

Filing Receipt

Received - 2022-12-16 02:14:38 PM Control Number - 53719 ItemNumber - 437

SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-22-04394 PUC DOCKET NO. 53719

APPLICATION OF ENTERGY TEXAS, § BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE INC. FOR AUTHORITY TO CHANGE § OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

JOINT PROPOSED BRIEFING OUTLINE

Pursuant to SOAH Order Nos. 11 and 12, ETI submits this joint proposed briefing outline, which is limited to Preliminary Order Issue Nos. 68 and 69, by the December 16, 2022 deadline.

Consistent with the Procedural Schedule entered on July 29, 2022, ETI proposes that Initial Briefs be due by January 13, 2023, Reply Briefs be due by January 27, 2023, and the Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law be due by January 31, 2023. ETI is authorized to state that all parties agree to the following outline.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Lino Mendiola III

George G. Hoyt, SBN: 24049270 Laura B. Kennedy Kristen Yates Entergy Services, LLC 919 Congress Avenue, Suite 701 Austin, Texas 78701 (512) 487-3945

ghoyt90@entergy.com lkenn95@entergy.com kyates1@entergy.com

Lino Mendiola III
Michael A. Boldt
Cathy Garza
EVERSHEDS SUTHERLAND (US) LLP
600 Congress Avenue, Suite 2000
Austin, Texas 78701
(512) 721-2700
(512) 721-2656 (fax)

<u>linomendiola@eversheds-sutherland.com</u> <u>michaelboldt@eversheds-sutherland.com</u>

cathygarza@eversheds-sutherland.com

Scott R. Olson
Patrick Pearsall
Stephanie Green
DUGGINS WREN MANN & ROMERO, LLP
600 Congress, Suite 1900
Austin, Texas 78701
(512) 744-9300
(512) 744-9399 (fax)

solson@dwmrlaw.com ppearsall@dwmrlaw.com sgreen@dwmrlaw.com

ATTORNEYS FOR ENTERGY TEXAS, INC.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a true and correct copy of this pleading and attachment was served on all parties of record via email on December 16, 2022 pursuant to the Second Order Suspending Rules issued in Project No. 50664.

/s/ Sarah Merrick
Sarah Merrick

JOINT PROPOSED BRIEFING OUTLINE

- I. Introduction/Summary
- II. <u>Preliminary Order Issue No. 68</u>. Is it appropriate for an electric utility in a vertically integrated area to own vehicle-charging facilities or other transportation electrification and charging infrastructure, or should the ownership of such facilities be left to competitive providers?
- III. <u>Preliminary Order Issue No. 69</u>. Should Entergy be allowed to own transportation electrification and charging infrastructure—including vehicle-charging facilities—in the manner it has proposed in its application, or should such ownership be wholly left to customers or third parties?
 - A. Transportation Electrification and Charging Infrastructure ("TECI") Rider
 - B. Transportation Electrification and Charging Demand Adjustment ("TECDA") Rider
- IV. Conclusion