2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

We note first that the cited provision is to an uncontested settlement agreement that sets maximum interstate rates. The Carriers are free to set interstate rates anywhere below that maximum. Therefore, it is a voluntary choice of the Carriers whether interstate rates will increase as a result of our ruling.

Second, the Carriers assert that the higher interstate rates (allowed pursuant to the TAPS Interstate Settlement Agreement and voluntary adoption of higher rates) will result in "detriment to the interstate shippers In such a case it is virtually certain that the interstate shippers would respond with a challenge under Section 13(4) of the ICA, which provides for remedies when intrastate rates impose an undue burden on interstate commerce" 737

The Alaska Supreme Court has already rejected this contention. The Court held that federal law does not preempt the state's authority over intrastate rates citing Simpson v. Shepard, 230 U.S. 352, 33 S.Ct. 729 (U.S.Minn., 1913). The Alaska Supreme Court also rejected the contention that the commerce clause or the Intrastate Commerce Act prohibits a differential between inter- and intrastate rates.

Before the FERC "can nullify a state rate, justification for the 'exercise of the federal power must clearly appear." Congress granted the ICC power to fix intrastate rates "after a full hearing" which demonstrates that the intrastate rates result in "unjust discrimination against, or undue burden on, interstate or foreign commerce." As the U.S. Supreme Court explained

[U]nder section 13(4) the Interstate Commerce Commission is given plenary power to remove the discrimination created by intrastate rates against interstate commerce, by raising intrastate rates so that intrastate traffic may produce its fair share of the *revenue required to meet maintenance and operating costs and to yield a fair return on the value of property devoted to the transportation service.* ⁷⁴¹

The FERC thus must determine the revenue required to meet maintenance and operating costs and to yield a fair return on the property devoted to the transportation service provided by TAPS before it can determine whether intrastate traffic is producing its fair share of revenues. In Cook Inlet, supra, the Alaska Supreme Court explained

P-97-4(151)/P-97-7(110) – (11/27/02) Page 20 of 40

⁷³⁶See Tr. 4845-46 (KAW).

⁷³⁷TAPS Carriers' Motion to Terminate Investigation at 20.

⁷³⁸Cook Inlet Pipe Line Co. v. Alaska Pub. Util. Comm'n, 836 P.2d 343, 353 (Alaska, 1992).

⁷³⁹State of North Carolina v. United States, 325 U.S. 507, 511 (1945) citing Florida v. United States, 282 U.S. 194, 211-212 (1931).

⁷⁴⁰Pub.L. 95-473, 92 Stat. 1466 and 1470 (1978); 49 U.S.C. App. 13(4) (1988); *cf.* 49 U.S.C. 13(4) (1996).

⁷⁴¹Illinois Commerce Comm'n v. United States, 292 U.S. 474, 479 (1934)(emphasis added); see State of North Carolina v. United States, 325 U.S. 507, 520 (1945).

7

8

6

9

11 12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

(907) 276-4533

Alaska 99501

Anchorage, /) 276-6222; T

(200)

20 21 22

Regulatory Commission of Alaska 701 West Eighth Avenue, Suite 300

25 26

23

24

that in *North Carolina v. United States*⁷⁴² the United States Supreme Court emphasized "that the ICC was powerless to interfere with a state-prescribed rate 'unless there are clear findings, supported by evidence, of each element essential to the exercise of that power by the Commission."⁷⁴³

The Carriers' argument that we should abandon our statutory obligation under AS 42.06.370(a) to determine just and reasonable rates because an interstate shipper might file a Section 13(4) claim with the FERC thus rests on the unproven assumption that the interstate rates are reasonable. Like the TAPS Intrastate Settlement, the TAPS Interstate Settlement was approved without a reasonableness determination in an uncontested settlement. An uncontested settlement of interstate rates does not establish that rates are reasonable. Thus, we agree with Tesoro's logic as quoted by the Alaska Supreme Court in Cook Inlet, supra.

It simply cannot be that *intrastate* tariff rates may be set by an *uncontested* settlement of *unreviewed interstate* tariff rates *before the FERC*. If the uncontested settlement of interstate tariff rates controls similar intrastate tariff rates, then procedurally the FERC could mandate *intrastate* tariff rates in every state without even a review as to the reasonableness of either the interstate or the intrastate tariff rates.⁷⁴⁵

We agree. We therefore see no reason to abandon our statutory obligation to determine just and reasonable intrastate rates based on the Carriers' assertion that an interstate shipper might file a Section 13(4) claim particularly when interstate state rates are based on an uncontested settlement and the FERC has not yet determined that TAPS interstate rates are just and reasonable. TAPS

ENDNOTE 8 ASSESSMENT OF EXTRAORDINARY TAPS RISKS

Investors in the TAPS faced various types of risks: non-completion, economic, regulatory and litigation. We discuss each in turn.

P-97-4(151)/P-97-7(110) – (11/27/02) Page 21 of 40

⁷⁴²State of North Carolina v. United States, 325 U.S. 507, 510-511 (1945).

⁷⁴³Cook Inlet Pipe Line Co. v. Alaska Pub. Util. Comm'n, 836 P.2d 343, 352 (Alaska, 1992).

⁷⁴⁴Arctic Slope Reg'l Corp. v. F.E.R.C., 832 F.2d 158, 164 n.12 (D.C. Cir., 1987) cert. denied 488 U.S. 868(1988)(holding that a FERC approved settlement need not assume just and reasonable rates); see also Trans-Alaska Pipeline Sys., 35 F.E.R.C. ¶ 61,425, 61,983 n.17(1986)(noting that justness of rates cannot be implied from an approved settlement "since the settlement rates were never adjudicated to be just and reasonable."); Cook Inlet Pipe Line Co. v. Alaska Pub. Util. Comm'n, 836 P.2d 343, 353 (Alaska, 1992).

⁷⁴⁵Cook Inlet Pipe Line Co. v. Alaska Pub. Util. Comm'n, 836 P.2d 343, 353 (Alaska, 1992).

⁷⁴⁶Tr. 4845 (KAW); see also Re Kenai Pipe Line Co., 12 APUC 425, 437, 1992 WL 696192 (Alaska P.U.C., 1992).

1

4 5

7 8

6

10

9

12

13

11

14

15 16

17

Regulatory Commission of Alaska 701 West Eighth Avenue, Suite 300 Anchorage, Alaska 99501 (907) 276-6222; TTY (907) 276-4533 18

26

A. Risk of Non-completion

If the TAPS project was abandoned before completion, investors would lose the opportunity to recover their investment. Both legal and institutional factors created risks that the project would not be completed. Before construction began, TAPS investors could not be certain that the project would be awarded all necessary permits. Such non-completion challenges were generally greater than those faced by the average pipeline. After Carriers secured the Federal and State Rights of Way Agreements, however, completion risk no longer threatened the project.

The TAPS owners filed a formal application to begin construction in June 1969. The application immediately faced a set of unanticipated hurdles. On January 1, 1970, the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) became effective. By March environmental groups filed a complaint for declaratory and injunctive relief to prevent the Secretary of the Interior from issuing right-of-way and special use permits; they alleged that doing so would violate NEPA and the Mineral Leasing Act of 1920.749 An injunction was granted a month later. A second injunction was granted to a group of Alaskan Natives that prevented the Secretary from issuing a right-of-way through land claimed by them without first obtaining approval from tribal officials.

The Carriers characterized prognosis for pipeline construction by August 1970, as "grim, at best." There were two injunctions restraining the Secretary from issuing the necessary right-of-way permits; the Department of the Interior (DOI) was beginning to prepare an environmental impact statement pursuant to a new law; and DOI had not yet developed technical stipulations. It was not clear when, in what form, or even whether the rights-of-way and other permits would be issued.

Two years later prospects for the pipeline improved. After years of study and public_hearings, a Final Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) was issued in March 1972. In May, the Secretary of the Interior announced that he would issue permits for the pipeline. In August, the preliminary injunction concerning NEPA and the Mineral Leasing Act compliance was dissolved. In October, the injunction concerning Alaska Natives was dissolved as a result of the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act on December 18, 1971.

Another barrier emerged in February 1973, when the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia ruled that the proposed action of the Secretary of the Interior would violate the width limitations of the Mineral Leasing Act. 754 It declined to rule on the

P-97-4(151)/P-97-7(110) - (11/27/02) Page 22 of 40

⁷⁴⁷In subsection B of this endnote, we analyze the risks associated with whether the supply of and demand for North Slope crude oil was adequate to permit the investor to recover costs and generate a return on investment.

⁷⁴⁸WBT-15 (Patton) 5-6.

⁷⁴⁹WBT-15 (Patton) 13-14.

⁷⁵⁰WBT-15 (Patton) 14.

⁷⁵¹WBT-15 (Patton) 15-16.

⁷⁵²WBT-15 (Patton) 16.

⁷⁵³WBT-15 (Patton) 24.

⁷⁵⁴WBT-15 (Patton) 28.

7

12 13

14

15 16

17

Regulatory Commission of Alaska 701 West Eighth Avenue, Suite 300 (907) 276-4533 18 19

1 West Eighth Avenue, S Anchorage, Alaska 99: 7) 276-6222; TTY (907) 2 20 21 22

24 25

26

23

question of whether the Secretary of the Interior had complied with NEPA. This meant that inadequacies, if any, in the ÉIS could not be proactively remedied while Congress was amending the Mineral Leasing Act. 75

As it had done with the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act, Congress stepped in to advance project approval. The Trans-Alaskan Pipeline Authorization Act was eventually passed by a slim margin. The Act declared that NEPA had been previously satisfied; granted and directed issuance of all necessary rights-of-way; and restricted judicial review of authorization issued by any Federal agency with respect to construction. The Act was signed into law on November 16, 1973. On March 8, 1974, the DC District Court rejected a challenge to the Act's constitutionality. Nearly five years after the original application was filed, the last major legal hurdle to pipeline construction was removed.

By May 1974 the significant institutional and legal barriers to the completion of the pipeline system had been removed.

- The Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act, which resolved outstanding Alaskan Native claims to land traversed by the proposed pipeline right-of-way, was passed by Congress in December 1971;759
- The Trans-Alaskan Pipeline Authorization Act, which declared that NEPA was satisfied by previous actions of the Secretary of the Interior, was passed by Congress and signed into law on November 16, 1973;⁷⁶⁰
- The Secretary of the Interior executed the Agreement and Grant of Right of Way for the Trans-Alaska Pipeline on January 23, 1974;⁷⁶¹
- The District Court for the District of Columbia held that section 203(d) of the Trans Alaska Pipeline Authorization Act, which waived the requirements of NEPA, was constitutional on March 8, 1974, 762
- The Carriers obtained a Project Labor Agreement in late April 1974 which significantly reduced the threat of a work stoppage;
- Construction of the Haul Road began April 29, 1974,764;
- The State Right of Way lease was issued on May 3, 1974.765

P-97-4(151)/P-97-7(110) – (11/27/02) Page 23 of 40

⁷⁵⁵ld..

⁷⁵⁶A tie-breaking vote was needed from the Vice President to secure passage in the Senate. WBT-15 (Patton) 32.

⁷⁵⁷Trans-Alaska Pipeline Authorization Act, Pub.L. 93-153, 87 Stat. 576 (1973).

⁷⁵⁸Re Exxon Pipeline Co., 1 APUC 580, 598 (1980).

⁷⁵⁹Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act, Pub.L. 92-203, 85 Stat. 688 (1971).

⁷⁶⁰Trans-Alaska Pipeline Authorization Act, Pub.L. 93-153, 87 Stat. 576 (1973).

⁷⁶¹WBT-15 (Patton) 45.

⁷⁶²See WBT-15 (Patton) 39 (referring to *Bud Brown Enterprises v Morton*).

⁷⁶³WBT-16 (Patton) 78.

⁷⁶⁴WBT-15 (Patton) 46.

⁷⁶⁵WBT-15 (Patton) 45.

14 15

16

24

25

The Carriers assert that the TAPS continued to face enormous physical and The TAPS is subject to harsh climate, permafrost, and technical challenges. earthquakes. The temperature extremes under which the pipeline was constructed and operated were extraordinary. Witnesses asserted that they were twice as great as any previously encountered in pipeline construction. New designs and construction techniques to accommodate the temperature extremes increased project costs. Significant technological problems were associated with putting a hot oil pipeline through discontinuous permafrost, multiple streams, rivers, many steep slopes, and earthquake zones. The engineering problems were formidable. None of these engineering challenges were sufficient, however, to put the completion of the pipeline at risk.

By April 1974, the TAPS owners had no serious doubts that the pipeline could be according to specifications requirement by governmental bodies. considerable technical challenges did not, by themselves, threaten the project.770 At issue is whether the cost of meeting those challenges, especially in the face of considerable government oversight and scrutiny, 771 would have been prohibitive. We find that reasonable investors would not have seen these costs as so large as to affect the viability of the project.772

Two items directly affect the viability of a pipeline: first, whether sufficient reserves are available to support the pipeline, and second, whether the value of those reserves is sufficient to support the cost of the pipeline system. The Carriers urge that the cost of various economic and/or legal factors could have seriously threatened the project by reducing the value of North Slope reserves. Economic risks include the possibility of rapidly escalating construction and operating costs, lower than expected world oil prices, and rate competition among the TAPS Carriers.

We agree that there were economic risks to investor capital, and that legal or permitting risks⁷⁷⁵ continued to exist after May 1974. However, none of these remaining risks threatened project completion. We concur with the APC that the risk of non-

⁷⁶⁶T-3 (WBT) 19.

⁷⁶⁷WBT-16 (Patton) 67-68.

⁷⁶⁸See WBT-16 (Patton) for a general description of these challenges.

⁷⁶⁹Re Exxon Pipeline Co., 1 APUC 580, 599 (1980); 66-WBT-E (Roseman) 63.

⁷⁷⁰Re Exxon Pipeline Co., 1 APUC 580, 598 (1980).

⁷⁷¹See, e.g., WBT-16 (Patton) 46.

⁷⁷²The APC after reviewing a similar record came to the same conclusion. Re Exxon Pipeline Co., 1 APUC 580, 599 (1980) (remanded for other reasons by State of Alaska v. Alaska Pub. Util. Comm'n, 3AN 80-7163 CI (Alaska Super.) Nov. 28, 1983).

⁷⁷³T-10 (WBT) 32-33.

⁷⁷⁴T-10 (WBT) 33.

⁷⁷⁵Carrier witness Tye suggests that investors would have perceived that cost overruns could have risked the viability of the pipeline. T-3 (WBT) 17.

3

5

6

7 8

10 11

9

12 13

14 15

16

Regulatory Commission of Alaska 701 West Eighth Avenue, Suite 300 Anchorage, Alaska 99501 (907) 276-6222; TTY (907) 276-4533 17 18 19

20 21 22

> 25 26

23

24

completion decreased over time because the cost of TAPS was overshadowed by the then high price of oil, the large amount of North Slope reserves, and demand. 116

The TAPS project had significantly greater risks from legal barriers at the preconstruction stage compared to the average pipeline. Investors could not be certain that required permits would be obtained. Therefore, very early investors in the TAPS were uncertain whether they would receive either a recovery of or return on their investment. This pre-construction risk was significant and an appropriate risk premium should be awarded.

However, once construction began investors would have perceived TAPS as feasible. The owners expressed confidence in the successful completion of the project both publicly and privately. Therefore, rational investors would not then have perceived a risk of non-completion as higher than that of an average pipeline. We therefore award no risk premium for non-completion after May 1, 1974.

B. Economic Risks

Whether the supply of and demand for North Slope crude oil was adequate to permit the investor to recover costs and generate a return on investment determines whether the economic risk of the TAPS project was greater or less than the economic risk of an average pipeline. To assign an appropriate risk premium for this risk, we determine whether TAPS investors would be more or less likely than investors in an average pipeline to recover their investment and return on that investment.

TAPS is capital intensive and throughput dependent. Fixed costs are a large portion of its costs and TAPS can only generate income with throughput. For a pipeline investor, risk stems from the threat that carriage will be insufficient to generate adequate cash flow to provide a return of and on investment. That risk turns on whether: (i) physical supplies are adequate; (ii) competition for carriage does not threaten or overly reduce carriage; (iii) natural disaster or sabotage do not interrupt oil deliveries; or (iv) the cost of shipping is so high as to make the price of North Slope oil noncompetitive.

(1) Risk of Inadequate Physical Supply

Carrier witness Nathan contended that "projections of proven reserves, . . . have often proved to fall far short in actual production." The implication is that investors would perceive a risk that throughput would turn out to be inadequate. 780

In contrast, State witness Parcell urged that no risk of inadequate supply existed. He states:

P-97-4(151)/P-97-7(110) – (11/27/02) Page 25 of 40

⁷⁷⁶Re Exxon Pipeline Co., 1 APUC 580, 599-600 (1980).

⁷⁷⁷See id. at 598.

⁷⁷⁸Id. 599.

⁷⁷⁹WBT-28 (Nathan) 24.

⁷⁸⁰WBT-20 (Cooper) 25.

3

4

5 6

8 9

7

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20 Anchorage, /) 276-6222; T 21 22 23

Regulatory Commission of Alaska 701 West Eighth Avenue, Suite 300

25 26

24

[T]he proven reserves at Prudhoe Bay were estimated at 9.6 billion barrels in 1970, according to Dr. Netschert. 781

Parcell concludes that "the supply risk in early 1974 was small and was recognized by the owners as such." Department of Justice witness Roseman testified that even with a one-third reduction in throughput, the pipeline would be able to meet *all* of its capital costs (including adequate return on and of equity). The state of the reduction in throughput, the pipeline would be able to meet *all* of its capital costs (including adequate return on and of equity). a 50 percent reduction in North Slope production (relative to estimated reserves) to pose a significant risk to equity investors in the pipeline; debt investors would be kept whole. Given this understanding of the field's size, risk of inadequate throughput was small.

We agree with the APC, which found that when the maximum investments were irrevocably committed, the ultimate oil recovery of the North Slope was more than sufficient to insure that construction of the pipeline would be completed. There is no persuasive evidence that investors believed that throughput would be insufficient. Indeed, the decision during the planning stages to double pipeline capacity indicates the opposite. 786 We therefore find less additional risk to the TAPS for risk of inadequate supply than compared to an average pipeline.

(2) Risk of Inadequate Throughput Due to Competition

Although investors would have understood that the physical pool of oil on the North Slope was more than adequate, competition might have reduced the throughput needed to generate cash flow. Investors might have perceived two forms of competitive risk.

First, the Carriers contend that an alternative transportation system might have competed with TAPS. By 1974, however, the owners' substantial commitment of resources to TAPS construction suggests that other possible forms of transportation

⁷⁸¹67-WBT-E (Parcell) 49.

⁷⁸²67-WBT-E (Parcell) 49.

⁷⁸³66-WBT-E (Roseman) 66.

⁷⁸⁴66-WBT-E (Roseman) 65. Carriers' witness Arrow disputed this calculation, on the grounds that the margin between revenues and costs posited by protestant witness Roseman was "(1) almost surely too large, and (2) is itself subject to considerable uncertainty which will only be resolved by future events." WBT-29 (Arrow) 32-33. However, Arrow does not supply his own estimate of an appropriate margin, nor does he conduct any similar quantitative assessment. Further, the uncertainty regarding the appropriate size of the margin could be resolved both upward as well as downward. We find Roseman's testimony credible.

⁷⁸⁵Re Exxon Pipeline Co., 1 APUC 580, 599 (1980).

⁷⁸⁶See WBT-15 (Patton) 4; WBT-16 (Patton) 13.

3 4

5 6

7 8

9 10

11 12

14

13

15 16

17

Regulatory Commission of Alaska 701 West Eighth Avenue, Suite 300 (907) 276-4533 West Eighth Avenue, Suite Anchorage, Alaska 99501 276-6222; TTY (907) 276-18 19

26

had been considered and rejected.⁷⁸⁷ There is no compelling evidence in the record that alternative modes of transportation were being seriously considered. 78

Second, the Carriers contend that the different owners -- each with their own space on TAPS -- could engage in a price war when TAPS capacity is less than full. The threat of within-TAPS competition depends on the existence of excess capacity. 790 However, at the time of investment, TAPS effectively faced no competition. The Carriers' own witness suggested that the pipeline would run at full capacity for the first eight to nine years. In 1980, the APC found that no excess capacity would exist for ten to twelve years. In 1980, the APC found that no excess capacity would exist for ten to twelve years. In 1980, the APC found that no excess capacity would exist for ten to twelve years. from within-TAPS competition was a long way off, with the day of reckoning further delayed by the reasonable presumption that additional fields would be discovered.

Moreover, the record suggests that capacity on the pipeline was substantially under the pipeline owners' control. In 1969, TAPS was designed to be a 48-inch hot oil pipeline, with a capacity of 500,000 barrels per day. 795 In 1973, a somewhat redesigned project that used the same pipe was expected to have a capacity of 1.2 million barrels per day, with provisions made for subsequent expansions. The use of drag reducing agents further increased the capacity of the line.

Oil pipelines in the lower 48 can face intermodal competition and competition from other pipelines. In contrast, as the single source of transportation from the North Slope, TAPS investors faced much less risk of competition from alternative pipelines. We thus find that investors in the TAPS enjoyed significantly reduced threat of competition compared with the average pipeline.

(3) Risk of Throughput Interruption

Sources of throughput interruption risk include sabotage, technical failure, earthquakes, 798 or more ordinary accidents. The Carriers acknowledge these risks as ongoing operation-phase risks" for which no additional TAPS risk premium need be"

P-97-4(151)/P-97-7(110) - (11/27/02) Page 27 of 40

⁷⁸⁷The APC came to a similar conclusion; see Re Exxon Pipeline Co., 1 APUC 580, 600 (1980).

⁷⁸⁸66-WBT-E (Roseman) 59.

⁷⁸⁹WBT-26 (Gary) 32.

⁷⁹⁰T-3 (WBT) 37-38.

⁷⁹¹Re Exxon Pipeline Co., 1 APUC 580, 600 (1980).

⁷⁹²WBT-26 (Gary) 31.

⁷⁹³Re Exxon Pipeline Co., 1 APUC 580, 599-600 (1980).

⁷⁹⁴66-WBT-E (Roseman) 60.

⁷⁹⁵WBT-15 (Patton) 4.

⁷⁹⁶WBT-26 (Gary) 8.

⁷⁹⁷33-BWF-E at 51.

⁷⁹⁸See WBT-21 (Hall).

1

4 5

7 8

9 10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17 18

23

24

25

26

Regulatory Commission of Alaska 701 West Eighth Avenue, Suite 300 Anchorage, Alaska 99501 (907) 276-6222; TTY (907) 276-4533 19 20 21 22

awarded. The probability of these types of events is uniform through time, but the risk associated with these probabilities declines over time because the costs to Carriers of an outage continues to fall as rate base declines.⁸⁰⁰

Roseman testified that while explosions or temporary outages were indeed risks. they were unlikely to pose much of a risk to debt service so long as the Carriers maintained a reasonable operating reserve. Roseman suggests that, although the risk of short-term outages is real, these are risks against which the pipeline could effectively self-insure with a cash reserve. For every \$100 million of cash reserves, the pipeline could meet operating expenses and interest obligations for 45 days. Roseman could meet operating expenses and interest obligations for 45 days. 801 Roseman argued that the TAPS would have to be out of operation for more than 250 days per year and not have access to cash reserves or short-term loans before the risk of a short-term outage would affect the ability to meet interest obligations. Therefore, we find that the risk of throughput interruption on TAPS is not significantly greater than that of the average pipeline and we do not award a risk premium for it.

(4) Risk That Cost of Shipping Oil Makes North Slope Oil Noncompetitive

Transportation costs for moving oil from the North Slope to market are significant. Pipeline investors faced the possibility that producers would find shipping oil at fully compensatory rates uneconomic. If producers found themselves in this situation, throughput on the pipeline would be curtailed or pipeline owners would be forced to reduce tariffs below fully compensatory levels.

Pipeline investors in a stand-alone TAPS would have seen risks to their investment as greater than for an average pipeline if they believed that producer margins for North Slope oil were more at risk than in the average field served by the average pipeline. The cost of transportation affects margins as do wellhead production costs and delivery prices. A full assessment of investor perceptions of comparative risk between TAPS and average pipelines in the United States would require evidence of comparative margins. The parties failed to provide this evidence.

We find based on the existing record that from the perspective of an investor at that time, this risk was modest. It stemmed primarily from the threat of construction cost escalation. It, however, was a credible threat to equity investors and we award a risk premium for it.

The Carriers note that investors were concerned about oil price volatility. 802 The Carriers note further that "world oil prices had quadrupled to \$11.65 per barrel in the year prior to the start of the construction phase," 803 but that "many experts at the time expected the price to fall to the \$8 range." Had the price fallen sufficiently far, it is possible that producers would not find it worthwhile to bring their oil to market. This

P-97-4(151)/P-97-7(110) – (11/27/02) Page 28 of 40

⁷⁹⁹See T-3 (WBT) 33-37.

⁸⁰⁰⁶⁶⁻WBT-E (Roseman) 60-61.

⁸⁰¹66-WBT-E (Roseman) 76.

⁸⁰²T-3 (WBT) 31.

⁸⁰³Id.

⁸⁰⁴ Id.

4

8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

Regulatory Commission of Alaska 701 West Eighth Avenue, Suite 300 (907) 276-4533 18 19 20 Anchorage, /) 276-6222; T 21

(206)

would result in the TAPS failing to receive throughput adequate to generate revenues required by pipeline investors.

We find that in the years during construction and early operation, though they could not be certain that world oil prices would be high, investors faced a significantly better climate in terms of world oil prices than ever before. Roseman points out that:

not long after this evaluation was made, the world price of oil quadrupled, and this made the evaluation of the viability of the TAPS completely obsolete. Second, it was not until after the quadrupling of the world price of oil that TAPS received final approval of construction and actually began construction. Thus, the overwhelming bulk--about 95 percent--of the capital actually invested in TAPS was not put at risk until after this change in the price of oil had substantially removed the previously perceived threats to the financial viability of the pipeline. 805

Mobil's investment decisions in the TAPS bear out Roseman's account. In late 1973, Mobil's economic analysis demonstrated that rising costs had made the line uneconomic at its initial capacity of 600 Tb/d, and that even at 1.2 Mb/d its viability was However, "the most important reason" behind Mobil's decision not to uncertain.806 withdraw from the project was the sudden, drastic rise in world oil prices following the oil embargo of 1973. Mobil's decision suggests that it believed that it was considerably more likely than not that oil prices would remain more than adequate. Roseman reported that Netschert testified that it was more likely that the world price of oil would rise than decline.⁸⁰⁸

While world petroleum prices remained volatile, this volatility was not a greater risk than an average pipeline's once TAPS began operation. By then the total cost of construction was known; margins between lifting costs and delivered refinery prices were sufficiently large that investors knew that a threat of price drops was less likely to affect pipeline revenue. Thus, rising and historically high prices during construction should have offered investors assurances that throughput would offer a return on their investment. Therefore, the uncertainty of oil prices decreased over time and reduced the risk associated with investing in TAPS. In this, we concur with the APC, which found that the price increases in late 1973 and 1974, caused by OPEC and a world oil shortage, increased investor confidence in the economic viability of TAPS.⁸⁰⁹

In addition to the world market oil price, Carriers also assert that there was and to some extent continues to be a risk that market demand for North Slope oil on the West Coast may be insufficient. 810 The concern for an investor is that West Coast demand for North Slope crude would be "soft", with the result that crude oil would need to be shipped by tanker and additional pipelines to points further East at additional

P-97-4(151)/P-97-7(110) - (11/27/02) Page 29 of 40

⁸⁰⁵66-WBT-E (Roseman) 99.

⁸⁰⁶WBT-20 (Cooper) 29.

⁸⁰⁷*Id*.

⁸⁰⁸66-WBT-E (Roseman) 60.

⁸⁰⁹Re Exxon Pipeline Co., 1 APUC 580, 599 (1980).

