Suite 101 Cary, NC 27511

Invoice

| DATE | INVOICE # | | |
|------------|-----------|--|--|
| 10/13/2022 | 2022069 | | |

| Client Name | |
|---|--|
| Law Office of Daniel J. Lawton 12600 Hill Country Blvd. Suite R-275 Austin, TX 78738 | |

| | | DUE DATE | PROJECT |
|--------------------------|---------------|------------|------------|
| | | 11/30/2022 | |
| HOURS | DESCRIPTION | RATE | AMOUNT |
| 34 | ETI Rate Case | 235.00 | 7,990.00 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Thank you for your busin | ess. | Total | |
| | | I Otal | \$7,990.00 |

Time Sheet for Kevin W. O'Donnell ETI - Cities

| E11 - Cities | | | | |
|--------------|-----|----|----------|---|
| Month | Day | | Time | Work Done |
| | | | | |
| July | 20 | | 7 | review of case material |
| July | 21 | | 6.5 | model prep |
| July | 22 | | 4.75 | review of case material and cleaning up model |
| July | 29 | | 1.75 | model update |
| Aug | 8 | | 1.75 | model update |
| Aug | 15 | | 2 | update model |
| Aug | 22 | | 1.75 | ROE model update |
| Aug | 29 | | 1.75 | Update model |
| Sept | 5 | | 1.75 | ROE model |
| Sept | 12 | | 1.75 | update ROE model |
| Sept | 19 | | 1.5 | model update |
| Sept | 26 | | 1.75 | model revision |
| | | | | |
| Total Hours | | | 34 | |
| Rate | | \$ | 235 | |
| Amt. Due | | \$ | 7,990.00 | |

Nova Energy Consultants, Inc.

1350 S.E. Maynard Rd., Suite 101 Cary, NC 27511

Invoice

| DATE | INVOICE# | |
|------------|----------|--|
| 11/16/2022 | 2022081 | |

| Client Name | |
|---|--|
| Law Office of Daniel J. Lawton 12600 Hill Country Blvd. Suite R-275 Austin, TX 78738 | |
| | |

| | | , c | DUE DATE | PROJECT |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----|-----------|-------------|
| | | | 1/16/2023 | |
| HOURS | DESCRIPTION | | RATE | AMOUNT |
| 43 | ETI Texas testimony on rate of return | | 235.00 | 10,105.00 |
| Thank you for your busine | ess. | 7 | [otal | \$10,105.00 |

Time Sheet for Kevin W. O'Donnell ETI - Cities

| Month | Day | Time | Work Done |
|-------------|-----|--------------|---|
| Oct. | 2 | 4.5 | tty prep - written |
| Oct. | 3 | 3.25 | tty prep - written |
| Oct. | 4 | 6.5 | Testimony prep - exhibits |
| Oct. | 6 | 2 | tty prep - written |
| Oct. | 10 | 1.75 | model update |
| Oct. | 11 | 1.5 | discussion with attorney/testimony prep |
| Oct. | 12 | 8.5 | Testimony update |
| Oct. | 13 | 7.25 | tty update from previous draft |
| Oct. | 24 | 5.25 | model update/ draft update |
| Oct. | 25 | 2.5 | tty prep - written |
| Total Hours | | 43 | |
| Rate | | \$ 235 | |
| Amt. Due | | \$ 10,105.00 | |

RESOLVED ENERGY CONSULTING, LLC

SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-22-04394 PUC DOCKET NO. 53719

APPLICATION OF ENTERGY TEXAS, \$ BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE OF INC. FOR AUTHORITY TO CHANGE \$ ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS RATES

