

Filing Receipt

Received - 2023-01-31 02:48:16 PM Control Number - 53719 ItemNumber - 469

SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-22-04394 P.U.C. DOCKET NO. 53719

APPLICATION OF ENTERGY	§	BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE
TEXAS, INC. FOR AUTHORITY	§	\mathbf{OF}
TO CHANGE RATES	§.	ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING

CHARGEPOINT, INC'S PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

JANUARY 31, 2023

SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-22-04394 P.U.C. DOCKET NO. 53719

APPLICATION OF ENTERGY	§	BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE
TEXAS, INC. FOR AUTHORITY	§	\mathbf{OF}
TO CHANGE RATES	§	ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING

CHARGEPOINT, INC'S PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

ChargePoint Inc. (ChargePoint) respectfully requests that the Public Utility Commission of Texas (Commission) accept the following Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law in the above-referenced docket pursuant to State Office of Administrative Hearings (SOAH) Order No. 14.

I. Findings of Fact

Preliminary Order Issue Nos. 68 and 69

- 1. It is appropriate for utilities to own make-ready infrastructure to support EV chargers.
- 2. Limited utility ownership of EV chargers is appropriate provided that site hosts may choose their preferred EV charging equipment and network service provider.

Transportation Electrification and Charging Infrastructure (TECI) Rider

- 3. Rider TECI should include the explicit requirement that, consistent with ETI's intentions, ETI allow site hosts that participate in Rider TECI to choose their preferred charging equipment and network services provider.
- 4. It is important that ETI ensure that all marketing and educational materials for Rider TECI are vendor neutral.

Transportation Electrification and Charging Demand Adjustment (TECDA) Rider

- 5. Rider TECDA should be approved with the following modifications: (a) remove the five-year limitation on customer participation; (b) increase the proposed cap on participating EV charging load from 30,000 kW to 50,000 kW; (c) allow all separately metered charging sites that meet the load requirements to participate in Rider TEDCA, regardless of when the charging site became operational.
- 6. ETI should propose a long-term EV charging rate that provides an alternative to traditional demand-based rates as a part of its next rate case.

II. Conclusions of Law

- 1. The Commission has jurisdiction and authority over this proceeding pursuant to Chapter 36 of the Public Utility Regulatory Act (PURA).¹
- 2. SOAH has jurisdiction over matters related to the conduct of the hearing in this proceeding pursuant to Texas Government Code § 2003.049.
- 3. ETI is an electric utility under PURA § 31.002(6).
- 4. The Commission processed this docket in accordance with the requirements of PURA, the Administrative Procedure Act.² and Commission rules.

III. Conclusion

ChargePoint respectfully requests that the Commission accept the above noted Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law.

2

Public Utility Regulatory Act, Tex. Util. Code Ann. §§ 11.001-66.016 (PURA).

² Tex. Gov't Code §§ 2001.001-2001.903.

Respectfully submitted on January 31, 2023,

/s/ Lucas A. Fykes Lucas A. Fykes Ohio Bar No. 98471 Keyes & Fox LLP 1580 Lincoln St., Suite 1105 Denver, CO 80203 614-285-8565 lfykes@keyesfox.com

Scott F. Dunbar Colorado Bar No. 44521 Keyes & Fox LLP 1580 Lincoln St., Suite 1105 Denver, CO 80203 949-525-6016 sdunbar@keyesfox.com

Counsel to ChargePoint, Inc.

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing have been mailed, emailed or hand-delivered to all counsel of record on January 31, 2023:

/s/ Alicia Zaloga