⁸¹⁰T-3 (WBT) 32-33.

5

8

10

14

25 26

23

24

transportation expense.811 Such incremental expense, made necessary by otherwise low West Coast prices, might increase the possibility that producers would face inadequate margins to keep the TAPS adequately full. 812

In contrast, Roseman argued that this risk by itself does not represent a major threat to the economic viability of the pipeline. He urged that these additional transportation costs are simply not great enough to make a critical difference to the pipeline's economics. 813 We agree, and find no compelling evidence in the record to support the contention that investors believed that the risks of soft prices on the West Coast threatened the viability of TAPS.

Investors also evaluate whether the risk that the cost of transportation on TAPS would eliminate the opportunity to receive the same return as the average pipeline. Multiple factors may increase ultimate pipeline costs above originally forecasted levels. Higher costs (if prudently incurred) would be included in tariffs. Cost overruns thus might make the pipeline tariff so high that it would be uneconomic to transport oil. This risk changed over time as TAPS was permitted, constructed and put into operation. We analyze below the risk of various excessive costs over time.

The risk of unknown labor costs is an important element of economic risk. Investors knew that once construction began, a labor strike could have seriously increased the cost of construction. Given the narrow window in which construction could occur, even a relatively short strike might delay the construction for a full season at enormous cost. To reduce this risk, the Carriers sought a project labor agreement ("PLA") with the relevant unions. The PLA was executed in late April 1974. After this agreement was signed, the risk of a strike became nominal. No risk premium should be awarded for capital invested after late April 1974. However, a labor risk before late April 1974 existed and the cost of capital invested before late April 1974 should be adjusted.

Above we discussed the considerable technical challenges facing construction of the TAPS. The design challenges faced during TAPS construction threatened to increase costs. Exxon Pipeline viewed the TAPS construction cost estimate as having at least a one-third chance of a cost overrun of 10 percent.⁸¹⁶ Investors would have considered risks of unproven technology.⁸¹⁷ We also recognize these risks in estimating the required return on equity and we award a risk premium for them.

The TAPS project needed numerous permits, requiring resolution of legal and technical issues. Hence, even after the right-of-way stipulations were granted, the

P-97-4(151)/P-97-7(110) - (11/27/02) Page 30 of 40

⁸¹¹66-WBT-E (Roseman) 79; WBT-26 (Gary) 32-34.

⁸¹²66-WBT-E (Roseman) 79.

⁸¹³66-WBT-E (Roseman) 79-81.

⁸¹⁴WBT-16 (Patton) 77.

⁸¹⁵WBT-16 (Patton) 78.

⁸¹⁶WBT-25 (Woody) 11.

⁸¹⁷ See WBT-16 (Patton) 5.

6

15

14

16 17

Regulatory Commission of Alaska 701 West Eighth Avenue, Suite 300 Anchorage, Alaska 99501 (907) 276-6222; TTY (907) 276-4533 18 19

20 21 22

> 24 25

> > 26

23

project faced risk of cost over-runs⁸¹⁸ because of permitting delays. The likelihood of such delays, the Carriers argue, was greater on TAPS because as a large project it attracted the attention of many special interests. Further, under the Right of Way Agreement, the Secretary of the Interior retained authority during the construction period to make changes in the Agreement or any Stipulation. The owner companies began the project knowing that the permit terms could be changed in ways that could dramatically increase costs midstream. In fact, construction began with design of the vertical support members still not approved by the Federal Alaska Pipeline Office vertical support members still not approved by the Federal Alaska Pipeline Office (APO). 822

[T]here was always a risk that government authorities might demand technical solutions that would be impossible to implement. . . . At one point in 1974, for example, the State of Alaska threatened to require a welding procedure that would have been virtually impossible to implement. . . . If the State had persisted in that requirement, the pipeline could not have been

Roseman, however, suggests that it was politically impossible for the APO not to approve a critical design component.⁸²⁴ Witness Roseman characterizes the risk of these regulatory barriers to completion as quite small by the fall of 1974. He cites Federal statutory authorization of the project in 1973, and the political climate in the wake of the oil embargo⁸²⁵ as powerful indicators that the real legal hurdles had been overcome. Nevertheless, reasonable investors would have known that compliance costs due to such regulatory scrutiny could have put producer margins for shipping oil on the TAPS at somewhat greater risk than those of an average pipeline. We therefore add an appropriate risk premium.

Other factors, besides government scrutiny, created significant risk of cost overruns. The sheer size of TAPS made the threat of cost overruns significant because there were so many more things that could have gone wrong. Carrier witness Nathan explained that high inflation and design changes led to regularly increasing cost estimates between 1968 and 1974. During the preconstruction phase cost estimates climbed from \$.9 billion (based on 500,000 bpd) to \$6 billion by October 1974

P-97-4(151)/P-97-7(110) – (11/27/02) Page 31 of 40

⁸¹⁸ Some of the threat of cost over-runs stemmed from the threat of government imposed design criteria during construction that would have inflated project costs. See WBT-16 (Patton) 42-44.

⁸¹⁹T-3 (WBT) 17.

⁸²⁰WBT-25 (Woody) 7-8; See WBT-16 (Patton) 5.

⁸²¹WBT-16 (Patton) 12; WBT-25 (Woody) 7-8.

⁸²²WBT-16 (Patton) 12.

⁸²³WBT-16 (Patton) 5-6.

⁸²⁴Roseman contended that the national priority placed on making the project happen substantially reduced this sort of risk. 66-WBT-E (Roseman) 63.

⁸²⁵66-WBT-E (Roseman) 62-63.

⁸²⁶66-WBT-E (Roseman) 62.

5

6

11

9

13

26

(1,200,000 bpd).827 Investors, therefore, would have reason to believe that cost estimates might continue to climb.828

Roseman asserted that TAPS would need to cost \$20 billion before the project would have been nonviable. Arrow, however, questioned this estimate, asserting that "the margin suggested by Mr. Roseman is (1) almost surely too large, and (2) is itself subject to considerable uncertainty which will only be resolved by future events." Arrow does not supply his own estimate of the risks. Indeed, he does not conduct any numerical assessment.

On balance, we find that the risk presented by the possibility of cost-overruns were greater than that of an average pipeline but modest. We add a premium for the risk that cost overruns could threaten producer margins and thus, pipeline throughput. We already awarded a premium pre-1974 for the risk that during that time frame investors perceived that TAPS might not be completed. We now also award a risk premium for risk of excessive costs post-1974 being greater than those associated with the average pipeline.

C. Post-construction Regulatory Risk

We next turn to post-construction regulatory risk. The post-construction regulatory risk results from uncertainty about the method to be used to calculate pipeline tariffs. Carrier witness Gary suggests that such a risk is particularly important:

While all of the above-mentioned risks are substantial, one of the greatest risks associated with TAPS, in the opinion of institutional investors with whom I have discussed the project, was and continues to be the risk of Government regulation and political pressure. Many investors feared that the Federal and State Governments would not allow the oil companies and their pipeline subsidiaries to earn the returns on their investments in TAPS and the Prudhoe Bay field which were necessary to service the large amount of debt borrowed for the North Slope Project.⁸³¹

He cites the "regulatory risk" of the possibility that the ICC valuation methodology would be abandoned.⁸³² Gary states:

[w]hen investors, whether they are energy company equity participants or institutional lenders, are confronted with perceived regulatory risks of this magnitude, the result is that a higher rate of return is required and, if such

P-97-4(151)/P-97-7(110) - (11/27/02) Page 32 of 40

⁸²⁷WBT-28 (Nathan) 28.

⁸²⁸The final construction cost aggregated to roughly \$7.7 billion, not including AFUDC. See 143-RGV-C, RGV-14 WP 3, TAPS-RGV WP3.xls, Schedule 3.

⁸²⁹66-WBT-E (Roseman) 71-72.

⁸³⁰WBT-29 (Arrow) 32-33.

⁸³¹WBT-26 (Gary) 36. Gary's own reporting of the ICC's initial suspension of the TAPS tariffs shows that the ICC did not reduce the return to a level that was insufficient to cover debt service. See WBT-26 (Gary) 39, Table 2.

⁸³²WBT-26 (Gary) 38-39.

3

4

5

8

7

9 10

11

12 13

14 15

16

Regulatory Commission of Alaska 701 West Eighth Avenue, Suite 300 Anchorage, Alaska 99501 (907) 276-6222; TTY (907) 276-4533 17 18 19

20

21 22

> 24 25

> > 26

23

higher returns are not allowed, there will be a reduction in investment in crucial energy-related projects.833

We disagree with this logic. There was regulatory uncertainty regarding the appropriate methodology that might be applied to TAPS. However, the long-established examples of pipeline regulation determines that regulation affords the owners of the pipeline a fair opportunity to earn a return commensurate with the risk of their capital investment under tariffs that are fair and nondiscriminatory toward shippers and other members of the public. 834 Regulators strive to ensure that the rate of return on investor capital is sufficient to attract investment. 835 Methodological uncertainty may reduce Methodological uncertainty may reduce investor confidence in the precision of the investors' predictions as to the particular measures that regulators will take, but the record provides no convincing evidence that this regulatory uncertainty poses a threat to investor principle, nor that investors perceived such a threat. Moreover, the record contains no compelling evidence that methodological uncertainty for TAPS was significantly greater than the uncertainty that applies to the average pipeline. Thus, regulatory uncertainty is not a basis for awarding a risk premium and we do not award one.

D. Post-construction Litigation Risk

Litigation risk is likewise not the basis for a risk premium. With respect to the risk of a challenge to prudent expenditures. Carrier witness Tye notes that witnesses for the TAPS Carriers' opponents, in the 1986 Phase II litigation, "set out to demonstrate that alleged mismanagement in the planning and construction of TAPS caused at least \$1.6 billion? about 18 percent of the original rate base? in imprudent construction costs.' The Phase II litigation thus posed a regulatory risk of roughly \$1.6 billion.

The risk of disallowance, however, is a normal part of utility business. Prudence is within control of the utility and imprudence can always be alleged. Furthermore, such a risk is uniform between TAPS and the average pipeline.

To the extent that the litigation over prudence is caused by lax management, then good policy reasons exist for not awarding a risk premium. A risk premium that protects investors from alleged imprudence fails to encourage prudent expenditures. If a risk premium for the risk of litigation over imprudent expenditures is awarded, then shippers nonetheless pay for the imprudent expenditures but in the form of a risk premium rather than in rate base. We therefore do not award a premium for post-construction litigation risk.

P-97-4(151)/P-97-7(110) – (11/27/02) Page 33 of 40

⁸³³WBT-26 (Gary) 40.

⁸³⁴See Federal Power Comm'n v. Hope Natural Gas Co., 320 U.S. 591, 603 (1944).

⁸³⁵Id. at 603; Bluefield Water Works and Improvement Co. v. Pub. Serv. Comm'n, 262 U.S. 679, 693 (1923).

⁸³⁶T-3 (WBT) 29.

Regulatory Commission of Alaska 701 West Eighth Avenue, Suite 300 Anchorage, Alaska 99501 (907) 276-6222; TTY (907) 276-4533

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

ENDNOTE 9: SINGLE RATE

We asked the parties to brief what legal authority, precedent, or rationale exits for establishing a single intrastate rate for TAPS. The Carriers and the State maintain that requiring a single intrastate rate for TAPS would violate each Carrier's right to be regulated as an independent entity. PAS asserts that the record is not sufficient "to define or support" a single rate system. Tesoro and Williams disagree.

The Carriers and the State present multiple reasons for why a single intrastate rate for TAPS is not proper. First, the Carriers and the State assert that because each Carrier holds its own certificate of public convenience and necessity it is entitled to a separate rate. We disagree. AS 42.06.630(17) defines "tariff" to mean a "rate" for a "pipeline facility" for services "furnished by the facility" and not a "rate" for each owner or the pipeline facility. Just and reasonable rates are measured against cost for services. Costs must be prudent, and prudence is measured from a standard of the reasonableness of the cost of running a facility. We certainly do not grant an inefficient or unreasonable owner the right to recover all of its costs in rates. Finally, AS 42.06.370(a) states, "All rates demanded or received by a pipeline carrier, or by any two or more pipeline carriers jointly . . . shall be just and reasonable." The legislature clearly intended for the possibility of joint ownership with a single rate for service.

Second, the Carriers and the State assert that to change from a multiple to single interstate rate this late in the history of TAPS would violate the Carriers' due process rights. In support of this proposition they cite *Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Railway Company v. Wichita Board of Trade*, 412 U.S. 800, 808, 93 S.Ct. 2367 (1973). We have not precluded the Carriers from filing multiple rates for 1997-2000; we allow them to file multiple rates so long as they satisfy the common annual revenue requirement established in Part V, Section I. To the extent that any due process right to multiple rates might exist, it is therefore protected.

Third, the Carriers assert that requiring a single rate would put them "in a difficult position from an antitrust perspective." However, if we, as opposed to the Carriers,

P-97-4(151)/P-97-7(110) – (11/27/02) Page 34 of 40

⁸³⁷Re Amerada Hess Pipeline Corp., Order P-97-4(136)/P-97-7(95), dated June 1, 2001. Although this issue is to some degree intertwined with Tesoro's allegation that the multiple ownership structure of TAPS results in duplicative costs that shippers should not have to pay, we address only the issue of whether a single rate is permissible for 1997-2000. The broader question of whether the multiple ownership structure results in impermissible duplicative costs is addressed in Part VI, Section D, *supra*.

⁸³⁸Initial Post-hearing Brief of the Indicated TAPS Carriers at 36; State of Alaska's Initial Post-hearing Brief at 38-39.

⁸³⁹Public Advocacy Section's *Supplemental Brief* at 5.

⁸⁴⁰Tesoro Alaska Company's Initial Posthearing Brief at 50-51; Williams Alaska Petroleum Inc.'s Post-hearing Initial Brief at 57.

⁸⁴¹Initial Post-hearing Brief of the Indicated TAPS Carriers at 36-37.

⁸⁴²*Id.* at 37.

6

11

9

(206)

23

24

establish a single rate no antitrust violation occurs because an antitrust violation requires collusion between parties setting rates.

Fourth, the Carriers and the State assert that by setting a single rate the benefits of rate competition between Carriers are forfeited. 843 The assertion that rate competition on TAPS exists and should be protected is a theme that runs through the Carriers' and the State's case. The record in this docket, however, is not sufficient to rule on whether rate competition exists on TAPS. However, by setting rates for the years 1997-2000, we do not affect past competition. The amounts shipped intrastate on the various interests in TAPS have already occurred; competitive decisions, if any, have already been made. Thus, our ruling in this docket preserves past competition, if any existed.844 Whether intrastate rate competition exists on TAPS may be fully addressed in our 2001 rate case if the Carriers chose to file individual rates for the 2001 test year.

Fifth, the Carriers assert that establishing a single rate results in the shippers losing the benefit of TSM's Net Carryover provision. 845 They assert that the Net They assert that the Net Carryover provision of TSM may be advantageous to shippers because actual costs and the projected revenue requirement may not accurately match in any given year. It allows shippers to ship on rates that are lower than costs and Carriers to recover costs later. If we as regulators were to design such a mechanism it would be deemed retroactive ratemaking. Our goal is to ensure that rates are just and reasonable. That requires finding that rates reflect costs, not over and under projections of costs. Because 1997-2000 costs and revenue requirement can now be exactly matched we can insure that each shipper will pay rates that match the costs for the year in question.

Sixth, the State asserts that the principle of cost responsibility supports that a single tariff cannot be imposed on TAPS absent a change in ownership structure.⁸⁴⁶ The ownership structure, whether reasonable or efficient, is not We disagree. necessarily relevant to just and reasonable rates. We determine whether filed rates are based on just and reasonable costs, not actual costs. The Carriers may continue in the ownership structure of their choice; rates, however, must meet the AS 42.06 requirement of being just and reasonable.

Seventh, the Carriers and the State also assert that the regulatory simplicity that might be gained by single intrastate rates is far outweighed by the benefit of the multiple TSM inter- and intrastate rates set by the Carriers. The Carriers and the State failed to support this allegation. They allude to the resulting administrative burden of having to set multiple interstate rates separate from a single intrastate rate. However, with respect to 1997-2000 rates, no extra burden exists because we, not the Carriers, have set the 1997-2000 intrastate rates. What the Carriers chose to do with respect to interstate rates is voluntary.

⁸⁴³Id.; State of Alaska's Initial Post-hearing Brief at 39.

⁸⁴⁴The issue of intrastate rate competition on TAPS may be before us should the Carriers decide to file individual 2001 test year cases.

⁸⁴⁵Initial Post-hearing Brief of the Indicated TAPS Carriers at 38. The loss of the benefits of TSM's Net Carryover provision is not relevant to whether a single rate is proper.

⁸⁴⁶State of Alaska's Initial Post-hearing Brief at 39.

6

7

9

11

22

23

24

26

(206)

Further, Alaska case law suggests that a single rate is appropriate. Although State of Alaska v. Alaska Public Utilities Commission, No. 3AN 80-7163 (Nov. 28, 1983) has little precedential value because it was ordered vacated by the Alaska Supreme Court at the request of the settling parties, 847 it squarely addresses the issue of single rate and finds that a single rate is appropriate for intrastate shipment on TAPS. The Alaska Superior Court found on appeal from the APC that there was "no substantial because TAPS was "in fact, a single pipeline and not eight individual pipelines of varying capacities." 848

The record in this docket thus supports establishing a single rate. 42.06.410(a) authorizes us to set rates when filed rates are found unjust and unreasonable; it does not preclude us from setting a single rate. For example, Carrier witness Tve calculated overall rather than individual rates of return because the Carriers are all in the same line of business, facing the same business risks, and the risks are attached to the asset, not who owns it. 849 This is the same logic adopted by the Alaska superior court in State of Alaska v. Alaska Public Utilities Commission, No. 3AN 80-7163 (Nov. 28, 1983).

In these consolidated dockets, despite the PAS assertion that the record is insufficient, we have been able to calculate a single annual intrastate TAPS rate based on the appropriate and allowable costs contained in the record. If individual Carriers have different rates for intrastate shipment on TAPS, each Carrier must demonstrate that it has incurred different yet prudent costs. We have already ruled that for TAPS, capital structure, cost of debt and equity are invariant to ownership. Therefore, if costs differ, they do so because of differences in operating expenses and property balances. For this reason, we allow the Carriers the opportunity to supplement the record⁸⁵¹ and file individual Carrier rates so long as the sum of the individual annual revenue requirements do not exceed the composite annual revenue requirements we calculated in Part VI, Section F. Should individual Carriers not be able to demonstrate differing individual prudent costs, then a single annual rate for intrastate shipment is appropriate.

Therefore, no case law prevents setting a single rate, persuasive authority exists for doing so, and the record supports it. AS 42.06.410(a) authorizes us to set rates when we find that filed rates are unjust and unreasonable; it does not preclude setting a single rate despite multiple ownership. Therefore, we order a single rate for 1997-2000. We allow multiple annual rates should the Carriers chose to file them so long as the

⁸⁴⁷ Amerada Hess Pipeline Corp. v. Alaska Pub. Util. Comm'n, No. S-195, slip op. (Alaska Nov. 20, 1985).

⁸⁴⁸State of Alaska v. Alaska Pub. Util. Comm'n, 3AN 80-7163 Cl (Alaska Super.) Nov. 28, 1983.

⁸⁴⁹Tr. 2742-43 (WBT).

⁸⁵⁰ Part IV Section B.

⁸⁵¹The record suggests that the operating costs and property balances should be proportional to ownership interests in TAPS. With respect to operating costs, this is particularly true because one entity, Alyeska Pipeline operates TAPS for all Carriers.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

multiple annual rates cumulatively satisfy the annual revenue requirements established in Part VI Section E and properly reflect prudent individual Carrier costs of service.

ENDNOTE 10 CARRIER CONTENTION THAT REFUNDS SHOULD BE LIMITED

The Carriers contend that refunds should be limited. The APUC, however, addressed that contention in Re Exxon Valdez Litigation and Settlement Costs, Order P-94-1(63)/P-95-1(43)/P-97-4(50)/P-97-6(29)/P-97-7(30) at 18 (May 27, 1999).

To support their contention that 1997, 1998, and 1999 TAPS rates are refundable only to the extent that they do not comply with TSM, the TAPS Carriers cite Sea Robin Pipeline Co. v. FERC, 795 F.2d 182 (D.C. Cir., 1986); Far North Sanitation, Inc. v. Alaska Public Utilities Commission, 825 P.2d 867 (Alaska, 1992); and AS 42.06.410(a).

In the Sea Robin case the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) required a pipeline company (Sea Robin) to change its method of calculating transportation rates for Gulf Oil Company. The pivotal issue in that case was whether the pipeline company had the burden of proving just and reasonable rates or FERC had the burden of proving unjust and unreasonable rates. The Sea Robin court interpreted the Natural Gas Act (Gas Act)⁸⁵² to ascertain whether § 4 or § 5 of the Gas Act applied. The Sea Robin court focused on whether the change in rates was proposed by the pipeline company (governed by § 4 with the burden of proof on the pipeline company) or the regulatory agency (governed by § 5 with the burden of proof on the agency). Since FERC imposed the new rate methodology on Sea Robin, the Sea Robin court concluded that FERC had the burden of proving unjust and unreasonable rates and failed to satisfy that burden.

The TAPS Carriers argued that the TSM methodology can only be changed prospectively and that full rate refund obligations only apply subsequent to the change in rate methodology, citing a footnote in Sea Robin to support that proposition. (Reconsideration Petition, p. 10.) That footnote provides:

The first order also exceeds the Commission's authority under section 5 because it mandates retroactive relief. FERC ordered Sea Robin to refund to its customers ... any fees paid since June 1, 1980 in excess of the fees they would have paid under the new, Commission-directed methodology. . . Sea Robin had a right to rely on the legality of a filed rate once the Commission allowed it to become effective. FERC may not order a retroactive refund based on a post hoc determination of the illegality of a filed rate's prescription.

The above language reveals that the TAPS Carrier's analysis ignores an important difference between the Sea Robin case and this proceeding the rates in Sea Robin were allowed to become legally effective by FERC,

24 25

Regulatory Commission of Alaska 701 West Eighth Avenue, Suite 300 Anchorage, Alaska 99501 (907) 276-6222; TTY (907) 276-4533

⁸⁵²15 U.S.C. § 717 et seq.

26

P-97-4(151)/P-97-7(110) – (11/27/02) Page 37 of 40

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

Regulatory Commission of Alaska 701 West Eighth Avenue, Suite 300 (907) 276-4533 20 Anchorage, /) 276-6222; T 21 22 23 (206)

> 25 26

24

while this Commission suspended the 1997, 1998, and 1999 TAPS rates for investigation. The Sea Robin court's short discussion of the refundability issue focused on whether the rates subject to refund were allowed to become legally effective - since the rates were already effective, any refund of those previously effective rates would be retroactive. In this proceeding the Commission suspended permanent operation of the 1997, 1998, and 1999 TAPS rates pursuant to AS 42.06.400(a) and set temporary rates subject to refund. Since the refund obligation only accrues from the date on which the Commission suspended permanent operation of the rate, any refund of temporary rates is prospective in nature.8

The TAPS Carriers cite the Far North case to support their contention that TSM cannot be replaced by another methodology until after a hearing and investigation, and accordingly refunds are limited to noncompliance with TSM methodology because rates determined by some other methodology can only be implemented prospectively. The Far North case involved the issue of the permanent rates of a previously unregulated exempt utility being made interim and refundable when that utility became regulated The Commission revoked the utility's regulation by the Commission. exemption, required a revenue requirement filing, and declared the utility's existing rates interim and refundable. The Court found that the Commission's decision to designate existing rates interim and refundable represented a change of rate proposed by the Commission (governed by AS

⁸⁵³In reaching its decision, the *Sea Robin* court stated:

Thus, the Commission's action in this case is an exercise of its authority under section 5 and can be upheld only if its is consistent with the constraints imposed by that section. The Commission staff must bear the burden of proving both that the existing provision is unjust or unreasonable and that the proposed replacement is just and reasonable. FERC may order only prospective relief if it finds a previously accepted provision unlawful. Because the Commission has not satisfied these section 5 requirements, we reverse its decision.

Sea Robin, 795 F.2d at 187.

This quotation highlights an important distinction between the Sea Robin case and this proceeding: in this proceeding TSM has not previously been accepted by this Commission as producing just and reasonable rates. Instead the Commission accepted a settlement which allowed the implementation of TSM as a method of determining ceiling TAPS rates and provided that each TSM filing would be treated as a new rate filing. (Order P-86-2(41), p. 21.) Consequently the annual TAPS rates calculated under TSM are not considered accepted and permanently effective until they are approved by this Commission. Since suspended TAPS rates are neither permanently effective nor accepted rates, any refund resulting from the suspension of a TAPS rate (such as the 1997, 1998, and 1999 TAPS rate filings) is prospective under the *Sea Robin* analysis because no refund of previously accepted rates is required.

P-97-4(151)/P-97-7(110) – (11/27/02) Page 38 of 40

42.05.431(a)) and a hearing was required under AS 42.05.371⁸⁵⁴ before the adoption of the interim, refundable rate. *Far North, 825 P.2d* at 873.855

This proceeding is distinguishable from the Far North and Sea Robin cases: in Far North and Sea Robin, the utility's rates were permanent and effective prior to the Commission's suspension and investigation of those rates. The 1997, 1998, and 1999 rates at issue in this proceeding were proposed by the TAPS Carriers and were not permanent effective rates at the time their permanent operation was suspended for investigation.

AS 42.05.431 and § 5 of the Natural Gas Act are analogous to AS 42.06.410(a). Each statute addresses a regulatory agency's authority to change existing permanent rates, and each statute has companion legislation which addresses rate changes proposed by the utility/pipeline. (See AS 42.05.421, AS 42.06.400, and § 4 of the Natural Gas Act.)

The TAPS Carriers' argument regarding limited refund obligations indicates an assumption that a challenge to TSM represents a Commission-proposed change to existing TAPS rates. That assumption, as evidenced by the TAPS Carriers repeated description of TSM as the "accepted and required" TAPS rate methodology, ignores previous Orders in this proceeding, statutory provisions regarding temporary rates, and the fact that the TAPS Carriers' own filings initiated the investigation in this proceeding under AS 42.06.400.

The Commission allowed the implementation of the TSM methodology with the proviso that each TAPS rate filing would be treated as a new rate filing - thus reflecting a rate proposed by the TAPS Carriers - subject to the same standards and procedures which would apply if the TAPS Settlement was never accepted. (Order P-86-2(41), p. 21); see also Discussion, Section II.B., pp. 19-20.) Such language was clear notice to the TAPS Carriers that each TAPS rate filing would be viewed as a rate change proposed by the TAPS Carriers and could be suspended (and refundable to the extent interim rates exceeded permanent rates) to determine if TSM produced just and reasonable rates. The Commission has allowed the implementation of the TSM methodology as a means of determining ceiling TAPS rates while reserving the right to review TSM rates for justness and reasonableness. Once a protest of the TSM rate methodology was filed, the Commission exercised its authority under AS 42.06.400 to suspend 1997, 1998, and 1999 TAPS rates for an investigation to determine whether TSM produces just and reasonable rates.

 $^{^{854}}$ The pertinent provisions of AS 42.05.371 provide that "a legally filed and effective tariff rate . . . may not be changed except in the manner provided in this chapter."

⁸⁵⁵The Far North court also found that the Commission has the authority to declare a rate interim and refundable so long as the Commission provides protection for the interests of both the utility and the public. Far North, 825 P.2d at 874.

(907) 276-6222; TTY (907) 276-4533

Regulatory Commission of Alaska 701 West Eighth Avenue, Suite 300 Anchorage, Alaska 99501

. . .