RATE CASE EXPENSE DECLARATION OF KARL J. NALEPA

STATE OF TEXAS

COUNTY OF TRAVIS

- My name is Karl J. Nalepa. I am over eighteen years of age and am not disqualified from making this declaration. I declare under penalty of perjury that the information in this declaration provided under Chapter 132 Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code is true and correct.
- 2. I am a partner in, and President of ReSolved Energy Consulting, LLC ("REC"). My business address is 11044 Research Blvd., Suite A-420, Austin, Texas 78759.
- 3. REC has been retained to provide expert analysis and testimony for certain Cities served by Entergy Texas, Inc. ("ETI") in this base rate proceeding filed by ETI at the Public Utility Commission of Texas, Docket No. 53719.
- 4. This declaration addresses the necessity for and reasonableness of REC's fee-related charges through December 31, 2022, and estimated charges through the end of these proceedings.
- 5. REC's actual fees through December 31, 2022, correspond to time spent reviewing and analyzing ETI's application, developing discovery requests, reviewing discovery responses, updating Cities' cost of service model, preparing pre-filed written testimony, reviewing intervenor and Staff testimony, preparing cross-rebuttal testimony, reviewing rebuttal testimony, responding to discovery, reviewing settlement terms and updating the rate model. The hours charged are summarized in the following table and the statements for services are attached to this declaration.

REC'S EXPENSES
JULY 1, 2022 THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2022

| CONSULTANTS | HOURLY RATE | <u>HOURS</u> | ACTUAL TOTAL |
|----------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Karl J. Nalepa | \$275 | 77.2 | \$21,230.00 |
| Erin Cromleigh | \$185 | 54.4 | \$10,064.00 |
| Total Actual | | 131.6 | \$31,294.00 |

6. My billing rate is \$275 per hour. This is my normal billing rate that I charge to all clients for this type of work in rate proceedings. I am familiar with the hourly rates charged by

- other consultants to perform similar services. Given that I have more than 40 years of utility rate regulatory experience, my billing rate is reasonable.
- 7. Assisting me on this proceeding is Erin Cromleigh. Ms. Cromleigh is an REC Consultant and has over 15 years of regulatory experience. Her billing rate is \$185 per hour. Ms. Cromleigh works under my direction and supervision.
- 8. No REC personnel billed in excess of 12 hours on any given day to this case. No REC personnel incurred any airline, lodging, or meal expenses. No REC personnel charged for any luxury items. There are no instances of double billing for REC's services.
- 9. There will be additional fees and potentially expenses through the completion of the case. I will update this declaration prior to the close of the evidence. The services to be provided include evaluating settlement terms and reviewing settlement documents. Based on my experience, I estimate an additional 10 hours, totaling \$2,300 in fees, will be required.
- 10. Based on my experience relating to analysis of rate proceeding matters and the reasonableness of rate case expenses before the Public Utility Commission of Texas, I conclude that: (1) REC's hourly rates are reasonable; and (2) the 141.6 actual and estimated hours in this case are both reasonable and necessary.

Further Declarant Says Not.

Dated: January 23, 2023

ReSolved Energy Consulting, LLC

11044 Research Blvd, A-420 Austin, TX 78759

Invoice

| DATE | INVOICE NUMBER | |
|----------|----------------|--|
| 8/8/2022 | 5070 | |

BILL TO

The Lawton Law Firm
Dan Lawton
12600 Hill Country Blvd., Ste R-275
Austin, Tx 78738

| PROJECT | |
|---------------------|--|
| LLF ETI 22 RC 53719 | |

| | EEI E1122 RC 33/17 | | |
|--|--------------------|----------|----------------------------------|
| DESCRIPTION | HOURS | RATE | AMOUNT |
| Consulting (Nalepa) Consulting (Cromleigh) Total Labor | 8 10.5 | 275.00 | 2,200.00 1,942.50 4,142.50 |
| Work Completed thru - July 31, 2022 | то | OTAL DUE | \$4,142.50 |

Monthly Recap

Karl Nalepa

| Date | Task | Hours |
|---------------|--|-------|
| July 6, 2022 | Review filing. | 2.20 |
| July 7, 2022 | Emails with M. Garrett regarding case issues. | 0.50 |
| July 8, 2022 | Review filing. | 1.30 |
| July 12, 2022 | Work on analysis. | 1.50 |
| July 13, 2022 | Work on analysis. Call with D. Lawton regarding recovery of retired meters. | 1.20 |
| July 22, 2022 | Review cost of service model and emails with M. Mayhall Vandervoort regarding a functioning model. | 0.30 |
| July 25, 2022 | Work on analysis. | 1.00 |
| | | |

