

El Paso Electric

EIM Gap Assessment

separate registers, termed channels, to distinguish between produced and consumed energy. These values may be recorded on any meter channel, but must be submitted on the following meter channels:

- Channel 1 for consumed or exported active power
- Channel 4 for generated or imported active power

Therefore, MV-90 will need to transpose the received channels to match CAISO's required designations if they differ.

CAISO does not accept non-zero meter values on channel 4 for the load meter submission, therefore all channel 4 values for the ELAP meter should always be submitted as zero values.

CAISO does not accept non-zero meter values on channel 1 for generation meters, even if station service consumption exceeds generation production for a given interval. Therefore, channel 1 for all generation meters should always be submitted as zero values.

For intervals where station service exceeds generation, the station service load of internal generation is already metered by interchange imports and other internal generation, and thus does not need to be submitted from the generation meter read. By contrast, the station service for pseudo-tie generation should be submitted on channel 1 for the corresponding interchange metering, to include the station service as EPE BAA load. As depicted in Figure, station service for a pseudo-tie generator is measured as an import by the interchange meter at the generation site, while an internal generator only has the generation meter on therefore does not need to submit load values for its station service when it exceeds generation.

14.4.2 Key Metering Considerations

14.4.2.1 Expected Point of Measurement and Compensation Factors CAISO expects Base Schedules, Dispatch Operating Targets (DOTs) and meter data to all correspond to the high side, transmission voltage level of a Resource ID. Furthermore, any station service load fed from a similar electrical location, such as a generator bus or high side of the same substation, may be netted from the data (as if the metering point was physically on the high side of the GSU). To the extent the point of scheduling, dispatch, generator control and metering differ from this expected point of measurement, calculations should be performed to account for these differences to prevent dispatch and settlement error.

For example, if the CTs and PTs for a generator meter are measuring output at the low voltage side of the GSU, the meter values should be adjusted to compensate for the calculated losses through the GSU, which are typically determined from GSU manufacturer data or GSU test data. Transformer losses are typically calculated as the sum of no-load losses and load-losses, expressed as:

 $NLL + I^2R$

No-Load Losses + Current-squared * Resistance

SOAH Docket No 473-21-2606 PUC Docket No 52195 CEP's 6th, Q No CEP 6-17 Attachment 2 Page 98 of 121 Utilicast Executive Summary Report



El Paso Electric

EIM Gap Assessment

No-load losses are set at a constant value of watts and load-losses as a function of resistance, a constant ohm value, multiplied by current squared. This resulting equation would be programmed in the associated meter as the compensation factor.

EPE may have generation sites meter Station Service (SS) load at the low-side of the SS transformer, with the high-side of the SS transformer connected to a generator bus. If these existing meter installations are utilized for SQMD submission, compensation factors should be applied to the metered values accounting for both the SS transformer and GSU losses. An accurate calculation would program the SS meter to add the SS transformer losses compensation, increasing the effective SS load, and subtract the SS load from GSU load-losses for the associated generator meters, since SS load nets generator production flowing through the GSU, reducing GSU load-losses. This calculation of compensation factors with interaction between multiple meters may be practicably difficult, instead requiring approximations to account for the contribution of multiple generators and SS load to GSU load-losses. The following a low-side SS meter and two low-side generator meters.

For unit-level Resource IDs, allocating transformer losses and SS load half-and-half to each resource⁶:

SS_meter_data (SS transformer compensated) = SS_load + NLL_{SS_transformer} + I_{SS}²R

GSU current (I_{GSU}) = G1_current + G2_current - SS_transformer_compensated_SS_current

 $GSU_{losses} = NLL_{GSU} + I_{GSU}^2R$

G1 compensated meter data = G1_meter – GSU_losses/2 – SS_meter_data/2

G2 compensated meter data = G2_meter – GSU_losses/2 – SS_meter_data/2

For an aggregate Resource ID:

SS_meter_data (SS transformer compensated) = SS_meter + NLL_{SS_transformer} + I_{SS}²R

GSU current (I_{GSU}) = G1_current + G2_current - SS_transformer_compensated_SS_current

 $GSU_losses = NLL_{GSU} + I_{GSU}^2R$

Aggregate Resource ID meter data = G1_meter + G2_meter - GSU_losses - SS_meter_data

Alternatively, CTs and PTs could be installed at the high voltage side of the GSU to avoid the need for loss compensation factors. Furthermore, if station service load for the generator is fed from a separate station service transformer located at the same substation, and therefore electrically similar to the resource, the station service should be metered and netted from the generator meter value, as

⁶ The allocation logic for GSU losses and SS load to unit-level Resource IDs should be more sophisticated than halfand-half to address operation with one generation online and the other offline, as a half-and-half allocation would result in half of the GSU losses and SS being unaccounted for due to allocation to an offline generator

SOAH Docket No 473-21-2606 PUC Docket No 52195 CEP's 6th, Q No CEP 6-17 Attachment 2 Page 99 of 121 Utilicast Executive Summary Report



El Paso Electric

EIM Gap Assessment

described in section 14.4.1.9. By contrast, if the metering location reads generation net of station service, such as high side metering, then station service does not need to be metered separately.

Any compensation factors applied to EIM meter data should be documented, including the calculating device or software, source document for compensation factor, and rationale for applying the compensation. This documentation will be included in the SQMD Plan and self-audited EIM meter program. The CAISO Metering BPM Attachment B Section C describes the loss compensation methodologies.

14.4.2.2 Metering Granularity Impact

CAISO allows for NPR metering to be recorded at a 5, 15, or 60-minute granularity. However, the ELAP meter must be submitted at the least granular level of the underlying meters that sum to the load value. The majority of CAISO charge codes settle at a 5-minute granularity, so any load or NPR meters submitted at 15-minute or 60-minute granularity is distributed evenly to the corresponding 5-minute intervals for settlements, resulting in accumulation of extra revenues or deficits in CAISO neutrality accounts due to price differences between 5-minute intervals that do not tie out to corresponding changes in submitted meter values. These neutrality accounts are largely settled with the EIM Entity and therefore do not result in a material financial risk. However, load meter submission that is less granular than 5-minutes greatly complicates shadow settlement and issue spotting, as the lack of data granularity masks underlying causes of settlement or metering errors that are crucial to resolve in a timely fashion. Finally, it is relatively inexpensive to re-program or change out a meter to enable 5-minute granularity meter data. Therefore, EPE should consider submitting 5-minute granular meter data for all NPRs so it may submit 5-minute granular ELAP meter data.

14.4.2.3 Insufficient Accuracy and Correction Factors

If any meters, CTs, or PTs used for EIM metering lack a sufficient accuracy rating to meet CAISO metering requirements, the equipment will either need to be replaced with compliant equipment or a correction factor applied. A correction factor for insufficiently accurate metering equipment is effectively a penalty on metered production to account for the gap in accuracy between the installed equipment and CAISO requirements.

The CAISO Metering BPM Attachment B Sections D3-D6 describe the calculation of correction factors to adjust for deficiencies in CT and PT accuracy. Whatever the deficiency in accuracy, an accuracy rating must be determined in order to apply the appropriate correction factor and utilize the deficient equipment for EIM metering. If the accuracy or burden rating of equipment is unknown, correction factor field tests should be performed to establish the accuracy at the connected burden. Any total meter equipment error equal to or less than 0.6%, as calculated according the referenced CAISO sections, does not require a correction factor to be applied, while any calculated error greater than 0.6% must be applied as a correction factor to the recorded meter data, reducing the submitted quantities by the correction factor percentage.

The financial impact of correction factors being applied to PRs may be substantial, as this production could be exported on EIM transfers, resulting in a reduction in market revenues due to the required





El Paso Electric

EIM Gap Assessment

penalty in submitted production compared to the scheduled exported EIM energy received by other EIM participants.

By contrast, the financial impact of correction factors applied to NPRs is small, as any MWh deficit in submitted NPR production would result in a corresponding decrease in the EPE ELAP meter. Therefore, any lost revenues on the NPR settlement would be largely regained in the load-related settlements. To the extent the NPR Locational Marginal Prices (LMPs) are lower than the ELAP LMPs due to congestion, there is some risk that a portion of the transmission congestion revenue rebates in settlement would be allocated to an EIM participant other than EPE due to EIM transfers. Collectively, these risks appear small.

14.4.2.4 Insufficient Granularity of Interchange Meters

While interchange metering is required to be recorded with 5-minute granularity, CAISO has often allowed EIM participants to exempt interchange meters from this requirement. Non-EIM participants typically record interchange metering at 60-minute granularity to align with NERC standard requirements for hourly interchange meter check-out.

In lieu of meeting this EIM requirement, the alternate approach taken by most EIM participants to submit 5-minute granular interchange metering is to calculate average 5-minute meter values in PI from the instantaneous MW telemetry reads, compare the sum of the 5-minute averages to the settlement quality hourly check-out values, and adjust the 5-minute averages as necessary to align with hourly values in the MV-90.

14.4.2.5 Metering of Jointly-Owned Generation

EPE is a joint-owner of Palo Verde generation. Existing and planned EIM participants are also joint owners in Palo Verde and should be coordinated with regarding their approach to meeting CAISO's metering requirements. In other joint-owned generator cases, the metering is not owned by any current EIM participant and the metered offtake of individual participants is not determined directly by physical meters. Rather, the metered offtake is determined by logical meters calculated by allocation computers. These allocation computers dynamically apportion the metered generation based on each participant's approved dispatch such that the physical meters are not indicative of the individual participant's generation.

The meter calculation for EPE's Palo Verde share will likely be similar to the suggested approach for interchange meters described in section 14.4.2.4. CAISO has requested single line metering diagrams for these jointly-owned generation facilities and a description of the determination and validation of the participant's metered share for existing EIM participants.

14.4.3 Metering Assessment Next Steps

While most of the generation meter equipment was inventoried during this project, much of the equipment nameplate data was insufficient. Specifically, while meter accuracy was provided, CT and PT accuracy and burden ratings where not able to be determined during this project. For the purposes of this assessment, interchange metering equipment was assumed to be sufficient, due to NERC standard



El Paso Electric

EIM Gap Assessment

requirements for interchange metering. Meanwhile, generation metering equipment utilized for revenue billing was assumed to be sufficient, other than meter read granularity, while generation metering equipment not utilized for revenue billing was assumed to have insufficient accuracy ratings. The following table lists the inventoried meter installations, estimated probability of compliance with EIM requirements, and associated assumptions.

Metered Location	Туре	Compliant Probability	Cost and Probability Assumptions
Newman 1 Gen	NPR	50%	Existing Meter re-programmed to 5-min granularity. Accuracy of existing CTs & PTs unknown, assuming 50% probability of accuracy deficiencies and needing replacement. If CT/PT accuracy deficient, a fresh install to net meter both resource and SS may be more cost effective, but unable to estimate without further detail.
Newman 1 SS	NPR	50%	Existing Meter re-programmed to 5-min granularity. Accuracy of existing CTs & PTs unknown, assuming 50% probability of accuracy deficiencies and needing replacement. If CT/PT accuracy deficient, a fresh install to net meter both resource and SS may be more cost effective, but unable to estimate without further detail.
Newman 1 RSS	NPR	100%	Backup assumed to not require compliance, pending further detail on electrical source and likelihood of usage (marked 100% probability for now)
Newman 2 Gen	NPR	50%	Existing Meter re-programmed to 5-min granularity. Accuracy of existing CTs & PTs unknown, assuming 50% probability of accuracy deficiencies and needing replacement. If CT/PT accuracy deficient, a fresh install to net meter both resource and SS may be more cost effective, but unable to estimate without further detail.

🧭 Utilicast

SOAH Docket No 473-21-2606 PUC Docket No 52195 CEP's 6th, Q No CEP 6-17 Attachment 2 Page 102 of 121 Utilicast Executive Summary Report

El Paso Electric

Newman 2 SS	NPR	50%	Existing Meter re-programmed to 5-min granularity. Accuracy of existing CTs & PTs unknown, assuming 50% probability of accuracy deficiencies and needing replacement. If CT/PT accuracy deficient, a fresh install to net meter both resource and SS may be more cost effective, but unable to estimate without further detail.
Newman 2 RSS	NPR	100%	Backup assumed to not require compliance, pending further detail on electrical source and likelihood of usage (marked 100% probability for now)
Newman 3 Gen	PR	50%	Existing Meter re-programmed to 5-min granularity. Accuracy of existing CTs & PTs unknown, assuming 50% probability of accuracy deficiencies and needing replacement. If CT/PT accuracy deficient, a fresh install to net meter both resource and SS may be more cost effective, but unable to estimate without further detail.
Newman 3 SS	PR	50%	Existing Meter re-programmed to 5-min granularity. Accuracy of existing CTs & PTs unknown, assuming 50% probability of accuracy deficiencies and needing replacement. If CT/PT accuracy deficient, a fresh install to net meter both resource and SS may be more cost effective, but unable to estimate without further detail.
Newman 3 RSS	PR	100%	Backup assumed to not require compliance, pending further detail on electrical source and likelihood of usage (marked 100% probability for now)
Newman 4: GT1	PR	50%	Existing Meter re-programmed to 5-min granularity. Accuracy of existing CTs & PTs unknown, assuming 50% probability of accuracy deficiencies and needing replacement. If CT/PT accuracy deficient, a fresh install to net meter both resource and SS may be more cost effective, but unable to estimate without further detail.

🗭 Utilicast

SOAH Docket No 473-21-2606 PUC Docket No 52195 CEP's 6th, Q No CEP 6-17 Attachment 2 Page 103 of 121 Utilicast Executive Summary Report

El Paso Electric

Newman 4: GT2	PR	50%	Existing Meter re-programmed to 5-min granularity. Accuracy of existing CTs & PTs unknown, assuming 50% probability of accuracy deficiencies and needing replacement. If CT/PT accuracy deficient, a fresh install to net meter both resource and SS may be more cost effective, but unable to estimate without further detail.
Newman 4: ST1	PR	50%	Existing Meter re-programmed to 5-min granularity. Accuracy of existing CTs & PTs unknown, assuming 50% probability of accuracy deficiencies and needing replacement. If CT/PT accuracy deficient, a fresh install to net meter both resource and SS may be more cost effective, but unable to estimate without further detail.
Newman 4 Start Up	PR	50%	Existing Meter re-programmed to 5-min granularity. Accuracy of existing CTs & PTs unknown, assuming 50% probability of accuracy deficiencies and needing replacement. If CT/PT accuracy deficient, a fresh install to net meter both resource and SS may be more cost effective, but unable to estimate without further detail.
Newman 4 Aux	PR	50%	Existing Meter re-programmed to 5-min granularity. Accuracy of existing CTs & PTs unknown, assuming 50% probability of accuracy deficiencies and needing replacement. If CT/PT accuracy deficient, a fresh install to net meter both resource and SS may be more cost effective, but unable to estimate without further detail.
Newman 5: GT3-A	A PR	50%	Existing Meter re-programmed to 5-min granularity. Accuracy of existing CTs & PTs unknown, assuming 50% probability of accuracy deficiencies and needing replacement. If CT/PT accuracy deficient, a fresh install to net meter both resource and SS may be more cost effective, but unable to estimate without further detail.

🧭 Utilicast

SOAH Docket No 473-21-2606 PUC Docket No 52195 CEP's 6th, Q No CEP 6-17 Attachment 2 Page 104 of 121 Utilicast Executive Summary Report

El Paso Electric

EIM Gap Assessment

Newman 5: GT3-B	PR	100%	Backup assumed to not require compliance, pending further detail on likelihood of usage (marked 100% probability for now)
Newman 5: GT3 Aux	PR	50%	Existing Meter re-programmed to 5-min granularity. Accuracy of existing CTs & PTs unknown, assuming 50% probability of accuracy deficiencies and needing replacement. If CT/PT accuracy deficient, a fresh install to net meter both resource and SS may be more cost effective, but unable to estimate without further detail.
Newman 5: GT4-A	PR	50%	Existing Meter re-programmed to 5-min granularity. Accuracy of existing CTs & PTs unknown, assuming 50% probability of accuracy deficiencies and needing replacement. If CT/PT accuracy deficient, a fresh install to net meter both resource and SS may be more cost effective, but unable to estimate without further detail.
Newman 5: GT4-B	PR	100%	Backup assumed to not require compliance, pending further detail on likelihood of usage (marked 100% probability for now)
Newman 5: GT4 Aux	PR	50%	Existing Meter re-programmed to 5-min granularity. Accuracy of existing CTs & PTs unknown, assuming 50% probability of accuracy deficiencies and needing replacement. If CT/PT accuracy deficient, a fresh install to net meter both resource and SS may be more cost effective, but unable to estimate without further detail.
Newman 5: ST2-A	PR	50%	Existing Meter re-programmed to 5-min granularity. Accuracy of existing CTs & PTs unknown, assuming 50% probability of accuracy deficiencies and needing replacement. If CT/PT accuracy deficient, a fresh install to net meter both resource and SS may be more cost effective, but unable to estimate without further detail.
Newman 5: ST2-B	PR	100%	Backup assumed to not require compliance, pending further detail on likelihood of usage (marked 100% probability for now)

Copyright 2019, El Paso Electric All rights reserved. Proprietary and confidential.