Based on the history in this proceeding, the Commission believes that the 1997, 1998, and 1999 TAPS rates are rate changes proposed by the TAPS Carriers and consequently governed by AS 42.06.400. Accordingly, TAPS rates for those years are refundable to the extent the filed and suspended rate exceeds the permanent rate approved by this Commission. The Commission further finds that the 1997, 1998, and 1999 TAPS rates are not and have never been permanently effective rates. Those rates have been suspended for investigation, and the rates proposed by the TAPS Carriers for those years have been set as temporary rates. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that the 1997, 1998, and 1999 TAPS rates are temporary in nature and that any refund attributable to those temporary rates is prospective in nature. In conclusion, the Commission specifically rejects the argument that any refund in this case is limited to noncompliance with TSM. 856

⁸⁵⁶Re Exxon Valdez Litigation and Settlement Costs, Order P-94-1(63)/P-95-1(43)/P-97-4(50)/P-97-6(29)/P-97-7(30) at 23-29 (May 27, 1999) (footnotes omitted).

P-97-4(151)/P-97-7(110) – (11/27/02) Page 40 of 40

1997-2000 Just and Reasonable Intrastate TAPS Rates, by Destination and Year

	GVEA Tariff	Valdez Marine	Petro Star
	(\$/BBL)	Terminal Intrastate	Tariff (\$/BBL)
		Tariff (\$/BBL)	
1997	\$1.02	\$1.56	\$1.55
1998	\$1.03	\$1.63	\$1.62
1999	\$1.19	N/A	\$1.88
2000	\$1.25	N/A	\$1.96

Source: Exhibit 46, lines 24-26; see Part VI.F

The lack of a tariff to Valdez reflects the absence of intrastate shipments to that location during 1999 and 2000. It should not misunderstood as an indication that the intrastate cost of service to Valdez would have been zero had shipments occurred.

Illustrative Average Yearly Tariffs, By Carrier and Destination

			199	7			199	8			199	99	
	Certificate	North Pole	VMT	Petro Star	TL#	North Pole	VMT	Petro Star	TL#	North Pole	VMT	Petro Star	TL#
Amerada Hess	300	1.75	2.74	2.73	55	1.66	2.69	2.68	58	1.42	2.34	2.33	63, 64, 66, 68
ARCO	301	1.67	2.61	2.60	59	1.68	2.73	2.72	61	1.52	2.46	2.44	68
ВР	311	1.76	2.76	2.74	60	1.73	2.80	2.79	61	1.62	2.85	2.83	67, 69
Exxon	304	1.66	2.64	2.62	72	1.71	2.77	2.76	74	1.49	2.66	2.65	80, 81, 83, 85
Unocal	312	1.69	2.65	2.64	55	1.67	2.72	2.7	56	1.56	2.55	2.53	60
Mobil	308	1.87	2.94	2.92	55	1.79	2.84	2.82	58, 63	1.56	2.56	2.42	64, 66, 68
Phillips	310	1.75	2.75	2.73	58, 59	1.72	2.79	2.78	62	1.49	2.47	2.46	68, 69, 71, 73

^{*} For multiple tariffs filed in a given year, tariff is a weighted average, with weights determined by the number of months that the tariff was in effect.

^{*} Gravity differential rates effective in the first half of 1997 not included.

^{*} Incentive rate tariffs not included.

Illustrative Average Yearly Tariffs, By Carrier and Destination

			20	00	
	Certificate	North Pole	VMT	Petro Star	TL#
Amerada Hess	300	1.52	2.56	2.54	70, 71
ARCO	301	1.97	3.20	3.18	61
вР	311	1.61	2.61	2.59	73, 75
Exxon	304	1.98	3.22	3.20	74
Unocal	312	1.84	2.98	2.97	64
Mobil	308	1.86	3.02	3.01	70
Phillips	310	1.67	2.69	2.68	77, 78, 79

Relative excess of filed tariffs above just and reasonable rates, 1997-2000 (percentage)

		1997			1998			1999			2000	
	North Pole	VMT	Petro Star	North Pole	VMT	Petro Star	North Pole	VMT	Petro Star	North Pole	VMT	Petro Star
Amerada Hess	70.79%	75.63%	75.84%	60.93%	64.79%	64.97%	19.43%	N/A	23.84%	22.26%	N/A	29.25%
ARCO	62.98%	67.30%	67.47%	62.86%	67.24%	67.43%	27.62%	N/A	29.92%	58.11%	N/A	62.03%
ВР	71.77%	76.92%	76.49%	67.71%	71.53%	71.74%	35.73%	N/A	50.69%	28.82%	N/A	31.97%
Exxon	62.01%	69.22%	68.76%	65.77%	69.69%	69.89%	24.75%	N/A	41.10%	58.92%	N/A	63.05%
Unocal	64.93%	69.86%	70.05%	61.89%	66.63%	66.20%	30.98%	N/A	34.71%	47.68%	N/A	51.33%
Mobil	82.50%	88.45%	88.08%	73.20%	73.98%	73.59%	30.84%	N/A	28.95%	49.29%	N/A	53.37%
Phillips	70.63%	76.06%	75.74%	66.74%	70.92%	71.13%	25.03%	N/A	30.90%	33.90%	N/A	36.39%
Average	69.37%	74.78%	74.63%	65.59%	69.25%	69.28%	27.77%	N/A	34.30%	42.71%	N/A	46.77%

Average of Averages 57.45%

^{*} Percentages calculated as [Schedule 2 - Schedule 1]/Schedule 1

Appropriate Total Annual Rate of Return for TAPS, 1968-1996 (Percentage Rates)

	Cost of Debt	Percentage	Weighted	Return on	Percentage	Weighted Return	Rate of
		of Debt	Cost of Debt	Equity	of Equity	on Equity	Return
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)
	Exhibit 15,	Exhibit 11,	a*b	Exhibit 20,	1 - b	d * e	c + f
	Schedule 3,	col d		col b			
	col i						
1968	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	12.28	100.00%	12.28%	12.28%
1969	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	13.14	100.00%	13.14%	13.14%
1970	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	13.60	100.00%	13.60%	13.60%
1971	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	12.72	100.00%	12.72%	12.72%
1972	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	12.56	100.00%	12.56%	12.56%
1973	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	13.23	100.00%	13.23%	13.23%
1974.1	0.00	0.00%	0.00%	13.90	100.00%	13.90%	13.90%
1974.2	8.74	32.96%	2.88%	13.90	67.04%	9.32%	12.20%
1975	8.80	46.63%	4.10%	13.98	53.37%	7.46%	11.56%
1976	8.74	49.07%	4.29%	13.81	50.93%	7.03%	11.32%
1977.1	8.82	49.50%	4.37%	14.55	50.50%	7.35%	11.72%
1977.2	8.86	49.50%	4.39%	14.55	50.50%	7.35%	11.74%
1978	8.88	49.50%	4.40%	15.42	50.50%	7.79%	12.19%
1979	8.90	49.50%	4.41%	16.28	50.50%	8.22%	12.63%
1980	8.98	49.50%	4.45%	18.25	50.50%	9.22%	13.67%
1981	9.01	49.50%	4.46%	21.16	50.50%	10.69%	15.15%
1982	9.03	49.50%	4.47%	20.81	50.50%	10.51%	14.98%
1983	9.08	49.50%	4.49%	17.46	50.50%	8.82%	13.31%
1984	9.13	49.50%	4.52%	18.66	50.50%	9.42%	13.94%
1985	9.16	49.50%	4.53%	17.35	50.50%	8.76%	13.29%
1986	9.17	49.50%	4.54%	16.04	50.50%	8.10%	12.64%
1987	9.19	49.50%	4.55%	16.00	50.50%	8.08%	12.63%
1988	9.20	49.50%	4.55%	16.42	50.50%	8.29%	12.84%
1989	9.23	49.50%	4.57%	16.18	50.50%	8.17%	12.74%
1990	9.35	49.50%	4.63%	16.35	50.50%	8.25%	12.88%
1991	9.37	49.50%	4.64%	16.06	50.50%	8.11%	12.75%
1992	9.37	49.50%	4.64%	15.61	50.50%	7.88%	12.52%
1993	9.30	49.50%	4.60%	14.02	50.50%	7.08%	11.68%
1994	9.41	49.50%	4.66%	14.67	50.50%	7.41%	12.07%
1995	9.38	49.50%	4.64%	14.74	50.50%	7.44%	12.08%
1996	9.24	49.50%	4.57%	14.28	50.50%	7.21%	11.78%

1974.1 = January through April 1974

1974.2 = May through December 1974

1977.1 = January through June 1977

1977.2 = July through December 1977

Explanatory Note to Exhibit 3

The rates shown on Schedule 2 are calculated using the rate design used by all of the parties, and discussed at Part VI.F. Rates reflect a revenue requirement, shown on Schedule 3, that includes TSM's allowances for DR&R -as do the 1997-2000 filed rates. This permits an "apples to apples" assessment of filed rates. The depreciation amounts are derived from Schedule 6, and assume TSM depreciation as sponsored by Tesoro. 1 Accumulated depreciation reflects adjustments that account for property retirements. These adjustments are shown in Schedule 7, and are calculated in Exhibit 23; the rationale for making these adjustments is provided at Part IV Section C.2. AFUDC is calculated in Schedule 8, as per the discussion in Part IV Section B.5, and is amortized according to Schedule 9, as per the discussion in Part IV Section C.3. Return on rate base is calculated in Schedule 4, where the rate base is developed in Schedule 14. Rate base reflects adjustments for ADIT, calculated in Schedule 12 as per the discussion in Part IV Section D. Income taxes are calculated (see Schedule 54) according to maximum statutory rates; see Part V Section A.3 for discussion.

¹ Exhibit 225-JFB-T, Workpaper 1, Line 4.

Filed Tariff Excess Compared with Illustrative DOC Rates (percentages)

All Carrier Inputs Except Depreciation

		1997			1998			1999			2000	
	North Pole	VMT	Petro Star	North Pole	VMT	Petro Star	North Pole	VMT	Petro Star	North Pole	VMT	Petro Star
Amerada Hess	45.70%	47.22%	47.41%	42.89%	45.06%	45.22%	5.96%	N/A	8.94%	22.86%	N/A	29.93%
ARCO	39.04%	40.23%	40.39%	44.62%	47.21%	47.39%	13.23%	N/A	14.29%	58.89%	N/A	62.89%
ВР	46.53%	48.29%	47.95%	48.92%	50.99%	51.18%	20.43%	N/A	32.56%	29.45%	N/A	32.67%
Exxon	38.20%	41.85%	41.47%	47.20%	49.37%	49.56%	10.68%	N/A	24.12%	59.70%	N/A	63.91%
Unocal	40.70%	42.38%	42.55%	43.75%	46.67%	46.31%	16.21%	N/A	18.50%	48.40%	N/A	52.13%
Mobil	55.69%	57.97%	57.67%	53.80%	53.14%	52.81%	16.08%	N/A	13.43%	50.02%	N/A	54.18%
Phillips	45.56%	47.58%	47.32%	48.06%	50.45%	50.64%	10.93%	N/A	15.15%	34.56%	N/A	37.11%
Average	44.49%	46.50%	46.39%	47.03%	48.98%	49.02%	13.36%	N/A	18.14%	43.41%	N/A	47.55%

Average of Averages 40.49%

^{*} Percentages calculated as [Exhibit 1, Schedule 2 - Schedule 2]/Schedule 2

Illustrative DOC Tariffs

Line	Description	Source	1997	1998	1999	2000
No.						
1	GVEA Deliveries (millions bbl)	Exhibit RGV-6, Schedule 1	17.53	18.54	21.98	22.73
2	Petro Star Valdez Deliveries (millions bbl)	Exhibit RGV-6, Schedule 1	3.41	3.58	3.73	3.78
	Valdez Marine Terminal Intrastate Deliveries (millions bbl)	Exhibit RGV-6, Schedule 1	16.06	15.68	0.00	0.00
4	Valdez Marine Terminal Interstate Deliveries (millions bbl)	Exhibit RGV-6, Schedule 1	444.98	402.82	365.10	341.41
5	Total Barrels (millions)	Lns (1 + 2 + 3 + 4)	481.97	440.61	390.80	367.92
6	GVEA Distance	Exhibit RGV-6, Schedule 1	469.06	469.06	469.06	469.06
7	Petro Star Valdez Distance	Exhibit RGV-6, Schedule 1	796.00	796.00	796.00	796.00
8	Valdez Marine Terminal Distance	Exhibit RGV-6, Schedule 1	800.32	800.32	800.32	800.32
9	GVEA Barrel Miles	Line (1 * 6)	8,221	8,694	10,309	10,662
10	Petro Star Valdez Barrel Miles	Line (2 * 7)	2,717	2,850	2,967	3,005
11	Valdez Marine Terminal Intrastate Barrel Miles	Line (3 * 8)	12,851	12,547	0	0
12	Valdez Marine Terminal Interstate Barrel Miles	Line (4 * 8)	356,124	322,382	292,194	273,239
13	Total Barrel Miles	Lns (9 + 10 + 11 + 12)	379,912	346,472	305,470	286,905
14	GVEA Connection Costs (millions \$)	Exhibit RGV-6, Schedule 1	\$0.77	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
15	GVEA Connection Costs Per GVEA Barrel	Line (14 / 1)	\$0.04	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
16	Non-Distance Related Costs (millions \$)	Exhibit RGV-6, Schedule 1	\$77.49	\$79.64	\$80.29	\$80.13
17	Non-Distance Related Costs Per Barrel	Lns (16 / 5)	\$0.16	\$0.18	\$0.21	\$0.22
18	Total DOC Cost of Service (millions \$)	Schedule 2, Ln. 7	\$885.43	\$804.22	\$820.75	\$705.29
19	Remaining Cost of Service (millions \$)	Line (18 - 14 - 16)	\$807.17	\$724.58	\$740.46	\$625.16
20	GVEA Portion of Cost of Service (millions)	Lns (((15 + 17) * 1) + (19 * (9 / 13)))	\$21.05	\$21.53	\$29.50	\$28.18
21	Petro Star Valdez Portion of Cost of Service (millions \$)	Lns ((17 * 2) + (19 * (10 / 13)))	\$6.32	\$6.61	\$7.96	\$7.37
22	Valdez Marine Terminal Intrastate Portion of Cost of Service	Lns ((17 * 3) + (19 * (11 / 13)))	\$29.88	\$29.07	\$0.00	\$0.00
23	Valdez Marine Terminal Interstate Portion of Cost of Service	Lns ((17 * 4) + (19 * (12 / 13)))	\$828.18	\$747.01	\$783.29	\$669.74
24	GVEA Tariff (\$/BBL)	Lns (20 / 1)	\$1.20	\$1.16	\$1.34	\$1.24
25	Petro Star Valdez Tariff (\$/BBL)	Lns (21 / 2)	\$1.85	\$1.85	\$2.13	\$1.95
26	Valdez Marine Terminal Intrastate Tariff (\$/BBL)	Lns (22 / 3)	\$1.86	\$1.85	\$0.00	\$0.00

SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-21-2606 PUC DOCKET NO. 52195 CEP 17-13, Attachment 1 Page 221 of 486

Illustrative DOC Revenue Requirement (millions \$)

Line No.	Description	Source	1997	1998	1999	2000
1	Operating Expenses	Schedule 16, Ln. 12	\$604	\$566	\$568	\$444
2	Depreciation Expense	Schedule 6 Ln. 3	\$82	\$50	\$49	\$56
3	Amortization of AFUDC	Schedule 9, Ln. 14	\$17	\$10	\$10	\$11
4	Return on Rate Base	Schedule 4 Ln 3	\$104	\$105	\$115	\$113
5	Income Tax Allowance	Schedule 5 Ln. 14	\$71	\$70	\$76	\$77
6	DR&R Expense	30-BEW-T	\$8	\$4	\$4	\$4
7	Total Cost of Service	Sum Lines 1 to 6	\$885	\$804	\$821	\$705

Illustrative DOC Return on Rate Base (millions \$)

Line No.	Description	Source	1997	1998	1999	2000
-	Weighted Rate of Return	Exhibit WBT-40	14.40%	14.40%	16.00%	16.00%
2	Average Rate Base	Schedule 14, Ln 19	\$724	\$732	\$716	\$709
3	Total Return on Rate Base	Ln.1 * Ln.2	\$104	\$105	\$115	\$113
4	Debt Capital Structure	Exhibit WBT-38	22.67%	24.39%	24.68%	24.68%
5	Cost of Debt	Exhibit WBT-39	7.85%	6.63%	6.38%	6.38%
6	Debt Portion of Weighted Rate of Return	Ln.4 * Ln.5	1.78%	1.62%	1.57%	1.57%
7	Interest Expense	Ln.2 * Ln.6	\$13	\$12	\$11	\$11
8	Equity Portion of Weighted Rate of Return	Ln.1 - Ln.6	12.62%	12.78%	14.43%	14.43%
9	Return on Equity	Ln.2 * Ln.8	\$91	\$94	\$103	\$102
10	Equity Capital Structure	100% - Line 4	77.33%	75.61%	75.32%	75.32%
11	Cost of Equity (Return on Equity)	Ln. 23/Ln.27	16.32%	16.91%	19.15%	19.15%

Illustrative DOC Income Tax Allowance (millions \$)

Line No.	Description	Source	1997	1998	1999	2000
1	Total Return on Rate Base	Schedule 4 Ln. 3	\$104	\$105	\$115	\$113
2	Interest Expense	Schedule 4 Ln. 7	\$13	\$12	\$11	\$11
3	Equity Portion of Return on Rate Base	Ln. 1 - Ln. 2	\$91	\$94	\$103	\$102
	Permanent Differences - Federal Income Tax:					
4	Amortization of Equity AFUDC	Schedule 9 Ln. 4	\$14	\$8	\$8	\$9
5	Amortization of TEFRA Adjustment	Schedule 13 Ln. 6	\$0.07	\$0.04	\$0.04	\$0
6	Amortization of Deferred Tax Adjustments	Schedule 12 Ln. 18	\$2	\$1	\$1	\$1
7	Subtotal for Federal Income Tax Allowance	Lns (3 + 4 + 5 - 6)	\$104	\$101	\$111	\$111
8	Net-to-Tax Multiplier - Federal Income Tax	IRC	53.85%	53.85%	53.85%	53.85%
9	Federal Income Tax Allowance	Ln. 7 * Ln. 8 - Ln. 6	\$54	\$54	\$59	\$59
	Permanent Differences - State Income Tax:					
10	Amortization of Equity AFUDC	Schedule 9 Ln. 4	\$14	\$8	\$8	\$9
11	Subtotal for State Income Tax Allowance	Lns (3 + 9 + 10)	\$160	\$156	\$170	\$171
12	Net-to-Tax Multiplier - State Income Tax	AK Stat.	10.38%	10.38%	10.38%	10.38%
13	State Income Tax Allowance	Ln. 11 * Ln. 12	\$17	\$16	\$18	\$18
14	Total Income Tax Allowance	Ln. 9 + Ln. 13	\$71	\$70	\$76	\$77

Illustrative DOC Depreciation (millions \$)

Line No.	Description	Source	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982
1	TSM-6/Baden Depreciation 77- 83; TSM Depreciation 84-00	(30-BWF-E, 235-JFB- T); (31-BWF-E)	108.493	453.437	549.208	626.832	602.059	611.310
2	Amortization of Excluded Costs	31-BWF-E, Ln. 119	0	47.407	55.891	66.134	65.989	70.407
3	Appropriate Annual Depreciation - TSM & TSM-6	Line 1 + Line 2	108.493	500.844	605.099	692.966	668.048	681.717
4	Adjustments to Accumulated Depreciation	FERC Form 6, from 143- RGV-C	0.00	0.000	0.557	0.071	1.010	0.000
1	Adjusted Retirements from Accumulated Depreciation	Schedule 7, Ln. 9	0.00	(6.98)	(10.93)	(51.83)	(2.50)	(13.61)
6	Accumulated Depreciation	Lns (3 + 4 + 5) + Prior Ln. 6	108.493	602.352	1,197.077	1,838.285	2,504.847	3,172.958

Illustrative DOC Depreciation (millions \$)

Line No.	Description	Source	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988
1	TSM-6/Baden Depreciation 77- 83; TSM Depreciation 84-00	(30-BWF-E, 235-JFB- T); (31-BWF-E)	589.012	569.053	542.938	514.506	503.605	458.916
2	Amortization of Excluded Costs	31-BWF-E, Ln. 119	71.471	72.701	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
3	Appropriate Annual Depreciation - TSM & TSM-6	Line 1 + Line 2	660.483	641.754	542.938	514.506	503.605	458.916
	Adjustments to Accumulated Depreciation	FERC Form 6, from 143- RGV-C	0.000	0.075	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
	Adjusted Retirements from Accumulated Depreciation	Schedule 7, Ln. 9	(22.44)	(11.51)	(13.78)	(2.97)	(16.65)	(12.97)
6	Accumulated Depreciation	Lns (3 + 4 + 5) + Prior Ln. 6	3,810.997	4,441.318	4,970.473	5,482.011	5,968.970	6,414.913

Illustrative DOC Depreciation (millions \$)

Line No.	Description	Source	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994
1	TSM-6/Baden Depreciation 77- 83; TSM Depreciation 84-00	(30-BWF-E, 235-JFB- T); (31-BWF-E)	395.419	335.514	295.711	285.871	237.084	195.984
2	Amortization of Excluded Costs	31-BWF-E, Ln. 119	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
3	Appropriate Annual Depreciation - TSM & TSM-6	Line 1 + Line 2	395.419	335.514	295.711	285.871	237.084	195.984
4	Adjustments to Accumulated Depreciation	FERC Form 6, from 143- RGV-C	0.000	(0.004)	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
5	Adjusted Retirements from Accumulated Depreciation	Schedule 7, Ln. 9	(4.72)	(3.52)	(57.92)	(15.52)	(10.77)	(10.10)
6	Accumulated Depreciation	Lns (3 + 4 + 5) + Prior Ln. 6	6,805.609	7,137.602	7,375.393	7,645.744	7,872.061	8,057.945

SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-21-2606 PUC DOCKET NO. 52195 CEP 17-13, Attachment 1 Page 227 of 486

Illustrative DOC Retirements from Accumulated Depreciation (millions \$)

Line No.	Description	Source	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983
1	Depreciation Account - Adjusted	Exhibit 23, Ln. 8;	0.00	(6.98462)	(10.93161)	(51.82893)	(2.49600)	(13.60600)	(22.44405)
	Retirements	Exhibit 38, Ln. 3							

SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-21-2606 PUC DOCKET NO. 52195 CEP 17-13, Attachment 1 Page 228 of 486

Illustrative DOC Retirements from Accumulated Depreciation (millions \$)

Line	Description	Source	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990
No.									
1	Depreciation Account - Adjusted	Exhibit 23, Ln. 8;	(11.50783)	(13.78235)	(2.96887)	(16.64551)	(12.97325)	(4.72305)	(3.51721)
	Retirements	Exhibit 38, Ln. 3							

SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-21-2606 PUC DOCKET NO. 52195 CEP 17-13, Attachment 1 Page 229 of 486

Illustrative DOC Retirements from Accumulated Depreciation (millions \$)

Line	Description	Source	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997
No.									
1	Depreciation Account - Adjusted	Exhibit 23, Ln. 8;	(57.91923)	(15.52027)	(10.76684)	(10.10028)	(2.64213)	(114.10734)	(3.11460)
	Retirements	Exhibit 38, Ln. 3							

SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-21-2606 PUC DOCKET NO. 52195 CEP 17-13, Attachment 1 Page 230 of 486

Illustrative DOC Retirements from Accumulated Depreciation (millions \$)

Line	Description	Source	1998	1999	2000
No.					
1	Depreciation Account - Adjusted	Exhibit 23, Ln. 8;	(6.44456)	(2.63010)	(6.55073)
	Retirements	Exhibit 38, Ln. 3			