8.00

Monthly Recap

Erin Cromleigh

| Date | Task | Hours |
|---------------|-----------------------------------|-------|
| July 7, 2022 | Review application. | 2.20 |
| July 8, 2022 | Review application. | 3.30 |
| July 13, 2022 | Review rate model. | 2.50 |
| July 14, 2022 | Review rate model. | 1.50 |
| July 22, 2022 | Work on cost of service analysis. | 1.00 |
| | | |

10.50

ReSolved Energy Consulting, LLC

11044 Research Blvd, A-420 Austin, TX 78759

Invoice

| DATE | INVOICE NUMBER |
|----------|----------------|
| 9/6/2022 | 5091 |

BILL TO

The Lawton Law Firm
Dan Lawton
12600 Hill Country Blvd., Ste R-275
Austin, Tx 78738

| | | PROJECT | |
|--|---------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|
| | LLF ETI 22 RC 53719 | | |
| DESCRIPTION | HOURS | RATE | AMOUNT |
| Consulting (Nalepa) Consulting (Cromleigh) Total Labor | 4.5 7.1 | 275.00 185.00 | 1,237.50 1,313.50 2,551.00 |
| Work Completed thru - August 31, 2022 | | TAL DUE | \$2,551.00 |

Monthly Recap

Karl Nalepa

| Date | Task | Hours |
|-----------------|---------------------------------|-------|
| August 11, 2022 | Review responses to discovery. | 0.50 |
| August 12, 2022 | Work on analysis. | 1.50 |
| August 24, 2022 | Review responses to discovery. | 0.50 |
| August 26, 2022 | Work on analysis and discovery. | 2.00 |
| | | |

4.50

Erin Cromleigh

| Date | Task | Hours |
|-----------------|---|-------|
| August 1, 2022 | Review COSS model and instructions. | 2.00 |
| August 15, 2022 | Review application and work on analysis. | 2.40 |
| August 16, 2022 | Review application and work on analysis. | 1.20 |
| August 17, 2022 | Review application and work on discovery. | 1.50 |
| | | |

11044 Research Blvd, A-420 Austin, TX 78759

Invoice

| DATE | INVOICE NUMBER |
|-----------|----------------|
| 10/5/2022 | 5110 |

BILL TO

| PROJECT | |
|---------------------|--|
| LLF ETI 22 RC 53719 | |

| | L1 | LF E11 22 RC 33/1 | .9 |
|--|----------|-------------------|--------------------------------|
| DESCRIPTION | HOURS | RATE | AMOUNT |
| Consulting (Nalepa) Consulting (Cromleigh) Total Labor | 9 0.8 | 275.00 185.00 | 2,475.00 148.00 2,623.00 |
| Work Completed thru - September 30, 2022 | то | TAL DUE | \$2,623.00 |

Karl Nalepa

| Date | Task | Hours |
|--------------------|--|-------|
| September 7, 2022 | Review responses to discovery. Work on analysis. | 1.20 |
| September 8, 2022 | Review responses to discovery. Call with M. Mayhall Vandervoort to discuss case issues. | 0.70 |
| September 12, 2022 | Call with D. Lawton to discuss case issues. | 0.30 |
| September 13, 2022 | Work on analysis. | 1.00 |
| September 15, 2022 | Review responses to discovery. Work on analysis. | 0.80 |
| September 19, 2022 | Review errata. | 0.30 |
| September 23, 2022 | Review responses to discovery. Work on additional discovery. | 0.70 |
| | Work on analysis and prepare discovery. | 1.00 |
| September 29, 2022 | Work on analysis and prepare discovery. | 1.30 |
| September 30, 2022 | Complete discovery and send to M.Mayhall Vandervoort for review. Review confidential workpapers. | |
| | Emails with consultants regarding recommended adjustments. | 1.70 |
| | | |

Erin Cromleigh

| Date | Task | Hours |
|--------------------|---------------------------------------|-------|
| September 12, 2022 | Set-up model for cities' adjustments. | 0.80 |
| | | |