🧭 Utilicast

SOAH Docket No 473-21-2606 PUC Docket No 52195 CEP's 6th, Q No CEP 6-17 Attachment 2 Page 105 of 121 Utilicast Executive Summary Report

El Paso Electric

COPPER	PR	50%	Existing Meter re-programmed to 5-min granularity. Accuracy of existing CTs & PTs unknown, assuming 50% probability of accuracy deficiencies and needing replacement				
Montana 1 CT	PR	50%	Existing Meter re-programmed to 5-min granularity. Accuracy of existing CTs & PTs unknown, assuming 50% probability of accuracy deficiencies and needing replacement				
Montana 1 CT BACKUP	PR	100%	Backup assumed to not require compliance, pending further detail on likelihood of usage (marked 100% probability for now)				
Montana 2 CT	PR	50%	Existing Meter re-programmed to 5-min granularity. Accuracy of existing CTs & PTs unknown, assuming 50% probability of accuracy deficiencies and needing replacement				
Montana 2 CT BACKUP	PR	100%	Backup assumed to not require compliance, pending further detail on likelihood of usage (marked 100% probability for now)				
Montana 3 CT	PR	50%	Existing Meter re-programmed to 5-min granularity. Accuracy of existing CTs & PTs unknown, assuming 50% probability of accuracy deficiencies and needing replacement				
Montana 3 CT BACKUP	PR	100%	Backup assumed to not require compliance, pending further detail on likelihood of usage (marked 100% probability for now)				
Montana 4 CT	PR 50%		Existing Meter re-programmed to 5-min granularity. Accuracy of existing CTs & PTs unknown, assuming 50% probability of accuracy deficiencies and needing replacement				
Montana 4 CT BACKUP	PR	100%	Backup assumed to not require compliance, pending further detail on likelihood of usage (marked 100% probability for now)				
Rio Grande 6 Generator	NPR	100%	Assumed Retired				
Rio Grande 6 Emergency Station Service	NPR	100%	Assumed Retired				
Rio Grande 6 Normal Station Service	NPR	100%	Assumed Retired				



El Paso Electric

Rio Grande 7 Generator	NPR	100%	Assumed Retired
Rio Grande 7 Emergency Station Service	NPR	100%	Assumed Retired
Rio Grande 7 Normal Station Service	NPR	100%	Assumed Retired
Rio Grande 8 Generator	PR	50%	Existing Meter re-programmed to 5-min granularity (optional for Non-Participating Resource = 50% probability). Existing CTs & PTs remain, accuracy deficiencies addressed thru correction factor
Rio Grande 8 Emergency Station Service	PR	100%	Backup assumed to not require compliance, pending further detail on electrical source and likelihood of usage (marked 100% probability for now)
Rio Grande 8 Normal Station Service	PR	50%	Existing Meter re-programmed to 5-min granularity (optional for Non-Participating Resource = 50% probability). Existing CTs & PTs remain, accuracy deficiencies addressed thru correction factor
Rio Grande 9 AUX	PR	50%	Existing Meter re-programmed to 5-min granularity (optional for Non-Participating Resource = 50% probability). Existing CTs & PTs remain, accuracy deficiencies addressed thru correction factor
Rio Grande 9 Generator	PR	50%	Existing Meter re-programmed to 5-min granularity (optional for Non-Participating Resource = 50% probability). Existing CTs & PTs remain, accuracy deficiencies addressed thru correction factor
Rio Grande Plant Old Side	NPR	100%	Backup assumed to not require compliance, pending further detail on electrical source and likelihood of usage (marked 100% probability for now)
Chaparral	NPR	50%	Existing Meter re-programmed to 5-min granularity (optional for Non-Participating Resource = 50% probability). Existing CTs & PTs remain, accuracy deficiencies addressed thru correction factor

🧭 Utilicast

SOAH Docket No 473-21-2606 PUC Docket No 52195 CEP's 6th, Q No CEP 6-17 Attachment 2 Page 107 of 121 Utilicast Executive Summary Report

El Paso Electric

Santa Teresa	NPR	50%	Existing Meter re-programmed to 5-min granularity (optional for Non-Participating Resource = 50% probability). Existing CTs & PTs remain, accuracy deficiencies addressed thru correction factor
Patriot	NPR	50%	Existing Meter re-programmed to 5-min granularity (optional for Non-Participating Resource = 50% probability). Existing CTs & PTs remain, accuracy deficiencies addressed thru correction factor
Airport-West Mesa Solar	NPR	50%	Existing Meter re-programmed to 5-min granularity (optional for Non-Participating Resource = 50% probability). Existing CTs & PTs remain, accuracy deficiencies addressed thru correction factor
Holloman	NPR	50%	Existing Meter re-programmed to 5-min granularity (optional for Non-Participating Resource = 50% probability). Existing CTs & PTs remain, accuracy deficiencies addressed thru correction factor
Tx Comm Solar	NPR	50%	Existing Meter re-programmed to 5-min granularity (optional for Non-Participating Resource = 50% probability). Existing CTs & PTs remain, accuracy deficiencies addressed thru correction factor
Macho Springs	NPR	50%	Existing Meter re-programmed to 5-min granularity (optional for Non-Participating Resource = 50% probability). Existing CTs & PTs remain, accuracy deficiencies addressed thru correction factor
Macho Springs BACKUP	NPR	100%	Backup assumed to not require compliance, pending further detail on likelihood of usage (marked 100% probability for now)
Hatch	NPR	50%	Existing Meter re-programmed to 5-min granularity (optional for Non-Participating Resource = 50% probability). Existing CTs & PTs remain, accuracy deficiencies addressed thru correction factor

🧭 Utilicast

SOAH Docket No 473-21-2606 PUC Docket No 52195 CEP's 6th, Q No CEP 6-17 Attachment 2 Page 108 of 121 Utilicast Executive Summary Report

El Paso Electric

EIM Gap Assessment

Four Peaks	NPR	50%	Existing Meter re-programmed to 5-min granularity (optional for Non-Participating Resource = 50% probability). Existing CTs & PTs remain, accuracy deficiencies addressed thru correction factor						
Fort Bliss	NPR	50%	Fort Bliss requires more in-depth work to determine requirements, currently assuming two re- programmed meters to 5-min granularity (optional for Non-Participating Resource = 50% probability)						
UMC Co-gen	NPR	50%	Existing Meter re-programmed to 5-min granularity (optional for Non-Participating Resource = 50% probability). Existing CTs & PTs remain, accuracy deficiencies addressed thru correction factor						
Palo Verde telemetered allocation	NPR	100%	Palo Verde does not require any metering work, just telemetry and ATF values, as it is a JOU resource with dynamically apportioned meter quantities						
PNM-West Mesa 345	Tie	100%	Interchange meter assumed to be compliant						
PNM Luna 345/115	Tie	100%	Interchange meter assumed to be compliant						
PNM-Luna to Lef 345	Tie	100%	Interchange meter assumed to be compliant Interchange meter assumed to be compliant						
PNM-Afton 345 to Afton G	Tie	100%							
PNM-Afton 345 to Afton S	Tie	100%	Interchange meter assumed to be compliant						
PNM-Amrad 115	Tie	100%	Interchange meter assumed to be compliant						
PNM-Airport 115	Tie	100%	Interchange meter assumed to be compliant						
PNM-Holloman 115	Tie	100%	Interchange meter assumed to be compliant						
PNM-Hidalgo 345/115	Tie	100%	Interchange meter assumed to be compliant						
PNM-Picacho 115	Tie	100%	Interchange meter assumed to be compliant						
TRI-Las Cruces 115	Tie	100%	Interchange meter assumed to be compliant						
TRI-Oro Grande 115	Tie	100%	Interchange meter assumed to be compliant						
TEP-Macho Springs 345	Tie	100%	Interchange meter assumed to be compliant						
TEP-Springerville 345	Tie	100%	Interchange meter assumed to be compliant						
TEP-Greenlee 345	Tie	100%	Interchange meter assumed to be compliant						

Copyright 2019, El Paso Electric All rights reserved. Proprietary and confidential.



El Paso Electric

SPS-Artesia 230	Tie	100%	Interchange meter assumed to be compliant
Rio Grande Co-op Dell City	Load	100%	Load meter assumed to be compliant
Rio Grande Co-op Farmer	Load	100%	Load meter assumed to be compliant
CENACE Diablo	Load	100%	Load meter assumed to be compliant
CENACE Ascarate Load 100%		100%	Load meter assumed to be compliant
Fort Bliss	Load	100%	Non-conforming load, assumed to be compliant

14.5 Appendix 5 – EIM Implementation Indicative Project Schedule

EIM Implementation Indicative Project Schedule, April 2023 Target Go-Live

Navigation of the Indicative EIM Implementation Project Schedule

The Indicative EIM Project Schedule was presented and walked through with the El Paso EIM Team on Wed Aug 7th, 2019. This document is provided to aid future and ongoing interpretation of the materials.

The Schedule has been created in MS Project and provided in that format along with a document displaying the information from Screen Shots, and a text-searchable PDF export (Gantt Chart Only).

14.5.1 Background

CAISO Organizational Arrangement for EIM Implementation

The CAISO organizes their internal resources around six (6) "Tracks". More can be learned about these tracks by reviewing CAISO publicly available materials referenced below.

An aspect to point out from the ISO's arrangement is that of Testing (Integration Test, Day in the Live Testing, Market Simulation, and Parallel Operations. These are further defined in the Track 4 Overview). Because the ISO is coordinating multiple participants joining for a Go Live date, these Testing aspects become a rigid timing aspect of planning that EPE will need to accommodate.

EIM / CAISO Reference Materials:

 Example of a CAISO Implementation Project Plan. This explains the Track structure and project efforts as viewed from the ISO's perspective. <u>https://www.westerneim.com/Documents/EIMEntityImplementationProjectPlan.pdf</u>

The following are more detailed overviews, each focusing on the ISO's perspective for performing the efforts within the individual "Tracks".

- Track 1 Overview Project Management <u>https://www.westerneim.com/Documents/EIMTrack1Overview-</u> <u>ProjectManagement_Planning.pdf</u>
- Track 2 Overview Agreements <u>https://www.westerneim.com/Documents/EIMTrack2Overview-Agreements.pdf</u>
- Track 3 Overview FNM <u>https://www.westerneim.com/Documents/EIMTrack3Overview-</u> <u>FullNetworkModel.pdf</u>
- Track 4 Overview Integration and Testing <u>https://www.westerneim.com/Documents/EIMTrack4Overview-Integration_Testing.pdf</u>
- Track 5 Overview Metering and Settlements <u>https://www.westerneim.com/Documents/EIMTrack5Overview-Metering.pdf</u>
- Track 6 Overview Training <u>https://www.westerneim.com/Documents/EIMTrack6Overview-</u> <u>Training.pdf</u>

EPE- Specific Implementation

The CAISO Implementation planning efforts do not account for all aspects of EIM Readiness that EI Paso Electric will need to complete in order to be successful. There are many components of readiness that are "behind the curtain" from CAISO's perspective, and therefore not accounted for in their planning. In



El Paso Electric

EIM Gap Assessment

the provided materials EPE's specific efforts have been identified, and to aid in communication with the ISO the efforts have been aligned with corresponding CAISO's Track aspects.

14.5.2 Single Screen Swimlane Representation



Legend:



The Swimlane Diagram is a version of the Implementation plan intended to give a high-level visual representation, which can be displayed on a single screen. This is a MS Project File "Timeline" View, with some post-processing to add the Legend mapping the ISO/EPE division of efforts, and the EPE departments involved.

The seven (7) "MS#" at the on the top timeline are the approximate payment Milestone points with CAISO. There will be other payment milestones with Vendors and Contractors, but there is good visibility into the timing of these.



El Paso Electric

EIM Gap Assessment

14.5.3 Gantt Chart Representation

The Gannt Chart representation are the detailed records which roll up to the level displayed in the Swim Lane Diagram. In addition to the Task Name and Dates, two addition columns have been provided to aid viewing in conjuncture with the Scope and Cost Assessment.

- The <u>Resource Name</u> are the EPE Dept(s)/Area(s) significantly contributing to the effort. Below are the designations (and "owner") discussed in the walk-through:
 - Sys Ops (Ernie)
 - Marketing (Omar)
 - EMS (Tracy)
 - IT (Lourdes/Zulma)
 - Power Gen (Kyle)
 - Project (Project Mngr)
 - TSR Transmission Substation Relaying (TBD)
 - Meter Testing (Ray M)
 - EE Settlements (Brenda)
 - Marketing Settlements (Ana)
- The <u>Notes</u> column is used to identify "Categories" of Efforts that are associated with a given Gantt row.

Contract Contract

El Paso Electric



Utilicast

El Paso Electric

						Har (1, 2011) Hair (1, 2021) Hair (1, 2022) Hair (1, 2023) Hair (1, 2023) Hair (1, 2023) Hair (1, 2024)
	Tack/Same -	Start -	Ensit	- Resourcettame	Note- 0	THAMAN ZACLMAPPICOHOZALLPAPICOHOZALLPAPICOHOZACIAPIC
- 64	MS#3. ISO Test Environment Available	Wed 6/1/22	Wed 6/1/22	Other	Technology	★ 6/1
41	Milestone 4, Execute Market	• Thu 9/1/22	Thu 12/1/22			
÷.	MS#3 & 4a: Begin Joint Test	i Thu 9/1/22	lhu 9/1/22	Marketing, Sys Ops	, technology	♦ 9/1
43	MS#4b: Begin DITL Testing	Sat 10/1/22	Sat 10/1/22	Marketing, Sys Ops	Technology Proce	♠ 10/1
31	MS#4c Degin Market Sim	Thu 12/1/22	Thu 12/1/22	Marketing, Sys Ops	Technology Proce	♦ 12/1
33	MSH5' Begin Parallel Ops	Wed 2/1/23	Wed 2/1/23	Marketing Sys Oos	Technology Proce	• 2/1
36	MS#6 Production Cut Over	Sat 4/1/23	5414/1/73	Marketing Sys Ons	Technology Proce	▲ 4/1
37	Interface Text	70	Ext MODELTO	Musiution Sur Our	Tuchnology	(
20.	furr	Sup 20/26/2		Market trog of FOP	technology	1/1/2/2014 Marketian Sxt One Propert FE Settlements Marketian Settlements
20		sun og top	an an agrager	name trug ave ops	Technology [Proci-	$\mathbf{U}_{example}$ indicating and the product of the second
14	market Stat	100 12/1/22	thu trops	warkcong avs ups	, rechnology [Proce	Instructing systems of the second secon
11	Marallel Ops	weo 2/1/23	160 5/30/25	marketing ays ops	, recontrology [Proce	
	 Eim vendors (New Systems) 	MOD 3/3/23	Mon 5/15/25			i i
12	Software Regulrements and System Selection	Mon 3/1/21	Sat 10/30/21	Markeung, Sys-Ops, Project, IT	Technology Process	Inconstruction and a system of the system of t
73	Contracting	Mon 11/1/2	1 F#132/31/23	Project	Other	() Project
-14	EIM Vendor Design, Deselopment & Config	Sat 1/1/ <i>13</i>	te 4 8/30/72	Markeong, Sys Ops, IT	technology Process	1.01.01.5.1.5.1.01.1.01.000.000.0000.00
45	EIM Sys ⁻ SAT, UAT, Perform'n Testina	Fr: 7/1/22	Wed 11/30/27	Marketing,	Technology Process	Narketing,Sys Ops,17
11-	Days Inclare	Sat 1/1/22	Mon \$/15/23	Markaring Suc One	Drocoss	Marketina Svs Oos IT
17	Support Appr	Sat 4/1/22	Mon 5/15/23	Markotan Sur Ops	Technology Droce	
 	- Tub ilon Monday (Bossis Day		Non 9/1/25	Mars cong. sys ops	, recimology Proce	ի չեր ու չի չեր ու արդեր ար
	 Disting vendors (Persisting Systems) 	we0 9/1/21	MON 8/1/22			
-0	Persisting Systems' Config and Enhancements	Wed 9/1/21	Mon 6/27/22	Marketing. Syn Opn I T	Technology Process	Marketing, sys Ops, fi
50	Training, Regression Tests & UAT	Wed 6/1/21	Man 8/1/22	Marketing, Sys Ops. IT	Techaology Process	t Manketing,Sya Ops,IY
11	 EPE Internal 	Thu 9/16/23	Mon 1/2/23			
v	internal App & Interface Design	Thu 9/16/21	. Mon 1/31/22	Marketing, Sys Ops	Technology Protess	Markeling, Sys Ops
53	Internal Dev & Text	tue 3/1/27	Fri 7/29/27	Marketing, Sys Ops	technology Process	T1 Marketing,5ys Ops
И	Internal UAT	Sat 10/1/22	Mon 1/2/23	Marketing, Sys Ops	Technology Process	Landonswinking Marketing Sys Ops
11	Track 5 - Metering & Settlements	Fri 1/1/21	Mon 5/15/23			
4	Create & Submit Initial SOMD Plu	Sat 5/1/21	Wed 12/15/21	Meter Testing, TSR.	Modeling	printer in the address in a second provided the second s
57	Any CT, PT, Metering, RTU or	fri 1/1/21	Thu 9/30/21	Meter Yesting, TSR,	Technology	[] Meter Testing, TSR, Power Gen
58	Protoc Joshon and Marchine		Hughelin	A CONCENSION	Lashaalaau	i i Minise Testion TSR Down Sen
	Coolig	11/1/21	100 27 23 25	Power Gen	including y	, j meter texting for power own
59	Moter Valudation	Fu 7/1/31	Mon 10/3/22	Meter Todian 750	Technology	Meter Testing, TSR
60	Review Borato & Arcont COMD	Sat 1/1/11	E416/3/73	Mater To Hav TO	Modeling	provide a start of the start of
	**			and the store of t	and the second	

Utilicast

El Paso Electric

61 62	Tasi Name Settlement Statement Validatio Disputes	5tart F Tue 11/1/22 Sun 4/2/23	Faish Mon 5/15/23 Mon 5/15/23	 Besource Names + EE Settlements, Mar EE Settlements, Mar 	Notes • Technology Proce Technology Proce	нц В 1	2001 E F F w	11412,2 10 1 1 4	427 5 0 H	415H 1 2022 1 D L F M -	- N J	1454 2, 2022 1 A S	о и о Г	наят 1, 2023 1 F M A КОЛТ.	Hat 2 2323 M J J A T EE Settlements,	5 D N D Marketing Settle Marketing Settle	IIATI,	۰
64 64	 Itark 6 - Itaning & Readiness Initial Business Process Design 	thu 7/1/21	Maii 5/15/73 Fri 37/41/71	Markettag, Sys Ops,CE	Process	1	.	Ľ.		Marketing.Sy	Ops,EE Set	Vernents,Mark	eting Settlem	ienta				
65	Design Process / Strategy	Fri 4/1/22	Thu 9/15/22	Marketing, Sys Ops, EE	Process					I.			larketing, Sys	Ops,EE Settlemen	ts Marketing Settle:	ments		
6n	Refine Process / Procedures	5at 10/1/22	lue 2/28/23	Marketing. Sys Ops, FE	Process							r:		Marketi	ing,Sys Ops,EE Settl	ements Marketi	ng Settlements	
67	ISO CBT and Self Study	Mon 2/1/21	wed 6/16/21	All	Propie		i i	I AII										
63	izaining Curriculum Dev	Wed 9/1/21	lue 7/15/72	Marketing, Sys Ops, EE	Process				(Market	ang Sys Ops	EE Settlement	s,Marketing S	Settlements				
69	Detailed Concept & Process Training Delivery	Tue 3/1/22	Tue 8/30/22	Marketing, Sys Ops.EE	Process People					r===		Mar	keting,5ys Op	ps,EE Settlements,	Marketing Settleme	ints		
18	Sys Training	Fri 9/2/22	Mon 10/31/22	Marketing, Sys Ops,	Process People								j Marketi	ing,Sys Ops,EE Sett	tioments, Marketing	Sottlements		
71	ISO F2F Training	Tue 11/1/22	Thu 12/15/22	Sys Ops	Process People								11 5	iys Ops				
72	Train Process / Strategy	Tri 12/16/22	Frl 3/31/23	Marketing, Sys Ops	Process People									Ma	rketing,Sys Ops			
в	KPIs/Tuning	Wed 3/15/2,	Mon 5/15/23	Marketing, 5ys Ops	Process People									1	Marketing,Sys (Ops		

14.5.4 Planning Year Checklist of Activities and Efforts

These Efforts will be elaborated upon father in the final report, and are prerequisite or parallel initiatives to EIM. They have been modeled to be completed (or at least significantly advanced) ahead of the Implementation Timeline.