Ln.	Date	Construction	on Work i	n Progress	In-service Ratio		Equity %	Equity Additions	Equity Transfers	Equity Base	ROE	Incremental Equity	Transfers Equity	Equity AFUDC	Compounding Additions	Debt %	Debt Additions	Debt Transfers	Debt Base	COD	Incremental Debt	Transfers Debt	Debt AFUDC
No.	Date	Property	Transfers	Property	Katio	Equity	Equity 76	Additions	Transici s	Dasc	KOE	AFUDC	AFUDC	Balance	Debt	DC01 76	Additions	Transicis	Dasc	COD	AFUDC	AFUDC	Balance
	1	Additions 2	o Propert	Balance 4	5	AFUDC 6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	AFUDC 15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
1	Sep-68	\$0.978	\$0	\$1	0.0%	\$0	75.5%	\$1	\$0	\$0	15.64%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	24.5%	\$0	\$0	\$0	5.66%	\$0	\$0	\$0
2	Oct-68	\$0.000	\$0	\$1	0.0%	\$0	75.5%	\$0	\$0	\$1	15.64%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	24.5%	\$0	\$0	\$0	5.66%	\$0	\$0	\$0
3	Nov-68	\$0.000	\$0	\$1	0.0%	\$0	75.5%	\$0	\$0	\$1	15.64%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	24.5%	\$0	\$0	\$0	5.66%	\$0	\$0	\$0
4 5	Dec-68 Jan-69	\$0.000 \$0.244	\$0 \$0	\$1 \$1	0.0%	\$0 \$0	75.5% 78.1%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$1 \$1	15.64% 16.36%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	24.5% 21.9%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	5.66% 5.61%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
6	Feb-69	\$0.000	\$0	\$1	0.0%	\$0	78.1%	\$0	\$0	\$1	16.36%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	21.9%	\$0	\$0	\$0	5.61%	\$0	\$0	\$0
7	Mar-69	\$0.000	\$0	\$1	0.0%	\$0	78.1%	\$0	\$0	\$1	16.36%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	21.9%	\$0	\$0	\$0	5.61%	\$0	\$0	\$0
8	Apr-69	\$0.242	\$0	\$1	0.0%	\$0	78.1%	\$0	\$0	\$1	16.36%	\$0	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0	21.9%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0	5.61%	\$0	\$0	\$0
9	May-69 Jun-69	\$0.854 \$1.234	\$0 \$0	\$2 \$4	0.0%	\$0 \$0	78.1% 78.1%	\$1 \$1	\$0 \$0	\$1 \$2	16.36% 16.36%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	21.9% 21.9%	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$1	5.61% 5.61%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
11	Jul-69	\$0.712	\$0	\$4	0.0%	\$0	78.1%	\$1	\$0	\$3	16.36%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	21.9%	\$0	\$0	\$1	5.61%	\$0	\$0	\$0
12	Aug-69	\$1.124	\$0	\$5	0.0%	\$0	78.1%	\$1	\$0	\$3	16.36%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	21.9%	\$0	\$0	\$1	5.61%	\$0	\$0	\$0
13	Sep-69	\$2.164	\$0	\$8	0.0%	\$0	78.1%	\$2	\$0	\$4	16.36%	\$0	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0	21.9%	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$1	5.61%	\$0	\$0	\$0 \$0
14	Oct-69 Nov-69	\$5.192 \$6.530	\$0 \$0	\$13 \$19	0.0%	\$0 \$0	78.1% 78.1%	\$4 \$5	\$0 \$0	\$6 \$10	16.36% 16.36%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	21.9% 21.9%	\$1 \$1	\$0 \$0	\$2 \$3	5.61% 5.61%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
16	Dec-69	\$17.161	\$0	\$36	0.0%	\$0	78.1%	\$13	\$0	\$15	16.36%	\$0	\$0	\$1	\$0	21.9%	\$4	\$0	\$4	5.61%	\$0	\$0	\$0
17	Jan-70	(\$0.886)	\$0	\$36	0.0%	\$1	72.9%	(\$1)	\$0	\$29	17.56%	\$0	\$0	\$1	\$0	27.2%	(\$0)	\$0	\$8	6.28%	\$0	\$0	\$0
18 19	Feb-70 Mar-70	\$8.996 \$11.607	\$0 \$0	\$45 \$56	0.0%	\$0 \$0	72.9% 72.9%	\$7 \$8	\$0 \$0	\$28 \$35	17.56% 17.56%	\$0 \$1	\$0 \$0	\$2 \$2	\$0 \$0	27.2% 27.2%	\$2 \$3	\$0 \$0	\$8 \$10	6.28% 6.28%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
20	Apr-70	\$18.351	\$0	\$75	0.0%	\$0	72.9%	\$13	\$0	\$43	17.56%	\$1	\$0	\$3	\$0	27.2%	\$5	\$0	\$13	6.28%	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
21	May-70	\$16.501	\$0	\$91	0.0%	\$0	72.9%	\$12	\$0	\$57	17.56%	\$1	\$0	\$4	\$0	27.2%	\$4	\$0	\$18	6.28%	\$0	\$0	\$0
22	Jun-70	\$19.677	\$0	\$111	0.0%	\$0	72.9%	\$14	\$0	\$69	17.56%	\$1	\$0	\$5	\$0	27.2%	\$5	\$0	\$23	6.28%	\$0	\$0	\$0
23 24	Jul-70 Aug-70	\$28.292 \$17.456	\$0 \$0	\$139 \$156	0.0%	\$4 \$0	72.9% 72.9%	\$21 \$13	\$0 \$0	\$87 \$108	17.56% 17.56%	\$1 \$2	\$0 \$0	\$6 \$7	\$0 \$0	27.2% 27.2%	\$8 \$5	\$0 \$0	\$29 \$36	6.28% 6.28%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$1 \$1
25	Sep-70	\$24.416	\$ 0	\$181	0.0%	\$0	72.9%	\$18	\$0	\$120	17.56%	\$2	\$0	\$9	\$0	27.2%	\$7	\$0	\$41	6.28%	\$ 0	\$0	\$1
26	Oct-70	\$15.631	\$0	\$196	0.0%	\$0	72.9%	\$11	\$0	\$138	17.56%	\$2	\$0	\$11	\$0	27.2%	\$4	\$0	\$48	6.28%	\$0	\$0	\$1
27	Nov-70	\$8.254	\$0	\$205	0.0%	\$0	72.9%	\$6	\$0	\$150	17.56%	\$2	\$0	\$13	\$0	27.2%	\$2	\$0	\$52	6.28%	\$0	\$0	\$2
28 29	Dec-70 Jan-71	\$13.301 \$0.630	\$0 \$0	\$218 \$219	0.0%	\$0 \$11	72.9% 73.3%	\$10 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$156 \$176	17.56% 16.26%	\$2 \$2	\$0 \$0	\$16 \$18	\$0 \$1	27.2% 26.8%	\$4 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$54 \$59	6.28% 6.31%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$2 \$2
30	Feb-71	\$3.625	\$0	\$222	0.0%	\$0	73.3%	\$3	\$0	\$177	16.26%	\$2	\$0	\$20	\$0	26.8%	\$1	\$0	\$59	6.31%	\$0	\$0	\$2
31	Mar-71	\$4.975	\$0	\$227	0.0%	\$0	73.3%	\$4	\$0	\$179	16.26%	\$2	\$0	\$23	\$0	26.8%	\$1	\$0	\$ 60	6.31%	\$0	\$0	\$3
32 33	Apr-71	\$4.708	\$0 \$0	\$232 \$238	0.0%	\$0 \$0	73.3% 73.3%	\$3 \$4	\$0 \$0	\$183 \$187	16.26%	\$2 \$3	\$0 \$0	\$25 \$28	\$0 \$0	26.8%	\$1 \$2	\$0 \$0	\$62 \$63	6.31%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$3 \$3
34	May-71 Jun-71	\$6.100 \$6.142	\$0	\$238 \$244	0.0%	\$0	73.3%	\$4 \$4	\$0	\$191	16.26% 16.26%	\$3 \$3	\$0	\$30	\$0	26.8% 26.8%	\$2	\$0	\$65	6.31% 6.31%	\$0	\$0	\$4
35	Jul-71	\$9.385	\$0	\$254	0.0%	\$15	73.3%	\$7	\$0	\$210	16.26%	\$3	\$0	\$33	\$2	26.8%	\$3	\$0	\$68	6.31%	\$0	\$0	\$4
36	Aug-71	\$14.829	\$0	\$268	0.0%	\$0	73.3%	\$11	\$0	\$217	16.26%	\$3	\$0	\$36	\$0	26.8%	\$4	\$0	\$71	6.31%	\$0	\$0	\$5
37 38	Sep-71 Oct-71	\$10.408 \$12.104	\$0 \$0	\$279 \$291	0.0%	\$0 \$0	73.3% 73.3%	\$8 \$9	\$0 \$0	\$228 \$236	16.26% 16.26%	\$3 \$3	\$0 \$0	\$39 \$42	\$0 \$0	26.8% 26.8%	\$3 \$3	\$0 \$0	\$75 \$77	6.31% 6.31%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$5 \$5
39	Nov-71	\$16.481	\$0	\$307	0.0%	\$0	73.3%	\$12	\$0	\$245	16.26%	\$3	\$0	\$46	\$0	26.8%	\$4	\$0	\$81	6.31%	\$0	\$0	\$6
40	Dec-71	\$18.967	\$0	\$326	0.0%	\$0	73.3%	\$14	\$0	\$257	16.26%	\$3	\$0	\$49	\$0	26.8%	\$5	\$0	\$85	6.31%	\$0	\$0	\$6
41	Jan-72	(\$1.212) \$4.997	\$0 \$0	\$325 \$330	0.0%	\$19	75.7%	(\$1)	\$0	\$289	15.64%	\$4	\$0	\$53	\$2 \$0	24.3%	(\$0)	\$0	\$92 \$92	6.44% 6.44%	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$7 \$7
42	Feb-72 Mar-72	\$4.997 \$4.452	\$0 \$0	\$330 \$335	0.0%	\$0 \$0	75.7% 75.7%	\$4 \$3	\$0 \$0	\$288 \$292	15.64% 15.64%	\$4 \$4	\$0 \$0	\$57 \$61	\$0 \$0	24.3% 24.3%	\$1 \$1	\$0 \$0	\$92 \$93	6.44%	\$0 \$1	\$0 \$0	\$7 \$8
44	Apr-72	\$4.215	\$0	\$339	0.0%	\$0	75.7%	\$3	\$0	\$296	15.64%	\$4	\$0	\$64	\$0	24.3%	\$1	\$0	\$94	6.44%	\$1	\$0	\$8
45	May-72	\$5.853	\$0	\$345	0.0%	\$0	75.7%	\$4	\$0	\$299	15.64%	\$4	\$0	\$68	\$0	24.3%	\$1	\$0	\$96	6.44%	\$1	\$0	\$9
46	Jun-72 Jul-72	\$7.497 \$4.158	\$0 \$0	\$352 \$356	0.0%	\$0 \$23	75.7% 75.7%	\$6 \$3	\$0 \$0	\$303 \$332	15.64% 15.64%	\$4 \$4	\$0 \$0	\$72 \$77	\$0 \$3	24.3% 24.3%	\$2 \$1	\$0 \$0	\$97 \$102	6.44% 6.44%	\$1 \$1	\$0 \$0	\$9 \$10
48	Aug-72	\$3.660	\$0 \$0	\$360	0.0%	\$0	75.7%	\$3	\$0	\$335	15.64%	\$4 \$4	\$0	\$81	\$0	24.3%	\$1	\$0 \$0	\$102	6.44%	\$1	\$0 \$0	\$10 \$10
49	Sep-72	\$2.846	\$0	\$363	0.0%	\$0	75.7%	\$2	\$0	\$338	15.64%	\$4	\$0	\$85	\$0	24.3%	\$1	\$0	\$104	6.44%	\$1	\$0	\$11
50	Oct-72	\$3.711	\$0	\$367	0.0%	\$0	75.7%	\$3	\$0	\$340	15.64%	\$4	\$0	\$90	\$0	24.3%	\$1	\$0	\$104	6.44%	\$1	\$0	\$11
51 52	Nov-72 Dec-72	\$3.201 \$6.653	\$0 \$0	\$370 \$376	0.0%	\$0 \$0	75.7% 75.7%	\$2 \$5	\$0 \$0	\$343 \$345	15.64% 15.64%	\$4 \$4	\$0 \$0	\$94 \$99	\$0 \$0	24.3%	\$1 \$2	\$0 \$0	\$105 \$106	6.44% 6.44%	\$1 \$1	\$0 \$0	\$12 \$13
53	Jan-73	(\$1.040)	\$0 \$0	\$375	0.0%	\$26	75.9%	(\$1)	\$0	\$343	16.35%	\$5 \$5	\$0	\$104	\$3	24.5%	(\$0)	\$0 \$0	\$111	7.02%	\$1	\$0 \$0	\$13
54	Feb-73	\$2.485	\$0	\$378	0.0%	\$0	75.9%	\$2	\$0	\$376	16.35%	\$5	\$0	\$109	\$0	24.1%	\$1	\$0	\$111	7.02%	\$1	\$0	\$14
55	Mar-73	\$3.837	\$0	\$382	0.0%	\$0	75.9%	\$3	\$0	\$378	16.35%	\$5	\$0	\$114	\$0	24.1%	\$1	\$0	\$111	7.02%	\$1	\$0	\$15
56 57	Apr-73 May-73	\$3.288 \$3.686	\$0 \$0	\$385 \$389	0.0%	\$0 \$0	75.9% 75.9%	\$2 \$3	\$0 \$0	\$381 \$383	16.35% 16.35%	\$5 \$5	\$0 \$0	\$119 \$125	\$0 \$0	24.1% 24.1%	\$1 \$1	\$0 \$0	\$112 \$113	7.02% 7.02%	\$1 \$1	\$0 \$0	\$15 \$16

Ln.	Date	Constructi	on Work i	n Progress	In-service Ratio	Compounding Additions	Equity %	Equity Additions	Equity Transfers	Equity Base	ROE	Incremental Equity	Transfers Equity	Equity AFUDC	Compounding Additions	Debt %	Debt Additions	Debt Transfers	Debt Base	COD	Incremental Debt	Transfers Debt	Debt AFUDC
No.	Date	Property Additions	Transfers o Propert	Property Balance	Kauo	Equity AFUDC	Equity 70	Additions	Transicis	Base	KOE	AFUDC	AFUDC	Balance	Debt AFUDC	DC01 76	Additions	Transicis	Dasc	COD	AFUDC	AFUDC	Balance
\Box	1	2	3	4	5	6 6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
58	Jun-73	\$3.982	\$0	\$393	0.0%	\$0	75.9%	\$3	\$0	\$386	16.35%	\$5	\$0	\$130	\$0	24.1%	\$1	\$0	\$114	7.02%	\$1	\$0	\$17
59	Jul-73	\$5.164	\$0	\$398	0.0%	\$31	75.9%	\$4	\$0	\$420	16.35%	\$6	\$0	\$136	\$4	24.1%	\$1	\$0	\$119	7.02%	\$1	\$0	\$17
60	Aug-73	\$4.630	\$0	\$402	0.0%	\$0	75.9%	\$4	\$0	\$424	16.35%	\$6	\$0	\$141	\$0	24.1%	\$1	\$0	\$120	7.02%	\$1	\$0	\$18
61	Sep-73	\$5.206	\$0	\$408	0.0%	\$0	75.9%	\$4	\$0	\$428	16.35%	\$6	\$0	\$147	\$0	24.1%	\$1	\$0	\$121	7.02%	\$1	\$0	\$19
62	Oct-73	\$7.992	\$0	\$416	0.0%	\$0	75.9%	\$6	\$0	\$432	16.35%	\$6	\$0	\$153	\$0	24.1%	\$2	\$0	\$122	7.02%	\$1	\$0	\$19
63	Nov-73	\$4.485	\$0	\$420	0.0%	\$0	75.9%	\$3	\$0	\$438	16.35%	\$6	\$0	\$159	\$0	24.1%	\$1	\$0	\$124	7.02%	\$1	\$0	\$20
64 65	Dec-73 Jan-74	\$6.412 \$21.430	\$0 \$0	\$427 \$448	0.0%	\$0 \$35	75.9% 72.3%	\$5 \$15	\$0 \$0	\$441 \$481	16.35% 17.33%	\$6 \$7	\$0 \$0	\$165 \$172	\$0 \$4	24.1% 27.7%	\$2 \$6	\$0 \$0	\$125 \$131	7.02% 8.19%	\$1 \$1	\$0 \$0	\$21 \$22
66	Feb-74	\$19.355	\$0 \$0	\$467	0.0%	\$0	72.3%	\$13 \$14	\$0	\$481	17.33%	\$7 \$7	\$0	\$172 \$179	\$0	27.7%	\$5	\$0 \$0	\$137	8.19%	\$1	\$0 \$0	\$23
67	Mar-74	\$21.796	\$0	\$489	0.0%	\$0	72.3%	\$16	\$0	\$511	17.33%	\$7	\$0	\$187	\$0	27.7%	\$6	\$0	\$143	8.19%	\$1	\$ 0	\$24
68	Apr-74	\$25.349	\$0	\$514	0.0%	\$0	72.3%	\$18	\$0	\$526	17.33%	\$8	\$0	\$194	\$0	27.7%	\$7	\$0	\$149	8.19%	\$1	\$0	\$25
69	May-74	\$25.309	\$0	\$540	0.0%	\$0	72.3%	\$18	\$0	\$545	17.33%	\$8	\$0	\$202	\$0	27.7%	\$7	\$0	\$156	8.19%	\$1	\$0	\$26
70	Jun-74	\$33.131	\$0	\$573	0.0%	\$0	72.3%	\$24	\$0	\$563	17.33%	\$8	\$0	\$210	\$0	27.7%	\$9	\$0	\$163	8.19%	\$1	\$0	\$27
71	Jul-74	\$69.084	\$0	\$642	0.0%	\$45	72.3%	\$50	\$0	\$632	17.33%	\$9	\$0	\$219	\$6	27.7%	\$19	\$0	\$178	8.19%	\$1	\$ 0	\$28
72	Aug-74	\$95.964 \$88.045	\$0 \$0	\$738 \$826	0.0%	\$0	72.3%	\$69 \$64	\$0 \$0	\$682	17.33%	\$10	\$0	\$229	\$0	27.7%	\$27	\$0 \$0	\$197 \$224	8.19%	\$1 \$2	\$0 \$0	\$29
73 74	Sep-74 Oct-74	\$160.956	\$0 \$0	\$826 \$987	0.0%	\$0 \$0	72.3% 72.3%	\$64 \$116	\$0 \$0	\$751 \$815	17.33% 17.33%	\$11 \$12	\$0 \$0	\$240 \$252	\$0 \$0	27.7% 27.7%	\$24 \$45	\$0 \$0	\$224 \$248	8.19% 8.19%	\$2 \$2	\$0 \$0	\$31 \$33
75	Nov-74	\$106.622	\$0	\$1,094	0.0%	\$0	72.3%	\$77	\$0	\$931	17.33%	\$13	\$0	\$265	\$0	27.7%	\$30	\$0	\$293	8.19%	\$2	\$0	\$35
76	Dec-74	\$265.876	\$0	\$1,359	0.0%	\$0	72.3%	\$192	\$0	\$1,008	17.33%	\$15	\$0	\$280	\$0	27.7%	\$74	\$0	\$322	8.19%	\$2	\$0	\$37
77	Jan-75	\$40.513	\$0	\$1,400	0.0%	\$70	64.1%	\$26	\$0	\$1,270	18.79%	\$20	\$0	\$300	\$10	35.9%	\$15	\$0	\$406	7.97%	\$3	\$0	\$39
78	Feb-75	\$131.521	\$0	\$1,531	0.0%	\$0	64.1%	\$84	\$0	\$1,296	18.79%	\$20	\$0	\$320	\$0	35.9%	\$47	\$0	\$420	7.97%	\$3	\$0	\$42
79	Mar-75	\$256.892	\$0	\$1,788	0.0%	\$0	64.1%	\$165	\$0	\$1,381	18.79%	\$22	\$0	\$342	\$0	35.9%	\$92	\$0	\$468	7.97%	\$3	\$0	\$45
80 81	Apr-75	\$107.239 \$262.621	\$0 \$0	\$1,896 \$2,158	0.0%	\$0 \$0	64.1% 64.1%	\$69 \$168	\$0 \$0	\$1,545 \$1,614	18.79% 18.79%	\$24 \$25	\$0 \$0	\$366 \$391	\$0 \$0	35.9% 35.9%	\$39 \$94	\$0 \$0	\$560 \$598	7.97% 7.97%	\$4 \$4	\$0 \$0	\$49 \$53
82	May-75 Jun-75	\$581.761	\$0	\$2,740	0.0%	\$0	64.1%	\$373	\$0	\$1,782	18.79%	\$23	\$0	\$419	\$0	35.9%	\$209	\$0	\$693	7.97%	\$5 \$5	\$0	\$58
83	Jul-75	(\$58,262)	\$0	\$2,682	0.0%	\$139	64.1%	(\$37)	\$0	\$2,294	18.79%	\$36	\$0	\$455	\$21	35.9%	(\$21)	\$0	\$922	7.97%	\$6	\$0 \$0	\$64
84	Aug-75	\$281.674	\$0	\$2,963	0.0%	\$0	64.1%	\$180	\$0	\$2,257	18.79%	\$35	\$0	\$490	\$0	35.9%	\$101	\$0	\$902	7.97%	\$6	\$0	\$70
85	Sep-75	\$648.093	\$0	\$3,612	0.0%	\$0	64.1%	\$415	\$0	\$2,437	18.79%	\$38	\$0	\$528	\$0	35.9%	\$233	\$0	\$1,003	7.97%	\$7	\$0	\$76
86	Oct-75	\$204.497	\$0	\$3,816	0.0%	\$0	64.1%	\$131	\$0	\$2,853	18.79%	\$45	\$0	\$573	\$0	35.9%	\$73	\$0	\$1,236	7.97%	\$8	\$0	\$85
87	Nov-75	\$271.352	\$0	\$4,087	0.0%	\$0	64.1%	\$174	\$0	\$2,984	18.79%	\$47	\$0	\$620	\$0	35.9%	\$97	\$0	\$1,309	7.97%	\$9	\$0	\$93
88 89	Dec-75 Jan-76	\$180.187 \$229.571	\$0 \$0	\$4,268 \$4,497	0.0%	\$0 \$250	64.1% 58.0%	\$115 \$133	\$0 \$0	\$3,157 \$3,523	18.79% 19.31%	\$49 \$57	\$0 \$0	\$669 \$726	\$0 \$45	35.9% 42.0%	\$65 \$96	\$0 \$0	\$1,406 \$1,516	7.97% 8.33%	\$9 \$11	\$0 \$0	\$103 \$113
90	Feb-76	\$202.281	\$0	\$4,699	0.0%	\$230	58.0%	\$133	\$0 \$0	\$3,656	19.31%	\$59	\$0	\$785	\$0	42.0%	\$85	\$0	\$1,516	8.33%	\$11	\$0	\$113
91	Mar-76	\$177.661	\$0	\$4,877	0.0%	\$0	58.0%	\$103	\$0	\$3,774	19.31%	\$61	\$0	\$845	\$0	42.0%	\$75	\$0	\$1,698	8.33%	\$12	\$0	\$136
92	Apr-76	\$261.510	\$0	\$5,139	0.0%	\$0	58.0%	\$152	\$0	\$3,877	19.31%	\$62	\$0	\$908	\$0	42.0%	\$110	\$0	\$1,772	8.33%	\$12	\$0	\$148
93	May-76	\$275.789	\$0	\$5,414	0.0%	\$0	58.0%	\$ 160	\$0	\$4,028	19.31%	\$65	\$0	\$973	\$0	42.0%	\$116	\$0	\$1,882	8.33%	\$13	\$0	\$162
94	Jun-76	\$219.714	\$0	\$5,634	0.0%	\$0	58.0%	\$127	\$0	\$4,188	19.31%	\$67	\$0	\$1,040	\$0	42.0%	\$92	\$0	\$1,998	8.33%	\$14	\$ 0	\$175
95 96	Jul-76	\$297.548 \$236.383	\$0 \$0	\$5,932 \$6,168	0.0%	\$371 \$0	58.0% 58.0%	\$173 \$137	\$0 \$0	\$4,687 \$4,859	19.31% 19.31%	\$75 \$78	\$0 \$0	\$1,115 \$1,194	\$73 \$0	42.0% 42.0%	\$125 \$99	\$0 \$0	\$2,163 \$2,288	8.33% 8.33%	\$15 \$16	\$0 \$0	\$190 \$206
96	Aug-76 Sep-76	\$236.383	\$0 \$0	\$6,168	0.0%	\$0	58.0%	\$137 \$134	\$0 \$0	\$4,859	19.31%	\$80	\$0 \$0	\$1,194 \$1,274	\$0	42.0%	\$99	\$0 \$0	\$2,288	8.33%	\$16	\$0 \$0	\$206 \$223
98	Oct-76	\$135.767	\$0	\$6,536	0.0%	\$0	58.0%	\$79	\$0	\$5,131	19.31%	\$83	\$0	\$1,357	\$0	42.0%	\$57	\$0	\$2,387	8.33%	\$17	\$0	\$240
99	Nov-76	\$159.200	\$0	\$6,695	0.0%	\$0	58.0%	\$92	\$0	\$5,210	19.31%	\$84	\$0	\$1,440	\$0	42.0%	\$67	\$0	\$2,541	8.33%	\$18	\$0	\$258
100	Dec-76	\$207.590	\$0	\$6,902	0.0%	\$0	58.0%	\$120	\$0	\$5,302	19.31%	\$85	\$0	\$1,526	\$0	42.0%	\$87	\$0	\$2,608	8.33%	\$18	\$0	\$276
101	Jan-77	\$49.871	\$0	\$6,952	0.0%	\$486	56.1%	\$28	\$0	\$5,908	20.97%	\$103	\$0	\$1,629	\$100	43.9%	\$22	\$0	\$2,796	8.28%	\$19	\$0	\$295
102	Feb-77	\$108.028	\$0	\$7,060	0.0%	\$0	56.1%	\$61	\$0	\$5,936	20.97%	\$104	\$0	\$1,733	\$0	43.9%	\$47	\$0	\$2,818	8.28%	\$19	\$0	\$315
103	Mar-77	\$355.530	\$0 \$0	\$7,416	0.0%	\$0	56.1%	\$200	\$0 \$0	\$5,997	20.97%	\$105	\$0 \$0	\$1,837	\$0	43.9%	\$156	\$0 \$0	\$2,865	8.28%	\$20 \$21	\$0 \$0	\$334
104 105	Apr-77 May-77	\$45.571 \$131.126	\$0 \$0	\$7,461 \$7,592	0.0%	\$0 \$0	56.1% 56.1%	\$26 \$74	\$0 \$0	\$6,196 \$6,222	20.97% 20.97%	\$108 \$109	\$0 \$0	\$1,946 \$2,054	\$0 \$0	43.9% 43.9%	\$20 \$58	\$0 \$0	\$3,021 \$3,041	8.28% 8.28%	\$21 \$21	\$0 \$0	\$355 \$376
105	Jun-77	\$148.646	\$7,741	\$0	100.0%	\$0	56.1%	\$83	\$6,379	\$6,222	20.97%	\$110	\$2,165	\$2,034	\$0	43.9%	\$65	\$3,164	\$3,099	8.28%	\$21	\$398	\$0
107	Jul-77	\$521.361	\$0	\$521	0.0%	\$0	56.1%	\$293	\$0	\$0	20.97%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	43.9%	\$229	\$0	\$0	8.28%	\$0	\$0	\$0
108	Aug-77	\$0.000	\$334	\$187	64.1%	\$0	56.1%	\$0	\$188	\$293	20.97%	\$5	\$0	\$5	\$0	43.9%	\$0	\$147	\$229	8.28%	\$2	\$0	\$2
109	Sep-77	\$0.000	\$55	\$132	29.5%	\$0	56.1%	\$0	\$31	\$105	20.97%	\$2	\$2	\$5	\$0	43.9%	\$0	\$24	\$82	8.28%	\$1	\$0	\$2
110	Oct-77	\$0.000	\$1	\$131	0.5%	\$0	56.1%	\$0	\$0	\$74	20.97%	\$1	\$0	\$7	\$0	43.9%	\$0	\$0	\$58	8.28%	\$0	\$0	\$2
111	Nov-77	\$0.000	\$1	\$130	0.5%	\$0	56.1%	\$0	\$0	\$74	20.97%	\$1	\$0	\$8	\$0	43.9%	\$0	\$0	\$58	8.28%	\$0	\$0	\$2
112 113	Dec-77 Jan-78	\$1.466 \$0.000	\$3 \$2	\$129 \$127	2.1% 1.3%	\$0 \$0	56.1% 55.0%	\$1 \$0	\$2 \$1	\$73 \$72	20.97% 22.73%	\$1 \$1	\$0 \$0	\$9 \$10	\$0 \$0	43.9% 45.0%	\$1 \$0	\$1 \$1	\$57 \$57	8.28% 8.44%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$3 \$3
																							\$3 \$2
114	Feb-78	\$0.000	\$73	\$54	57.6%	\$0	55.0%	\$0	\$41	\$71	22.73%	\$1	\$6	\$6	\$0	45.0%	\$0	\$32	\$56	8.44%	\$0	\$2	١