11044 Research Blvd, A-420 Austin, TX 78759

Invoice

| DATE | INVOICE NUMBER |
|-----------|----------------|
| 11/2/2022 | 5134 |

BILL TO

| PROJECT | |
|---------------------|--|
| LLF ETI 22 RC 53719 | |
| I I | |

| | LI | JF E11 22 RC 33/1 | 9 |
|--|------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|
| DESCRIPTION | HOURS | RATE | AMOUNT |
| Consulting (Nalepa) Consulting (Cromleigh) Total Labor | 28 25.1 | 275.00 185.00 | 7,700.00 4,643.50 12,343.50 |
| Work Completed - thru October 31, 2022 | то | TAL DUE | \$12,343.50 |

Karl Nalepa

| Date | Task | Hours |
|------------------|--|-------|
| October 1, 2022 | Review depreciation adjustments. | 0.30 |
| October 3, 2022 | Work on revised COS model with E. Cromleigh. | 0.80 |
| October 4, 2022 | Review responses to discovery. | 0.50 |
| | Work on analysis. | 1.00 |
| October 10, 2022 | Call with D. Lawton to discuss case issues. Work on analysis. | 0.70 |
| October 11, 2022 | Review responses to discovery. | 0.80 |
| October 12, 2022 | Review responses to discovery. Work on analysis. | 1.00 |
| October 13, 2022 | Work on analysis and draft testimony. | 1.20 |
| October 14, 2022 | Work on draft testimony. | 1.70 |
| October 15, 2022 | Review accounting adjustments. | 0.30 |
| October 17, 2022 | Review responses to discovery. Work on draft testimony. Review rider revenues. | 1.00 |
| October 18, 2022 | Review responses to discovery. Work on draft testimony. Work on adjustments with E. Cromleigh. | |
| | Call with N. Gordon regarding rate case expenses (RCEs). | 1.30 |
| October 19, 2022 | Work on draft testimony. Review revised depreciation adjustments. Complete and send RCE | |
| | declaration to M. Mayhall Vandervoort. | 2.00 |
| October 20, 2022 | Review responses to discovery. Develop and send additional adjustments to E. Cromleigh to input into | |
| | COS model. Complete and send draft testimony to M. Mayhall Vandervoort for review. | 1.80 |
| October 21, 2022 | Review responses to discovery. Emails with M. Garrett regarding Cities' revenue requirement. Update | |
| | Cities' revenue requirement. Prepare and send revised draft testimony and attachments to M. Mayhall | |
| | Vandervoort for review. | 2.50 |
| October 24, 2022 | Call with Counsel to discuss testimony edits. Review and incorporate edits to draft testimony. Send | |
| | revised testimony to Counsel for review. | 2.00 |
| October 25, 2022 | Review revised Garrett Testimony and adjustments. Prepare revised depreciation adjustments and | |
| | send to E. Cromleigh to update COS model. Review and incorporate edits to draft testimony. | 3.00 |
| October 26, 2022 | Emails with M. Mayhall Vandervoort to discuss additional testimony edits. Review and incorporate | |
| | edits to draft testimony. | 2.00 |
| October 27, 2022 | Compile and send testimony workpapers. Review intervenor testimony. | 1.80 |
| October 28, 2022 | Review intervenor testimony. Call with D. Lawton regarding need for cross-rebuttal testimony. | 2.30 |
| | | |

Erin Cromleigh

| Date | Task | Hours |
|------------------|--|-------|
| October 3, 2022 | Incorporate D. Garrett's adjustments into model. | 2.50 |
| October 4, 2022 | Incorporate D. Garrett's adjustments into model, create individual adjustment model and combined | |
| | model. | 2.80 |
| October 14, 2022 | Incorporate M. Garrett's adjustments into model. | 1.80 |
| October 17, 2022 | Incorporate M. Garrett's and K. O'Donnell's adjustments into individual models and combined model. | 2.90 |
| October 18, 2022 | Incorporate M. Garrett's adjustments into individual model and combined model. | 3.10 |
| October 19, 2022 | Incorporate D. Garrett's revised adjustments and K. Nalepa's adjustments into individual model and | |
| | combined model. | 3.00 |
| October 20, 2022 | Incorporate K. Nalepa's adjustments into individual and combined models. | 2.40 |
| | Incorporate K. Nalepa's adjustments into individual and combined models. | 3.00 |
| October 24, 2022 | Revise D. Garrett's adjustments and K. O'Donnell's adjustments. | 1.30 |
| October 25, 2022 | Revise D. Garrett's adjustments and incorporate additional adjustments. | 1.80 |
| October 26, 2022 | Email M. Mayhall Vandervoort ETI models. | 0.50 |
| | | |