- EIM Benefits Study
- EIM Business Case
- Capital and O&M Planning Budgets
- Organizational Structure, Staffing & OCM
- Regulatory Impacts
- Future Agreements Impacts (PPAs)
- Metering Assessment Details; Potential Metering Infrastructure Upgrades
- Navigate Merger
- No Additional Option Evaluations Anticipated (ex: RC Provider, SPP EIM)



El Paso Electric

EIM Gap Assessment

14.6 Appendix 6 – EIM Cost Assessment

Navigation of the Scope List and Cost Assessment Excel Workbook

14.6.1 Intro

The Scope List and Cost Assessment Workbook was presented and walked through with the El Paso EIM Team on Wed Aug 7th, 2019. This document is provided to aid future and ongoing interpretation of the materials.

It is expected that a majority of stakeholder attention with these materials will reside on the "Project Cost and Effort Est" Tab. Provided below is some guidance on how the information is presenting in this and supporting tabs.

14.6.2 Tabs

Input Assumptions Scope List Project Cost and Effort Est Pivot Table Meter Detail Integration Detail Training Detail ISO Fi ... 🕘

The Tabs of this workbook fall into four (4) general categories.

- **Content and Reporting Tabs** (blue/green). These Tabs are the Results of the Scope and Cost effort. They represent where a majority of stakeholder attention will be focused.
- Assumptions Tab (dark gray). This Tab contain variables that affect the modeling used in the Scope and Cost effort.
- Input Detail Tabs (orange). These Tabs capture the inputs and details which populate and drive the Content tabs' results.
- **Reference Tabs** (no color). These Tabs are informational and provide context. They do not contain variables that affect the Content Tabs.

14.6.3 Content and Reporting Tabs



14.6.4 Project Cost and Effort Est

11	A B	C	D	E	F	G	Н	L L	К
6	Meta Data						Tasks		Resources
7	Org	- Group	- Function	- Category	- System	- Cost Type -	Cost Name	- Cost Detail / Note	Resource 👻
605	System Ops	Energy Mgmt	Metering	Modeling	None	Labor	Metering	SQMD Plan Creation	EPE Manager
606	System Ops	Energy Mgmt	Metering	Modeling	None	Labor	Metering	SQMD Plan Creation	Consultant SME
607	System Ops	Energy Mgmt	Metering	Modeling	None	Labor	Metering	Metering Portfolio Validation	EPE Analyst
608	System Ops	Energy Mgmt	Metering	Modeling	None	Labor	Metering	Metering Portfolio Validation	Consultant Analyst
609	System Ops	Energy Mgmt	Metering	Modeling	None	Labor	Metering	Metering Portfolio Validation	EPE Manager
610	Other	Physical Metering	Infrastructure	Modeling	None	Infrastructure	Metering	Meter and CT/PT changeout	design, materials, and
611	Other	Plants	Dispatch	Technology	GE EMS	Labor	Plant Controller	Tuning - no new infrastructur	e EPE Manager
612	Other	Plants	Dispatch	Technology	GE EMS	Labor	Plant Controller	Tuning - no new infrastructur	e EPE Manager
613	Other	Plants	Dispatch	Technology	GE EMS	Labor	Plant Controller	Tuning - no new infrastructur	e EPE Manager
614	System Ops	System Ops	Dispatch	Other	ICCP	Infrastructure	Telecom	to CAISO	
615	System Ops	EMS & IT	Dispatch	Modeling	ICCP	Labor	Telecom		EPE Analyst
616	System Ops	EMS & IT	Dispatch	Modeling	ICCP	Labor	Telecom		EPE Analyst
617	System Ops	EMS & IT	Dispatch	Modeling	ICCP	Labor	Telecom		EPE Analyst
618	System Ops	EMS & IT	Infrastructure	Modeling	None	Labor	Telecom		EPE Manager
619	Program	Project	Membership	Other	None	Fee	Membership Fee	Milestone #1	
620	Program	Project	Membership	Other	None	Fee	Membership Fee	Milestone #2	

It is anticipated that this tab is where the most Stakeholder attention will reside. Represented here are rows consisting of the itemized estimated efforts (or costs) that roll up to the project cost. The column

Contract Contract

El Paso Electric

EIM Gap Assessment

headers can be used to filter to more finite groupings and perspectives into the effort. Some brief Column definitions are:

- Org: Organizational alignment categories, as defined in the "Input Assumptions" Tab.
- <u>Grouping</u>: Grouping alignment values, as defined in the "Input Assumptions" Tab.
- <u>Function</u>: Description of the associated function.
- <u>Category</u>: This field relates to the Categories identified in the Scope List.
- <u>System</u>: Identifies when there is a corresponding application or system.
- <u>Cost Type</u>: Groups the costs into: Fee, Infrastructure, Integration, Labor, License
- <u>Cost Name</u>: Cost Grouping field.
- <u>Cost Detail / Note</u>: Open text description field for providing more context.
- <u>Resources</u>: Roles (with corresponding rates, as defined in the "Input Assumptions" Tab.)
- <u>Costs</u> (<u>Est Wk Days, Allo, Est Effort, Rate, Calc Est Cost, Input Est Cost</u>): Values which are calculated using Input data, and Assumptions Tab modeling.
- <u>Notes</u>: Open Text Field to capture thoughts and discussion points.
- <u>Total Est Cost</u>: The per-row Total Cost.

14.6.5 Scope List

The Additional Columns (past "<u>Total Est Cost</u>") are used as part of the calculations, schedule planning, and effort spreading. To not distract, these columns have been hidden, but are accessible if needed.

R D 2 EPE - EIM Project Scope List 3_ 4_ 44 Category v Name ▼ Notes 5 - Déscription Organizational Change Management Create OCM plan for managing communications, resistance, updating processes, defining Process 21 training and readiness criteria, execute OCM plan Other Registration Complete CAISO registration process for SC, complete CAISO registration process for EIM, pay EIM fees 22 ¹Technoloav System Selection Define and support the RFP process: evaluate vendor solutions, execute software contracts Needs 1 23 number Modeling 24 SQMD Creation Define market modeling for all metering locations, submit and validate metering portfolio Technical Architecture & Support Technology Define technical architecture, environment strategy, data management strategy, DR approach. cyber security strategy, participate in system selection and design, define integration patterns; 25 administer and maintain user access, manage certificates Process Tariff & Business Practice Updates Update OATT to reflect EIM rules, conduct outreach to impacted Transmission Customers, file update OATT with FERC and obtain approval, update published business practices 26 Other FIM Market Base Rates Conduct EIM MBR study; file results with FERC and obtain EIM MBR (note - this is similar to 27 but not the same as bilateral MBR) Process Participation Strategy Define strategy for hourly CAISO submission to maximize EIM benefits while honoring physical equipment capabilities and maintaining compliance with regulations 28 People Training & Readiness Create market, software, process and strategy training, take CAISO computer based training

The Scope List tab presents the areas where efforts will be applied for implementing and operating in EIM. These are a higher-level view of the effort categories compared with the itemized list contained in the "Project Cost and Effort Est" Tab.

Copyright 2019, El Paso Electric All rights reserved. Proprietary and confidential.

🥔 Utilicast

El Paso Electric

EIM Gap Assessment

14.6.6 Pivot Table

_	A	В	L C	D			
1_							
2		EPE - EIM Project Cost & Effort Pivot Summary					
3							
4		Row Labels 🔤	Sum of Est Effort	Sum of Tot Est Cost	_		
5_		😑 System Ops	26,496	4,477,989			
6		⊕Accounting	252	24,660			
7		⊞EMS & IT	6.366	748.167			

The Pivot Table Tab is provided to aid in representing the cost breakdown across EPE depts/areas and other categorizations. As the name suggests, it is pivot table of the values in the "Project Cost and Effort Est" Tab.

14.6.7 Input Assumptions Tab

MORASSUM MARK

The values in this tab affect the model, and are used in the calculations represented in the "Project Cost and Effort Est" Tab. This tab contains the modeling assumptions for:

- Project Milestone Dates Approximate important project dates
- Work Hours / Net Work Days Model of assumed hours for resources
- Resources Project Roles and associated Rates
- Interfaces The amount of effort assumes with different classifications of interface complexity ratings:
 - o Design
 - o Dev (Development)
 - Test (Testing)
 - KX (Knowledge Transfer)
 - S (sequential number, ignore)
- Incremental Staff New FTE Roles and associated Rates
- Month lookup Used for scheduling Effort spreading (behind the scenes)
- Org Names Organizational Alignment Categories
- Group Names EPE Departments and Areas
- Function Functions needed during EIM and implementation
- System / Config List of identified applications and associated aspects
- Cost Names Mapping between efforts and if they will be recorded at Capital, or O&M.
- Resource Names, Sort 1 & Sort 2 Used behind the scenes, can be ignored.



El Paso Electric

EIM Gap Assessment

14.6.8 Input Detail Tabs

Meter Detail | Integration Detail | Training Detail | ISO Fee Detail | Network Model Detail | Outreach Detail |

These Input Detail Tabs are in different formats, defined by the methods in which they were collected. They document the information which was used to make decisions and interpretations affecting the Scope and Cost efforts.

14.6.9 Reference Tabs

OASIS Reports | CMRI Reports | Terms |

These Tabs provide context for stakeholders. The two reports tabs are lists of CAISO provided reports, to aid in quantifying that scope. The Terms tab is a glossary of terms

The following files are not convertible:

CEP 06-17_Attachment 03.xlsx CEP 06-20_Attachment 01_A&G Charges and CEP 06-20_Attachment 02.xlsx

Please see the ZIP file for this Filing on the PUC Interchange in order to access these files.

Contact centralrecords@puc.texas.gov if you have any questions.

SOAH Docket No 473-21-2606 PUC Docket No 52195 CEP's 6th, Q No CEP 06-21 Attachment 1 Page 1 of 288



MICHAEL MCLAUGHLIN Vice President Operations Support

5801 S Wintersburg Rd Tonopah, AZ 85354

ID: 510-00390 Date: February 21, 2020

To: File

Sta. # Ext. #

	MENUOD
From:	M. McLaughlin
Sta. #	7605
Ext. #	82-5761

Subject: **PVGS January 2020 Executive Cost Report**

Attached is the PVGS Executive Cost Report that reflects information for January 2020 for the O&M (including incentives), Capital (including incentives), and Nuclear Fuel budgets. The report compares actual costs to budget for the month, year-to-date (YTD), and presents the year-end variances as summarized below:

	YTD	YTD	Year – End
Category	Budget	Actual	Variance
O&M	46.20	44.59	0.00
Capital	14.41	10.09	0.00
Nuclear Fuel	13.85	9.52	(0.09)
Total	74.46	64.21	(0.09)

(Millions of Dollars)

Please call me if you have any questions or comments.

MM / SB

Attachment

7602
9040
8201
7605
7605
7602

J. McGill	7990
T. Mionske	7990
E. Asturias	7990

SOAH Docket No. 473-21-2606 PUC Docket No. 52195 CEP's 6th, Q. No. CEP 06-21 Attachment 1 Page 2 of 288

Palo Verde Generating Station Executive Cost Report January 2020 **Prepared by Business Operations**

Palo Verde Generating Station Executive Cost Report

Table of Contents

All Budgets Recap	1
Operations & Maintenance Explanation	2
Capital Improvements Explanation	4
Inventory Explanation	6
Nuclear Fuel Explanation	7
O&M Power Block	8
Capital Improvements Variance	9
Nuclear Fuel	10
Inventory	11
Revenue	13
Outage (O&M)	14
Manpower / Overtime	15
Contract Labor / Contract Service	16
YTD Production Cost / O&M Cost per KWH	17
O&M Cost per KWH	18
O&M FERC Report	19
Estimated Incentives, Severances and Adjustments	20
O&M & Capital Monthly Cash Flows	21

	Current Month		Year-to-Date			Year-End Forecast			
	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Var.</u>	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Var.</u>	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Forecast</u>	<u>Var.</u>
O & M Incentives (w/ Loads & Adj)	46.20 -	44.59 -	(1.61) -	46.20 -	44.59 -	(1.61) -	582.90 42.70	582.90 42.70	0.00 (0.00)
Sub Total O&M	46.20	44.59	(1.61)	46.20	44.59	(1.61)	625.60	625.60	0.00
Capital Incentives (w/ Loads & Adj)	14.41 - 14.41	10.09 _ 10.09	(4.32) (4.32)	14.41 - 14.41	10.09 _ 10.09	(4.32) (4.32)	230.70 2.30 233.00	230.70 2.30 233.00	0.00 0.00 0.00
Nuclear Fuel	13.85	9.52	(4.33)	13.85	9.52	(4.33)	214.69	214.60	(0.09)
Total	74.46	64.21	(10.26)	74.46	64.21	(10.26)	1,073.29	1,073.20	(0.09)
Net Inventory				173.80	185.53	11.73	170.90	179.44	8.54
Revenue	0.24	0.16	(0.08)	0.24	0.16	(0.08)	3.21	3.21	0.00

January 2020 MTD O&M Variance: (\$1.61) Under-run

MTD	Comments
\$0.00	Budget Timing: Incentives:
(\$1.06)	Online: U3 RCP Seal Material (Capital move in Feb) \$0.33, Relocations Costs [Potential Savings, under review] (\$0.10), Site Leader Training [Feb/March] (\$0.10), Insurance [Potential Savings, under review] (\$0.16), Station Services [Software Maintenance Fees- under review] (\$0.20), Site Support [Various Groups - 2nd Qtr] (\$0.24), Sandia Labs/Policy Group [Contract Review - 1st Qtr] (\$0.59)
(\$0.10)	Outage: 2R22 Material [Request Timing - April] (\$0.10)
	Budget Savings:
(\$0.10)	Online: Engineering License - ETAP [RUC] (\$0.10)
(\$0.60)	Outage: Prior Year Outage Support [Final Invoicing/Material Returns] (\$0.60)
	Budget Impacts:
\$0.25	Online: Water Resources Chemical Price Changes (Lime/Sulfuric Acid) \$0.15, NAU Degree Program \$0.10
\$0.00	Outage:

(\$1.61)

Total

January 2020 YTD O&M Variance: (\$1.61) Under-run

YTD	Comments
\$0.00	Budget Timing: Incentives:
(\$1.06)	Online: U3 RCP Seal Material (Capital move in Feb) \$0.33, Relocations Costs [Potential Savings, under review] (\$0.10), Site Leader Training [Feb/March] (\$0.10), Insurance [Potential Savings, under review] (\$0.16), Station Services [Software Maintenance Fees- under review] (\$0.20), Site Support [Various Groups - 2nd Qtr] (\$0.24), Sandia Labs/Policy Group [Contract Review - 1st Qtr] (\$0.59)
(\$0.10)	Outage: 2R22 Material [Request Timing - April] (\$0.10)
(\$0.10)	<u>Budget Savings:</u> Online: Engineering License - ETAP (RUC) (\$0.10)
(\$0.60)	Outage: Prior Year Outage Support [Final Invoicing/Material Returns] (\$0.60)
\$0.00	Budget Impacts: Incentives:
\$0.25	Online: Water Resources Chemical Price Changes (Lime/Sulfuric Acid) \$0.15, NAU Degree Program \$0.10
\$0.00	Outage:

Total (\$1.61)

January 2020 MTD Capital Variance: (\$4.32) Under-run

	MTD	Comments
	(\$3.92)	<u>Budget Timing:</u> Digital SMP Phase I U3 [Due to delays with vendor - 1st Qtr] (\$0.91), Clarifiers Life Extension T3 [Due to project delays needed to support emergent projects - 1st Qtr] (\$0.81), EDG Spare Generator [Due to milestone payment being deferred to March] (\$0.81), Security Access Control Computer Replacement [Due to engineering delays - 2nd Qtr] (\$0.76), Digital SMP Phase II [Due to delays in issuing engineering purchase order - 1st Qtr] (\$0.56), Misc. (\$0.07)
	(\$1.10)	<u>Budget Savings:</u> RCP Motor Repl 1R22 [2020 Milestone payment was executed in 2019] (\$1.10)
Total	\$0.70	Budget Impacts: 45 Acre Inlet Structure [Emergent project not budgeted for in 2020]

January 2020 YTD Capital Variance: (\$4.32) Under-run

	YTD	Comments			
	(\$3.92)	<u>Budget Timing:</u> Digital SMP Phase I U3 [Due to delays with vendor - 1st Qtr] (\$0.91), Clarifiers Life Extension T3 [Due to project delays needed to support emergent projects - 1st Qtr] (\$0.81), EDG Spare Generator [Due to milestone payment being deferred to March] (\$0.81), Security Access Control Computer Replacement [Due to engineering delays - 2nd Qtr] (\$0.76), Digital SMP Phase II [Due to delays in issuing engineering purchase order - 1st Qtr] (\$0.56), Misc. (\$0.07)			
	(\$1.10)	<u>Budget Savings:</u> RCP Motor Repl 1R22 [2020 Milestone payment was executed in 2019] (\$1.10)			
Total	\$0.70	<u>Budget Impacts:</u> 45 Acre Inlet Structure [Emergent project not budgeted for in 2020]			

January 2020 YTD Inventory Variance: \$11.73 Over-run

	YTD	Comments
	\$2.53 \$0.00	<u>Budget Timing:</u> Less Receipts (\$0.13); Less Issues \$0.81; Greater Adjustments \$2.95; Less Material Returns (\$0.73); More Material Sales (\$0.37) <u>Budget Savings:</u>
Total	\$9.20 \$11.73	Budget Impacts: 2019 Inventory Impacts [Less Issues / More Adjustments Partially offset by Less Receipts / Less Returns \$2.70; MST / Support Ring Moved from Capital Project to Inventory \$6.5M