Ln.	Date			n Progress	In-service Ratio	Compounding Additions	Equity %	Equity Additions	Equity Transfers	Equity Base	ROE	Incremental Equity	Transfers Equity	Equity AFUDC	Compounding Additions	Debt %	Debt Additions	Debt Transfers	Debt Base	COD	Incremental Debt	Transfers Debt	Debt AFUDC
No.		Property Additions	Transfers o Propert	Property Balance		Equity AFUDC						AFUDC	AFUDC	Balance	Debt AFUDC						AFUDC	AFUDC	Balance
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
115	Mar-78	\$0.000	\$39	\$15	72.7%	\$0	55.0%	\$0	\$22	\$30	22.73%	\$1	\$4	\$2	\$0	45.0%	\$0	\$17	\$24	8.44%	\$0	\$1	\$1
116	Apr-78	\$78.797	\$42	\$52	44.6%	\$0	55.0%	\$43	\$23	\$8	22.73%	\$0	\$1	\$1	\$0	45.0%	\$35	\$19	\$6	8.44%	\$0	\$0	\$0
117 118	May-78 Jun-78	(\$15.413) (\$11.412)	\$0 \$0	\$36 \$24	0.5% 1.7%	\$0	55.0% 55.0%	(\$8)	\$0 \$0	\$29 \$20	22.73% 22.73%	\$1 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$2	\$0 \$0	45.0% 45.0%	(\$7)	\$0	\$23	8.44% 8.44%	\$0	\$0 60	\$1 \$1
119	Jul-78	\$0.184	\$0	\$24 \$24	1.7%	\$0 \$0	55.0%	(\$6) \$0	\$0	\$14	22.73%	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$2 \$2	\$0	45.0%	(\$5) \$0	\$0 \$0	\$16 \$11	8.44%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$1
120	Aug-78	\$14.907	\$1	\$38	2.6%	\$0	55.0%	\$8	\$1	\$13	22.73%	\$0	\$0	\$3	\$0	45.0%	\$7	\$0	\$11	8.44%	\$0	\$0	\$1
121	Sep-78	\$64.862	\$3	\$100	2.9%	\$0	55.0%	\$36	\$2	\$21	22.73%	\$0	\$0	\$3	\$0	45.0%	\$29	\$1	\$17	8.44%	\$0	\$0	\$1
122	Oct-78	(\$86.230)	\$1	\$13	5.4%	\$0	55.0%	(\$47)	\$0	\$55	22.73%	\$1	\$0	\$4	\$0	45.0%	(\$39)	\$0	\$45	8.44%	\$0	\$0	\$1
123 124	Nov-78	\$8.134	\$1	\$20 \$84	3.6%	\$0	55.0% 55.0%	\$4 \$40	\$0 \$5	\$7	22.73%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$4 \$4	\$0	45.0% 45.0%	\$4 \$33	\$0 \$4	\$6 \$9	8.44% 8.44%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$1 \$1
124	Dec-78 Jan-79	\$73.421 (\$7.144)	\$10 \$1	\$77	10.1% 0.7%	\$0 \$0	62.6%	(\$4)	\$0	\$11 \$46	22.73% 22.40%	\$1	\$0	\$4 \$5	\$0 \$0	37.4%	(\$3)	\$0 \$0	\$38	8.50%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$1
126	Feb-79	\$1.699	\$0	\$78	0.0%	\$0	62.6%	\$1	\$0	\$42	22.40%	\$1	\$0	\$5	\$0	37.4%	\$1	\$0	\$35	8.50%	\$0	\$0	\$2
127	Mar-79	\$0.725	\$0	\$ 79	0.2%	\$0	62.6%	\$0	\$0	\$43	22.40%	\$1	\$0	\$6	\$0	37.4%	\$0	\$0	\$36	8.50%	\$0	\$0	\$2
128	Apr-79	\$3.515	\$0	\$82	0.3%	\$0	62.6%	\$2	\$0	\$43	22.40%	\$1	\$0	\$7	\$0	37.4%	\$1	\$0	\$36	8.50%	\$0	\$ 0	\$2
129	May-79	\$3.451	\$0	\$85	0.5%	\$0	62.6%	\$2	\$0	\$45	22.40%	\$1	\$0	\$8	\$0	37.4%	\$1	\$0	\$37	8.50%	\$0	\$0	\$2
130 131	Jun-79 Jul-79	\$9.331 \$5.606	\$0 \$1	\$94 \$99	0.1%	\$0 \$0	62.6% 62.6%	\$6 \$4	\$0 \$0	\$47 \$53	22.40% 22.40%	\$1 \$1	\$0 \$0	\$9 \$9	\$0 \$0	37.4% 37.4%	\$3 \$2	\$0 \$0	\$38 \$42	8.50% 8.50%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$3 \$3
132	Aug-79	\$8.536	\$0	\$108	0.1%	\$0	62.6%	\$5	\$0	\$56	22.40%	\$1	\$0	\$10	\$0	37.4%	\$3	\$0	\$43	8.50%	\$0	\$ 0	\$3
133	Sep-79	\$10.836	\$1	\$117	1.1%	\$0	62.6%	\$7	\$1	\$61	22.40%	\$1	\$0	\$12	\$0	37.4%	\$4	\$1	\$46	8.50%	\$0	\$0	\$3
134	Oct-79	\$25.480	\$54	\$89	37.9%	\$0	62.6%	\$16	\$31	\$67	22.40%	\$1	\$4	\$8	\$0	37.4%	\$10	\$22	\$50	8.50%	\$0	\$1	\$3
135	Nov-79	\$7.506	\$0	\$96	0.0%	\$0	62.6%	\$5	\$0	\$52	22.40%	\$1	\$0	\$9	\$0	37.4%	\$3	\$0	\$37	8.50%	\$0	\$0	\$3
136 137	Dec-79 Jan-80	\$12.338 \$24.800	\$17 \$2	\$91 \$113	16.0% 2.1%	\$0 \$0	62.6% 67.9%	\$8 \$17	\$10 \$2	\$56 \$54	22.40% 23.92%	\$1 \$1	\$1 \$0	\$9 \$10	\$0 \$0	37.4% 32.2%	\$5 \$8	\$7 \$1	\$40 \$37	8.50% 8.93%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$3 \$3
138	Feb-80	\$7.678	\$1	\$120	0.5%	\$0	67.9%	\$5	\$0 \$0	\$69	23.92%	\$1	\$0	\$11	\$0	32.2%	\$2	\$0	\$44	8.93%	\$0	\$0	\$3
139	Mar-80	\$7.840	\$0	\$128	0.2%	\$0	67.9%	\$5	\$0	\$74	23.92%	\$1	\$0	\$13	\$0	32.2%	\$3	\$0	\$46	8.93%	\$ 0	\$0	\$3
140	Apr-80	\$13.319	\$1	\$141	0.5%	\$0	67.9%	\$9	\$0	\$79	23.92%	\$2	\$0	\$14	\$0	32.2%	\$4	\$0	\$49	8.93%	\$0	\$0	\$4
141	May-80	\$9.317	\$0	\$150	0.3%	\$0	67.9%	\$6	\$0	\$88	23.92%	\$2	\$0	\$16	\$0	32.2%	\$3	\$0	\$53	8.93%	\$0	\$ 0	\$4
142	Jun-80	\$11.464	\$1	\$160	0.4%	\$0	67.9%	\$8	\$0	\$94	23.92%	\$2	\$0	\$18	\$0	32.2%	\$4	\$0	\$56	8.93%	\$0	\$0	\$5
143 144	Jul-80 Aug-80	\$14.285 \$12.716	\$1 \$1	\$174 \$185	0.3%	\$0 \$0	67.9% 67.9%	\$10 \$9	\$0 \$1	\$101 \$110	23.92% 23.92%	\$2 \$2	\$0 \$0	\$20 \$22	\$0 \$0	32.2% 32.2%	\$5 \$4	\$0 \$0	\$59 \$64	8.93% 8.93%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$5 \$5
145	Sep-80	\$12.692	\$30	\$168	15.2%	\$0	67.9%	\$9	\$19	\$118	23.92%	\$2	\$3	\$21	\$0	32.2%	\$4	\$11	\$67	8.93%	\$ 0	\$1	\$5
146	Oct-80	\$14.194	(\$0)	\$182	-0.1%	\$0	67.9%	\$10	(\$0)	\$108	23.92%	\$2	(\$0)	\$23	\$0	32.2%	\$5	(\$0)	\$60	8.93%	\$0	(\$0)	\$6
147	Nov-80	\$7.321	\$1	\$189	0.5%	\$0	67.9%	\$5	\$1	\$117	23.92%	\$2	\$0	\$25	\$0	32.2%	\$2	\$0	\$65	8.93%	\$0	\$0	\$6
148	Dec-80	(\$1.313)	\$71	\$117	37.9%	\$0	67.9%	(\$1)	\$46	\$122	23.92%	\$2	\$10	\$18	\$0	32.2%	(\$0)	\$25	\$67	8.93%	\$0	\$2	\$4
149 150	Jan-81 Feb-81	(\$8.296) \$1.749	\$20	\$88 \$89	18.5%	\$0 \$0	69.3% 69.3%	(\$6)	\$13	\$75 \$57	26.46%	\$2	\$3	\$16	\$0	30.7%	(\$3)	\$7 \$0	\$41	9.31%	\$ 0	\$1 \$0	\$4 \$4
151	Mar-81	\$4.919	\$1 \$2	\$92	1.0% 2.3%	\$0 \$0	69.3%	\$1 \$3	\$1 \$1	\$57 \$57	26.46% 26.46%	\$1 \$1	\$0 \$0	\$17 \$18	\$0 \$0	30.7% 30.7%	\$1 \$2	\$1	\$32 \$32	9.31% 9.31%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$4 \$4
152	Apr-81	(\$10.878)	\$0	\$81	0.2%	\$0	69.3%	(\$8)	\$0	\$59	26.46%	\$1	\$0	\$20	\$0	30.7%	(\$3)	\$0	\$33	9.31%	\$0	\$0	\$4
153	May-81	\$3.726	\$1	\$84	1.2%	\$0	69.3%	\$3	\$1	\$52	26.46%	\$1	\$0	\$20	\$0	30.7%	\$1	\$0	\$29	9.31%	\$0	\$0	\$5
154	Jun-81	\$7.222	\$2	\$88	2.7%	\$0	69.3%	\$5	\$2	\$54	26.46%	\$1	\$1	\$21	\$0	30.7%	\$2	\$1	\$30	9.31%	\$0	\$0	\$5
155	Jul-81	\$21.536	\$2	\$108	1.9%	\$0	69.3%	\$15	\$1	\$57	26.46%	\$1	\$0	\$22	\$0	30.7%	\$7	\$1	\$31	9.31%	\$0	\$0	\$5
156 157	Aug-81	\$9.854 \$13.808	\$2 \$1	\$116 \$128	1.5% 1.1%	\$0 \$0	69.3% 69.3%	\$7 \$10	\$1 \$1	\$71 \$76	26.46% 26.46%	\$2 \$2	\$0 \$0	\$23 \$25	\$0 \$0	30.7% 30.7%	\$3 \$4	\$1 \$0	\$37 \$40	9.31% 9.31%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$5 \$5
158	Sep-81 Oct-81	\$9.272	\$3	\$128	2.2%	\$0 \$0	69.3%	\$6	\$1 \$2	\$85	26.46%	\$2 \$2	\$1	\$25 \$26	\$0	30.7%	\$4 \$3	\$1	\$40	9.31%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$6 \$6
159	Nov-81	\$1.654	\$0	\$136	0.3%	\$0	69.3%	\$1	\$0	\$89	26.46%	\$2	\$0	\$28	\$0	30.7%	\$1	\$0	\$45	9.31%	\$0	\$0	\$6
160	Dec-81	(\$18.815)	\$45	\$72	38.1%	\$0	69.3%	(\$13)	\$29	\$90	26.46%	\$2	\$11	\$19	\$0	30.7%	(\$6)	\$15	\$46	9.31%	\$0	\$2	\$4
161	Jan-82	(\$39.518)	\$6	\$27	16.9%	\$0	69.8%	(\$28)	\$3	\$48	25.25%	\$1	\$3	\$17	\$0	30.2%	(\$12)	\$2	\$25	9.54%	\$0	\$1	\$4
162	Feb-82	\$3.121	\$1	\$29	3.6%	\$0	69.8%	\$2	\$1	\$17	25.25%	\$0	\$1	\$17	\$0	30.2%	\$1	\$0	\$11	9.54%	\$0	\$ 0	\$3
163 164	Mar-82 Apr-82	\$1.723 \$3.745	\$1 \$2	\$30 \$31	3.4% 6.8%	\$0 \$0	69.8% 69.8%	\$1 \$3	\$1 \$1	\$18 \$19	25.25% 25.25%	\$0 \$0	\$1 \$1	\$17 \$16	\$0 \$0	30.2% 30.2%	\$1 \$1	\$0 \$1	\$11 \$11	9.54% 9.54%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$3 \$3
165	May-82	\$5.213	\$2 \$1	\$36	2.7%	\$0 \$0	69.8%	\$3 \$4	\$1 \$1	\$20	25.25%	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$16 \$16	\$0	30.2%	\$2	\$0	\$12	9.54%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$3
166	Jun-82	\$10.069	\$1	\$45	1.8%	\$0	69.8%	\$7	\$1	\$23	25.25%	\$ 0	\$0	\$16	\$0	30.2%	\$3	\$0	\$13	9.54%	\$0	\$0	\$3
167	Jul-82	\$5.197	\$1	\$49	1.6%	\$0	69.8%	\$4	\$1	\$29	25.25%	\$1	\$0	\$16	\$0	30.2%	\$2	\$0	\$16	9.54%	\$0	\$0	\$3
168	Aug-82	\$12.156	\$18	\$44	28.9%	\$0	69.8%	\$8	\$12	\$33	25.25%	\$1	\$5	\$12	\$0	30.2%	\$4	\$6	\$17	9.54%	\$0	\$1	\$3
169	Sep-82	\$9.022	\$10	\$43	19.3%	\$0	69.8%	\$6	\$7	\$29	25.25%	\$1	\$2	\$11	\$0	30.2%	\$3	\$3	\$15	9.54%	\$0	\$0	\$2
170 171	Oct-82	\$6.323 \$0.543	\$9 \$4	\$39 \$36	19.4%	\$0 \$0	69.8% 69.8%	\$4 \$0	\$6 \$3	\$29	25.25% 25.25%	\$1 \$1	\$2 \$1	\$9 \$9	\$0	30.2%	\$2 \$0	\$3 \$1	\$14 \$13	9.54%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$2 \$2
1 1/1	Nov-82	30.343	Ф4	930	10.4%	l 20	07.8%	1 30 I	3.5	\$27	43.43%	31	31	39	\$0	30.2%	30	21	\$1.5	9.54%	20	30	32

Ln.	Date	Constructi	on Work i	n Progress	In-service Ratio	Compounding Additions	Equity %	Equity Additions	Equity Transfers	Equity Base	ROE	Incremental Equity	Transfers Equity	Equity AFUDC	Compounding Additions	Debt %	Debt Additions	Debt Transfers	Debt Base	COD	Incremental Debt	Transfers Debt	Debt AFUDC
No.		Property Additions	Transfers o Propert	Property Balance		Equity AFUDC						AFUDC	AFUDC	Balance	Debt AFUDC						AFUDC	AFUDC	Balance
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
172	Dec-82	\$0.440	\$3	\$33	7.9%	\$0	69.8%	\$0	\$2	\$24	25.25%	\$1	\$1	\$9	\$0	30.2%	\$0	\$1	\$12	9.54%	\$0	\$0	\$2
173	Jan-83	\$1.446	\$1	\$34	1.9%	\$0	72.0%	\$1	\$0	\$23	22.83%	\$0	\$0	\$9	\$0	28.0%	\$0	\$0	\$11	9.52%	\$0	\$0	\$2
174 175	Feb-83	\$2.967	\$0	\$37	0.6%	\$0	72.0%	\$2	\$0	\$23	22.83%	\$0	\$0	\$9	\$0	28.0%	\$1	\$0	\$11	9.52%	\$0	\$0	\$2
176	Mar-83 Apr-83	\$12.156 \$11.964	\$13 \$9	\$36 \$39	26.9% 18.5%	\$0 \$0	72.0% 72.0%	\$9 \$9	\$9 \$6	\$25 \$25	22.83% 22.83%	\$0 \$0	\$2 \$1	\$7 \$6	\$0 \$0	28.0% 28.0%	\$3 \$3	\$4 \$3	\$12 \$11	9.52% 9.52%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$1 \$1
177	May-83	\$5.997	\$1	\$44	2.1%	\$0	72.0%	\$4	\$1	\$27	22.83%	\$1	\$0	\$7	\$0	28.0%	\$2	\$0	\$12	9.52%	\$0	\$0	\$1
178	Jun-83	\$6.524	\$0	\$50	0.9%	\$0	72.0%	\$5	\$0	\$31	22.83%	\$1	\$0	\$7	\$0	28.0%	\$2	\$0	\$13	9.52%	\$0	\$ 0	\$1
179	Jul-83	\$3.630	\$0	\$53	0.4%	\$0	72.0%	\$3	\$0	\$35	22.83%	\$1	\$0	\$8	\$0	28.0%	\$1	\$0	\$15	9.52%	\$0	\$0	\$2
180 181	Aug-83	(\$1.387) \$5.401	\$1 \$42	\$51 \$14	1.7% 74.5%	\$0	72.0% 72.0%	(\$1) \$4	\$1 \$30	\$38 \$36	22.83% 22.83%	\$1 \$1	\$0 \$6	\$8 \$3	\$0 \$0	28.0% 28.0%	(\$0) \$2	\$0 \$12	\$16 \$15	9.52% 9.52%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$1	\$2 \$1
182	Sep-83 Oct-83	\$3.740	\$2	\$14 \$16	11.4%	\$0 \$0	72.0%	\$4 \$3	\$30 \$1	\$10	22.83%	\$0	\$0	\$3	\$0	28.0%	\$1	\$12 \$1	\$4	9.52%	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$1
183	Nov-83	\$3.091	\$4	\$15	20.5%	\$0	72.0%	\$2	\$3	\$11	22.83%	\$0	\$1	\$2	\$0	28.0%	\$1	\$1	\$5	9.52%	\$0	\$0	\$0
184	Dec-83	\$5.933	\$3	\$18	14.7%	\$0	72.0%	\$4	\$2	\$11	22.83%	\$0	\$0	\$2	\$0	28.0%	\$2	\$1	\$4	9.52%	\$0	\$0	\$0
185	Jan-84	\$2.443	\$1	\$20	3.9%	\$0	71.8%	\$2	\$1	\$13	24.54%	\$ 0	\$0	\$2	\$0	28.2%	\$1	\$0	\$5	9.49%	\$0	\$ 0	\$0
186	Feb-84	\$2.919	\$0	\$22	2.1%	\$0 \$0	71.8%	\$2	\$0	\$14	24.54%	\$0	\$0	\$3	\$0	28.2%	\$1	\$0	\$6	9.49%	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0
187 188	Mar-84 Apr-84	\$5.833 \$3.978	\$5 \$2	\$23 \$24	19.6% 8.9%	\$0 \$0	71.8% 71.8%	\$4 \$3	\$4 \$2	\$16 \$16	24.54% 24.54%	\$0 \$0	\$1 \$0	\$2 \$3	\$0 \$0	28.2% 28.2%	\$2 \$1	\$2 \$1	\$6 \$6	9.49% 9.49%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
189	May-84	\$4.588	\$3	\$26	11.2%	\$0	71.8%	\$3	\$2	\$17	24.54%	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$3	\$0	28.2%	\$1	\$1	\$7	9.49%	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0
190	Jun-84	\$2.182	\$1	\$27	4.0%	\$0	71.8%	\$2	\$1	\$18	24.54%	\$0	\$0	\$3	\$0	28.2%	\$1	\$0	\$7	9.49%	\$0	\$0	\$0
191	Jul-84	\$2.806	\$1	\$28	3.9%	\$0	71.8%	\$2	\$1	\$19	24.54%	\$0	\$0	\$3	\$0	28.2%	\$1	\$0	\$8	9.49%	\$0	\$ 0	\$1
192	Aug-84	\$1.364	\$0	\$29	0.9%	\$0	71.8%	\$1	\$0	\$20	24.54%	\$0	\$0	\$4	\$0	28.2%	\$0	\$0	\$8	9.49%	\$0	\$0	\$1
193 194	Sep-84 Oct-84	\$1.420 \$1.504	\$0 \$6	\$30 \$26	1.5% 17.8%	\$0 \$0	71.8% 71.8%	\$1 \$1	\$0 \$4	\$21 \$22	24.54% 24.54%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$1	\$4 \$4	\$0 \$0	28.2% 28.2%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$2	\$8 \$9	9.49% 9.49%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$1 \$1
195	Nov-84	\$2.224	\$2	\$26	6.7%	\$0	71.8%	\$2	\$4 \$1	\$19	24.54%	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$4 \$4	\$0	28.2%	\$1	\$1	\$7 \$7	9.49%	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$1
196	Dec-84	\$15.530	\$42	\$0	100.0%	\$0	71.8%	\$11	\$30	\$19	24.54%	\$0	\$4	\$0	\$0	28.2%	\$4	\$12	\$8	9.49%	\$0	\$1	\$0
197	Jan-85	\$8.145	\$0	\$8	1.7%	\$0	65.9%	\$5	\$0	(\$0)	21.72%	(\$0)	\$0	(\$0)	\$0	34.1%	\$3	\$0	\$0	9.06%	\$0	\$ 0	\$0
198	Feb-85	\$4.541	\$0	\$13	0.4%	\$0	65.9%	\$3	\$0	\$5	21.72%	\$0	(\$0)	\$0	\$0	34.1%	\$2	\$0	\$3	9.06%	\$0	\$0	\$0
199	Mar-85	\$5.035	\$0	\$17	1.2%	\$0	65.9%	\$3	\$0	\$8	21.72%	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	34.1%	\$2	\$0	\$4	9.06%	\$0	\$0	\$0
200 201	Apr-85 May-85	\$5.114 \$3.059	\$1 \$1	\$21 \$24	5.8% 2.7%	\$0 \$0	65.9% 65.9%	\$3 \$2	\$1 \$0	\$11 \$14	21.72% 21.72%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$1	\$0 \$0	34.1% 34.1%	\$2 \$1	\$0 \$0	\$6 \$7	9.06% 9.06%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
202	Jun-85	\$1.075	\$25	\$0	100.0%	\$0	65.9%	\$1	\$16	\$16	21.72%	\$0	\$1	\$0	\$0	34.1%	\$0	\$8	\$8	9.06%	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$0
203	Jul-85	\$3.104	\$3	\$0	87.7%	\$0	65.9%	\$2	\$2	(\$0)	21.72%	(\$0)	\$0	(\$0)	\$0	34.1%	\$1	\$1	\$0	9.06%	\$0	\$0	\$0
204	Aug-85	\$1.274	\$1	\$1	43.8%	\$0	65.9%	\$1	\$0	\$0	21.72%	\$0	(\$0)	\$0	\$0	34.1%	\$0	\$0	\$0	9.06%	\$0	\$0	\$0
205	Sep-85	\$1.171	\$0	\$2	12.6%	\$0	65.9%	\$1	\$0	\$1	21.72%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	34.1%	\$0	\$0	\$0	9.06%	\$0	\$0	\$0
206 207	Oct-85 Nov-85	\$1.278 \$0.414	\$1 \$0	\$2 \$2	37.5% 17.2%	\$0 \$0	65.9% 65.9%	\$1 \$0	\$1 \$0	\$1 \$1	21.72% 21.72%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	34.1% 34.1%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$1 \$1	9.06% 9.06%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
208	Dec-85	(\$1.097)	\$0	\$1	0.0%	\$0	65.9%	(\$1)	\$0	\$1	21.72%	\$ 0	\$0	\$ 0	\$0	34.1%	(\$0)	\$0	\$1	9.06%	\$ 0	\$0	\$0
209	Jan-86	\$1.426	\$1	\$2	24.9%	\$0	65.7%	\$1	\$0	\$1	22.05%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	34.3%	\$0	\$0	\$0	8.50%	\$0	\$0	\$0
210	Feb-86	\$0.855	\$0	\$2	16.9%	\$0	65.7%	\$1	\$0	\$1	22.05%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	34.3%	\$0	\$0	\$1	8.50%	\$0	\$0	\$0
211	Mar-86	\$0.403	\$0	\$2	1.7%	\$0	65.7%	\$0	\$0	\$1	22.05%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	34.3%	\$0	\$0	\$1	8.50%	\$0	\$0	\$0
212 213	Apr-86 May-86	\$0.785 \$1.529	\$0 \$2	\$3 \$3	5.4% 36.4%	\$0 \$0	65.7% 65.7%	\$1 \$1	\$0 \$1	\$2 \$2	22.05% 22.05%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	34.3% 34.3%	\$0 \$1	\$0 \$1	\$1 \$1	8.50% 8.50%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
213	Jun-86	\$3.016	\$1	\$5 \$5	13.7%	\$0	65.7%	\$2	\$1	\$2	22.05%	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0	34.3%	\$1	\$0	\$1	8.50%	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0
215	Jul-86	\$2.768	\$1	\$7	7.1%	\$0	65.7%	\$2	\$0	\$3	22.05%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	34.3%	\$1	\$0	\$2	8.50%	\$0	\$0	\$0
216	Aug-86	\$4.096	\$0	\$11	1.8%	\$0	65.7%	\$3	\$0	\$5	22.05%	\$ 0	\$0	\$0	\$0	34.3%	\$1	\$0	\$3	8.50%	\$0	\$ 0	\$0
217	Sep-86	\$2.030	\$0	\$13	0.9%	\$0	65.7%	\$1	\$0	\$7	22.05%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	34.3%	\$1	\$0	\$4	8.50%	\$0	\$ 0	\$0
218 219	Oct-86	\$16.698 \$0.835	\$26 \$0	\$4 \$4	88.0% 9.5%	\$0 \$0	65.7% 65.7%	\$11 \$1	\$17 \$0	\$9 \$2	22.05% 22.05%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	34.3% 34.3%	\$6 \$0	\$9 \$0	\$5 \$1	8.50% 8.50%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
219	Nov-86 Dec-86	\$0.835	\$0 \$4	\$4 \$2	62.9%	\$0 \$0	65.7%	\$1	\$0 \$3	\$3	22.05%	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	34.3%	\$1	\$0 \$1	\$1	8.50%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
221	Jan-87	\$0.941	\$2	\$2	48.3%	\$0	68.8%	\$1	\$1	\$1	20.06%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	31.2%	\$0	\$1	\$1	8.96%	\$0	\$0	\$0
222	Feb-87	\$0.252	\$0	\$2	2.7%	\$0	68.8%	\$0	\$0	\$1	20.06%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	31.2%	\$0	\$0	\$1	8.96%	\$0	\$0	\$0
223	Mar-87	\$1.163	\$1	\$2	31.8%	\$0	68.8%	\$1	\$1	\$1	20.06%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	31.2%	\$0	\$0	\$1	8.96%	\$0	\$0	\$0
224	Apr-87	\$1.739	\$0	\$3 \$7	11.7%	\$0 \$0	68.8%	\$1	\$0	\$1	20.06%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	31.2%	\$1	\$0	\$1	8.96%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0
225 226	May-87 Jun-87	\$4.131 \$6.657	\$1 \$1	\$7 \$12	9.0% 10.2%	\$0 \$0	68.8% 68.8%	\$3 \$5	\$0 \$1	\$2 \$5	20.06%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	31.2% 31.2%	\$1 \$2	\$0 \$0	\$1 \$2	8.96% 8.96%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
227	Jul-87	\$7.798	\$7	\$13	33.0%	\$0	68.8%	\$5	\$5	\$8	20.06%	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0	31.2%	\$2	\$2	\$4	8.96%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0
228	Aug-87	\$3.655	\$1	\$16	5.4%	\$0	68.8%	\$3	\$1	\$9	20.06%	\$ 0	\$0	\$0	\$0	31.2%	\$1	\$0	\$4	8.96%	\$0	\$ 0	\$0
1 220	-145 07	25.055	Ψ.		2		33.570	, 35	91	-	20.0070	J. J.	30	J J			, ,,,	,	9 1	1 3.2070		30	