11044 Research Blvd, A-420 Austin, TX 78759

Invoice

| DATE | INVOICE NUMBER |
|-----------|----------------|
| 12/6/2022 | 5147 |

BILL TO

| PROJECT | | | | | |
|---------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| LLF ETI 22 RC 53719 | | | | | |
| HOURS RATE AMOUNT | | | | | |
| 22.4 275.00 6.160.4 | | | | | |

| DESCRIPTION | HOURS | RATE | AMOUNT |
|--|-------|-----------|------------|
| Consulting (Nalepa) Consulting (Cromleigh) Total Labor | 22. | | 6,160.00 |
| Work completed thru - November 30, 2022 | | TOTAL DUE | \$7,603.00 |

Karl Nalepa

| Date | Task | Hours |
|-------------------|--|-------|
| November 1, 2022 | Call with D. Lawton to discuss issues for cross rebuttal testimony. Review intervenor testimony for | |
| | cross rebuttal issues. | 1.30 |
| November 2, 2022 | Prepare response to ETI discovery and send to M. Mayhall Vandervoort. | 0.80 |
| November 6, 2022 | Work on draft cross rebuttal testimony on back-up generation. | 4.00 |
| November 7, 2022 | Complete and send draft cross rebuttal testimony of Counsel for review. Review Staff testimony. | 1.80 |
| November 8, 2022 | Review Staff testimony. | 1.70 |
| November 13, 2022 | Prepare additional cross rebuttal testimony on gross receipts tax. | 1.00 |
| November 14, 2022 | Complete and send revised draft cross rebuttal testimony to Counsel for review. Call with M. Mayhall | |
| | Vandervoort to discuss testimony edits. Incorporate edits and prepare 2nd revised draft testimony. | |
| | Work with E. Cromleigh to respond to Staff discovery and send to M. Mayhall Vandervoort | 2.20 |
| November 15, 2022 | Complete 2nd revised testimony and send to M. Mayhall Vandervoort. Call with D. Lawton to discuss | |
| | case issues. | 0.80 |
| November 18, 2022 | Prepare RCE declaration and send to M. Mayhall Vandervoort. Review rebuttal testimony. Research | |
| | and compile net plant values for accelerated recovery. | 1.50 |
| November 20, 2022 | Review rebuttal testimony. | 1.50 |
| November 21, 2022 | Review rebuttal testimony | 1.70 |
| November 22, 2022 | Work on hearing issues. | 1.00 |
| November 29, 2022 | Prepare response to TIEC discovery and send to M. Mayhall Vandervoort. Work on hearing issues. | 1.80 |
| November 30, 2022 | Complete and send summary of hearing issues to D. Lawton. Compile and send supporting materials | |
| | for hearing. | 1.30 |
| | | |

Erin Cromleigh

| Date | Task | Hours |
|-------------------|---|-------|
| November 11, 2022 | Compile adjustments with FERC numbers for Staff discovery. | 3.20 |
| November 14, 2022 | Compile adjustments with FERC numbers for Staff discovery. Send to K. Nalepa. | 2.10 |
| November 28, 2022 | Review Lofton rebuttal testimony and compare with COS model output. | 2.50 |
| | | |