PVGS Executive Cost Report Nuclear Fuels

January 2020

(\$ Millions)

	YTD	Project	Comments
		<u>FIP:</u>	
	(\$5.32)	Fabrication	Timing - U3C23 NGF Milestone payment moved to September
	\$1.71	Use Tax	Timing - U2C23 Use Tax budgeted for February with partial accrual in January and February
	\$0.09	Labor	Timing - Framatome Fuel Implementation project
	(\$0.02)	Outside Services	Timing - Framatome Fuel Implementation project
	\$0.04	Other	Timing - Studsvick fees booked in January as material instead of outside services will be corrected in February
Subtotal FIP	(\$3.50)	DCS:	
	(\$0.27)	Labor	Timing - MAGNASTOR implementation project
	(\$0.18)	Materials	Timing - MAGNASTOR material milestones
	(\$0.37)	Outside Services	Timing - MAGNASTOR engineering services
	(\$0.01)	Other	Timing - MAGNASTOR rental services
Subtotal DCS	(\$0.83)		
Total	(\$4.33)		
PVGS Executive Cost Report O&M Power Block Report January 2020

(\$000)

	!	Cur	rent Month		-1	[-	Total	Remaining			
	Budget	Actual	Variance	% Var		Budget	Actual	Variance	% Var		Budget	Budget
UNIT - 1	12,999	12,725	(274)	-2%		12,999	12,725	(274)	-2%		188,140	175,415
UNIT - 2	13,347	12,869	(478)	-4%		13,347	12,869	(478)	-4%		192,593	179,724
UNIT - 3	12,766	11,312	(1,454)	-11%		12,766	11,312	(1,454)	-11%		157,116	145,804
COMMON	1,082	1,707	625	58%		1,082	1,707	625	58%		14,134	12,427
PVUNALL	(0)	(0)	(0)	0%		(0)	(0)	(0)	0%		(0)	0
WRF	6,004	5,980	(24)	0%		6,004	5,980	(24)	0%		73,617	67,637
GENERAL	0	(0)	(0)	0%	_	0	(0)	(0)	0%	_	(0)	0
TOTAL PV	46,199	44,594	(1,605)	-3%		46,199	44,594	(1,605)	-3%		625,600	581,006
PAYROLL	20,270	20,218	(51)	0%		20,270	20,218	(51)	0%		271,559	251,341
CONTRACT LABOR	2,415	2,479	64	3%		2,415	2,479	64	3%		44,158	41,679
MATL / SUPPLIES	4,547	5,024	477	10%		4,547	5,024	477	10%		63,834	58,810
OUTSIDE SERVICES	3,644	1,740	(1,904)	-52%		3,644	1,740	(1,904)	-52%		58,557	56,817
OTHER	15,323	15,133	(191)	-1%	_	15,323	15,133	(191)	-1%		187,492	172,360
TOTAL PV	46,199	44,594	(1,605)	-3%		46,199	44,594	(1,605)	-3%		625,600	581,006

SOAH Docket No 473-21-2606 PUC Docket No 52195 CEP's 6th, Q No CEP 06-21 Attachment 1 Page 11 of 288

PVGS Executive Cost Report Capital Improvements Variance Summary January 2020 (\$000)

<> Current Month>	< Year-to-Date
-------------------	----------------

	Budget Category	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Variance</u>	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Variance</u>	<u>Percent</u>	Annual <u>Budget</u>
1	Plant Modifications	3,964	1,592	(2,372)	-60%	3,964	1,592	(2,372)	-60%	46,395
2	Equipment & Replacements	4,310	3,149	(1,161)	-27%	4,310	3,149	(1,161)	-27%	94,740
3	Buildings	520	9	(511)	-98%	520	9	(511)	-98%	8,995
4	General Plant	430	856	427	99%	430	856	427	99%	8,059
5	Computers	382	264	(117)	-31%	382	264	(117)	-31%	18,406
6	Water Rec Facility	3,300	3,136	(164)	-5%	3,300	3,136	(164)	-5%	35,162
7	Overheads	1,502	1,083	(419)	-28%	1,502	1,083	(419)	-28%	18,677
8	Emergent Work Fund	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0%	2,566
9	Fukushima	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0%	0
11	Capitalized Incentives	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0%	0
	Totals	14,408	10,089	(4,319)	-30%	14,408	10,089	(4,319)	-30%	233,000

PVGS Executive Cost Report Nuclear Fuel Report January 2020 (\$000)

	C	Current Month			ear-To-Date		Year-End			
	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Variance</u>	<u>Budget</u>	Actual	<u>Variance</u>	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Forecast</u>	<u>Variance</u>	
Fuel In Process	12,933	9,442	(3,491)	12,933	9,442	(3,491)	215,000	214,318	(682)	
Fuel In Stock	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Spent Fuel Disposal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Dry Cask Storage	917	81	(836)	917	81	(836)	(309)	281	590	
TOTAL NUCLEAR FUEL	13,850	9,523	(4,327)	13,850	9,523	(4,327)	214,691	214,599	(92)	

PVGS Executive Cost Report Inventory Balance Sheet January 2020

December 2019 Ending Inventory Balance less Amortization

Increases: \$2,969,742 Receipts Returns \$7,971,228 (\$124,949)Inventory Adjustments Intercompany Balance \$0 (\$6,484,363) Accounting Adjustments Misc JVs (Repair Accrual) \$0 \$0 Misc JV (SOX Accrual) Subtotal \$4,331,658 Decreases: Issues (\$4,556,259)Net Change (\$224,601) **Amortization Transactions:** Monthly Amortization Cost (\$200,000) Write Offs & Sales (\$222,475) (\$422,475) \$185,527,466 January 2020 Ending Inventory Balance less Amortization • Sales to outside utilities for the month was \$423,197 that was written off at original cost. • The above sales were invoiced for a total of \$534,349 (net 30 days) • Current month revenue received for sales to outside utilities totaled (\$111,152) • To Date Amortization Balance = (\$40,882,301) • Capitalized Spare Account Balance Original Cost = \$32,538,003

Notes:

\$186,174,542

PVGS Executive Cost Report Major Inventory Transactions (Over \$25K) January 2020

INVENTORY

		<u>Qty</u>	<u>\$ Value</u>			Qty	<u>\$ Value</u>
Issue:	DIAGNOSTIC SYSTEM	3	\$55,860	Restock:	VALVE PRZ SAFETY 6 IN	1	\$101,217
	3000 AMP BREAKER WRF	2	\$25,000		VALVE PRZ SAFETY 6 IN	1	\$101,217
	ACTUATOR, AIR CYLINDER	1	\$29,010		VALVE PRZ SAFETY 6 IN	1	\$101,217
	GEARBOX, 2070Y1-B	1	\$33,715		VALVE PRZ SAFETY 6 IN	1	\$101,217
	SOURCE(N-16) AM-214/C-13	1	\$70,935		PUMP REP KIT, EXCL. SOFT	1	\$37,904
	VALVE ASSEMBLY, MSV 2	1	\$196,011		PUMP REP KIT, EXCL. SOFT	1	\$37,904
	BREAKER, CIRCUIT	1	\$190,000		BREAKER, CIRCUIT K2000S	1	\$26,130
	TRANSDUCER, EP, 4-20MA	4	\$102,671		BREAKER, CIRCUIT	1	\$190,000
	VALVE, DIAPHRAGM, 3 IN	1	\$56,311		MAIN STM CIV-IV	1	\$66,885
	MODULE, CEDMCS OPERATOR	1	\$68,356		CIV-SV ACTUATOR	1	\$78,128
	VALVE, GLOBE,REG, 4"	1	\$99,886		MULTI-STUD TENSIONER	1	\$6,520,000
	VALVE, SOLENOID 2"	1	\$41,525				
Received:	COUPLING, OVERSPEED	2	\$88,126				
	CKTBRK, 3000A, SS4	1	\$44,971				
	MOTOR, 19.7HP,256TY	1	\$120,894				
	3000 AMP BREAKER WRF	2	\$50,000				
	DIAGNOSTIC SYSTEM	3	\$155,310				
	VLV ACTUATOR SMB-3W/H6BC	2	\$159,954				
	OPERATOR, VALVE	1	\$67,175				
	VALVE, DIAPHRAGM, 3 IN	1	\$56,311				
	VALVE, CHECK, 24IN	1	\$38,460				
	ACTUATOR, BETTIS	1	\$43,776				

Revenue, Budget, Actuals, Forecast Variance By Month January 2020

Description		Total	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Quantity (acre-feet):						•	-				-			
T&T Units 1 & 2	Budget	3,674	274	193	206	94	547	382	454	433	424	98	365	204
	Act/F'cast	3,576	176	193	206	94	547	382	454	433	424	98	365	204
	Var	(98)	(98)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Unit Rate (\$/acre-foc	<u>ot):</u>													
Transportation & Trea	tment	\$843												
<u>Revenue (\$ 000):</u>														
	Budget	3,099	231	163	174	79	461	322	383	365	358	83	308	172
T&T Units 1 & 2	Act/F'cast	3,017	149	163	174	79	461	322	383	365	358	83	308	172
Adjustments*	Act/F'cast	80		80										
	Var	(2)	(82)	80	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Initial Reserve Fee &	Budget	38	4	4	4	5	1	2	2	2	2	5	3	4
Credits - U1 & U2	Act/F'cast	38	4	4	4	5	1	2	2	2	2	5	3	4
	Var	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	-
Add'l Reserve Fee &	Budget	75	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Credits - U3 & U4	Act/F'cast	75	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
	Var	-	-			-	-		-	-			-	-
2019 Transportation	Budget	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
and Treatment	Act/F'cast						-							
True-Ups	Var	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Revenue	Budget	3,212	241	173	184	91	469	330	391	373	366	94	317	182
	Act/F'cast	3,212	160	254	184	91	469	330	391	373	366	94	317	182
	Var		(82)	81	<u></u>	0	0	<u> </u>	0	<u> </u>			0	<u> </u>

PVGS Executive Cost Report Total Outage (O&M) January 2020 (\$ Millions)

	<u>Cur</u>	rent Mont	<u>th</u>	<u>Ye</u>	ar-To-Date	2	Year-End			
2020 Outages	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Var.</u>	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Var.</u>	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Forecast</u>	<u>Var.</u>	
Unit - 2 0.44 0.32 (0.1		(0.12)	0.44	0.32	(0.12)	34.23	34.23	-		
Unit - 1	-	-	-	-	-	-	28.96	28.96	-	
Sub-Total 2020 Outages	0.44	0.32	(0.12)	0.45	0.32	(0.12)	63.19	63.19	-	
2019 Outage Expenses										
Unit - 1	-	0.12	0.12	-	0.12	0.12	-	0.12	0.12	
Unit - 3	-	(0.61)	(0.61)	-	(0.61)	(0.61)	-	(0.61)	(0.61)	
Sub-Total 2019 Outages	-	(0.49)	(0.49)	-	(0.49)	(0.49)	-	(0.49)	(0.49)	
Total	0.44	(0.17)	(0.60)	0.45	(0.17)	(0.61)	63.19	62.70	(0.49)	

PVGS Executive Cost Report APS Employees & Overtime Contract Labor Overtime January 2020

			Employees	ime %	Contract Labor%Overtime %			
	<u>Organization</u>	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Var</u>	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Actual</u>
82PP	Nuclear Operations	1,177	1,178	1	8%	8%	1%	2%
97PP	Nuclear Engineering	338	329	(9)	1%	1%	0%	3%
96PP	Emergency Services & Support	434	441	7	18%	19%	1%	0%
83PP	Station Support Organizations	59	52	(7)	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Total	2,008	2,000	(8)	9%	9%	1%	1%
	Year-To-Date Average	2,008	2,000	(8)	9%	9%	1%	1%
	Equivalent "Borrowed" Employee	es						
	Current Month	56	58	2				
	Year-To-Date	56	58	2				

Note: All budgets and actual include O&M, Capital and Fuel values.

PVGS Executive Cost Report Contract Labor and Outside Service Report January 2020 (\$000)

			Non-Outa	age O&M			Outage O&M							
ORGANIZATION	Co	ontract Labo	or	Ou	tside Servic	es	Co	ntract La	bor	Outs	side Servio	es		
	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Variance</u>											
82PP Total Nuc Operat	tions													
Current Month	\$1,397	\$1,522	\$125	\$951	\$626	(\$326)	\$0	\$52	\$52	\$0	(\$121)	(\$121)		
YTD Closed	\$1,397	\$1,522	\$125	\$951	\$626	(\$326)	\$0	\$52	\$52	\$0	(\$121)	(\$121)		
97PP Tot Nuclear Eng	& Support													
Current Month	\$193	\$130	(\$63)	\$516	\$283	(\$233)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$249)	(\$249)		
YTD Closed	\$193	\$130	(\$63)	\$516	\$283	(\$233)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$249)	(\$249)		
95PP Tot Reg Affairs/P	lt Improv													
Current Month	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
YTD Closed	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
96PP Total Operations	Support													
Current Month	\$821	\$770	(\$51)	\$559	\$412	(\$147)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$33)	(\$33)		
YTD Closed	\$821	\$770	(\$51)	\$559	\$412	(\$147)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$33)	(\$33)		
83PP Total Station Sup	oport													
Current Month	\$4	\$5	\$1	\$1,618	\$822	(\$803)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
YTD Closed	\$4	\$5	\$1	\$1,618	\$822	(\$803)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Total														
Current Month	\$2,415	\$2,427	\$12	\$3,644	\$2,143	(\$1,508)	\$0	\$52	\$52	\$0	(\$403)	(\$403)		
YTD Closed	\$2,415	\$2,427	\$12	\$3,644	\$2,143	(\$1,508)	\$0	\$52	\$52	\$0	(\$403)	(\$403)		



PVGS Executive Cost Report O&M Cost per Kilowatt Hour

January 2020

Α.	O&M Costs (\$000):		44,594	
	Amortization/Redhawk Tertiary		(344)	
	Incentives		Û Û	
		Net O&M:	44,250	-
B.	Net Generation (MWh):	Unit 1	995.024	
		Unit 2	997 550	
		Unit 3	983,420	
		 Total	<u>2,975,994</u>	-
C.	Net O&M Cost per kWh:		1.487	Cents per KWhr (1 & 3)
D.	Nuclear Fuel Expense:		0.722	Cents per KWhr (2)
E.	Total O&M Cost per kWh (W/Fuel)		2.209	Cents per KWhr (1, 2, & 3)
I. 201	19 Actual:		2.67	Cents per KWhr (1, 2, & 3)
II. 20′	18 Actual Revised:		2.69	Cents per KWhr (1, 2, & 3)
III. 201	17 Actual Revised:		2.78	Cents per KWhr (1 & 2)
IV. 201	16 Actual Revised:		2.81	Cents per KWhr (1 & 2)
V . 201	15 Actual Revised:		2.78	Cents per KWhr (1 & 2)
VI. 201	14 Actual Revised:		2.87	Cents per KWhr (1 & 2)
VII. 201	13 Actual Revised:		2.93	Cents per KWhr (1 & 2)
VIII 20 ²	12 Actual Revised:		2.92	Cents per KWhr (1 & 2)
IX. 201	11 Actual Revised:		2.90	Cents per KWhr (1 & 2)
X. 201	10 Actual Revised:		2.83	Cents per KWhr (1 & 2)

Note: Revision of the Production Cost Calculation

(1) O&M is calculated as O&M costs including incentives, less amortization

(2) Fuel Expense: YTD = \$21.5 M. The fixed and variable costs related to nuclear spent fuel rod storage are not included in the unit costs.
 (3) O&M is calculated to exclude Redhawk Tertiary

PVGS Executive Cost Report FERC Cost Report January 2020 (\$ 000)

		Current Month					Year to Date				1 🗆	Total	Remaining
		Budget	Actual	Variance	% Var		Budget	Actual	Variance	% Var		Budget	Budget
	Production Operations												
5170000	517 Ops Supy & Eng	7.095	5.403	(1.692)	-24%		7.095	5,403	(1.692)	-24%		75.018	69.616
5190000	519 Coolants and Water	4,006	3,764	(242)	-6%		4,006	3,764	(242)	-6%		50,241	46,477
5200000	520 Steam Expenses	2,304	1,840	(464)	-20%		2,304	1,840	(464)	-20%		33,114	31,274
5230000	523 Electric Expenses	3,948	2,805	(1,143)	-29%		3,948	2,805	(1,143)	-29%		41,238	38,432
5240000	524 Misc Nuc Power Exp	10,216	12,483	2,268	22%		10,216	12,483	2,268	22%		141,452	128,969
5250000	525 Nuc Power Rents	0%			-	-	-	0%		-	-		
	Total Production Operations	27,569	26,296	(1,273)	-5%		27,569	26,296	(1,273)	-5%		341,063	314,768
	Production Maintenance												
5280000	528 Supv & Eng	631	203	(428)	-68%		631	203	(428)	-68%		18,991	18,788
5290000	529 Maint of Structure	(122)	438	560	-458%		(122)	438	560	-458%		6,129	5,691
5300000	530 Maint of Reactor Plant Equip	2,893	1,973	(920)	-32%		2,893	1,973	(920)	-32%		62,498	60,525
5310000	531 Maint of Electric Plant	1,332	1,788	456	34%		1,332	1,788	456	34%		22,839	21,051
5320000	532 Maint of Misc Nuc Plant	440	545	105	24%		440	545	105	24%		6,249	5,704
	Total Production Maintenance	5,173	4,947	(226)	-4%		5,173	4,947	(226)	-4%		116,706	111,759
	<u>Transmission</u>												
5560000	556 Sys Cntl/Load Dispatch	590	533	(57)	-10%		590	533	(57)	-10%		7,229	6,696
	Total Transmissions	590	533	(57)	-10%		590	533	(57)	-10%		7,229	6,696
	<u>Other</u>												
4081001	408 Payroll Taxes	1,419	1,415	(4)	0%		1,419	1,415	(4)	0%		19,009	17,594
4082001	408 Other Taxes	-	-	-	0%		-	-	-	0%		-	-
4261000	426 Donations/Penalties	10	114	104	1040%		10	114	104	1040%		112	(2)
4264000	426 Civic Political	-	2	2	0%		-	2	2	0%		-	(2)
4265000	426 Other Deductions/Exp	-	-	-	0%		-	-	-	0%		-	-
9240000	924 Property Insurance	566	543	(23)	-4%		566	543	(23)	-4%		6,786	6,243
9250000	925 Injuries & Damages	444	303	(141)	-32%		444	303	(141)	-32%		3,971	3,668
9250001	925 Injuries & Damages - Benefits	177	176	(1)	0%		177	176	(1)	0%		1,941	1,765
9260001	926 Pensions & Benefits	3,443	3,427	(16)	0%		3,443	3,427	(16)	0%		37,841	34,414
9280000	928 Reg Commission Exp	1,335	1,308	(27)	-2%		1,335	1,308	(27)	-2%		17,621	16,313
9302000	930 Admin & General Exp	5,473	5,529	56	1%		5,473	5,529	56	1%		73,321	67,792
	Total Other	12,866	12,817	(49)	0%		12,866	12,817	(49)	0%		160,601	147,784
	Total	46,199	44,594	(1,605)	-3%	= =	46,199	44,594	(1,605)	-3%		625,600	581,006