T	Data	Construction	on Work i	n Progress	In-service	Compounding	Emilty 9/	Equity	Equity	Equity	D.O.E.	Incremental	Transfers	Equity	Compounding	Dobt 0/	Debt	Debt	Debt	COD	Incremental	Transfers	Debt
Ln. No.	Date	Property	Transfers	Property	Ratio	Additions Equity	Equity %	Additions	Transfers	Base	ROE	Equity AFUDC	Equity AFUDC	AFUDC Balance	Additions Debt	Debt %	Additions	Transfers	Base	COD	Debt AFUDC	Debt AFUDC	AFUDC Balance
		Additions	o Propert	Balance		AFUDC									AFUDC						******		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
229	Sep-87	\$7.007	\$16	\$7	71.1%	\$0	68.8%	\$5	\$11	\$11	20.06%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	31.2%	\$2	\$5	\$5	8.96%	\$0	\$0	\$0
230	Oct-87 Nov-87	\$1.272 \$2.743	\$1 \$1	\$7 \$9	7.3% 11.4%	\$0 \$0	68.8% 68.8%	\$1 \$2	\$0 \$1	\$5 \$5	20.06% 20.06%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	31.2% 31.2%	\$0 \$1	\$0 \$0	\$2 \$2	8.96% 8.96%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
232	Dec-87	\$6.586	\$14	\$1	90.7%	\$0	68.8%	\$5 \$5	\$10	\$6	20.06%	\$0	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0	31.2%	\$2	\$4	\$3	8.96%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
233	Jan-88	\$0.316	\$0	\$2	5.6%	\$0	67.8%	\$0	\$0	\$1	20.68%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	32.2%	\$0	\$0	\$0	8.94%	\$0	\$0	\$0
234	Feb-88	\$1.650	\$1	\$2	42.1%	\$0	67.8%	\$1	\$1	\$1	20.68%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	32.2%	\$1	\$0	\$1	8.94%	\$0	\$0	\$0
235	Mar-88	\$1.437	\$1	\$2	43.5%	\$0	67.8%	\$1	\$1	\$1	20.68%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	32.2%	\$0	\$0	\$1	8.94%	\$0	\$ 0	\$0
236	Apr-88 May-88	\$0.910 \$1.372	\$0 \$1	\$2 \$3	16.2% 24.9%	\$0 \$0	67.8% 67.8%	\$1 \$1	\$0 \$1	\$1 \$2	20.68%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	32.2% 32.2%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$1 \$1	8.94% 8.94%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
238	Jun-88	\$0.439	\$0	\$3	13.7%	\$0 \$0	67.8%	\$ 0	\$0	\$2	20.68%	\$ 0	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0	32.2%	\$0	\$0	\$1	8.94%	\$0 \$0	\$ 0	\$0
239	Jul-88	\$1.693	\$0	\$4	5.7%	\$0	67.8%	\$1	\$0	\$2	20.68%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	32.2%	\$1	\$0	\$1	8.94%	\$0	\$0	\$0
240	Aug-88	\$1.841	\$0	\$6	7.2%	\$0	67.8%	\$1	\$0	\$3	20.68%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	32.2%	\$1	\$0	\$1	8.94%	\$0	\$0	\$0
241	Sep-88	\$3.728	\$2	\$7	22.3%	\$0	67.8%	\$3	\$1	\$4	20.68%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	32.2%	\$1	\$1	\$2	8.94%	\$0	\$0	\$0
242	Oct-88 Nov-88	\$2.898 \$1.784	\$1 \$3	\$10 \$8	5.5% 28.0%	\$0 \$0	67.8% 67.8%	\$2 \$1	\$0 \$2	\$5 \$7	20.68%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	32.2% 32.2%	\$1 \$1	\$0 \$1	\$2 \$3	8.94% 8.94%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
244	Dec-88	\$1.292	\$1	\$9	9.9%	\$0	67.8%	\$1	\$1	\$6	20.68%	\$0	\$0	\$ 0	\$0	32.2%	\$0	\$0	\$3	8.94%	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$0
245	Jan-89	\$1.191	\$1	\$9	6.5%	\$0	63.7%	\$1	\$0	\$6	21.13%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	36.3%	\$0	\$0	\$3	8.39%	\$0	\$0	\$0
246	Feb-89	\$0.705	\$1	\$9	7.6%	\$0	63.7%	\$0	\$1	\$6	21.13%	\$0	\$0	\$1	\$0	36.3%	\$0	\$0	\$3	8.39%	\$0	\$0	\$0
247	Mar-89	\$1.805 \$3.021	\$1 \$2	\$10 \$11	6.1% 16.5%	\$0 \$0	63.7% 63.7%	\$1 \$2	\$0 \$1	\$6 \$7	21.13% 21.13%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$1 \$1	\$0 \$0	36.3% 36.3%	\$1 \$1	\$0 \$1	\$3 \$3	8.39% 8.39%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
249	Apr-89 May-89	\$6.348	\$8	\$9	47.0%	\$0	63.7%	\$4	\$5	\$7	21.13%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	36.3%	\$2	\$3	\$4	8.39%	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
250	Jun-89	\$4.545	\$3	\$11	18.5%	\$0	63.7%	\$3	\$2	\$6	21.13%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	36.3%	\$2	\$1	\$3	8.39%	\$0	\$0	\$0
251	Jul-89	\$3.932	\$2	\$14	10.9%	\$0	63.7%	\$3	\$1	\$7	21.13%	\$0	\$0	\$1	\$0	36.3%	\$1	\$1	\$4	8.39%	\$0	\$0	\$0
252	Aug-89	\$6.670	\$5	\$15	26.8%	\$0	63.7%	\$4	\$3	\$9	21.13%	\$0	\$0	\$1	\$0	36.3%	\$2	\$2	\$5	8.39%	\$0	\$0	\$0
253 254	Sep-89 Oct-89	\$7.190 \$8.172	\$1 \$5	\$21 \$24	5.3% 16.4%	\$0 \$0	63.7% 63.7%	\$5 \$5	\$1 \$3	\$9 \$13	21.13% 21.13%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$1 \$1	\$0 \$0	36.3% 36.3%	\$3 \$3	\$0 \$2	\$5 \$7	8.39% 8.39%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
255	Nov-89	\$4.093	\$1	\$27	3.0%	\$0 \$0	63.7%	\$3	\$1	\$15	21.13%	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$1	\$0	36.3%	\$1	\$0 \$0	\$9	8.39%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
256	Dec-89	\$6.945	\$15	\$20	43.1%	\$0	63.7%	\$4	\$9	\$18	21.13%	\$0	\$0	\$1	\$0	36.3%	\$3	\$5	\$10	8.39%	\$0	\$0	\$0
257	Jan-90	\$4.851	\$3	\$21	13.8%	\$0	66.3%	\$3	\$2	\$12	20.84%	\$0	\$0	\$1	\$0	33.7%	\$2	\$1	\$7	8.26%	\$0	\$0	\$0
258	Feb-90	\$5.225	\$2	\$25	5.9%	\$0	66.3%	\$3	\$1	\$14	20.84%	\$0	\$0	\$1	\$0	33.7%	\$2	\$1	\$8	8.26%	\$ 0	\$0	\$0
259 260	Mar-90 Apr-90	\$5.339 \$6.405	\$1 \$1	\$29 \$34	3.8%	\$0 \$0	66.3% 66.3%	\$4 \$4	\$1 \$1	\$16 \$19	20.84% 20.84%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$1 \$2	\$0 \$0	33.7% 33.7%	\$2 \$2	\$0 \$0	\$9 \$10	8.26% 8.26%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
261	May-90	\$9.574	\$4	\$40	8.4%	\$0	66.3%	\$6	\$2	\$22	20.84%	\$0	\$0	\$2	\$0	33.7%	\$3	\$1	\$12	8.26%	\$0	\$0	\$0
262	Jun-90	\$14.758	\$5	\$50	8.6%	\$0	66.3%	\$10	\$3	\$26	20.84%	\$0	\$0	\$2	\$0	33.7%	\$5	\$2	\$14	8.26%	\$0	\$0	\$0
263	Jul-90	\$9.246	\$7	\$53	11.1%	\$0	66.3%	\$6	\$4	\$33	20.84%	\$1	\$0	\$3	\$0	33.7%	\$3	\$2	\$17	8.26%	\$0	\$0	\$1
264	Aug-90	\$13.457	\$4	\$62	5.8%	\$0	66.3%	\$ 9	\$3 \$12	\$35	20.84%	\$1	\$0	\$3	\$0	33.7%	\$5 \$9	\$1	\$18	8.26%	\$0 \$0	\$0 80	\$1
265 266	Sep-90 Oct-90	\$26.482 \$24.058	\$18 \$3	\$71 \$92	19.8% 3.4%	\$0 \$0	66.3% 66.3%	\$18 \$16	\$12 \$2	\$41 \$47	20.84% 20.84%	\$1 \$1	\$1 \$0	\$3 \$4	\$0 \$0	33.7% 33.7%	\$8	\$6 \$1	\$21 \$24	8.26% 8.26%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$1 \$1
267	Nov-90	\$20.327	\$20	\$92	17.8%	\$0	66.3%	\$13	\$13	\$61	20.84%	\$1	\$1	\$4	\$0	33.7%	\$7	\$7	\$31	8.26%	\$0	\$0	\$1
268	Dec-90	\$26.576	\$25	\$94	21.2%	\$0	66.3%	\$18	\$17	\$61	20.84%	\$1	\$1	\$4	\$0	33.7%	\$9	\$9	\$31	8.26%	\$0	\$0	\$1
269	Jan-91	\$6.718	\$9	\$92	8.6%	\$0	62.8%	\$4	\$6	\$62	20.52%	\$1	\$0	\$5	\$0	37.2%	\$2	\$3	\$32	8.35%	\$0	\$0	\$1
270 271	Feb-91 Mar-91	\$14.897 \$23.354	\$3 \$42	\$104 \$85	2.9% 33.3%	\$0 \$0	62.8% 62.8%	\$9 \$15	\$2 \$27	\$61 \$68	20.52% 20.52%	\$1 \$1	\$0 \$2	\$6 \$5	\$0 \$0	37.2% 37.2%	\$6 \$9	\$1 \$15	\$31 \$36	8.35% 8.35%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$1 \$1
272	Apr-91	\$14.557	\$2	\$98	1.7%	\$0 \$0	62.8%	\$13 \$9	\$1	\$55	20.52%	\$1	\$0	\$6 \$6	\$0	37.2%	\$5	\$13	\$30	8.35%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$1
273	May-91	\$13.831	\$3	\$108	2.8%	\$0	62.8%	\$9	\$2	\$63	20.52%	\$1	\$0	\$7	\$0	37.2%	\$5	\$1	\$34	8.35%	\$0	\$0	\$1
274	Jun-91	\$13.658	\$2	\$120	1.6%	\$0	62.8%	\$ 9	\$1	\$70	20.52%	\$1	\$0	\$8	\$0	37.2%	\$5	\$1	\$39	8.35%	\$0	\$0	\$2
275 276	Jul-91	\$15.370	\$11	\$124	8.3%	\$0	62.8% 62.8%	\$10	\$7	\$77	20.52%	\$1 \$1	\$1	\$9 \$9	\$0	37.2%	\$6	\$4 \$4	\$43	8.35%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$2 \$2
276	Aug-91 Sep-91	(\$1.860) (\$67.475)	\$10 \$3	\$112 \$42	8.3% 6.0%	\$0 \$0	62.8%	(\$1) (\$42)	\$6 \$2	\$80 \$72	20.52% 20.52%	\$1 \$1	\$1 \$1	\$9 \$10	\$0 \$0	37.2% 37.2%	(\$1) (\$25)	\$4 \$1	\$45 \$40	8.35% 8.35%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$2 \$2
278	Oct-91	\$8.532	\$5 \$5	\$45	10.7%	\$0	62.8%	\$5	\$4	\$28	20.52%	\$0	\$1	\$9	\$0	37.2%	\$3	\$2	\$14	8.35%	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$2
279	Nov-91	\$12.701	\$2	\$55	4.3%	\$0	62.8%	\$8	\$2	\$30	20.52%	\$1	\$0	\$9	\$0	37.2%	\$5	\$1	\$16	8.35%	\$0	\$0	\$2
280	Dec-91	\$0.728	\$25	\$32	43.7%	\$0	62.8%	\$0	\$16	\$36	20.52%	\$1	\$4	\$6	\$0	37.2%	\$0	\$9	\$19	8.35%	\$0	\$1	\$1
281 282	Jan-92 Feb-92	\$4.970 \$5.584	\$8 \$5	\$28 \$29	23.1% 14.0%	\$0 \$0	59.5% 59.5%	\$3 \$2	\$5 \$3	\$21	20.37% 20.37%	\$0 \$0	\$1 \$1	\$5 \$5	\$0 \$0	40.5% 40.5%	\$2 \$2	\$3 \$2	\$11	8.10% 8.10%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$1 \$1
282	Mar-92	\$5.584 \$3.136	\$5 \$7	\$29 \$25	21.0%	\$0 \$0	59.5% 59.5%	\$3 \$2	\$3 \$4	\$18 \$18	20.37%	\$0 \$0	\$1	\$5 \$4	\$0 \$0	40.5%	\$2 \$1	\$2 \$2	\$10 \$11	8.10%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$1
284	Apr-92	\$7.719	\$5	\$28	13.9%	\$0	59.5%	\$5	\$3	\$16	20.37%	\$0	\$1	\$4	\$0	40.5%	\$3	\$2	\$9	8.10%	\$0	\$0	\$1
285	May-92	\$4.323	\$2	\$31	6.2%	\$0	59.5%	\$3	\$1	\$18	20.37%	\$0	\$0	\$4	\$0	40.5%	\$2	\$1	\$11	8.10%	\$0	\$0	\$1

Ţ.,	Poster	Constructi	on Work i	n Progress		Compounding		Equity	Equity	Equity	D.O.F.	Incremental	Transfers	Equity	Compounding	D. Luci	Debt	Debt	Debt	gor	Incremental	Transfers	Debt
Ln. No.	Date	Property	Transfers	Property	Ratio	Additions Equity	Equity %	Additions	Transfers	Base	ROE	Equity AFUDC	Equity AFUDC	AFUDC Balance	Additions Debt	Debt %	Additions	Transfers	Base	COD	Debt AFUDC	Debt AFUDC	AFUDC Balance
2101		Additions	o Propert	Balance		AFUDC									AFUDC						12 02 0	12 020	Zumier
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
286	Jun-92	\$6.763	\$1	\$36	3.6%	\$0	59.5%	\$4	\$1	\$19	20.37%	\$0	\$0	\$4	\$0	40.5%	\$3	\$1	\$12	8.10%	\$0	\$0	\$1
287	Jul-92	\$5.601 \$3.490	\$6 \$3	\$35 \$36	15.1% 8.6%	\$0 \$0	59.5% 59.5%	\$3	\$4 \$2	\$22	20.37% 20.37%	\$0 \$0	\$1 \$0	\$4 \$4	\$0 \$0	40.5%	\$2 \$1	\$2 \$1	\$14 \$14	8.10%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$1 \$1
288 289	Aug-92 Sep-92	\$12.752	\$5	\$44	9.6%	\$0	59.5%	\$2 \$8	\$3	\$22 \$22	20.37%	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$4 \$4	\$0	40.5% 40.5%	\$5	\$2	\$14	8.10% 8.10%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$1
290	Oct-92	\$6.620	\$7	\$43	14.6%	\$0	59.5%	\$4	\$4	\$27	20.37%	\$0	\$1	\$4	\$0	40.5%	\$3	\$3	\$17	8.10%	\$0	\$0	\$1
291	Nov-92	\$6.430	\$4	\$45	8.5%	\$0	59.5%	\$4	\$3	\$26	20.37%	\$0	\$0	\$4	\$0	40.5%	\$3	\$2	\$17	8.10%	\$0	\$0	\$1
292	Dec-92	\$2.983	\$15	\$33	30.6%	\$0	59.5%	\$2	\$9	\$27	20.37%	\$0	\$1	\$3	\$0	40.5%	\$1	\$6	\$18	8.10%	\$0	\$0	\$1
293 294	Jan-93 Feb-93	\$1.672 \$2.740	\$6 \$2	\$29 \$29	18.0% 6.8%	\$0 \$0	59.4% 59.4%	\$1 \$2	\$4 \$1	\$20 \$17	18.56% 18.56%	\$0 \$0	\$1 \$0	\$3 \$3	\$0 \$0	40.6%	\$1 \$1	\$3 \$1	\$13 \$11	8.06% 8.06%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$1 \$1
295	Mar-93	\$5.125	\$4	\$31	10.5%	\$0	59.4%	\$3	\$2	\$18	18.56%	\$ 0	\$0	\$3	\$0	40.6%	\$2	\$1	\$12	8.06%	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$1
296	Apr-93	\$5.219	\$5	\$32	12.5%	\$0	59.4%	\$3	\$3	\$19	18.56%	\$0	\$0	\$3	\$0	40.6%	\$2	\$2	\$12	8.06%	\$0	\$0	\$1
297	May-93	\$2.181	\$2	\$31	6.8%	\$0	59.4%	\$1	\$1	\$19	18.56%	\$0	\$0	\$3	\$0	40.6%	\$1	\$1	\$13	8.06%	\$0	\$0	\$1
298	Jun-93	\$4.215	\$6	\$29	17.4%	\$0	59.4%	\$3	\$4	\$19	18.56%	\$0	\$1	\$3	\$0	40.6%	\$2	\$2	\$13	8.06%	\$0	\$0	\$1
299 300	Jul-93 Aug-93	\$0.821 \$2.036	\$8 \$1	\$22 \$24	25.8% 2.9%	\$0 \$0	59.4% 59.4%	\$0 \$1	\$5 \$0	\$18 \$13	18.56% 18.56%	\$0 \$0	\$1 \$0	\$2 \$2	\$0 \$0	40.6% 40.6%	\$0 \$1	\$3 \$0	\$12 \$9	8.06% 8.06%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$1 \$1
301	Sep-93	\$1.253	\$3	\$22	13.5%	\$0	59.4%	\$1	\$2	\$14	18.56%	\$0	\$0	\$2	\$0	40.6%	\$1	\$1	\$10	8.06%	\$0	\$0	\$1
302	Oct-93	\$2.629	\$2	\$22	8.6%	\$0	59.4%	\$2	\$1	\$13	18.56%	\$0	\$0	\$2	\$0	40.6%	\$1	\$1	\$9	8.06%	\$0	\$0	\$1
303	Nov-93	\$3.788	\$2	\$24	8.6%	\$0	59.4%	\$2	\$1	\$13	18.56%	\$0	\$0	\$2	\$0	40.6%	\$2	\$1	\$9	8.06%	\$0	\$0	\$1
304 305	Dec-93 Jan-94	\$6.619 \$2.594	\$6 \$2	\$24 \$25	21.3% 6.3%	\$0 \$0	59.4% 63.7%	\$4 \$2	\$4 \$1	\$14 \$14	18.56% 19:41%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$2 \$2	\$0 \$0	40.6% 36.4%	\$3 \$1	\$3 \$1	\$10 \$10	8.06% 8.10%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$1 \$1
306	Feb-94	\$1.793	\$1	\$26	4.1%	\$0	63.7%	\$1	\$1	\$15	19.41%	\$0	\$0	\$2	\$0	36.4%	\$1	\$0	\$10	8.10%	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$1
307	Mar-94	\$4.643	\$1	\$30	2.2%	\$0	63.7%	\$3	\$0	\$15	19.41%	\$0	\$0	\$3	\$0	36.4%	\$2	\$0	\$10	8.10%	\$0	\$0	\$1
308	Apr-94	\$3.777	\$2	\$31	6.8%	\$0	63.7%	\$2	\$1	\$18	19.41%	\$0	\$0	\$3	\$0	36.4%	\$1	\$1	\$12	8.10%	\$0	\$0	\$1
309	May-94	\$5.348	\$3	\$34	6.9%	\$0	63.7%	\$3	\$2	\$19	19.41%	\$0	\$0	\$3	\$0	36.4%	\$2	\$1	\$12	8.10%	\$0	\$0	\$1
310 311	Jun-94 Jul-94	\$4.342 \$4.658	\$3 \$1	\$35 \$38	8.2% 3.4%	\$0 \$0	63.7% 63.7%	\$3 \$3	\$2 \$1	\$21 \$22	19.41% 19.41%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$3 \$3	\$0 \$0	36.4% 36.4%	\$2 \$2	\$1 \$1	\$13 \$13	8.10% 8.10%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$1 \$1
312	Aug-94	\$4.686	\$1	\$42	1.4%	\$0	63.7%	\$3	\$0	\$24	19.41%	\$ 0	\$0	\$3	\$0	36.4%	\$2	\$0	\$15	8.10%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$1
313	Sep-94	\$5.679	\$1	\$47	2.8%	\$0	63.7%	\$4	\$1	\$26	19.41%	\$0	\$0	\$4	\$0	36.4%	\$2	\$1	\$16	8.10%	\$0	\$0	\$1
314	Oct-94	\$9.200	\$1	\$55	2.1%	\$0	63.7%	\$6	\$1	\$29	19.41%	\$0	\$0	\$4	\$0	36.4%	\$3	\$0	\$18	8.10%	\$0	\$0	\$1
315	Nov-94	\$1.400	\$0	\$56	0.5%	\$0	63.7%	\$1	\$0	\$34	19.41%	\$1	\$0	\$5	\$0	36.4%	\$1	\$0	\$21	8.10%	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$1
316 317	Dec-94 Jan-95	\$5.489 \$3.277	\$31 \$7	\$31 \$27	49.9% 20.6%	\$0 \$0	63.7% 68.1%	\$3 \$2	\$19 \$4	\$35 \$19	19:41% 18:02%	\$1 \$0	\$2 \$1	\$3 \$3	\$0 \$0	36.4% 31.9%	\$2 \$1	\$11 \$3	\$21 \$11	8.10% 7.93%	\$0 \$0	\$1 \$0	\$1 \$1
318	Feb-95	\$5.161	\$1	\$31	2.8%	\$0	68.1%	\$4	\$1	\$17	18.02%	\$0	\$0	\$3	\$0	31.9%	\$2	\$0	\$10	7.93%	\$0	\$0	\$1
319	Mar-95	\$3.819	\$0	\$35	0.2%	\$0	68.1%	\$3	\$0	\$20	18.02%	\$0	\$0	\$3	\$0	31.9%	\$1	\$0	\$11	7.93%	\$0	\$0	\$1
320	Apr-95	\$5.248	\$0	\$40	0.6%	\$0	68.1%	\$4	\$0	\$23	18.02%	\$0	\$0	\$3	\$0	31.9%	\$2	\$0	\$12	7.93%	\$0	\$0	\$1
321 322	May-95 Jun-95	\$2.680 \$3.501	\$0 \$5	\$43 \$41	0.1% 10.3%	\$0 \$0	68.1% 68.1%	\$2 \$2	\$0 \$3	\$26 \$28	18.02% 18.02%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$4 \$4	\$0 \$0	31.9% 31.9%	\$1 \$1	\$0 \$2	\$14 \$15	7.93% 7.93%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$1 \$1
323	Jul-95	\$4.151	\$0	\$45	0.8%	\$0	68.1%	\$3	\$0	\$27	18.02%	\$0	\$0	\$4	\$0	31.9%	\$1	\$0	\$14	7.93%	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$1
324	Aug-95	\$5.192	\$1	\$50	1.3%	\$0	68.1%	\$4	\$0	\$30	18.02%	\$0	\$0	\$5	\$0	31.9%	\$2	\$0	\$16	7.93%	\$0	\$0	\$1
325	Sep-95	\$4.286	\$0	\$54	0.2%	\$0	68.1%	\$3	\$0	\$33	18.02%	\$0	\$0	\$5	\$0	31.9%	\$1	\$0	\$17	7.93%	\$0	\$0	\$1
326	Oct-95	\$5.294 \$3.944	\$3 \$1	\$57	4.5%	\$0	68.1%	\$4	\$2 \$1	\$36	18.02%	\$1	\$0 \$0	\$5	\$0 \$0	31.9%	\$2	\$1	\$18	7.93% 7.93%	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$1
327 328	Nov-95 Dec-95	\$3.944	\$1 \$21	\$59 \$51	2.4% 28.9%	\$0 \$0	68.1% 68.1%	\$3 \$8	\$1 \$14	\$37 \$39	18.02% 18.02%	\$1 \$1	\$0 \$2	\$6 \$5	\$0 \$0	31.9% 31.9%	\$1 \$4	\$0 \$7	\$19 \$20	7.93%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$1 \$1
329	Jan-96	\$5.440	\$10	\$46	17.8%	\$0	73.2%	\$4	\$7	\$34	17.05%	\$0	\$1	\$4	\$0	26.8%	\$1	\$3	\$17	7.91%	\$0	\$0	\$1
330	Feb-96	\$3.309	\$0	\$49	0.7%	\$0	73.2%	\$2	\$0	\$31	17.05%	\$0	\$0	\$5	\$0	26.8%	\$1	\$0	\$15	7.91%	\$0	\$0	\$1
331	Mar-96	\$4.470	\$0	\$53	0.4%	\$0	73.2%	\$3	\$0	\$33	17.05%	\$0	\$0	\$5	\$0	26.8%	\$1	\$0	\$16	7.91%	\$0	\$0	\$1
332 333	Apr-96 May-96	\$3.284 \$3.996	\$1 \$2	\$56 \$57	1.3% 4.1%	\$0 \$0	73.2% 73.2%	\$2 \$3	\$0 \$2	\$36 \$38	17.05% 17.05%	\$1 \$1	\$0 \$0	\$6 \$6	\$0 \$0	26.8% 26.8%	\$1 \$1	\$0 \$1	\$17 \$18	7.91% 7.91%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$1 \$1
334	Jun-96	\$7.992	\$3	\$62	4.1%	\$0	73.2%	\$6	\$2 \$2	\$40	17.05%	\$1 \$1	\$0	\$6 \$6	\$0	26.8%	\$2	\$1	\$18	7.91%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$1
335	Jul-96	(\$2.752)	\$0	\$60	0.1%	\$0	73.2%	(\$2)	\$0	\$43	17.05%	\$1	\$0	\$7	\$0	26.8%	(\$1)	\$0	\$19	7.91%	\$0	\$0	\$2
336	Aug-96	\$ 5.579	\$0	\$65	0.3%	\$0	73.2%	\$4	\$0	\$41	17.05%	\$1	\$0	\$7	\$0	26.8%	\$1	\$0	\$18	7.91%	\$0	\$0	\$2
337	Sep-96	\$7.101	\$1	\$71	1.7%	\$0	73.2%	\$5	\$1	\$45	17.05%	\$1	\$0	\$8	\$0	26.8%	\$2	\$0	\$20	7.91%	\$0 60	\$0	\$2
338 339	Oct-96 Nov-96	\$4.709 \$4.724	\$0 \$0	\$75 \$80	0.6%	\$0 \$0	73.2% 73.2%	\$3 \$3	\$0 \$0	\$50 \$53	17.05% 17.05%	\$1 \$1	\$0 \$0	\$9 \$9	\$0 \$0	26.8% 26.8%	\$1 \$1	\$0 \$0	\$21 \$23	7.91% 7.91%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$2 \$2
340	Dec-96	\$5.164	\$11	\$74	13.0%	\$0	73.2%	\$4	\$8	\$56	17.05%	\$1	\$1	\$9	\$0	26.8%	\$1	\$3	\$24	7.91%	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$2
341	Jan-97	\$2.899	\$0	\$77	0.3%	\$0	77.3%	\$2	\$0	\$52	16.32%	\$1	\$0	\$10	\$0	22.7%	\$1	\$0	\$22	7.85%	\$0	\$0	\$2
342	Feb-97	\$3.829	\$0	\$80	0.4%	\$0	77.3%	\$3	\$0	\$54	16.32%	\$1	\$0	\$10	\$0	22.7%	\$1	\$0	\$22	7.85%	\$0	\$0	\$2