11044 Research Blvd, A-420 Austin, TX 78759

Invoice

| DATE | INVOICE NUMBER | |
|----------|----------------|--|
| 1/5/2023 | 5180 | |

BILL TO

| |] | PROJECT | |
|--|---------|------------------|--------------------------------|
| | LL | F ETI 22 RC 5371 | 9 |
| DESCRIPTION | HOURS | RATE | AMOUNT |
| Consulting (Nalepa) Consulting (Cromleigh) Total Labor | 5.3 3.1 | 275.00 185.00 | 1,457.50 573.50 2,031.00 |
| | | | |
| Work completed thru - December 31, 2022 | тот | TAL DUE | \$2,031.00 |

Karl Nalepa

| Date | Task | Hours |
|-------------------|---|-------|
| December 2, 2022 | Review edits to draft responses to TIEC discovery. | 0.20 |
| December 5, 2022 | Review testimony errata and responses to discovery. | 0.80 |
| December 6, 2022 | Review class revenue allocation and rate design issues. | 1.30 |
| December 7, 2022 | Send comments on revenue allocation to M. Mayhall Vandervoort. | 0.50 |
| December 8, 2022 | Review term sheet. Call with Counsel and OPUC consultant to discuss class revenue allocation. | |
| | Review OPUC revenue allocation. | 1.00 |
| December 12, 2022 | Emails with OPUC consultant on revenue allocation issues. | 0.30 |
| December 13, 2022 | Send settlement terms to E. Cromleigh to prepare cost of service model impact. | 0.50 |
| December 14, 2022 | Work with E. Cromleigh to update model. | 0.70 |
| | | |

Erin Cromleigh

| Date | Task | Hours |
|-------------------|--|-------|
| December 14, 2022 | Add settlement adjustments into cost of service model. | 3.10 |
| | | |

NORMAN J. GORDON

NORMAN J GORDON

PO Box 8 El Paso

915 203 4883

Cities Served by Entergy Texas % Daniel J. Lawton Latwon Law Firm 12600 Hill Country Blvd, Suite R-275 Austin, TX 78738 USA

Statement Date: December 9, 2022
Statement No. 200
Account No. 13.00
Page: 1

Payments received after 12/09/2022 are not included on this statement.

Fees for Expert Witness Engagement PUC DN 53719

| | | | Hours | |
|------------|-----|---|-------|------------|
| 10/20/2022 | NJG | Detailed review of invoices submitted to date, for description of work, Review of Griffith and Lain original and supplemental, identify issues and outside witnesses for the utility. | 3.60 | 1,350.00 |
| 10/22/2022 | NJG | Review and comment on declarations from consultants and witnesses, Draft testimony | 3.50 | 1,312.50 |
| 10/23/2022 | NJG | Work on draft Review E-mails and revisions, work on compilation and exhibits. Review Entergy outside consultants and attorney rates and amounts billed as filed. | 4.00 | 1,500.00 |
| 10/24/2022 | NJG | Continue compilation, review revised declarations and backup, and complete initial draft, Review rates charged in Entergy testimony and filings in other dockets for comparisons. | 5.40 | 2,025.00 |
| 10/25/2022 | NJG | Revise and compile draft, complete exhibits, Transmit drafts to client. | 2.30 | 862.50 |
| 10/26/2022 | NJG | Review comments and revise draft, finalize and transmit to client for filing. | 1.30 | 487.50 |
| 11/02/2022 | NJG | E-mails re: discovery requests, Tel. D. Lawton re: staff positions. | 0.50 | 187.50 |
| 11/07/2022 | NJG | Review of Staff Testimony on Rate Case Expense (Stark) | 0.90 | 337.50 |
| 11/18/2022 | NJG | Review of ETI rebuttal and workpapers on rate case expense rate issues. | 1.00 | 375.00 |
| | | For Current Services Rendered | 22.50 | 8,437.50 |
| | | Total Current Work | | 8,437.50 |
| | | Balance Due | | \$8,437.50 |

| Billing History | | | | | |
|-----------------|----------|----------|----------------|----------|--|
| Fees | Expenses | Advances | Finance Charge | Payments | |
| 8,437.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |

The following files are not convertible:

DN 53719 Schedule_NJG-1-S--2-1-23.xlsx

Please see the ZIP file for this Filing on the PUC Interchange in order to access these files.

Contact centralrecords@puc.texas.gov if you have any questions.