SOAH Docket No 473-21-2606 PUC Docket No 52195 CEP's 6th, Q No CEP 06-21 Attachment 1 Page 23 of 288

PVGS Executive Cost Report

2020 Total (O&M and Capital) Actual Incentives with Loads January 2020

\$ 33,582 -	Est. Est.	Total Year End Incentive Total Unit-3 Outage Incentive (100 day run)
33,582	:	Subtotal Estimate Incentives for 2020
\$ 11,418	Est.	Total Loads For Year-End Incentive
 -	Est.	Total Unit-3 Outage Incentive (100 day run)
 11,410		Subtotal Estimate incentive Load for 2020
\$ -	Est.	2019 EIP True Up
-	Est.	2019 EIP Incentive Payroll
 -	Est.	2019 EIP Incentive Loads
0		Subtotal Incentives - Prior Year Adjustments
\$ 45,000		Total (O&M and Capital) Actual Incentives and Loads for 2020

PVGS Executive Cost Report

Year End Forecast

January 2020

(\$ Millions)

	YTD	Actuals					F	Forecast						Year End
	Actuals	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Variance
O & M	44.59	44.59	41.98	50.13	72.11	44.78	44.01	43.43	42.94	45.87	64.94	43.83	44.29	-
Incentives (w/ Loads & Adj)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	42.70	-
Exclusions (1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sub Total O&M	44.59	44.59	41.98	1.98 50.13	72.11	44.78	44.01	43.43	42.94	45.87	64.94	43.83	86.99	-
	YTD	Actuals	Forecast						Year End					
	Actuals	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Variance
Capital	10.09	10.09	13.85	19.29	34.56	14.73	15.74	8.35	11.47	27.85	35.04	21.06	18.67	-
Incentives (w/ Loads & Adj)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.30	-
Exclusions (1)		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Sub Total Capital	10.09	10.09	13.85	19.29	34.56	14.73	15.74	8.35	11.47	27.85	35.04	21.06	20.97	-

(1) Exclusions include: Mid- Year True-Ups (A&G/PRD, Load Dispatch - Exhibit 1 A&G) and any Mid -Year Rate Changes.

SOAH Docket No 473-21-2606 PUC Docket No 52195 CEP's 6th, Q No CEP 06-21 Attachment 1 Page 24 of 288

SOAH Docket No 473-21-2606 PUC Docket No 52195 CEP's 6th, Q No CEP 06-21 Attachment 1 Page 25 of 288



MICHAEL MCLAUGHLIN Vice President Operations Support

5801 S Wintersburg Rd Tonopah, AZ 85354

ID:	510-00392
Date:	March 25, 2020
To: Sta. # Ext. #	File
From:	M. McLaughlin <i>M. E. M.</i>
Sta. #	7605
Ext. #	82-5761

Subject: PVGS February 2020 Executive Cost Report

Attached is the PVGS Executive Cost Report that reflects information for February 2020 for the O&M (including incentives), Capital (including incentives), and Nuclear Fuel budgets. The report compares actual costs to budget for the month, year-to-date (YTD), and presents the year-end variances as summarized below:

	YTD	YTD	Year – End
Category	Budget	Actual	Variance
O&M	89.59	87.52	0.00
Capital	25.35	22.16	0.00
Nuclear Fuel	67.47	62.11	(0.31)
Total	182.41	171.79	(0.31)

(Millions of Dollars)

Please call me if you have any questions or comments.

MM / SB

Attachment

cc:	J. Cadogan	7602	J. McGill	7990
	J. Guldner	9040	T. Mionske	7990
	T. Horton	8201	E. Asturias	7990
	M. Lacal	7605		
	M. McLaughlin	7605		
	B. Rash	7602		

SOAH Docket No. 473-21-2606 PUC Docket No. 52195 CEP's 6th, Q. No. CEP 06-21 Attachment 1 Page 26 of 288

Palo Verde Generating Station Executive Cost Report February 2020 **Prepared by Business Operations**

Palo Verde Generating Station Executive Cost Report

Table of Contents

All Budgets Recap	1
Operations & Maintenance Explanation	2
Capital Improvements Explanation	4
Inventory Explanation	6
Nuclear Fuel Explanation	7
O&M Power Block	8
Capital Improvements Variance	9
Nuclear Fuel	10
Inventory	11
Revenue	13
Outage (O&M)	14
Manpower / Overtime	15
Contract Labor / Contract Service	16
YTD Production Cost / O&M Cost per KWH	17
O&M Cost per KWH	18
O&M FERC Report	19
Estimated Incentives, Severances and Adjustments	20
O&M & Capital Monthly Cash Flows	21

	<u>Cur</u>	rent Mont	<u>h</u>	<u>Ye</u>	<u>ar-to-Date</u>		Year-End Forecast		
	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Var.</u>	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Var.</u>	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Forecast</u>	<u>Var.</u>
O & M	42.79	42.93	0.13	88.98	87.52	(1.47)	582.90	582.90	0.00
Incentives (w/ Loads & Adj)	0.61	-	(0.61)	0.61	-	(0.61)	42.70	42.70	(0.00)
Sub Total O&M	43.39	42.93	(0.48)	89.59	87.52	(2.08)	625.60	625.60	0.00
Capital	10.94	12.07	1.13	25.35	22.16	(3.20)	230.70	230.70	0.00
Incentives (w/ Loads & Adj)	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.30	2.30	0.00
	10.94	12.07	1.13	25.35	22.16	(3.20)	233.00	233.00	0.00
Nuclear Fuel	53.62	52.59	(1.03)	67.47	62.11	(5.36)	214.69	214.38	(0.31)
Total	107.95	107.58	(0.38)	182.41	171.79	(10.64)	1,073.29	1,072.98	(0.31)
Net Inventory				171.90	183.78	11.88	170.90	179.44	8.54
Revenue	0.17	0.33	0.15	0.41	0.49	0.08	3.21	3.21	0.00

February 2020 MTD O&M Variance: (\$0.48) Under-run

N	ITD	Comments
\$C).00	Budget Timing: Incentives:
\$C).77	Online: Site Support (Various Groups - 2nd Qtr) \$0.38, Payroll Overtime Accrual Reversal (March) \$0.36, Site Chemicals (Powerblock) \$0.03
(\$0	0.38)	Outage: 2R22 Material [Request Timing - April] \$0.39, Contract Labor (April) \$0.17, Engineering Services [Purchase Request Timing- March] (\$0.94)
(\$0	0.61)	<u>Budget Savings:</u> Incentives: U3R21 100 Day Run
(\$0	D.18)	Online: Insurance (Allocation Adjustment) (\$0.18)
(\$0	0.84)	Outage: Prior Year Outage Support [Final Invoicing/Material Returns] (\$0.84) Budget Impacts:
\$C).76	Online: U3 RCP 1B SNO (APS Overtime, Labor OT, Materials) \$0.52, Material Average Unit Cost Adjustments \$0.14, DOE (Insurance) \$0.10
\$C	0.00	Outage:
Total (\$0	0.48)	

February 2020 YTD O&M Variance: (\$2.08) Under-run

YTD	Comments
	Budget Timing:
(\$0.29)	Online: Site Support (Various Groups - 2nd Qtr) \$0.38, Payroll Overtime Accrual Reversal (March) \$0.36, Site Chemicals (Powerblock) \$0.32, Station Services [Software Maintenance Fees- under review] (\$0.18), Relocations Costs [Potential Savings, under review] (\$0.26), Site Payroll/Loads [3rd/4th Qtr] (\$0.43), Sandia Labs/Policy Group [Contract Review - 1st Qtr] (\$0.48)
(\$0.47)	Outage: 2R22: Material (Request Timing - April) \$0.30, Contract Labor (April) \$0.17, Engineering Services [Purchase Request Timing- March] (\$0.94)
	Budget Savings:
(\$0.61)	Incentives: U3R21 100 Day Run
(\$0.28)	Online: Insurance [Allocation Adjustment] (\$0.18), Engineering License - ETAP [RUC] (\$0.10)
(\$1.44)	Outage: 3R21: Prior Year Outage Support [Final Invoicing/Material Returns] (\$1.44)
\$0.00	Budget Impacts: Incentives:
\$1.01	Online: U3 RCP 1B SNO (APS Overtime, Labor OT, Materials) \$0.52, Water Resources Chemical Price Changes (Lime/Sulfuric Acid) \$0.15, Material Average Unit Cost Adjustments \$0.14, NAU Degree Program \$0.10, DOE (Insurance) \$0.10
\$0.00	Outage:

Total (\$2.08)

February 2020 MTD Capital Variance: \$1.13 Over-run

	MTD	Comments
	(\$1.82)	Budget Timing: Landfill Expansion [Due to the timing of actuals posting in January] (\$0.21), Life Cycle Computer Replacement [Timing due to materials now expected in May] (\$0.22), Digital SMP Phase I U3 [Timing due to missed engineering milestone, now expected in March] (\$0.32), Digital SMP Phase II [Timing due to delays in issuing engineering purchase order] (\$0.34), SP Chemical Addition Upgrade U1 [Timing of milestone payment pushed out] (\$0.35), CTLE U2 [Timing due to most work happening during the outage] (\$0.38)
	(\$0.14)	<u>Budget Savings:</u> Diesel Crane Pendant U2 [Savings for 2020, project has been moved to 2021] (\$0.14)
	\$3.09	Budget Impacts: Magnastor Dry Cask Storage [Impact due to project carry over from 2019, should see credit for DOE reimbursement at end of Q2] \$1.27, RCP Seal Replacement U3B [Impact due to emergent SNO work] \$0.92, Normal Chiller Replacement [Impact due to project no being budget as this is a carry over from 2019] \$0.33, Valve Repls U3 2020 [Impact due to emergent work] \$0.31, 45 Acre Inlet Structure [Impact due to emergent work identified in 2019 and completing this year] \$0.26
Total	\$1.13	_

February 2020 YTD Capital Variance: (\$3.20) Under-run

	YTD	Comments					
	(\$4.03)	Budget Timing: Digital SMP Phase I U3 [Due to delays with vendor - 1st Qtr.] (\$1.23), Digital SMP Phase II [Due to delays in issuing engineering purchase order - 1st Qtr.] (\$0.90), Clarifiers Life Extension T3 [Due to project delays needed to support emergent projects - July] (\$0.88), EDG Spare Generator [Due to milestone payment being deferred to March] (\$0.81), Security Access Control Computer Replacement [Due to engineering delays - 2nd Qtr.] (\$0.71), C&MS Full Replacement [Due to implementation delays - May] (\$0.55), RCP Seal Replacement U2 [Materials pulled from warehouse sooner than budgeted - April] \$0.26, Valve Replacements U3 [Due to emergent work] \$0.31, Valve Replacements U2 [Materials pulled from warehouse sooner than budgeted - April] \$0.34, Misc. \$0.14					
	(\$1.06)	Budget Savings: RCP Motor Repl 1R22 [2020 Milestone payment was executed in 2019] (\$1.06)					
Total [–]	\$1.89 (\$3.20)	<u>Budget Impacts:</u> RCP Seal Replacement U3 1B [Emergent SNO] \$0.92, 45 Acre Inlet Structure [Emergent project not budgeted for in 2020] \$0.97					

February 2020 YTD Inventory Variance: \$11.88 Over-run

	YTD	Comments
-	\$0.95	<u>Budget Timing:</u> Less Receipts (\$0.82); Less Issues \$0.18; Greater Adjustments \$1.19; Greater Material Returns \$0.05; Material Sales/Write-Offs \$0.35
	\$0.00	<u>Budget Savings:</u>
_	\$10.93	<u>Budget Impacts:</u> 2019 Inventory Impacts [Less Issues / More Adjustments Partially offset by Less Receipts / Less Returns \$2.67; More Material Write-Offs \$1.74; MST / Support Ring Moved from Capital Project to Inventory \$6.52M
Total	\$11.88	_

PVGS Executive Cost Report Nuclear Fuels

February 2020

(\$ Millions)

	YTD	Project	Comments
_		<u>FIP:</u>	
	\$4.16	Uranium	Timing - EUP (U3O8 component) delivery budgeted in October moved to February
	\$1.45	Conversion	Timing - EUP (Conversion component) delivery budgeted in October moved to February
	\$1.38	Enrichment	Timing - EUP (SWU component) delivery budgeted in October moved to February
	(\$5.47)	Fabrication	Timing - U3C23 NGF Milestone payment moved to September
	(\$2.82)	Use Tax	Timing - U1C23 Use Tax budgeted for February moved to March
	\$0.21	Labor	Impact - Framatome Fuel implementation project
	(\$2.52)	Outside Services	Timing - Procurement of Casmo/Simulate computer codes moved to April
_	(\$0.11)	Other	Savings - NRC Fees associated with Framatome Fuel Implementation project less than budgeted
Subtotal FIP _	(\$3.72)		
		DCS:	
	(\$0.50)	Labor	Timing - MAGNASTOR implementation project
	(\$0.52)	Materials	Timing - MAGNASTOR material milestones
	(\$0.64)	Outside Services	Timing - MAGNASTOR engineering services
	(\$0.01)	Other	Timing - MAGNASTOR rental services
-	\$0.03	DOE Settlement	Impact - DOE credit accrual true-up
Subtotal DCS _	(\$1.64)		
Total _	(\$5.36)		

PVGS Executive Cost Report O&M Power Block Report February 2020

(\$000)

		Cur	rent Month		1	[То	tal	Remaining			
	Budget	Actual	Variance	% Var		Budget	Actual	Variance	% Var	Buc	lget	Budget
UNIT - 1	11,364	11,604	240	2%		24,363	24,329	(34)	0%	18	38,140	163,810
UNIT - 2	13,810	13,064	(747)	-5%		27,157	25,932	(1,225)	-5%	19	92,593	166,661
UNIT - 3	11,775	11,538	(237)	-2%		24,542	22,850	(1,691)	-7%	15	57,116	134,265
COMMON	1,038	1,563	525	51%		2,120	3,270	1,150	54%		14,134	10,864
PVUNALL	(0)	0	0	0%		(0)	(0)	(0)	0%		(0)	0
WRF	5,404	5,157	(247)	-5%		11,408	11,137	(271)	-2%	-	73,617	62,480
GENERAL	0	0	0	0%		0	(0)	(0)	0%		(0)	0
TOTAL PV	43,391	42,926	(466)	-1%		89,590	87,519	(2,071)	-2%	62	25,600	538,080
PAYROLL	17,160	17,259	98	1%		37,430	37,477	47	0%	27	71,559	234,082
CONTRACT LABOR	2,444	2,479	35	1%		4,859	4,958	99	2%	2	14,158	39,200
MATL / SUPPLIES	5,259	5,705	446	8%		9,806	10,729	923	9%	e	63,834	53,105
OUTSIDE SERVICES	4,897	3,699	(1,198)	-24%		8,542	5,439	(3,102)	-36%	L.	58,557	53,118
OTHER	13,631	13,784	153	1%		28,954	28,917	(37)	0%	18	37,492	158,576
TOTAL PV	43,391	42,926	(466)	-1%		89,590	87,519	(2,071)	-2%	62	25,600	538,080

SOAH Docket No 473-21-2606 PUC Docket No 52195 CEP's 6th, Q No CEP 06-21 Attachment 1 Page 35 of 288

PVGS Executive Cost Report Capital Improvements Variance Summary February 2020 (\$000)

< Current Month	>	<	Year-to-Date	>
-----------------	---	---	--------------	---

	Budget Category	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Variance</u>	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Variance</u>	<u>Percent</u>	Annual <u>Budget</u>
1	Plant Modifications	3,568	2,246	(1,321)	-37%	7,532	3,838	(3,694)	-49%	46,395
2	Equipment & Replacements	1,560	5,851	4,292	275%	5,869	9,000	3,130	53%	94,740
3	Buildings	620	203	(416)	-67%	1,140	212	(928)	-81%	8,995
4	General Plant	414	-332	(746)	-180%	843	524	(319)	-38%	8,059
5	Computers	728	319	(409)	-56%	1,109	583	(526)	-47%	18,406
6	Water Rec Facility	2,801	2,608	(193)	-7%	6,101	5,745	(356)	-6%	35,162
7	Overheads	1,256	1,173	(83)	-7%	2,758	2,256	(502)	-18%	18,677
8	Emergent Work Fund	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0%	2,566
9	Fukushima	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0%	0
11	Capitalized Incentives	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0%	0
	Totals	10,945	12,068	1,124	10%	25,352	22,157	(3,195)	-13%	233,000

PVGS Executive Cost Report Nuclear Fuel Report February 2020 (\$000)

	Current Month			Y	ear-To-Date		Year-End			
	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Variance</u>	<u>Budget</u>	Actual	<u>Variance</u>	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Forecast</u>	<u>Variance</u>	
Fuel In Process	52,449	52,222	(227)	65,382	61,664	(3,718)	215,000	214,039	(961)	
Fuel In Stock	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Spent Fuel Disposal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Dry Cask Storage	1,170	363	(807)	2,088	445	(1,643)	(310)	338	648	
TOTAL NUCLEAR FUEL	53,619	52,585	(1,034)	67,470	62,109	(5,361)	214,690	214,377	(313)	

\$185,527,466

PVGS Executive Cost Report Inventory Balance Sheet February 2020

January 2020 Ending Inventory Balance less Amortization

Increases: \$3,133,739 Receipts Returns \$978,727 (\$233,157) Inventory Adjustments Intercompany Balance \$0 \$277.249 Accounting Adjustments Misc JVs (Repair Accrual) \$0 \$0 Misc JV (SOX Accrual) Subtotal \$4,156,558 Decreases: Issues (\$6,207,462) Net Change (\$2,050,904)**Amortization Transactions:** Monthly Amortization Cost (\$200,000) Write Offs & Sales \$500,075 \$300,075 \$183,776,636 February 2020 Ending Inventory Balance less Amortization Notes: • Sales to outside utilities for the month was \$64,641 that was written off at original cost. • The above sales were invoiced for a total of \$80,538 (net 30 days) • Current month revenue received for sales to outside utilities totaled (\$15,896) • To Date Amortization Balance = (\$40,582,226) • Capitalized Spare Account Balance Original Cost = \$32,538,003