		Construction	on Work i	n Progress	In-service	Compounding		Equity	Equity	Equity		Incremental	Transfers	Equity	Compounding		Debt	Debt	Debt		Incremental	Transfers	Debt
Ln.	Date				Ratio	Additions	Equity %	Additions	Transfers	Base	ROE	Equity	Equity	AFUDC	Additions	Debt %	Additions	Transfers	Base	COD	Debt	Debt	AFUDC
No.			Transfers	Property		Equity						AFUDC	AFUDC	Balance	Debt						AFUDC	AFUDC	Balance
	1	Additions 2	o Propert	Balance 4	5	AFUDC 6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	AFUDC 15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
3.40	11 07	_																				L I	
343	Mar-97	\$5.578 \$4.941	\$0 \$0	\$86 \$90	0.2% 0.2%	\$0 \$0	77.3% 77.3%	\$4 \$4	\$0 \$0	\$57 \$61	16.32% 16.32%	\$1 \$1	\$0 \$0	\$11 \$12	\$0 \$0	22.7%	\$1 \$1	\$0 \$0	\$23 \$24	7.85% 7.85%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$2 \$3
345	Apr-97 May-97	\$4.941	\$0	\$90 \$97	0.2%	\$0 \$0	77.3%	\$6 \$6	\$0	\$65	16.32%	\$1 \$1	\$0	\$12	\$0 \$0	22.7%	\$2	\$0 \$0	\$24	7.85%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$3
346	Jun-97	\$7.181	\$0	\$104	0.3%	\$0	77.3%	\$6	\$0	\$70	16.32%	\$1	\$0	\$14	\$0	22.7%	\$2	\$0 \$0	\$27	7.85%	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$3
347	Jul-97	\$7.792	\$0	\$112	0.1%	\$0	77.3%	\$6	\$0	\$75	16.32%	\$1	\$0	\$15	\$0	22.7%	\$2	\$0	\$29	7.85%	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$3
348	Aug-97	\$4.096	\$0	\$116	0.0%	\$0	77.3%	\$3	\$0	\$81	16.32%	\$1	\$0	\$16	\$0	22.7%	\$1	\$0	\$30	7.85%	\$0	\$0	\$3
349	Sep-97	\$6.537	\$2	\$120	1.9%	\$0	77.3%	\$5	\$2	\$85	16.32%	\$1	\$0	\$17	\$0	22.7%	\$1	\$1	\$31	7.85%	\$0	\$0	\$3
350	Oct-97	\$4.305	\$0	\$124	0.2%	\$0	77.3%	\$3	\$0	\$88	16.32%	\$1	\$0	\$18	\$0	22.7%	\$1	\$0	\$32	7.85%	\$0	\$ 0	\$4
351	Nov-97	\$4.919	\$2	\$127	1.2%	\$0	77.3%	\$4	\$1	\$91	16.32%	\$1	\$0	\$19	\$0	22.7%	\$1	\$0	\$33	7.85%	\$0	\$0	\$4
352	Dec-97	\$2.834	\$101	\$30	77.2%	\$0	77.3%	\$2	\$74	\$94	16.32%	\$1	\$15	\$6	\$0	22.7%	\$1	\$27	\$34	7.85%	\$0	\$3	\$1
353	Jan-98	\$1.174	\$0	\$31	0.0%	\$0	75.6%	\$1	\$0	\$22	16.91%	\$0	\$0	\$6	\$0	24.4%	\$0	\$0	\$8	6.63%	\$0	\$0	\$1
354	Feb-98	\$1.079	\$0	\$32	0.0%	\$0	75.6%	\$1	\$0	\$23	16.91%	\$0	\$0	\$6	\$0	24.4%	\$0	\$0	\$8	6.63%	\$0	\$0	\$1
355	Mar-98	\$2.028	\$0	\$34	0.0%	\$0	75.6%	\$2	\$0	\$24	16.91%	\$0	\$0	\$7	\$0	24.4%	\$0	\$0	\$8	6.63%	\$0	\$0	\$1
356	Apr-98	\$0.439	(\$1)	\$35	-3.0%	\$0	75.6%	\$0	(\$1)	\$25	16.91%	\$0	(\$0)	\$7	\$0	24.4%	\$0	(\$0)	\$9	6.63%	\$0	(\$0)	\$1
357	May-98	\$0.324	\$0	\$36	0.1%	\$0	75.6%	\$ 0	\$0	\$26	16.91%	\$ 0	\$0	\$7	\$0	24.4%	\$0	\$0	\$9	6.63%	\$0	\$0	\$1
358	Jun-98	\$2.865	\$0	\$38	0.8%	\$0	75.6%	\$2	\$0	\$26	16.91%	\$0	\$0	\$8	\$0	24.4%	\$1	\$0	\$9	6.63%	\$0	\$0	\$1
359	Jul-98	\$4.354	\$0	\$43	0.0%	\$0	75.6%	\$3	\$0	\$28	16.91%	\$0	\$0	\$8	\$0	24.4%	\$1	\$0	\$10	6.63%	\$0	\$0	\$1
360	Aug-98	\$1.127	\$0	\$44	0.0%	\$0	75.6%	\$1	\$0	\$32	16.91%	\$0	\$0	\$9	\$0	24.4%	\$0	\$0	\$11	6.63%	\$0	\$0	\$2
361	Sep-98	\$3.594	\$0	\$47	0.0%	\$0	75.6%	\$3	\$0	\$32	16.91%	\$ 0	\$0	\$9	\$0	24.4%	\$1	\$0	\$11	6.63%	\$0	\$0	\$2
362	Oct-98	\$2.710	\$2	\$48	3.2%	\$0	75.6%	\$2	\$1	\$35	16.91%	\$0	\$0	\$9	\$0	24.4%	\$1	\$0	\$12	6.63%	\$0	\$ 0	\$2
363	Nov-98	\$2.711	\$1	\$50	1.8%	\$0	75.6%	\$2	\$1	\$36	16.91%	\$1	\$0	\$10	\$0	24.4%	\$1	\$0	\$12	6.63%	\$0	\$0	\$2
364	Dec-98	\$10.150	\$21	\$39	35.6%	\$0	75.6%	\$8	\$16	\$37	16.91%	\$1	\$3	\$7	\$0	24.4%	\$2	\$5	\$13	6.63%	\$0	\$1	\$1
365	Jan-99	\$0.809	\$0	\$40	0.0%	\$0	75.3%	\$1	\$0	\$29	19.15%	\$0	\$0	\$7	\$0	24.7%	\$0	\$0	\$10	6.38%	\$0	\$0	\$1
366	Feb-99	\$2.137	\$0	\$42	0.1%	\$0	75.3%	\$2	\$0	\$30	19.15%	\$0	\$0	\$8	\$0	24.7%	\$1	\$0	\$10	6.38%	\$0	\$0	\$1
367 368	Mar-99	\$2.015 \$1.339	\$1 \$0	\$43 \$45	1.2% 0.0%	\$0 \$0	75.3% 75.3%	\$2 \$1	\$0 \$0	\$31 \$32	19.15% 19.15%	\$0 \$1	\$0 \$0	\$8 \$9	\$0 \$0	24.7% 24.7%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$11 \$11	6.38%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$1 \$1
369	Apr-99 May-99	\$2.003	\$0	\$43 \$47	0.0%	\$0 \$0	75.3%	\$2	\$0	\$33	19.15%	\$1 \$1	\$0	\$9	\$0 \$0	24.7%	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$11	6.38%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$1
370	Jun-99	\$4.354	\$0	\$51	0.0%	\$0	75.3%	\$3	\$0	\$35	19.15%	\$1	\$0	\$10	\$0	24.7%	\$1	\$0	\$12	6.38%	\$0	\$0	\$1
371	Jul-99	\$4.428	\$0	\$55	0.1%	\$0	75.3%	\$3	\$0	\$38	19.15%	\$1	\$0	\$10	\$0	24.7%	\$1	\$0	\$13	6.38%	\$0	\$0	\$2
372	Aug-99	\$2,790	\$2	\$56	3.4%	\$0	75.3%	\$2	\$2	\$41	19.15%	\$1	\$0	\$11	\$0	24.7%	\$1	\$1	\$14	6.38%	\$0	\$ 0	\$2
373	Sep-99	\$4.507	\$4	\$56	7.3%	\$0	75.3%	\$3	\$3	\$42	19:15%	\$1	\$1	\$10	\$0	24.7%	\$1	\$1	\$14	6.38%	\$0	\$0	\$1
374	Oct-99	\$8.377	\$0	\$65	0.0%	\$0	75.3%	\$6	\$0	\$42	19.15%	\$1	\$0	\$11	\$0	24.7%	\$2	\$0	\$14	6.38%	\$0	\$0	\$2
375	Nov-99	\$5.693	\$5	\$65	7.3%	\$0	75.3%	\$4	\$4	\$48	19:15%	\$1	\$1	\$11	\$0	24.7%	\$1	\$1	\$16	6.38%	\$0	\$0	\$2
376	Dec-99	\$18.981	\$41	\$43	48.4%	\$0	75.3%	\$14	\$31	\$49	19.15%	\$1	\$5	\$6	\$0	24.7%	\$5	\$10	\$16	6.38%	\$0	\$1	\$1
377	Jan-00	\$1.736	\$0	\$45	0.0%	\$0	75.3%	\$1	\$0	\$33	19.15%	\$1	\$0	\$7	\$0	24.7%	\$0	\$0	\$11	6.38%	\$0	\$0	\$1
378	Feb-00	\$1.969	\$0	\$47	0.0%	\$0	75.3%	\$1	\$0	\$34	19.15%	\$1	\$0	\$8	\$0	24.7%	\$0	\$0	\$11	6.38%	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$1
379	Mar-00	\$2.199	\$6	\$43	12.7%	\$0	75.3%	\$2	\$5	\$35	19.15%	\$1	\$1	\$7	\$0	24.7%	\$1	\$2	\$12	6.38%	\$0	\$0	\$1
380	Apr-00	\$1.922	\$0	\$45	0.0%	\$0	75.3%	\$1	\$0	\$32	19.15%	\$1	\$0	\$8	\$0	24.7%	\$0	\$0	\$11	6.38%	\$0	\$0	\$1
381	May-00	\$4.074	\$0	\$49	0.0%	\$0	75.3%	\$3	\$0	\$34	19.15%	\$1	\$0	\$8	\$0	24.7%	\$1	\$0	\$11	6.38%	\$0	\$0	\$1
382	Jun-00	\$4.715	\$0	\$54	0.0%	\$0	75.3%	\$4	\$0	\$37	19.15%	\$1	\$0	\$9	\$0	24.7%	\$1	\$0	\$12	6.38%	\$0	\$0	\$1
383	Jul-00	\$4.715	\$0	\$58	0.0%	\$0	75.3%	\$4	\$0	\$40	19.15%	\$1	\$0	\$9	\$0	24.7%	\$1	\$0	\$13	6.38%	\$0	\$0	\$1
384	Aug-00	\$4.715	\$0	\$63	0.0%	\$0	75.3%	\$4	\$0	\$44	19.15%	\$1	\$0	\$10	\$0	24.7%	\$1	\$0	\$15	6.38%	\$0	\$0	\$1
385	Sep-00	\$4.715	\$0	\$68	0.0%	\$0	75.3%	\$4	\$0	\$47	19.15%	\$1	\$0	\$11	\$0	24.7%	\$1	\$0	\$16	6.38%	\$0	\$0	\$1
386	Oct-00	\$4.715	\$0	\$73	0.0%	\$0	75.3%	\$4	\$0	\$51	19.15%	\$1	\$0	\$12	\$0	24.7%	\$1	\$0	\$17	6.38%	\$0	\$0	\$1
387	Nov-00	\$4.715	\$0	\$77	0.0%	\$0	75.3%	\$4	\$0	\$55	19.15%	\$1	\$0	\$13	\$0	24.7%	\$1	\$0	\$18	6.38%	\$0	\$0	\$2
388	Dec-00	\$8.734	\$56	\$30	64.7%	\$0	75.3%	\$7	\$42	\$58	19:15%	\$1	\$8	\$5	\$0	24.7%	\$2	\$14	\$19	6.38%	\$ 0	\$1	\$1

All Carrier Inputs, Except Depreciation

			Construction	on Work i	n Progress	In-service	Compounding		Equity	Equity	Equity		Incremental	Transfers	Equity	Compounding		Debt	Debt	Debt		Incremental	Transfers	Debt
Ln	. 3	Date				Ratio	Additions	Equity %	Additions	Transfers	Base	ROE	Equity	Equity	AFUDC	Additions	Debt %	Additions	Transfers	Base	COD	Debt	Debt	AFUDC
No			Property	Transfers	Property		Equity						AFUDC	AFUDC	Balance	Debt						AFUDC	AFUDC	Balance
			Additions	o Propert	Balance		AFUDC									AFUDC								
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
		11				IL J	t :			1 3	L I	t	11 1			11 :	L I	Ε 1				L 3	L I	

SOURCE:

Column 3

Column 1 Time in months Column 2 Exhibit 143-RG

Exhibit 143-RGV-C, TAPS-RGV WP3, Schedule 3 Exhibit 143-RGV-C, TAPS-RGV WP3, Schedule 3

Column 4 Exhibit 143-RGV-C, TAPS-RGV WP3, Schedule 3
Column 5 Exhibit 143-RGV-C, TAPS-RGV WP3, Schedule 3

Column 6 Sum (prior six months Col 12) - sumproduct(prior six months Col 12 * prior six months Col 5) [A]

Column 7 Exhibit WBT-38

Column 8 Col 2 * Col 7
Column 9 (cum Col 8 - cum prior Col 9 + cum Col 6) * Col 5

Column 10 prior (Col 8 - Col 9 + Col 10) + Col 6

Column 11 [(Exhibit WBT 40) - Col 16**Col 20]/Col 7

Column 12 (Col 10 * Col 11)/12

Column 13 prior Col 14 * Col 5 + [B]

Column 14 prior Col 14 + Col 12 - Col 13

Column 15 Sum (prior six months Col 21) - sumproduct(prior six months Col 21 * prior six months Col 5) [A]

Column 16 1.0 - Col 7

Column 17 Col 2 * Col 16

Column 18 (cum Col 17 - cum prior Col 18 + cum Col 15) * Col 5

Column 19 prior (Col 17 - Col 18 + Col 19) + Col 15

Column 20 Exhibit WBT-39 Column 21 (Col 19 ** Col 20) / 12 Column 22 prior Col 23 ** Col 5 + [C] Column 23 prior Col 23 ** Col 21 - Col 22

[A] for the months January and July prior to June of 1977, otherwise equal to 0

[B] if Col 5 = 100%, +Col 12, otherwise 0 [C] if Col 5 = 100%, +Col 19, otherwise 0

Illustrative DOC Amortization of AFUDC (millions \$)

Line No.	Description	Source	Starting Balances	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984
1	Amortization Factor - Net Basis	Sch. 15 Ln. 8		1.38%	6.31%	8.05%	9.79%	10.38%	11.72%	12.66%	13.95%
2	Net Equity AFUDC - BOY	Prior Ln. 5		\$2,165	\$2,136	\$2,013	\$1,857	\$1,687	\$1,527	\$1,363	\$1,201
3	Equity AFUDC Additions	Sch. 8, Col. 13	\$2,165	\$2	\$12	\$6	\$14	\$17	\$17	\$12	\$7
4	Current Period Equity AFUDC Amortization	(Ln.2 + Ln.3) * Ln.1		\$30	\$136	\$162	\$183	\$177	\$181	\$174	\$169
5	Net Equity AFUDC - EOY	Lns.(2+3-4)	\$2,165	\$2,136	\$2,013	\$1,857	\$1,687	\$1,527	\$1,363	\$1,201	\$1,039
	Accumulated Equity AFUDC Additions	Ln. 3 + Prior Ln. 6	\$2,165	\$2,166	\$2,178	\$2,185	\$2,198	\$2,215	\$2,232	\$2,244	\$2,251
	Accumulated Equity AFUDC Amortization	Ln. 4 + Prior Ln. 7		\$30	\$166	\$328	\$511	\$688	\$869	\$1,043	\$1,212
8	Net Debt AFUDC - BOY	Prior Ln. 11		\$398	\$393	\$371	\$343	\$312	\$283	\$253	\$223
9	Debt AFUDC Additions	Sch. 8 Col 22	\$398	\$1	\$4	\$2	\$3	\$4	\$4	\$2	\$1
10	Current Period Debt AFUDC Amortization	(Ln. 8 + Ln. 9) * Ln.1		\$5	\$25	\$30	\$34	\$33	\$34	\$32	\$31
11	Net Debt AFUDC - EOY	Lns. (8 + 9 - 10)	\$398	\$393	\$371	\$343	\$312	\$283	\$253	\$223	\$193
	Accumulated Debt AFUDC Additions	Ln. 9 + Prior Ln. 12	\$398	\$398	\$402	\$404	\$407	\$411	\$414	\$417	\$418
13	Accumulated Debt AFUDC Amortization	Ln. 10 + Prior Ln. 13		\$5	\$31	\$61	\$94	\$127	\$161	\$193	\$225
14	Annual AFUDC	Ln. 4 + Ln. 10		\$35	\$161	\$192	\$217	\$210	\$215	\$207	\$200

Illustrative DOC Amortization of AFUDC (millions \$)

Line No.	Description	Source	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993
1	Amortization Factor - Net Basis	Sch. 15 Ln. 8	13.62%	14.85%	16.89%	18.44%	19.15%	19.18%	18.23%	20.49%	20.52%
2	Net Equity AFUDC - BOY	Prior Ln. 5	\$1,039	\$898	\$765	\$637	\$520	\$421	\$343	\$289	\$236
3	Equity AFUDC Additions	Sch. 8, Col. 13	\$1	\$1	\$1	\$0	\$1	\$3	\$10	\$7	\$4
4	Current Period Equity AFUDC Amortization	(Ln.2 + Ln.3) * Ln.1	\$142	\$134	\$129	\$118	\$100	\$81	\$64	\$61	\$49
5	Net Equity AFUDC - EOY	Lns.(2+3-4)	\$898	\$765	\$637	\$520	\$421	\$343	\$289	\$236	\$191
6	Accumulated Equity AFUDC Additions	Ln. 3 + Prior Ln. 6	\$2,252	\$2,252	\$2,253	\$2,254	\$2,255	\$2,258	\$2,269	\$2,276	\$2,280
7	Accumulated Equity AFUDC Amortization	Ln. 4 + Prior Ln. 7	\$1,353	\$1,487	\$1,616	\$1,734	\$1,834	\$1,915	\$1,980	\$2,040	\$2,090
8	Net Debt AFUDC - BOY	Prior Ln. 11	\$193	\$167	\$142	\$118	\$97	\$78	\$64	\$54	\$44
9	Debt AFUDC Additions	Sch. 8 Col 22	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1	\$2	\$2	\$1
10	Current Period Debt AFUDC Amortization	(Ln. 8 + Ln. 9) * Ln.1	\$26	\$25	\$24	\$22	\$19	\$15	\$12	\$11	\$9
11	Net Debt AFUDC - EOY	Lns. (8 + 9 - 10)	\$167	\$142	\$118	\$97	\$78	\$64	\$54	\$44	\$36
12	Accumulated Debt AFUDC Additions	Ln. 9 + Prior Ln. 12	\$418	\$418	\$418	\$418	\$419	\$419	\$421	\$423	\$424
13	Accumulated Debt AFUDC Amortization	Ln. 10 + Prior Ln. 13	\$251	\$276	\$300	\$322	\$340	\$355	\$367	\$379	\$388
14	Annual AFUDC	Ln. 4 + Ln. 10	\$168	\$158	\$154	\$139	\$118	\$97	\$77	\$72	\$59

Illustrative DOC Amortization of AFUDC (millions \$)

Line No.	Description	Source	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
1	Amortization Factor - Net Basis	Sch. 15 Ln. 8	20.39%	20.65%	17.29%	11.45%	7.60%	7.50%	8.51%
2	Net Equity AFUDC - BOY	Prior Ln. 5	\$191	\$154	\$125	\$106	\$107	\$103	\$102
3	Equity AFUDC Additions	Sch. 8, Col. 13	\$4	\$3	\$3	\$15	\$4	\$7	\$9
4	Current Period Equity AFUDC Amortization	(Ln.2 + Ln.3) * Ln.1	\$40	\$33	\$22	\$14	\$8	\$8	\$9
5	Net Equity AFUDC - EOY	Lns.(2+3-4)	\$154	\$125	\$106	\$107	\$103	\$102	\$101
6	Accumulated Equity AFUDC Additions	Ln. 3 + Prior Ln. 6	\$2,284	\$2,287	\$2,290	\$2,305	\$2,309	\$2,316	\$2,325
7	Accumulated Equity AFUDC Amortization	Ln. 4 + Prior Ln. 7	\$2,129	\$2,162	\$2,184	\$2,198	\$2,206	\$2,214	\$2,224
8	Net Debt AFUDC - BOY	Prior Ln. 11	\$36	\$29	\$24	\$20	\$21	\$20	\$19
9	Debt AFUDC Additions	Sch. 8 Col 22	\$1	\$1	\$1	\$3	\$1	\$1	\$1
10	Current Period Debt AFUDC Amortization	(Ln. 8 + Ln. 9) * Ln.1	\$8	\$6	\$4	\$2.687	\$1.627	\$1.561	\$1.735
11	Net Debt AFUDC - EOY	Lns. (8 + 9 - 10)	\$29	\$24	\$20	\$21	\$20	\$19	\$19
12	Accumulated Debt AFUDC Additions	Ln. 9 + Prior Ln. 12	\$425	\$426	\$427	\$430	\$430	\$431	\$432
13	Accumulated Debt AFUDC Amortization	Ln. 10 + Prior Ln. 13	\$396	\$402	\$406	\$409	\$410	\$412	\$414
14	Annual AFUDC	Ln. 4 + Ln. 10	\$47	\$39	\$26	\$17	\$10	\$10	\$11

Illustrative State Tax Depreciation, 1977-2000; Carriers' Inputs,

Exhibit 3 Sch. 10

Except for	Depreciation (m	nillions \$)													Pa	age 1 of 2		
			Year	1 1977	2 1978	3 1979	4 1980	5 1981	6 1982	7 1983	8 1984	9 1985	10 1986	11 1987	12 1988	13 1989	14 1990	15 1991
Depreciatio	n Factors - (1977	')		5.71%	4.00%	4.00%	4.00%	4.00%	10.22%	9.61%	9.00%	8.38%	7.77%	7.15%	6.54%	5.93%	5.31%	4.70%
12 - CO. 1 December 200	n Factors - (1978	,		2.00%	4.00%	4.00%	4.00%	10.75%	10.14%	9.52%	8.91%	8.29%	7.68%	7.06%	6.45%	5.84%	5.22%	2.46%
•	n Factors - (1979	•		2.00%	4.00%	4.00%	10.84%	10.23%	9.61%	9.00%		7.77%	7.16%	6.54%	5.93%	5.32%	3.07%	2.46%
	n Factors - (1980	á .		2.00%	4.00%	10.87%	10.26%	9.65%	9.03%	8.42%	7.80%	7.19%	6.58%	5.96%	5.35%	3.68%	3.07%	2.46%
Depreciatio	n Factors - (1981)		2.00%	11.15%	10.20%	9.58%	8.97%	8.35%	7.74%	7.13%	6.51%	5.90%	5.28%	4.30%	3.68%	3.07%	2.469
Depreciatio	n Factors - (1982	?-present)		5.71%	10.78%	9.82%	9.21%	8.60%	7.98%	7.37%	6.75%	6.14%	5.53%	4.91%	4.30%	3.68%	3.07%	2.469
	Property	AFUDC	Tax Basis for															
Year	Additions	Additions	Depreciation															
	(a)	(b)	(d) = (a) + (b)															
	Account to the same of the sam	- Sch 8, col 22																
	$(1+2)^1$																	
1977 1	\$7,889	\$398	\$8,287	\$474	\$331	\$331	\$331	\$331	\$847	\$796	\$745	\$695	\$644	\$593	\$542	\$491	\$440	\$38
1978 2	\$112	\$4	\$116	\$0	\$2	\$5	\$5	\$5	\$12	\$12	\$11	\$10	\$10	\$9	\$8	\$7	\$7	\$6
1979 3	\$97	\$2	\$98	\$0	\$0	\$2	\$4	\$4	\$11	\$10	\$9	\$9	\$8	\$8	\$7	\$6	\$6	\$
1980 4	\$123	\$3	\$127	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3	\$5	\$14	\$13	\$12	\$11	\$11	\$10	\$9	\$8	\$8	\$7
1981 5	\$49	\$4	\$53	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1	\$6	\$5	\$5	\$5	\$4	\$4	\$4	\$3	\$3	\$3
1982 6	\$60	\$4	\$64	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4	\$7	\$6	\$6	\$5	\$5	\$5	\$4	\$4	\$.
1983 7	\$84	\$2	\$86	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5	\$9	\$8	\$8	\$7	\$7	\$6	\$6	\$8
1984 8	\$51	\$1	\$52	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3	\$6	\$5	\$5	\$4	\$4	\$4	\$4
1985 9	\$29	\$0	\$29	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2	\$3	\$3	\$3	\$3	\$2	\$2
1986 10		\$0	\$20 \$33	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$1	\$2 \$2	\$2	\$2	\$2	\$2 \$3
1987 11 1988 12		\$0 \$0	\$33 \$10	\$0 \$0	\$∠ \$0	\$4 \$1	\$3 \$1	\$3 \$1	\$									
1989 13		\$0 \$0	\$37	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2	\$4	\$
1990 14		\$1	\$82	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5	\$9
1991 15	*	\$2	\$205	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$12
1992 16		\$2	\$71	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1993 17		\$1	\$47	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1994 18	\$43	\$1	\$44	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1995 19	\$36	\$1	\$37	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1996 20	\$29	\$1	\$29	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1997 21	\$105	\$3	\$109	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1998 22		\$1	\$22	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1999 23		\$1	\$47	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2000 24	\$58	\$1	\$59	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total State	Tax Depreciation	on		\$474	\$334	\$338	\$343	\$346	\$894	\$848	\$802	\$752	\$699	\$648	\$595	\$542	\$494	\$454
			1077 Sab 16 Lpc 2 /1															

¹ For 1977, Sch. 16, Lns 3 - (1+2+10)

Illustrative State Tax Depreciation, 1977-2000: Carriers' Inputs.