PVGS Executive Cost Report Major Inventory Transactions (Over \$25K) February 2020

INVENTORY

		<u>Qty</u>	<u>\$ Value</u>			<u>Qty</u>	<u>\$ Value</u>
Issue:	SOLENOID ELECT. ASM	1	\$38,979	Received:	SEAL, MECHANICAL	1	\$50,163
	LITHIUM HYDROXIDE	1	\$42,161		PUMP DISCHARGE HEAD	1	\$29,297
	PLATE THRUST/SEQ NO 0608	1	\$39,894		VLV ACTUATOR SMB-3W/H6BC	5	\$399,885
	VALVE ASMY 3/8IN SOV	1	\$34,303		VALVE BUTTERFLY 24"	1	\$104,500
	MANIFOLD, EXHAUST; 20 CYL	1	\$401,151		CIRC WATER PUMP SHAFT	1	\$48,470
	VALVE BUTTERFLY 24"	1	\$104,500		ACCUMULATOR, HYDRAULIC	2	\$141,238
	VALVE, SOLENOID	1	\$22,858		ENRICHED BORIC ACID	2	\$138,400
	DISC STACK TYPE 410 CRES	1	\$62,598		AOV TESTING ACCESSORY KIT	3	\$78,528
	VALVE ASMY 3/8IN SOV	1	\$34,303		VALVE, BUTTERFLY, 20 IN	1	\$125,500
	TRANSMITTER, DIFF. PRESS.	1	\$41,134		ROTOR, POWER, MSOP	1	\$36,588
	DISC STACK TYPE 410 CRES	1	\$62,598		GEARBOX, ASSEMBLY	1	\$36,892
	SBCV PLUG ASSEMBLY	1	\$56,443		VALVE, SOLENOID	2	\$59,403
	CIRC WATER PUMP SHAFT	1	\$48,470				
	PUMP MAIN EHC "B" TRAIN	1	\$59,103	Restock:	VALVE BUTTERFLY 24"	1	\$104,500
	BALL VALVE	6	\$151,818		VALVE, FOUR WAY HYD.	1	\$107,822
	CONDITIONING SKID (FTLO)	1	\$45,944				
	CONDITIONING SKID (MTLO)	1	\$63,635				
	GEARBOX, ASSEMBLY	1	\$39,806				
	MOTOR ELECTRIC 3500 HP	1	\$112,630				
	O-RING SET, RV HEAD	1	\$72,400				
	VLV ACTUATOR SMB-3W/H6BC	1	\$79,977				
	AOV TESTING ACCESSORY KIT	3	\$28,244				
	VALVE, CHECK, LUBE OIL	2	\$58,509				
	VALVE, RELIEF, 3/4 IN	1	\$42,472				
	COUNTER PROPORTIONAL ASSY	1	\$103,653				
	CYLINDER, HYDRAULIC	3	\$29,942				
	VALVE, RELIEF, 1 1/2 IN	1	\$28,234				
	CABLE, OVERSPEED SHUTDOWN	1	\$30,138				
	CABLE, OVERSPEED SHUTDOWN	1	\$35,556				
	COUPLING, OVERSPEED	1	\$39,214				
	TRANSDUCER, EP, 4-20MA	1	\$25,668				
	PREAMP/FILTER ASM.	1	\$54,378				
	ROTOR, POWER, MSOP	1	\$39,478				
	ENRICHED BORIC ACID	2	\$73,075				
	TRANSDUCER, EP, 4-20MA	1	\$25,668				

SOAH Docket No 473-21-2606 PUC Docket No 52195 CEP's 6th, Q No CEP 06-21 Attachment 1 Page 39 of 288

Revenue, Budget, Actuals, Forecast Variance By Month February 2020

Description		Total	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Quantity (acre-feet):	• •					-					-			
T&T Units 1 & 2	Budget	3,674	274	193	206	94	547	382	454	433	424	98	365	204
	Act/F'cast	3,762	176	379	206	94	547	382	454	433	424	98	365	204
	Var	88	(98)	186	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Unit Rate (\$/acre-foo	<u>t):</u>													
Transportation & Treat	ment	\$843												
<u>Revenue (\$ 000):</u>														
	Budget	3,099	231	163	174	79	461	322	383	365	358	83	308	172
T&T Units 1 & 2	Act/F'cast	3,174	149	320	174	79	461	322	383	365	358	83	308	172
Adjustments*	Act/F'cast	(74)			(74)						_			
	Var	1	(82)	157	(74)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Initial Reserve Fee &	Budget	38	4	4	4	5	1	2	2	2	2	5	3	4
Credits - U1 & U2	Act/F'cast	35	4	1	4	5	1	2	2	2	2	5	3	4
	Var	(3)	-	(3)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Add'l Reserve Fee &	Budget	75	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Credits - U3 & U4	Act/F'cast	75	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
	Var	-	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	-
2019 Transportation	Budget	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
and Treatment	Act/F'cast						-	-						
True-Ups	Var	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Revenue	Budget	3,212	241	173	184	91	469	330	391	373	366	94	317	182
	Act/F'cast	3,212	160	328	110	91	469	330	391	373	366	94	317	182
	Var		(82)	155	(74)	0	0	(0)	0	(0)	0	0	0	(0)

PVGS Executive Cost Report Total Outage (O&M) February 2020 (\$ Millions)

	<u>Cur</u>	rent Mont	<u>th</u>	<u>Ye</u>	ar-To-Date	2		Year-End	
2020 Outages	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Var.</u>	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Var.</u>	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Forecast</u>	<u>Var.</u>
Unit - 2	2.50	2.24	(0.27)	2.94	2.56	(0.38)	34.23	34.23	-
Unit - 1	-	(0.14)	(0.14)	-	(0.02)	(0.02)	28.96	28.96	-
Sub-Total 2020 Outages	2.50	2.10	(0.40)	2.95	2.54	(0.40)	63.19	63.19	-
2019 Outage Expenses									
Unit - 3	-	(0.82)	(0.82)	-	(1.43)	(1.43)	-	(1.43)	(1.43)
Sub-Total 2019 Outages	-	(0.82)	(0.82)	-	(1.43)	(1.43)	-	(1.43)	(1.43)
Total	2.50	1.28	(1.22)	2.95	1.11	(1.83)	63.19	61.76	(1.43)

PVGS Executive Cost Report APS Employees & Overtime Contract Labor Overtime February 2020

		Employees	APS	Overt	Contract Labor Overtime %			
Organization	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Var</u>	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Actual</u>	
Nuclear Operations	1,165	1,166	1	5%	11%	3%	8%	
Nuclear Engineering	338	322	(16)	1%	2%	0%	4%	
Emergency Services & Support	434	434	0	9%	17%	2%	2%	
Station Support Organizations	61	52	(9)	0%	1%	0%	14%	
Total	1,998	1,974	(24)	5%	9%	2%	6%	
Year-To-Date Average	2,003	1,987	(16)	7%	9%	2%	4%	
Equivalent "Borrowed" Employee	S							
Current Month Year-To-Date	56 56	58 58	2					
	Organization Nuclear Operations Nuclear Engineering Emergency Services & Support Station Support Organizations Total Year-To-Date Average Equivalent "Borrowed" Employee Current Month Year-To-Date	OrganizationBudgetNuclear Operations1,165Nuclear Engineering338Emergency Services & Support434Station Support Organizations61Total1,998Year-To-Date Average2,003Equivalent "Borrowed" Employees Current Month56 56	OrganizationBudgetActualNuclear Operations1,1651,166Nuclear Engineering338322Emergency Services & Support434434Station Support Organizations6152Total1,9981,974Year-To-Date Average2,0031,987Equivalent "Borrowed" Employees Current Month5658 58Year-To-Date5658 58	APSOrganizationBudgetActualVarNuclear Operations1,1651,1661Nuclear Engineering338322(16)Emergency Services & Support4344340Station Support Organizations6152(9)Total1,9981,974(24)Year-To-Date Average2,0031,987(16)Equivalent "Borrowed" Employees Current Month56582 2 2Yaar-To-Date56582 2	APS EmployeesOvertiOrganizationBudgetActualVarBudgetNuclear Operations1,1651,16615%Nuclear Engineering338322(16)1%Emergency Services & Support43443409%Station Support Organizations6152(9)0%Total1,9981,974(24)5%Year-To-Date Average2,0031,987(16)7%Equivalent "Borrowed" Employees Current Month56582 562	APS EmployeesOvertime %OrganizationBudgetActualVarBudgetActualNuclear Operations1,1651,16615%11%Nuclear Engineering338322(16)1%2%Emergency Services & Support43443409%17%Station Support Organizations6152(9)0%1%Total1,9981,974(24)5%9%Year-To-Date Average2,0031,987(16)7%9%Equivalent "Borrowed" Employees Current Month565822Year-To-Date56582211	APS EmployeesContrac Overtime %Contrac Overtime %OrganizationBudgetActualVarBudgetActualBudgetNuclear Operations1,1651,16615%11%3%Nuclear Engineering338322(16)1%2%0%Emergency Services & Support43443409%17%2%Station Support Organizations6152(9)0%1%0%Total1,9981,974(24)5%9%2%Year-To-Date Average2,0031,987(16)7%9%2%Equivalent "Borrowed" Employees Current Month56582 5822	

Note: All budgets and actual include O&M, Capital and Fuel values.

PVGS Executive Cost Report Contract Labor and Outside Service Report February 2020 (\$000)

	Non-Outage O&M										Outage O&M			
ORGANIZATION	Co	ntract Labo	or	Ou	tside Servic	es	Co	ntract La	bor	Outside Services				
	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Variance</u>	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Variance</u>	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Variance</u>	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Variance</u>		
82PP Total Nuc Opera	tions													
Current Month	\$1,313	\$1,380	\$67	\$1,287	\$2,019	\$732	\$232	\$203	(\$29)	\$500	(\$145)	(\$645)		
YTD Closed	\$2,710	\$2,902	\$192	\$2,238	\$2,644	\$406	\$232	\$255	\$23	\$500	(\$266)	(\$766)		
97PP Tot Nuclear Eng	& Support													
Current Month	\$175	\$138	(\$37)	\$663	\$269	(\$394)	\$0	\$2	\$2	\$947	(\$39)	(\$986)		
YTD Closed	\$368	\$269	(\$99)	\$1,179	\$552	(\$626)	\$0	\$2	\$2	\$947	(\$288)	(\$1,235)		
96PP Total Operations	s Support													
Current Month	\$719	\$668	(\$51)	\$507	\$622	\$115	\$0	\$1	\$1	\$0	(\$3)	(\$3)		
YTD Closed	\$1,540	\$1,438	(\$101)	\$1,066	\$1,034	(\$32)	\$0	\$1	\$1	\$0	(\$36)	(\$36)		
83PP Total Station Su	pport													
Current Month	\$5	\$83	\$78	\$994	\$978	(\$16)	\$0	\$4	\$4	\$0	\$0	\$0		
YTD Closed	\$9	\$88	\$79	\$2,612	\$1,800	(\$812)	\$0	\$4	\$4	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Total														
Current Month	\$2,212	\$2,269	\$57	\$3,450	\$3,887	\$437	\$232	\$210	(\$22)	\$1,447	(\$188)	(\$1,635)		
YTD Closed	\$4,627	\$4,696	\$70	\$7,095	\$6,030	(\$1,064)	\$232	\$261	\$29	\$1,447	(\$591)	(\$2,038)		



PVGS Executive Cost Report O&M Cost per Kilowatt Hour

February 2020

	Α.	O&M Costs (\$000):		87,519	
		Amortization/Redhawk Tertiary		(688)	
		Incentives		0	
			Net O&M:	86,831	_
	B.	Net Generation (MWh):	Unit 1	1,923,016	
			Unit 2	1,929,569	
			Unit 3	1,615,022	
			Total	<u>5,467,607</u>	-
	C.	Net O&M Cost per kWh:		1.588	Cents per KWhr (1 & 3)
	D.	Nuclear Fuel Expense:		<u>0.726</u>	Cents per KWhr (2)
	E.	Total O&M Cost per kWh (W/Fuel)		2.314	Cents per KWhr (1, 2, & 3)
I.	2019	Actual:		2.67	Cents per KWhr (1, 2, & 3)
II.	2018	Actual Revised:		2.69	Cents per KWhr (1, 2, & 3)
III.	2017	Actual Revised:		2.78	Cents per KWhr (1 & 2)
IV.	2016	Actual Revised:		2.81	Cents per KWhr (1 & 2)
V.	2015	Actual Revised:		2.78	Cents per KWhr (1 & 2)
VI.	2014	Actual Revised:		2.87	Cents per KWhr (1 & 2)
VII.	2013	Actual Revised:		2.93	Cents per KWhr (1 & 2)
VIII	2012	Actual Revised:		2.92	Cents per KWhr (1 & 2)
IX.	2011	Actual Revised:		2.90	Cents per KWhr (1 & 2)
Χ.	2010	Actual Revised:		2.83	Cents per KWhr (1 & 2)

Note: Revision of the Production Cost Calculation

(1) O&M is calculated as O&M costs including incentives, less amortization

(2) Fuel Expense: YTD = \$39.7 M. The fixed and variable costs related to nuclear spent fuel rod storage are not included in the unit costs.
 (3) O&M is calculated to exclude Redhawk Tertiary

PVGS Executive Cost Report FERC Cost Report February 2020 (\$ 000)

			Current	Month		\neg $[$	Year to Date					Total	Remaining
		Budget	Actual	Variance	% Var		Budget	Actual	Variance	% Var		Budget	Budget
	Production Operations											-	
5170000	517 Ops Supv & Eng	6,134	5,947	(188)	-3%		13,229	11,349	(1,880)	-14%		75,018	63,669
5190000	519 Coolants and Water	3,701	3,622	(79)	-2%		7,707	7,386	(321)	-4%		50,241	42,855
5200000	520 Steam Expenses	1,835	2,831	996	54%		4,139	4,672	5 33	13%		33,114	28,443
5230000	523 Electric Expenses	3,060	2,992	(69)	-2%		7,009	5,797	(1,212)	-17%		41,238	35,441
5240000	524 Misc Nuc Power Exp	8,937	8,017	(920)	-10%		19,152	20,500	1,348	7%		141,452	120,952
5250000	525 Nuc Power Rents	-	-	-	0%		-	-	-	0%		-	-
	Total Production Operations	23,667	23,408	(259)	-1%		51,236	49,704	(1,532)	-3%	_	341,063	291,359
	Production Maintenance												
5280000	528 Supv & Eng	1,487	528	(959)	-65%		2,117	731	(1,387)	-65%		18,991	18,260
5290000	529 Maint of Structure	253	469	216	86%		131	907	777	594%		6,129	5,221
5300000	530 Maint of Reactor Plant Equip	4,365	2,722	(1,644)	-38%		7,258	4,695	(2,563)	-35%		62,498	57,803
5310000	531 Maint of Electric Plant	1,514	3,081	1,567	104%		2,846	4,869	2,023	71%		22,839	17,970
5320000	532 Maint of Misc Nuc Plant	419	838	419	100%		859	1,382	524	61%		6,249	4,867
	Total Production Maintenance	8,037	7,637	(400)	-5%		13,211	12,584	(626)	-5%	_	116,706	104,122
	<u>Transmission</u>												
5560000	556 Sys Cntl/Load Dispatch	602	512	(90)	-15%		1,192	1,045	(147)	-12%		7,229	6,184
	Total Transmissions	602	512	(90)	-15%		1,192	1,045	(147)	-12%		7,229	6,184
	<u>Other</u>												
4081001	408 Payroll Taxes	1,201	1,208	7	1%		2,620	2,623	3	0%		19,009	16,386
4082001	408 Other Taxes	-	-	-	0%		-	-	-	0%		-	-
4261000	426 Donations/Penalties	5	-	79	1570%		15	114	183	1217%		112	(2)
4264000	426 Civic Political	-	2	2	0%		-	5	5	0%		-	(5)
4265000	426 Other Deductions/Exp	-	84	84	0%		-	84	84	0%		-	(84)
9240000	924 Property Insurance	565	638	73	13%		1,131	1,181	50	4%		6,786	5,605
9250000	925 Injuries & Damages	290	298	8	3%		734	601	(133)	-18%		3,971	3,370
9250001	925 Injuries & Damages - Benefits	149	147	(2)	-1%		326	323	(3)	-1%		1,941	1,618
9260001	926 Pensions & Benefits	2,906	2,871	(35)	-1%		6,349	6,298	(52)	-1%		37,841	31,543
9280000	928 Reg Commission Exp	1,335	1,387	52	4%		2,670	2,695	25	1%		17,621	14,926
9302000	930 Admin & General Exp	4,633	4,734	101	2%		10,106	10,263	157	2%		73,321	63,058
	Total Other	11,085	11,369	284	3%	_	23,951	24,186	235	1%		160,601	136,415
	Total	43,391	42,926	(465)	-1%	= =	89,590	87,520	(2,070)	-2%		625,600	538,080
SOAH Docket No 473-21-2606 PUC Docket No 52195 CEP's 6th, Q No CEP 06-21 Attachment 1 Page 47 of 288

PVGS Executive Cost Report

2020 Total (O&M and Capital) Actual Incentives with Loads February 2020

\$ 33,582	Est.	Total Year End Incentive
-	Act	Total Unit-3 Outage Incentive (100 day run)
33,582		Subtotal Estimate Incentives for 2020
\$ 11,418	Est.	Total Loads For Year-End Incentive
-	Act	Total Unit-3 Outage Incentive (100 day run)
11,418		Subtotal Estimate Incentive Load for 2020
\$ -	Est.	2019 EIP True Up
-	Est.	2019 EIP Incentive Payroll
-	Est.	2019 EIP Incentive Loads
0		Subtotal Incentives - Prior Year Adjustments
\$ 45,000		Total (O&M and Capital) Actual Incentives and Loads for 2020

PVGS Executive Cost Report

Year End Forecast

February 2020

(\$ Millions)

	YTD	Actuals			Forecast									Year End
	Actuals	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Variance
O & M	87.52	44.59	42.93	47.84	71.88	44.52	43.61	43.63	42.78	45.25	64.60	43.61	47.66	-
Incentives (w/ Loads & Adj)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	42.70	-
Exclusions (1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sub Total O&M	87.52	44.59	42.93	47.84	71.88	44.52	43.61	43.63	42.78	45.25	64.60	43.61	90.36	-
	YTD	Actu	uals					Fore	cast					Year End
	Actuals	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Variance
Capital	22.16	10.09	12.07	20.94	35.67	16.63	15.41	10.05	12.28	28.63	37.98	21.82	9.13	-
Incentives (w/ Loads & Adj)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.30	-
Exclusions (1)		_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sub Total Capital	22.16	10.09	12.07	20.94	35.67	16.63	15.41	10.05	12.28	28.63	37.98	21.82	11.43	-

(1) Exclusions include: Mid- Year True-Ups (A&G/PRD, Load Dispatch - Exhibit 1 A&G) and any Mid -Year Rate Changes.

SOAH Docket No 473-21-2606 PUC Docket No 52195 CEP's 6th, Q No CEP 06-21 Attachment 1 Page 48 of 288

SOAH Docket No 473-21-2606 PUC Docket No 52195 CEP's 6th, Q No CEP 06-21 Attachment 1 Page 49 of 288



MICHAEL MCLAUGHLIN Vice President Site Services

5801 S Wintersburg Rd Tonopah, AZ 85354

ID:	510-00394
Date:	April 10, 2020

File

To:

Sta. #

Ext. #

MENICO Ľ

 From:
 M. McLaughlin

 Sta. #
 7605

 Ext. #
 82-5761

Subject: **PVGS March 2020 Executive Cost Report**

Attached is the PVGS Executive Cost Report that reflects information for March 2020 for the O&M (including incentives), Capital (including incentives), and Nuclear Fuel budgets. The report compares actual costs to budget for the month, year-to-date (YTD), and presents the year-end variances as summarized below:

	YTD	YTD	Year – End
Category	Budget	Actual	Variance
O&M	142.59	135.49	(2.00)
Capital	55.53	38.66	0.00
Nuclear Fuel	76.54	74.43	(0.54)
Total	274.66	248.58	(2.54)

(Millions of Dollars)

Please call me if you have any questions or comments.

MM / SB Attachment

B. Rash

cc:	J. Cadogan	7602	J. McGill	7990
	J. Guldner	9040	T. Mionske	7990
	T. Horton	8201	E. Asturias	7990
	M. Lacal	7605		
	M. McLaughlin	7605		

7602

SOAH Docket No. 473-21-2606 PUC Docket No. 52195 CEP's 6th, Q. No. CEP 06-21 Attachment 1 Page 50 of 288

Palo Verde Generating Station Executive Cost Report March 2020 Prepared by Business Operations

Palo Verde Generating Station Executive Cost Report

Table of Contents

All Budgets Recap	1
Operations & Maintenance Explanation	2
Capital Improvements Explanation	4
Inventory Explanation	6
Nuclear Fuel Explanation	7
O&M Power Block	8
Capital Improvements Variance	9
Nuclear Fuel	10
Inventory	11
Revenue	13
Outage (O&M)	14
Manpower / Overtime	15
Contract Labor / Contract Service	16
YTD Production Cost / O&M Cost per KWH	17
O&M Cost per KWH	18
O&M FERC Report	19
Estimated Incentives, Severances and Adjustments	20
O&M & Capital Monthly Cash Flows	21

	<u>Curr</u>	rent Mont	: <u>h</u>	<u>Ye</u>	<u>ar-to-Date</u>		Year-End Forecast		
	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Var.</u>	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Var.</u>	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Forecast</u>	<u>Var.</u>
O & M	53.00	47.53	(5.47)	141.98	135.05	(6.93)	582.90	580.90	(2.00)
Incentives (w/ Loads & Adj)	-	0.44	0.44	0.61	0.44	(0.17)	42.70	42.70	(0.00)
Sub Total O&M	53.00	47.97	(5.03)	142.59	135.49	(7.10)	625.60	623.60	(2.00)
Capital	30.18	16.50	(13.68)	55.53	38.66	(16.87)	230.70	230.70	0.00
Incentives (w/ Loads & Adj)	-	-		-	-		2.30	2.30	0.00
	30.18	16.50	(13.68)	55.53	38.66	(16.87)	233.00	233.00	0.00
Nuclear Fuel	9.07	12.32	3.25	76.54	74.43	(2.11)	214.69	214.15	(0.54)
Total	92.25	76.79	(15.46)	274.66	248.58	(26.08)	1,073.29	1,070.75	(2.54)
Net Inventory				176.10	187.37	11.27	170.90	179.44	8.54
Revenue	0.18	(0.09)	(0.28)	0.60	0.40	(0.20)	3.21	3.21	0.00

March 2020 MTD O&M Variance: (\$5.03) Under-run

MTD	Comments
00.09	Budget Timing:
Φ0.00	Incentives.
(\$1.38)	Online: Station Services (Fees/Dues) (\$0.24), SCORE/MLISS Replacement (Amortization for 2020) (\$0.56), Site Support (Various Groups - Services/Fees- 2nd Qtr) (\$0.58)
\$1.94	Outage: 2R22: Engineering Services (Milestone Payments- April) \$1.11, Contract Labor/Misc (April) \$0.82, 1R22: Engineering Services (Mischarge- April) \$0.01
	Budget Savings:
\$0.00	Incentives:
(\$6.36)	Online: HR Relocation (\$0.19), Site Emergent Work Fund (\$0.25), SCORE/MLIS Replacement (\$1.21), Insurance (Allocation Adjustment, NEIL Reimbursement) (\$4.71)
(\$0.43)	Outage: 3R21: Prior Year Outage Support [Final Invoicing/Material Returns] (\$0.43)
	Budget Impacts:
\$0.43	Incentives: 2019 True-Up/EIP Update \$0.43
\$0.77	Online: COVID19 Support \$0.61, U2 Main Feedwater Loss SNO (APS Overtime) \$0.09, U3 RCP 1B SNO (APS Overtime, Labor OT, Materials) \$0.07
\$0.00	Outage:

Total (\$5.03)

March 2020 YTD O&M Variance: (\$7.10) Under-run

	YTD	Comments
		Budget Timing:
(5	\$1.70)	Online: Site Chemicals (Powerblock) \$0.25, Station Services (Fees/Dues) (\$0.18), Sandia Labs/Policy Group (Contract Review - 1st Qtr) (\$0.44), SCORE/MLISS Replacement (Amortization for 2020) (\$0.56), Site Support (Various Groups - Services/Fees- 2nd Qtr) (\$0.77)
\$	61.51	Outage: 2R22: Contract Labor/Misc (April) \$0.72, Engineering Services (Milestone Payments- April) \$0.78, 1R22: Engineering Services (Mischarge April) \$0.01
		Budget Savings:
(8	\$0.61)	Incentives: U3R21 100 Day Run
3)	\$6.64)	Online: Engineering License - ETAP (RUC) (\$0.10), Site Travel (\$0.11), Site Emergent Work Fund (\$0.25), HR Relocation (\$0.26), SCORE/MLIS Replacement (\$1.21), Insurance (Allocation Adjustment, NEIL Reimbursement) (\$4.71)
(5	\$1.87)	Outage: 3R21: Prior Year Outage Support (Final Invoicing/Material Returns) (\$1.87)
\$	60.43	<u>Budget Impacts:</u> Incentives: 2019 True-Up/EIP Update \$0.43
\$	61.78	Online: U3 RCP 1B SNO (APS Overtime, Labor OT, Materials) \$0.74, COVID19 Support \$0.61, U2 Main Feedwater Loss SNO (APS Overtime) \$0.09, Material Average Unit Cost Adjustments \$0.14, NAU Degree Program \$0.10, DOE (Insurance) \$0.10
\$	60.00	Outage:
Total (\$7.10)	

March 2020 MTD Capital Variance: (\$13.68) Under-run

MTD	Comments
(\$10.35)	<u>Budget Timing:</u> S.C.O.R.E Supply Chain Optimization [Originally budgeted \$7.6M payment in March, however, will now be allocated quarterly] (\$6.74), CTLE 2R22 [Most expenses will be executed during the Spring outage] (\$1.28M), Valve Replacements U2 2020 [Less material pulled in preparation of 2R22] (\$1.08), I&C and Electrical 1R22 [Less material pulled in preparation of 1R22] (\$0.30) I&C and Electrical 2R22 [Less material pulled in preparation of 2R22] (\$0.28), LV 22(Blowdown M/U) U2 [Field work moved to Q4] (\$0.28), Warehouse 'A' LED Lighting [Implementation delays associated with emergent work and Covid-19] (\$0.27), Concrete and Paving 2020 [Timing do to delays in starting work] (\$0.25), Pump Replacements U2 2020 [Timing of materials pulled from warehouse] (\$0.22), Piping-CW Pipeline Repl. 2020 [Timing due to mob/demob activities happening in March] \$0.35
(\$3.86)	<u>Budget Savings:</u> LP Feedwater Heater Repl. U2R22 [Scope has been removed from 2R22 due to COVID-19] (\$2.65), ESF Transformer Repl X03 [Scope has been removed from 2R22 due to COVID-19] (\$0.71), Oracle Platform Hardware SWMS P6 [Project on hold] (\$0.50)
\$0.53	<u>Budget Impacts:</u> Fixed In-Core Detectors U2 2020 [Material costs were more than budgeted] \$0.28, Normal Chiller Replacement [Impact due to project no being budget as this is a carry over from 2019] \$0.25

Total (\$13.68)

March 2020 YTD Capital Variance: (\$16.87) Under-run

	YTD	Comments
	(\$14.26)	<u>Budget Timing:</u> S.C.O.R.E Supply Chain Optimization [Originally budgeted \$7.6M payment in March, however, will now be allocated quarterly] (\$6.74), CTLE 2R22 [Most expenses will be executed during the Spring outage] (\$1.66M), Clarifiers Life Extension T3 [Project being delayed to support other emergent WRF projects Q4] (\$1.09), Digital SMP Phase II [Due to delays in issuing engineering purchase order - Q2] (\$1.01), Digital SMP Phase I U3 [Due to delays with vendor Q2] (\$0.89), EDG Spare Generator [Due to milestone payment being deferred to May] (\$0.81), Valve Replacements U2 2020 [Less material pulled in preparation of 2R22] (\$0.74), Security Access Control Computer Repl. [Due to engineering delays Q2] (\$0.69), Pump Replacements U2 2020 [Less material pulled in preparation of 2R22] (\$0.36), I&C and Electrical 2R22 [Less material pulled in preparation of 2R22] (\$0.34), Misc. \$0.07
	(\$4.59)	<u>Budget Savings:</u> LPFW Heater Repl. 2R22 [Scope has been removed from 2R22 due to COVID-19] (\$2.83), RCP Motor Repl 1R22 [2020 Milestone payment was executed in 2019] (\$1.05), ESF Xmfr NBNX03 [Scope has been removed from 2R22 due to COVID-19] (\$0.71)
Total	\$1.98	Budget Impacts: RCP Seal Replacement U3 1B [Emergent SNO] \$0.95, 45 Acre Inlet Structure [Emergent project not budgeted for in 2020] \$1.03

March 2020 YTD Inventory Variance: \$11.27 Over-run

	YTD	Comments
	\$0.98	<u>Budget Timing:</u> Greater Receipts \$1.10; Less Issues \$0.04; Less Adjustments (\$0.32); Less Material Returns (\$0.09); Material Sales/Write-Offs \$0.25
	\$0.00	Budget Savings:
	\$10.29	<u>Budget Impacts:</u> 2019 Inventory Impacts [Less Issues / More Adjustments Partially offset by Less Receipts / Less Returns \$3.28; More 2019 Material Write-Offs \$0.49; MST / Support Ring Moved from Capital Project to Inventory \$6.52M
Total	\$11.27	

PVGS Executive Cost Report Nuclear Fuels

March 2020

(\$ Millions)

	YTD	Project	Comments
		<u>FIP:</u>	
	\$1.70	Uranium	Timing - EUP (U3O8 component) delivery budgeted in
			October moved to February
	\$1.45	Conversion	Timing - EUP (Conversion component) delivery budgeted
	¢4 00	Enrichment	In October moved to February
	\$1.38	Enrichment	October moved to February
	(\$5.47)	Fabrication	Timing - U3C23 NGF Milestone payment moved to
	(+)		September
	\$0.02	Use Tax	Impact - Actual Use Tax for U2C23 higher than budgeted
	\$0.35	Labor	Impact - Framatome Fuel implementation project
	(\$2.50)	Outside Services	Timing - Procurement of Casmo/Simulate computer codes
	(\$0.10)	Other	moved to April Savings - NRC Ease associated with Framatome Fuel
	(\$0.10)	other	implementation project less than budgeted
Subtotal FIP	(\$3.17)		
		DCS:	
	(\$0.54)	Labor	Timing - MAGNASTOR implementation project
	(\$0.58)	Materials	Timing - MAGNASTOR material milestones
	(\$0.61)	Outside Services	Timing - MAGNASTOR engineering services
	(\$0.02)	Other	Timing - MAGNASTOR rental services
	\$2.81	DUE Settlement	I Iming - DOE credit accrual pending contract
Subtatal DCC			Temblatement
Subtotal DCS	<u>φ1.00</u>		
Total	(\$2.44)		
างเล่า	<u>(</u> @2.11)		

PVGS Executive Cost Report O&M Power Block Report March 2020

(\$000)

	ı				-1	г				- 1		₁
		Cur	rent Month		-		Yea	r-to-Date		-	Total	Remaining
	Budget	Actual	Variance	% Var		Budget	Actual	Variance	% Var	_	Budget	Budget
UNIT - 1	14,958	13,196	(1,762)	-12%		39,322	37,525	(1,796)	-5%		188,140	150,614
UNIT - 2	15,626	16,158	532	3%		42,783	42,090	(693)	-2%		192,593	150,503
UNIT - 3	14,733	11,388	(3,344)	-23%		39,274	34,238	(5,036)	-13%		157,116	122,877
COMMON	1,220	1,500	280	23%		3,340	4,770	1,430	43%		14,134	9,364
PVUNALL	0	(0)	(0)	0%		(0)	(0)	(0)	0%		(0)	0
WRF	6,462	5,628	(834)	-13%		17,870	16,765	(1,105)	-6%		73,617	56,852
GENERAL	(0)	101	101	0%		(0)	101	101	0%		(0)	(101)
TOTAL PV	52,999	47,970	(5,029)	-9%		142,589	135,490	(7,099)	-5%		625,600	490,110
PAYROLL	23,011	23,793	781	3%		60,441	61,269	828	1%		271,559	210,289
CONTRACT LABOR	3,061	3,409	348	11%		7,919	8,367	447	6%		44,158	35,791
MATL / SUPPLIES	4,324	3,667	(657)	-15%		14,130	14,396	266	2%		63,834	49,438
OUTSIDE SERVICES	7,069	6,288	(782)	-11%		15,611	11,727	(3,884)	-25%		58,557	46,830
OTHER	15,534	10,814	(4,719)	-30%		44,488	39,731	(4,757)	-11%		187,492	147,761
TOTAL PV	52,999	47,970	(5,029)	-9%		142,589	135,490	(7,099)	-5%		625,600	490,110

SOAH Docket No 473-21-2606 PUC Docket No 52195 CEP's 6th, Q No CEP 06-21 Attachment 1 Page 59 of 288

PVGS Executive Cost Report Capital Improvements Variance Summary March 2020

(\$000)

< Current Mont	h>	<	Year-to-Date	>
----------------	----	---	--------------	---

	Budget Category	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Variance</u>	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Variance</u>	<u>Percent</u>	Annual <u>Budget</u>
1	Plant Modifications	3,997	3,692	(305)	-8%	11,528	7,530	(3,999)	-35%	46,395
2	Equipment & Replacements	10,849	5,591	(5,258)	-48%	16,718	14,591	(2,127)	-13%	94,740
3	Buildings	1,272	577	(696)	-55%	2,412	789	(1,623)	-67%	8,995
4	General Plant	593	839	247	42%	1,436	1,363	(73)	-5%	8,059
5	Computers	8,746	1,361	(7,385)	-84%	9,855	1,944	(7,911)	-80%	18,406
6	Water Rec Facility	3,321	3,117	(204)	-6%	9,422	8,862	(560)	-6%	35,162
7	Overheads	1,400	1,322	(78)	-6%	4,159	3,578	(581)	-14%	18,677
8	Emergent Work Fund	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0%	2,566
9	Fukushima	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0%	0
11	Capitalized Incentives	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0%	0
	Totals	30,178	16,499	(13,679)	-45%	55,530	38,656	(16,875)	-30%	233,000

PVGS Executive Cost Report Nuclear Fuel Report March 2020 (\$000)

	C	Current Month			ear-To-Date		Year-End				
	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Variance</u>	<u>Budget</u>	Actual	<u>Variance</u>	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Forecast</u>	<u>Variance</u>		
Fuel In Process	11,137	11,687	550	76,520	73,351	(3,169)	214,999	213,879	(1,120)		
Fuel In Stock	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Spent Fuel Disposal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Dry Cask Storage	(2,067)	629	2,696	20	1,074	1,054	(309)	268	577		
TOTAL NUCLEAR FUEL	9,070	12,316	3,246	76,540	74,425	(2,115)	214,690	214,147	(543)		

\$183,776,636

PVGS Executive Cost Report Inventory Balance Sheet March 2020

February 2020 Ending Inventory Balance less Amortization

Notes:

Increases: \$4,432,933 Receipts \$1,868,061 Returns (\$55,823)Inventory Adjustments Intercompany Balance \$0 \$3,524,482 Accounting Adjustments Misc JVs (Repair Accrual) \$0 \$0 Misc JV (SOX Accrual) \$9,769,653 Subtotal Decreases: Issues (\$6,861,022) Net Change \$2,908,631 **Amortization Transactions:** Monthly Amortization Cost (\$200,000) Write Offs & Sales \$885,120 \$685,120 \$187,370,388 March 2020 Ending Inventory Balance less Amortization • Sales to outside utilities for the month was \$3,746 that was written off at original cost. • The above sales were invoiced for a total of \$25,480 (net 30 days) • Current month revenue received for sales to outside utilities totaled (\$21,734) • To Date Amortization Balance = (\$39,897,106) • Capitalized Spare Account Balance Original Cost = \$32,538,003

PVGS Executive Cost Report Major Inventory Transactions (Over \$25K) March 2020

INVENTORY

		<u>Qty</u>	<u>\$ Value</u>			<u>Qty</u>	<u>\$ Value</u>
Issue:	CABLE, OVERSPEED SHUTDOWN	1	\$30,138	Received:	OPERATOR, VALVE	1	\$65,815
	CABLE, OVERSPEED SHUTDOWN	1	\$35,556		DAMPER, 30" X 54"	2	\$47,032
	CIRC WATER SUCTION BELL	1	\$37,400		CIRC WATER SUCTION BELL	1	\$37,400
	CIRC WATER PUMP DIFFUSER	1	\$184,000		CIRC WATER PUMP DIFFUSER	1	\$184,000
	COUPLING, OVERSPEED	1	\$39,214		PSV, AUX STEAM 8X10	2	\$57,172
	VALVE, FOUR WAY HYD.	1	\$107,822		MOTOR, 800 HP, 1179 RPM	1	\$564,000
	BONNET & ARM & DISC ASM	2	\$239,099		TRANSFORMER, NS	2	\$1,196,000
	VALVE, FOUR WAY HYD.	3	\$323,465		ACTUATOR, BETTIS	1	\$43,776
	6 INCH BALL VALVE	1	\$28,204		VALVE PRZ SAFETY 6 IN	4	\$404,868
	BALL VALVE	3	\$75,909		LITHIUM HYDROXIDE	1	\$36,625
	TRANSMITTER, PRESSURE	1	\$35,021		ROD, POWER PISTON	3	\$806,457
	BREAKER CIRCUIT VACUUM	1	\$311,375		INSTRUMENT LVL BRIDLE LP1	1	\$39,537
	BUSHING, FRONT	1	\$29,700		PUMP, ROTARY, ESOP	1	\$29,270
	BALL VALVE	1	\$25,303				
	ACTUATOR, BETTIS	1	\$43,776	Restock:	CYLINDER, HYDRAULIC	2	\$59,884
	BREAKER, CIRCUIT K2000S	1	\$26,130		ROTOR, POWER, MSOP	1	\$39,478
	O-RING SET, RV HEAD	1	\$72,400		BONNET & ARM & DISC ASM	1	\$119,549
	VALVE PRZ SAFETY 6 IN	4	\$404,868		BONNET & ARM & DISC ASM	1	\$119,549
	O-RING SET, RV HEAD	1	\$72,400		ACTUATOR, AIR CYLINDER	1	\$29,010
	PSV, AUX STEAM 8X10	1	\$28,586		TRANSDUCER, EP, 4-20MA	1	\$25,668
	VALVE ASSEMBLY, FPT HPSV	1	\$39,002		TRANSDUCER, EP, 4-20MA	1	\$25,668
	VALVE ASSEMBLY, LPSV	1	\$91,005		TRANSDUCER, EP, 4-20MA	1	\$25,668
	BREAKER, CIRCUIT	1	\$190,000		TRANSDUCER, EP, 4-20MA	1	\$25,668
	O-RING SET, RV HEAD	1	\$72,400		TRANSDUCER, EP, 4-20MA	1	\$25,668
					TRANSDUCER, EP, 4-20MA	1	\$25,668
					O-RING SET, RV HEAD	1	\$72,400

O-RING SET, RV HEAD

BREAKER CIRCUIT VACUUM

\$72,400

\$311,375

1

1

Revenue, Budget, Actuals, Forecast Variance By Month March 2020

Description		Total	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Quantity (acre-feet):						-	-				-			
T&T Units 1 & 2	Budget	3,674	274	193	206	94	547	382	454	433	424	98	365	204
	Act/F'cast	3,742	176	379	186	94	547	382	454	433	424	98	365	204
	Var	68	(98)	186	(20)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Unit Rate (\$/acre-foo	<u>t):</u>													
Transportation & Treat	ment	\$843												
<u>Revenue (\$ 000):</u>														
	Budget	3,099	231	163	174	79	461	322	383	365	358	83	308	172
T&T Units 1 & 2	Act/F'cast	3,157	149	320	157	79	461	322	383	365	358	83	308	172
Adjustments*	Act/F'cast	202	_			_			122	80				
	Var	260	(82)	157	(17)	-	-	-	122	80	-	-	-	-
Initial Reserve Fee &	Budget	38	4	4	4	5	1	2	2	2	2	5	3	4
Credits - U1 & U2	Act/F'cast	35	4	1	4	5	1	2	2	2	2	5	3	4
	Var	(3)	-	(3)	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	_	-	-
Add'l Reserve Fee &	Budget	75	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Credits - U3 & U4	Act/F'cast	75	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
	Var	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	_	_	-	-
2019 Transportation	Budget	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
and Treatment	Act/F'cast				(260)									
True-Ups	Var	-	-	-	(260)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Revenue	Budget	3,212	241	173	184	91	469	330	391	373	366	94	317	182
	Act/F'cast	3.212	160	328	(92)	91	469	330	513	453	366	94	317	182
	Var		(82)	155	(276)	0	0	(0)	122	80	0	0	0	(0)

PVGS Executive Cost Report Total Outage (O&M) March 2020 (\$ Millions)

	<u>Cur</u>	rent Mont	<u>th</u>	<u>Ye</u>	ar-To-Date	2	<u>Year-End</u>				
2020 Outages	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Var.</u>	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Var.</u>	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Forecast</u>	<u>Var.</u>		
Unit - 2	0.78	2.57	1.80	3.72	5.13	1.42	34.23	32.70	(1.52)		
Unit - 1	-	0.12	0.12	-	0.10	0.10	28.96	28.96	-		
Sub-Total 2020 Outages	0.78	2.69	1.92	3.73	5.23	1.52	63.19	61.66	(1.52)		
2019 Outage Expenses											
Unit - 3	-	(0.45)	(0.45)	-	(1.87)	(1.87)	-	(1.87)	(1.87)		
Sub-Total 2019 Outages	-	(0.45)	(0.45)	-	(1.87)	(1.87)	-	(1.87)	(1.87)		
Total	0.78	2.24	1.47	3.73	3.36	(0.35)	63.19	59.79	(3.39)		

PVGS Executive Cost Report APS Employees & Overtime Contract Labor Overtime March 2020

			Employees	APS	Overt	ime %	Contract Labor Overtime %		
	Organization	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Var</u>	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Budget</u>	<u>Actual</u>	
82PP	Nuclear Operations	1,172	1,150	(22)	6%	11%	5%	8%	
97PP	Nuclear Engineering	315	315	0	2%	3%	0%	4%	
96PP	Emergency Services & Support	434	438	4	8%	19%	3%	2%	
83PP	Station Support Organizations	61	51	(10)	0%	0%	0%	14%	
	Total	1,982	1,954	(28)	6%	9%	4%	6%	
	Year-To-Date Average	1,996	1,976	(20)	6%	9%	3%	4%	
	Equivalent "Borrowed" Employee	es co	67						
	Year-To-Date	56 56	57 58	1 2					

Note: All budgets and actual include O&M, Capital and Fuel values.

PVGS Executive Cost Report Contract Labor and Outside Service Report March 2020 (\$000)

				Non-Outa	age O&M			Outage O&M						
ORGANIZATION	J	Co	ntract Lab	or	Ou	tside Servic	es	Co	ntract La	bor	Outs	side Servio	ces	
		<u>Budget</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Variance</u>										
82PP Total N	uc Operat	tions												
Curre	nt Month	\$1,545	\$1,538	(\$7)	\$1,534	\$1,304	(\$230)	\$514	\$890	\$376	\$232	\$189	(\$43)	
YTI	D Closed	\$4,255	\$4,439	\$184	\$3,772	\$3,948	\$176	\$746	\$1,145	\$399	\$732	(\$77)	(\$809)	
97PP Tot Nuc	clear Eng	& Support												
Curre	nt Month	\$177	\$169	(\$8)	\$728	\$728	\$0	\$15	\$2	(\$13)	\$1,057	\$2,579	\$1,522	
YTI	D Closed	\$545	\$437	(\$108)	\$1,906	\$1,280	(\$626)	\$15	\$4	(\$11)	\$2,004	\$2,291	\$287	
96PP Total O	perations	Support												
Curre	nt Month	\$784	\$808	\$24	\$674	\$896	\$222	\$7	\$1	(\$6)	\$65	\$65	\$0	
YTI	D Closed	\$2,324	\$2,247	(\$77)	\$1,740	\$1,930	\$190	\$7	\$1	(\$5)	\$65	\$29	(\$36)	
83PP Total S	tation Sup	oport												
Curre	nt Month	\$19	\$2	(\$17)	\$2,780	\$526	(\$2,254)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
ΥTI	O Closed	\$28	\$90	\$62	\$5,392	\$2,327	(\$3,065)	\$0	\$4	\$4	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Total														
Curre	nt Month	\$2,525	\$2,517	(\$8)	\$5,715	\$3,454	(\$2,261)	\$536	\$893	\$357	\$1,354	\$2,833	\$1,479	
YTI	D Closed	\$7,151	\$7,213	\$61	\$12,810	\$9,485	(\$3,325)	\$768	\$1,154	\$386	\$2,801	\$2,242	(\$559)	



PVGS Executive Cost Report O&M Cost per Kilowatt Hour March 2020

	A.	O&M Costs (\$000): Amortization/Redhawk Tertiary Incentives		135,052 (1,032) 437	
			Net O&M:	134,457	-
	Β.	Net Generation (MWh):	Unit 1	2,914,366	
			Unit 2	2,753,814	
			Unit 3	2,595,611	_
			Total	<u>8,263,791</u>	
	C.	Net O&M Cost per kWh:		1.627	Cents per KWhr (1 & 3)
	D.	Nuclear Fuel Expense:		0.726	Cents per KWhr (2)
	E.	Total O&M Cost per kWh (W/Fuel)		2.353	Cents per KWhr (1, 2, & 3)
Т	2019	Actual		2 67	Cents per KWhr (1, 2, & 3)
11	2018	Actual Revised		2.69	Cents per KWhr (1, 2, & 3)
III.	2017	Actual Revised:		2.78	Cents per KWhr (1, 2, 0, 0)
IV.	2016	Actual Revised:		2.81	Cents per KWhr (1 & 2)
V.	2015	Actual Revised:		2.78	Cents per KWhr (1 & 2)
VI.	2014	Actual Revised:		2.87	Cents per KWhr (1 & 2)
VII.	2013	Actual Revised:		2.93	Cents per KWhr (1 & 2)
VIII	2012	Actual Revised:		2.92	Cents per KWhr (1 & 2)
IX.	2011	Actual Revised:		2.90	Cents per KWhr (1 & 2)
Χ.	2010	Actual Revised:		2.83	Cents per KWhr (1 & 2)

Note: Revision of the Production Cost Calculation

(1) O&M is calculated as O&M costs including incentives, less amortization

(2) Fuel Expense: YTD = \$60.0 M. The fixed and variable costs related to nuclear spent fuel rod storage are not included in the unit costs. (3) O&M is calculated to exclude Redhawk Tertiary

PVGS Executive Cost Report FERC Cost Report March 2020 (\$ 000)

		Current Month						Year to	1 [Total	Remaining		
		Budget	Actual	Variance	% Var		Budget	Actual	Variance	% Var		Budget	Budget
	Production Operations											-	
5170000	517 Ops Supv & Eng	7,206	7,396	191	3%		20,435	18,746	(1,690)	-8%		75,018	56,273
5190000	519 Coolants and Water	4,090	3,987	(103)	-3%		11,797	11,373	(424)	-4%		50,241	38,868
5200000	520 Steam Expenses	3,621	2,896	(725)	-20%		7,760	7,567	(193)	-2%		33,114	25,547
5230000	523 Electric Expenses	3,317	4,035	718	22%		10,326	9,832	(494)	-5%		41,238	31,406
5240000	524 Misc Nuc Power Exp	13,757	12,160	(1,598)	-12%		32,909	32,660	(250)	-1%		141,452	108,792
5250000	525 Nuc Power Rents	-	-	-	0%		-	-	-	0%		-	-
	Total Production Operations	31,991	30,473	(1,517)	-5%		83,227	80,177	(3,050)	-4%		341,063	260,886
	Production Maintenance												
5280000	528 Supv & Eng	2,006	3,228	1,222	61%		4,123	3,958	(165)	-4%		18,991	15,033
5290000	529 Maint of Structure	1,112	440	(673)	-60%		1,243	1,347	104	8%		6,129	4,782
5300000	530 Maint of Reactor Plant Equip	78	1,315	1,237	1589%		7,336	6,010	(1,326)	-18%		62,498	56,488
5310000	531 Maint of Electric Plant	2,838	2,565	(273)	-10%		5,684	7,434	1,750	31%		22,839	15,405
5320000	532 Maint of Misc Nuc Plant	370	768	398	107%		1,229	2,150	922	75%		6,249	4,099
	Total Production Maintenance	6,404	8,315	1,912	30%		19,614	20,899	1,285	7%		116,706	95,807
	<u>Transmission</u>												
5560000	556 Sys Cntl/Load Dispatch	602	501	(101)	-17%		1,794	1,546	(248)	-14%		7,229	5,683
	Total Transmissions	602	501	(101)	-17%		1,794	1,546	(248)	-14%		7,229	5,683
	<u>Other</u>												
4081001	408 Payroll Taxes	1,611	1,659	48	3%		4,231	4,283	52	1%		19,009	14,726
4082001	408 Other Taxes	-	6	6	0%		-	6	6	0%		-	(6)
4261000	426 Donations/Penalties	5	5	-	0%		20	119	278	1388%		112	(7)
4264000	426 Civic Political	-	2	2	0%		-	7	7	0%		-	(7)
4265000	426 Other Deductions/Exp	-	95	95	0%		-	179	179	0%		-	(179)
9240000	924 Property Insurance	566	(4,837)	(5,403)	-955%		1,697	(3,656)	(5,353)	-315%		6,786	10,442
9250000	925 Injuries & Damages	321	293	(28)	-9%		1,055	894	(161)	-15%		3,971	3,077
9250001	925 Injuries & Damages - Benefits	166	170	4	2%		492	493	1	0%		1,941	1,448
9260001	926 Pensions & Benefits	3,241	3,309	68	2%		9,590	9,607	17	0%		37,841	28,234
9280000	928 Reg Commission Exp	1,880	1,499	(381)	-20%		4,550	4,194	(356)	-8%		17,621	13,427
9302000	930 Admin & General Exp	6,213	6,479	266	4%		16,319	16,742	423	3%		73,321	56,579
	Total Other	14,003	8,681	(5,322)	-38%		37,954	32,867	(5,087)	-13%		160,601	127,734
	Total	52,999	47,970	(5,029)	-9%	= =	142,589	135,490	(7,099)	-5%		625,600	490,110

SOAH Docket No 473-21-2606 PUC Docket No 52195 CEP's 6th, Q No CEP 06-21 Attachment 1 Page 71 of 288

PVGS Executive Cost Report

2020 Total (O&M and Capital) Actual Incentives with Loads March 2020

\$ 33,256	Est.	Total Year End Incentive
-	Act	Total Unit-3 Outage Incentive (100 day run)
 33,256		Subtotal Estimate Incentives for 2020
	-	
\$ 11,307	Est.	Total Loads For Year-End Incentive
-	Act	Total Unit-3 Outage Incentive (100 day run)
11,307		Subtotal Estimate Incentive Load for 2020
	-	
\$ 326	Est.	2019 EIP True Up
-	Est.	2019 EIP Incentive Payroll
111	Est.	2019 EIP Incentive Loads
 437		Subtotal Incentives - Prior Year Adjustments
\$ 45,000		Total (O&M and Capital) Actual Incentives and Loads for 2020

PVGS Executive Cost Report Year End Forecast

March 2020

(\$ Millions)

	YTD	Actuals		Forecast						Year End				
	Actuals	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Variance
O & M	135.05	44.59	42.93	46.92	68.04	43.87	43.51	44.26	43.20	45.88	64.43	44.39	44.54	(6.33)
COVID-19 Support	0.61	-	-	0.61	2.40	1.32	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.33
Incentives (w/ Loads & Adj)	0.44	-	-	0.44	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	42.26	-
Exclusions (1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sub Total O&M	135.49	44.59	42.93	47.97	70.44	45.19	43.51	44.26	43.20	45.88	64.43	44.39	86.80	(2.00)
	YTD	Actuals			Forecast						Year End			
	Actuals	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Variance
Capital	38.66	10.09	12.07	16.50	28.08	16.56	16.10	10.65	13.12	30.54	42.08	22.71	12.20	-
Incentives (w/ Loads & Adj)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.30	-
Exclusions (1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sub Total Capital	38.66	10.09	12.07	16.50	28.08	16.56	16.10	10.65	13.12	30.54	42.08	22.71	14.50	-

(1) Exclusions include: Mid- Year True-Ups (A&G/PRD, Load Dispatch - Exhibit 1 A&G) and any Mid -Year Rate Changes.

SOAH Docket No 473-21-2606 PUC Docket No 52195 CEP's 6th, Q No CEP 06-21 Attachment 1 Page 72 of 288

SOAH Docket No 473-21-2606 PUC Docket No 52195 CEP's 6th, Q No CEP 06-21 Attachment 1 Page 73 of 288

MICHAEL MCLAUGHLIN Vice President Site Services

5801 S Wintersburg Rd Tonopah, AZ 85354



MEM

ID: 517-00001 Date: May 22, 2020

File

To:

Sta.#

Ext. #

From: M. McLaughlin

Sta. # 7605

Ext. # 82-5761

Subject: **PVGS April 2020 Executive Cost Report**

Attached is the PVGS Executive Cost Report that reflects information for April 2020 for the O&M (including incentives), Capital (including incentives), and Nuclear Fuel budgets. The report compares actual costs to budget for the month, year-to-date (YTD), and presents the year-end variances as summarized below:

	YTD	YTD	Year – End		
Category	Budget	Actual	Variance		
O&M	211.72	201.63	(4.00)		
Capital	86.55	63.15	0.00		
Nuclear Fuel	112.61	111.95	(0.52)		
Total	410.88	376.73	(4.52)		

(Millions of Dollars)

Please call me if you have any questions or comments.

MM / SB

Attachment

cc:	J. Cadogan	7602	J. McGill	7990
	J. Guldner	9040	T. Mionske	7990
	T. Horton	8201	E. Asturias	7990
	M. Lacal	7605		
	M. McLaughlin	7605		
	B. Rash	7602		

SOAH Docket No. 473-21-2606 PUC Docket No. 52195 CEP's 6th, Q. No. CEP 06-21 Attachment 1 Page 74 of 288

Palo Verde Generating Station Executive Cost Report April 2020 Prepared by Business Operations