Exhibit 3 Sch. 10

1977-2000; Carriers' Inputs, Sch. 10 Except for Depreciation (millions \$) Page 2 of 2 Year 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 16 17 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 Depreciation Factors - (1977) 1.84% 1.23% 0.61% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%

Depreci Depreci Depreci Depreci	ation ation ation ation ation	Factors - (1978) Factors - (1979) Factors - (1980) Factors - (1981) Factors - (1982-	present) AFUDC	Tax Basis for	1.84% 1.84% 1.84% 1.84% 1.84%	1.23% 1.23% 1.23% 1.23% 1.23%	0.61% 0.61% 0.61% 0.61% 0.61%	0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%
Yea	ır	Additions	Additions	Depreciation									
		(a) Sch 15, Lns. 3 -	(b)	(d) = (a) + (b)									
		(1+2) ¹	SCI16, COI 22										
4077	4		6200	60.007	0450	0400	054	ФО.	0.0	0.0	40	60	00
1977 1978	1 2	\$7,889 \$112	\$398 \$4	\$8,287 \$116	\$153 \$3	\$102 \$2	\$51 \$1	\$0 \$1	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
1976	3	\$97	\$4 \$2	\$98	\$3 \$3	\$2 \$2	\$2	\$1 \$1	\$0 \$1	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0 \$0
1980	4	\$123	\$3	\$127	\$5 \$5	\$4	\$3	\$2	\$2	\$1	\$0	\$0	\$0
1981	5	\$49	\$4	\$53	\$2	\$2	\$2	\$1	\$1	\$1	\$0	\$0	\$0
1982	6	\$60	\$4	\$64	\$3	\$3	\$2	\$2	\$2	\$1	\$1	\$0	\$0
1983	7	\$84	\$2	\$86	\$5	\$4	\$4	\$3	\$3	\$2	\$2	\$1	\$1
1984	8	\$51	\$1	\$52	\$3	\$3	\$3	\$2	\$2	\$2	\$1	\$1	\$1
1985	9	\$29	\$0	\$29	\$2	\$2	\$2	\$1	\$1	\$1	\$1	\$1	\$1
1986	10	\$20	\$0	\$20	\$1	\$1	\$1	\$1	\$1	\$1	\$1	\$1	\$0
1987	11	\$33	\$0	\$33	\$3	\$2	\$2	\$2	\$2	\$2	\$1	\$1	\$1
1988	12	\$10	\$0	\$10	\$1	\$1	\$1	\$1	\$1	\$1	\$0	\$0	\$0
1989	13	\$37	\$0	\$37	\$3	\$3	\$3	\$3	\$2	\$2	\$2	\$2	\$2
1990	14	\$81	\$1	\$82	\$8	\$8	\$7	\$7	\$6	\$6	\$5	\$5	\$4
1991	15	\$203	\$2	\$205	\$22	\$20	\$19	\$18	\$16	\$15	\$14	\$13	\$11
1992	16	\$69	\$2	\$71	\$4	\$8	\$7	\$7	\$6	\$6	\$5	\$5	\$4
1993	17	\$46	\$1	\$47	\$0	\$3	\$5	\$5	\$4	\$4	\$4	\$3	\$3
1994	18	\$43	\$1	\$44	\$0	\$0	\$3	\$5	\$4	\$4	\$4	\$4	\$3
1995	19	\$36	\$1	\$37	\$0 60	\$0	\$0	\$2	\$4	\$4	\$3	\$3	\$3
1996	20	\$29	\$1	\$29	\$0 ©0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$2 \$0	\$3	\$3	\$3	\$3 \$40
1997 1998	21 22	\$105 \$21	\$3 \$1	\$109 \$22	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$6 \$0	\$12 \$1	\$11 \$2	\$10 \$2
1996	23	\$46	Ф1 \$1	\$22 \$47	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$2 \$3	\$2 \$5
2000	24	\$58	Φ1 \$1	\$59	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$3 \$3						
		Fax Depreciation		\$	\$221	\$170	\$117	\$63	\$59	\$60	\$60	\$58	\$57
			1-										

¹ For 1977, Sch. 16, Lns 3 - (1·

Illustrative Federal Tax Depreciation, 1977-2000; Carriers' Inputs, Except for Depreciation (millions \$) Exhibit 3 Sch 11 Page 1 of 2

Exception	Depreciation (m	illions \$)														P	age 1 of 2		
				Year	1 1977	2 1978	3 1979	4 1980	5 1981	6 1982	7 1983	8 1984	9 1985	10 1986	11 1987	12 1988	13 1989	14 1990	15 1991
Depreciatio	n Factors - (1977 n Factors - (1981 n Factors - (1987	I-1986)			5.71% 15.00% 5.00%	10.78% 22.00% 9.50%	9.82% 21.00% 8.55%	9.21% 21.00% 7.70%	8.60% 21.00% 6.93%	7.98% 0.00% 6.23%	7.37% 0.00% 5.90%	6.75% 0.00% 5.90%	6.14% 0.00% 5.90%	5.53% 0.00% 5.90%	4.91% 0.00% 5.90%	4.30% 0.00% 5.90%	3.68% 0.00% 5.90%	3.07% 0.00% 5.90%	2.46% 0.00% 5.90%
Year	Property Addition (a) Sch 15, Lns. 3 (1+2)1	AFUDC Addition (b) - Sch 8, col 22		Tax Basis for Depreciation (d) = (a) + (b) - (c)															
1977 1 1978 2 1979 3 1980 4 1981 5 1982 6 1983 7 1984 8 1985 8 1985 10 1987 11		\$398 \$4 \$2 \$3 \$4 \$4 \$2 \$1 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$4 \$3 \$1 \$0	\$8,287 \$116 \$98 \$127 \$53 \$64 \$82 \$49 \$28 \$20 \$33	\$474 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$893 \$7 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$814 \$12 \$6 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$763 \$11 \$11 \$7 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$712 \$11 \$10 \$14 \$8 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$662 \$10 \$9 \$12 \$12 \$10 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$611 \$9 \$8 \$12 \$11 \$14 \$12 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$560 \$9 \$8 \$11 \$13 \$18 \$7 \$0 \$0	\$509 \$8 \$7 \$10 \$11 \$13 \$17 \$11 \$4 \$0 \$0	\$458 \$7 \$7 \$9 \$0 \$13 \$17 \$10 \$6 \$3 \$0	\$407 \$6 \$6 \$9 \$0 \$17 \$10 \$6 \$4 \$2	\$356 \$6 \$5 \$8 \$0 \$0 \$10 \$6 \$4 \$3	\$305 \$55 \$57 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$6 \$4 \$3	\$254 \$4 \$4 \$6 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$4 \$3	\$204 \$4 \$4 \$5 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$2
1988 12 1989 13 1990 14 1991 15 1992 16 1993 17 1994 18 1995 19 1996 20 1997 21	\$10 \$37 \$81 \$203 \$69 \$46 \$43 \$36 \$29 \$105	\$0 \$0 \$1 \$2 \$2 \$1 \$1 \$1 \$1 \$3	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$10 \$37 \$82 \$205 \$71 \$47 \$44 \$37 \$29 \$109					\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0		\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0		\$1 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$1 \$2 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$1 \$4 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$1 \$3 \$8 \$10 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
1998 22 1999 23 2000 24 Total Fede	\$46	\$1 \$1 \$1 ation	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$22 \$47 \$59	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$474	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$900	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$832	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$793	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$754	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$714	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$677	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$637	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$591	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$531	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$468	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$399	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$338	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$284	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$240

1 For 1977, Sch. 16, Lns 3 - (1+2+10)

1977-20	000;	Federal Tax Dep Carriers' Inputs Depreciation (mi	,										F	Exhibit 3 Sch 11 Page 2 of 2
•			,		Year	16 1992	17 1993	18 1994	19 1995	20 1996	21 1997	22 1998	23 1999	24 2000
Depreci	atior	n Factors - (1977- n Factors - (1981- n Factors - (1987-	-1986)			1.84% 0.00% 2.95%	1.23% 0.00% 0.00%	0.61% 0.00% 0.00%	0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	0.00% 0.00% 0.00%
Yea	ar	Property Addition (a) Sch 15, Lns. 3 (1+2)1	AFUDC Addition (b) - Sch 8, col 22		Tax Basis for Depreciation (d) = (a) + (b) - (c)									
1977	1	\$7,889	\$398	\$0	\$8,287	\$153	\$102	\$51	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1978	2	\$112	\$4	\$0	\$116	\$3	\$2	\$1	\$1	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1979	3	\$97	\$2	\$0	\$98	\$3	\$2	\$2	\$1	\$1	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1980	4	\$123	\$3	\$0	\$127	\$5	\$4	\$3	\$2	\$2	\$1	\$0	\$0	\$0
1981	5	\$49	\$4	\$0	\$53	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1982	6	\$60	\$4	\$0	\$64	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1983	7	\$84	\$2	\$4	\$82	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1984	8	\$51	\$1	\$3	\$49	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1985	9	\$29	\$0	\$1	\$28	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1986	10	\$20	\$0	\$0	\$20	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1987	11	\$33	\$0	\$0	\$33	\$2	\$2	\$2	\$2	\$2	\$2	\$2	\$2	\$2
1988	12	\$10	\$0	\$0	\$10	\$1	\$1	\$1	\$1	\$1	\$1	\$1	\$1	\$1
1989	13	\$37	\$0	\$0	\$37	\$3	\$3	\$2	\$2	\$2	\$2	\$2	\$2	\$2
1990	14	\$81	\$1	\$0	\$82	\$7	\$6	\$6	\$5	\$5	\$5	\$5	\$5	\$5
1991	15	\$203	\$2	\$0	\$205	\$19	\$18	\$16	\$14	\$13	\$12	\$12	\$12	\$12
1992	16	\$69	\$2	\$0	\$71	\$4	\$7	\$6	\$5	\$5	\$4	\$4	\$4	\$4
1993	17	\$46	\$1	\$0	\$47	\$0	\$2	\$4	\$4	\$4	\$3	\$3	\$3	\$3
1994	18	\$43	\$1	\$0	\$44	\$0	\$0	\$2	\$4	\$4	\$3	\$3	\$3	\$3
1995	19	\$36	\$1	\$0	\$37	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2	\$3	\$3	\$3	\$3	\$2
1996	20	\$29	\$1	\$0	\$29	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1	\$3	\$2	\$2	\$2
1997	21	\$105	\$3	\$0	\$109	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5	\$10	\$9	\$8
1998 1999 2000	22 23 24	\$21 \$46 \$58	\$1 \$1 \$1 \$1	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$22 \$47 \$59	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$1 \$0 \$0	\$2 \$2 \$0	\$2 \$5 \$3
		عن al Tax Deprecia	0.000	ΦU		\$199	\$148	\$96	\$0 \$44	\$42	\$45	\$49	\$50	\$53

1 For 1977, Sch. 16, Lns 3 - (1+2+10)

Illustrative ADIT Balances, 1977-2000: Carrier Inputs, Except for Depreciation (millions \$) Exhibit 3 Sch. 12 Page 1 of 2

Line No.	Description	Source	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989
1	Book Depreciation Including Debt AFUDC Amortization	Sch 6 Ln. 3 + Sch 9 Ln. 10	\$114	\$526	\$635	\$727	\$701	\$715	\$693	\$673	\$569	\$539	\$528	\$481	\$414
2	State Tax Depreciation	Sch. 10	\$474	\$334	\$338	\$343	\$346	\$894	\$848	\$802	\$752	\$699	\$648	\$595	\$542
3	State Tax Timing Differences	Ln. 2 - Ln. 1	\$360	(\$192)	(\$297)	(\$384)	(\$355)	\$178	\$155	\$129	\$182	\$160	\$120	\$114	\$128
4	State Income Tax Rate	AK Stat.	9.40%	9.40%	9.40%	9.40%	9.40%	9.40%	9.40%	9.40%	9.40%	9.40%	9.40%	9.40%	9.40%
5	State Tax Effect	Ln. 3 * Ln. 4	\$34	(\$18)	(\$28)	(\$36)	(\$33)	\$17	\$15	\$12	\$17	\$15	\$11	\$11	\$12
6	State ADIT Balance	Ln. 5 + Prior Ln. 6	\$34	\$16	(\$12)	(\$48)	(\$82)	(\$65)	(\$50)	(\$38)	(\$21)	(\$6)	\$5	\$16	\$28
7	Book Depreciation Including Debt AFUDC Amortization	Ln.1	\$114	\$526	\$635	\$727	\$701	\$715	\$693	\$673	\$569	\$539	\$528	\$481	\$414
8	Depreciation of TEFRA Adjustment	Sch.13 Ln. 6	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1	\$1	\$1	\$1	\$1	\$1	\$1
9	Book Depreciation after TEFRA Adjustment	Ln. 7 - Ln. 8	\$114	\$526	\$635	\$727	\$701	\$715	\$692	\$672	\$568	\$538	\$527	\$480	\$413
10	Federal Tax Depreciation	Sch. 11	\$474	\$900	\$832	\$793	\$754	\$714	\$677	\$637	\$591	\$531	\$468	\$399	\$338
11	Tax Effect of State Timing Differences	Ln. 5	\$34	(\$18)	(\$28)	(\$36)	(\$33)	\$17	\$15	\$12	\$17	\$15	\$11	\$11	\$12
12	Total Federal Tax Deductions	Ln. 10 - Ln.11	\$440	\$918	\$860	\$829	\$788	\$697	\$663	\$625	\$574	\$516	\$456	\$388	\$326
13	Federal Tax Timing Differences	Ln.12 - Ln. 9	\$326	\$392	\$225	\$102	\$87	(\$18)	(\$29)	(\$47)	\$5	(\$22)	(\$70)	(\$92)	(\$88)
14	Federal Income Tax Rate	IRC	48.00%	48.00%	46.00%	46.00%	46.00%	46.00%	46.00%	46.00%	46.00%	46.00%	39.95%	34.00%	34.00%
15	Federal Tax Effect	Ln. 13 * Ln. 14	\$156	\$188	\$104	\$47	\$40	(\$8)	(\$14)	(\$22)	\$2	(\$10)	(\$28)	(\$31)	(\$30)
16	FASB 96/109 Adjustment	Cum. Ln 13 * Change in Ln 14	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$62	\$56	\$0
17	Amortization Basis for FASB 96/109 Adjustment	Lns(Prior 17 + 16 - Prior 18)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$62	\$108	\$88
18	Amortization of FASB 96/109 Adjustment	Ln.17*(Sch 14, Ln.8)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$10	\$20	\$17
19	Federal ADIT Balance	Prior Ln.19 + Ln.15 - Ln.18	\$156	\$344	\$448	\$495	\$535	\$526	\$513	\$491	\$494	\$483	\$445	\$394	\$347
20	Total State And Federal ADIT Balances	Ln. 6 + Ln. 19	\$190	\$360	\$436	\$446	\$453	\$462	\$463	\$453	\$473	\$477	\$450	\$410	\$375

Illustrative ADIT Balances, 1977-2000: Carrier Inputs, Except for Depreciation (millions \$)

Exhibit 3 Sch. 12 Page 2 of 2

Line No.	Description	Source	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
	Book Depreciation Including Debt AFUDC Amortization	Sch 6 Ln. 3 + Sch 9 Ln. 10	\$351	\$308	\$297	\$246	\$204	\$172	\$131	\$84	\$51	\$50	\$58
2	State Tax Depreciation	Sch. 10	\$494	\$454	\$221	\$170	\$117	\$63	\$59	\$60	\$60	\$58	\$57
3	State Tax Timing Differences	Ln. 2 - Ln. 1	\$143	\$146	(\$76)	(\$77)	(\$87)	(\$109)	(\$72)	(\$24)	\$9	\$7	(\$1)
4	State Income Tax Rate	AK Stat.	9.40%	9.40%	9.40%	9.40%	9.40%	9.40%	9.40%	9.40%	9.40%	9.40%	9.40%
5	State Tax Effect	Ln. 3 * Ln. 4	\$13	\$14	(\$7)	(\$7)	(\$8)	(\$10)	(\$7)	(\$2)	\$1	\$1	(\$0)
6	State ADIT Balance	Ln. 5 + Prior Ln. 6	\$42	\$55	\$48	\$41	\$33	\$23	\$16	\$13	\$14	\$15	\$15
7	Book Depreciation Including Debt AFUDC Amortization	Ln.1	\$351	\$308	\$297	\$246	\$204	\$172	\$131	\$84	\$51	\$50	\$58
8	Depreciation of TEFRA Adjustment	Sch.13 Ln. 6	\$1	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
9	Book Depreciation after TEFRA Adjustment	Ln. 7 - Ln. 8	\$350	\$307	\$297	\$246	\$203	\$171	\$131	\$84	\$51	\$50	\$58
10	Federal Tax Depreciation	Sch. 11	\$284	\$240	\$199	\$148	\$96	\$44	\$42	\$45	\$49	\$50	\$53
11	Tax Effect of State Timing Differences	Ln. 5	\$13	\$14	(\$7)	(\$7)	(\$8)	(\$10)	(\$7)	(\$2)	\$1	\$1	(\$0)
12	Total Federal Tax Deductions	Ln. 10 - Ln.11	\$271	\$227	\$206	\$155	\$104	\$54	\$48	\$47	\$48	\$49	\$53
13	Federal Tax Timing Differences	Ln.12 - Ln. 9	(\$79)	(\$81)	(\$91)	(\$91)	(\$99)	(\$117)	(\$82)	(\$37)	(\$3)	(\$1)	(\$5)
14	Federal Income Tax Rate	IRC	34.00%	34.00%	34.00%	35.00%	35.00%	35.00%	35.00%	35.00%	35.00%	35.00%	35.00%
15	Federal Tax Effect	Ln. 13 * Ln. 14	(\$27)	(\$27)	(\$31)	(\$32)	(\$35)	(\$41)	(\$29)	(\$13)	(\$1)	(\$0)	(\$2)
16	FASB 96/109 Adjustment	Cum. Ln 13 * Change in Ln 14	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$5)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
17	Amortization Basis for FASB 96/109 Adjustment	Lns(Prior 17 + 16 - Prior 18)	\$71	\$57	\$47	\$32	\$26	\$20	\$16	\$13	\$12	\$11	\$10
18	Amortization of FASB 96/109 Adjustment	Ln.17*(Sch 14, Ln.8)	\$14	\$10	\$10	\$7	\$5	\$4	\$3	\$2	\$1	\$1	\$1
19	Federal ADIT Balance	Prior Ln.19 + Ln.15 - Ln.18	\$307	\$269	\$228	\$190	\$150	\$105	\$73	\$59	\$56	\$55	\$53
20	Total State And Federal ADIT Balances	Ln. 6 + Ln. 19	\$348	\$324	\$276	\$231	\$183	\$127	\$89	\$72	\$71	\$70	\$68

SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-21-2606 PUC DOCKET NO. 52195 CEP 17-13, Attachment 1 Page 248 of 486

Illustrative TEFRA Adjustment to ADIT 1977-2000: Carrier Inputs, Except for Depreciation (millions \$) Exhibit 3 Sch. 13 Page 1 of 2

Line No.	Description	Source	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989
1	Tax Depreciation Basis before TEFRA Adjustment	Sch 10	\$8,287	\$116	\$98	\$127	\$53	\$64	\$86	\$52	\$29	\$20	\$33	\$10	\$37
2	Tax Depreciation Basis Reduction	IRC	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	5.00%	5.00%	5.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
3	TEFRA Adjustment	Ln. 1 * Ln. 2	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4	\$3	\$1	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
4	TEFRA Adjustment Balance (BOY)	Ln. 3 + Prior Ln. 7	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4	\$6	\$7	\$6	\$5	\$4	\$3
5	Depreciation Factor	Sch 15 Ln.8	1.38%	6.31%	8.05%	9.79%	10.38%	11.72%	12.66%	13.95%	13.62%	14.85%	16.89%	18.44%	19.15%
6	Depreciation of TEFRA Adjustment	Ln. 4 * Ln. 5	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1	\$1	\$1	\$1	\$1	\$1	\$1
7	TEFRA Adjustment Balance (EOY)	Ln. 4 - Ln. 6	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4	\$5	\$6	\$5	\$4	\$3	\$3

SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-21-2606 PUC DOCKET NO. 52195 CEP 17-13, Attachment 1 Page 249 of 486

Illustrative TEFRA Adjustment to ADIT 1977-2000: Carrier Inputs, Except for Depreciation (millions \$) Exhibit 3 Sch. 13 Page 2 of 2

Line No.	Description	Source	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
1	Tax Depreciation Basis before TEFRA Adjustment	Sch 10	\$82	\$205	\$71	\$47	\$44	\$37	\$29	\$109	\$22	\$47	\$59
2	Tax Depreciation Basis Reduction	IRC	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
3	TEFRA Adjustment	Ln. 1 * Ln. 2	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
4	TEFRA Adjustment Balance (BOY)	Ln. 3 + Prior Ln. 7	\$3	\$2	\$2	\$1	\$1	\$1	\$1	\$1	\$1	\$0	\$0
5	Depreciation Factor	Sch 15 Ln.8	19.18%	18.23%	20.49%	20.52%	20.39%	20.65%	17.29%	11.45%	7.60%	7.50%	8.51%
6	Depreciation of TEFRA Adjustment	Ln. 4 * Ln. 5	\$1	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
7	TEFRA Adjustment Balance (EOY)	Ln. 4 - Ln. 6	\$2	\$2	\$1	\$1	\$1	\$1	\$1	\$1	\$0	\$0	\$0

All Carrier Inputs Except Depreciation

Line No.	Description	Source	Starting Balances	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982
1	Gross Carrier Property - BOY	Prior Ln. 8	\$7,741	\$10,303	\$10,482	\$10,654	\$10,746	\$10,875	\$10,942
2	Gross Property Additions, New Construction	Sch 16, Ln. 3		\$1,422	\$98	\$100	\$106	\$49	\$65
3	Less Additions to Capitalized Interest	Sch 16, [Ln. 10 - Prior Ln. 10]		\$1,216	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$0)	\$0
4	Gross Property Additions, Net IDC	Ln. 2 - Ln. 3		\$206	\$98	\$100	\$106	\$50	\$65
5	Acquisitions of Carrier Property in Service	Sch 16, Ln. 4		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$170	\$0	\$0
6	Retirements of CPIS	Sch 16, Ln. 5		(\$29)	(\$7)	(\$12)	(\$165)	(\$2)	(\$12)
7	Adjustments to CPIS	Sch 16, Ln. 6		(\$0)	\$65	(\$4)	\$1	(\$1)	(\$13)
8	Equity AFUDC Additions	Sch 9, Ln. 3	\$2,165	\$2	\$12	\$6	\$14	\$17	\$17
9	Debt AFUDC Additions	Sch 9, Ln. 9	\$398	\$1	\$4	\$2	\$3	\$4	\$4
10	Gross Carrier Property - EOY	Lns (1+4+5+6+7+8+9)	\$10,303	\$10,482	\$10,654	\$10,746	\$10,875	\$10,942	\$11,003
11	Accumulated Depreciation	Sch 6, Ln. 6		\$108	\$602	\$1,197	\$1,838	\$2,505	\$3,173
12	Accumulated Equity AFUDC Amortization	Sch 9 Ln. 7		\$30	\$166	\$328	\$511	\$688	\$869
13	Accumulated Debt AFUDC Amortization	Sch 9 Ln. 13		\$5	\$31	\$61	\$94	\$127	\$161
14	CWIP Balance - EOY	Sch 16, Ln. 8		\$42	\$24	\$28	\$29	\$29	\$33
15	Net Carrier Property	Lns (10 -11-12-13-14)	\$10,303	\$10,296	\$9,832	\$9,132	\$8,402	\$7,592	\$6,767
16	Working Capital	Schedule 16, Ln. 11		\$57	\$29	\$36	\$34	\$39	\$39
17	ADIT	Sch 12 Ln. 20		\$190	\$360	\$436	\$446	\$453	\$462
18	Rate Base	Lns (15+16-17)	\$10,303	\$10,164	\$9,501	\$8,732	\$7,990	\$7,179	\$6,344
19	Average Rate Base	(Ln.18+Prior Ln.18)/2.0		\$10,233	\$9,832	\$9,117	\$8,361	\$7,584	\$6,761

Exhibit 3 Sch 14 Page 1 of 4

All Carrier Inputs Except Depreciation

Line No.	Description	Source	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989
1	Gross Carrier Property - BOY	Prior Ln. 8	\$11,003	\$11,059	\$11,088	\$11,102	\$11,121	\$11,137	\$11,142
2	Gross Property Additions, New Construction I	Sch 16, Ln. 3	\$70	\$38	\$26	\$21	\$32	\$17	\$48
3	Less Additions to Capitalized Interest	Sch 16, [Ln. 10 - Prior Ln. 10]	\$0	(\$1)	(\$0)	(\$0)	(\$0)	(\$0)	(\$0)
4	Gross Property Additions, Net IDC	Ln. 2 - Ln. 3	\$70	\$39	\$26	\$21	\$32	\$17	\$48
5	Acquisitions of Carrier Property in Service	Sch 16, Ln. 4	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
6	Retirements of CPIS	Sch 16, Ln. 5	(\$24)	(\$13)	(\$14)	(\$3)	(\$17)	(\$13)	(\$5)
7	Adjustments to CPIS	Sch 16, Ln. 6	(\$4)	(\$4)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$0)
8	Equity AFUDC Additions	Sch 9, Ln. 3	\$12	\$7	\$1	\$1	\$1	\$0	\$1
9	Debt AFUDC Additions	Sch 9, Ln. 9	\$2	\$1	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
10	Gross Carrier Property - EOY	Lns (1+4+5+6+7+8+9)	\$11,059	\$11,088	\$11,102	\$11,121	\$11,137	\$11,142	\$11,186
11	Accumulated Depreciation	Sch 6, Ln. 6	\$3,811	\$4,441	\$4,970	\$5,482	\$5,969	\$6,415	\$6,806
12	Accumulated Equity AFUDC Amortization	Sch 9 Ln. 7	\$1,043	\$1,212	\$1,353	\$1,487	\$1,616	\$1,734	\$1,834
13	Accumulated Debt AFUDC Amortization	Sch 9 Ln. 13	\$193	\$225	\$251	\$276	\$300	\$322	\$340
14	CWIP Balance - EOY	Sch 16, Ln. 8	\$15	\$4	\$1	\$2	\$2	\$9	\$20
15	Net Carrier Property	Lns (10 -11-12-13-14)	\$5,996	\$5,207	\$4,526	\$3,874	\$3,251	\$2,663	\$2,187
16	Working Capital	Schedule 16, Ln. 11	\$29	\$33	\$34	\$29	\$33	\$36	\$36
17	ADIT	Sch 12 Ln. 20	\$463	\$453	\$473	\$477	\$450	\$410	\$375
18	Rate Base	Lns (15+16-17)	\$5,562	\$4,786	\$4,087	\$3,425	\$2,834	\$2,289	\$1,848
19	Average Rate Base	(Ln.18+Prior Ln.18)/2.0	\$5,953	\$5,174	\$4,437	\$3,756	\$3,130	\$2,562	\$2,068

Exhibit 3 Sch 14 Page 2 of 4

All Carrier Inputs Except Depreciation

Line No.	Description	Source	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995
1	Gross Carrier Property - BOY	Prior Ln. 8	\$11,186	\$11,341	\$11,442	\$11,506	\$11,536	\$11,581
2	Gross Property Additions, New Construction I	Sch 16, Ln. 3	\$156	\$141	\$71	\$36	\$51	\$56
3	Less Additions to Capitalized Interest	Sch 16, [Ln. 10 - Prior Ln. 10]	(\$0)	(\$0)	(\$0)	(\$0)	(\$0)	(\$0)
4	Gross Property Additions, Net IDC	Ln. 2 - Ln. 3	\$156	\$141	\$71	\$36	\$51	\$56
5	Acquisitions of Carrier Property in Service	Sch 16, Ln. 4	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
6	Retirements of CPIS	Sch 16, Ln. 5	(\$4)	(\$53)	(\$16)	(\$11)	(\$10)	(\$4)
7	Adjustments to CPIS	Sch 16, Ln. 6	\$0	(\$0)	\$0	(\$0)	\$0	\$0
8	Equity AFUDC Additions	Sch 9, Ln. 3	\$3	\$10	\$7	\$4	\$4	\$3
9	Debt AFUDC Additions	Sch 9, Ln. 9	\$1	\$2	\$2	\$1	\$1	\$1
10	Gross Carrier Property - EOY	Lns (1+4+5+6+7+8+9)	\$11,341	\$11,442	\$11,506	\$11,536	\$11,581	\$11,638
11	Accumulated Depreciation	Sch 6, Ln. 6	\$7,138	\$7,375	\$7,646	\$7,872	\$8,058	\$8,220
12	Accumulated Equity AFUDC Amortization	Sch 9 Ln. 7	\$1,915	\$1,980	\$2,040	\$2,090	\$2,129	\$2,162
13	Accumulated Debt AFUDC Amortization	Sch 9 Ln. 13	\$355	\$367	\$379	\$388	\$396	\$402
14	CWIP Balance - EOY	Sch 16, Ln. 8	\$94	\$32	\$34	\$24	\$31	\$51
15	Net Carrier Property	Lns (10 -11-12-13-14)	\$1,839	\$1,687	\$1,407	\$1,162	\$968	\$803
16	Working Capital	Schedule 16, Ln. 11	\$39	\$59	\$54	\$44	\$43	\$34
17	ADIT	Sch 12 Ln. 20	\$348	\$324	\$276	\$231	\$183	\$127
18	Rate Base	Lns (15+16-17)	\$1,530	\$1,422	\$1,185	\$976	\$828	\$710
19	Average Rate Base	(Ln.18+Prior Ln.18)/2.0	\$1,689	\$1,476	\$1,304	\$1,081	\$902	\$769

Exhibit 3 Sch 14 Page 3 of 4

All Carrier Inputs Except Depreciation

Line No.	Description	Source	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
1	Gross Carrier Property - BOY	Prior Ln. 8	\$11,638	\$11,668	\$11,744	\$11,772	\$11,829
2	Gross Property Additions, New Construction 8	Sch 16, Ln. 3	\$52	\$61	\$30	\$95	\$45
3	Less Additions to Capitalized Interest	Sch 16, [Ln. 10 - Prior Ln. 10]	(\$9)	(\$0)	(\$0)	(\$0)	\$0
4	Gross Property Additions, Net IDC	Ln. 2 - Ln. 3	\$61	\$61	\$30	\$95	\$45
5	Acquisitions of Carrier Property in Service	Sch 16, Ln. 4	\$81	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
6	Retirements of CPIS	Sch 16, Ln. 5	(\$116)	(\$3)	(\$6)	(\$47)	(\$1)
7	Adjustments to CPIS	Sch 16, Ln. 6	\$0	(\$0)	(\$0)	(\$0)	\$0
8	Equity AFUDC Additions	Sch 9, Ln. 3	\$3	\$15	\$4	\$7	\$9
9	Debt AFUDC Additions	Sch 9, Ln. 9	\$1	\$3	\$1	\$1	\$1
10	Gross Carrier Property - EOY	Lns (1+4+5+6+7+8+9)	\$11,668	\$11,744	\$11,772	\$11,829	\$11,883
11	Accumulated Depreciation	Sch 6, Ln. 6	\$8,252	\$8,331	\$8,374	\$8,420	\$8,469
12	Accumulated Equity AFUDC Amortization	Sch 9 Ln. 7	\$2,184	\$2,198	\$2,206	\$2,214	\$2,224
13	Accumulated Debt AFUDC Amortization	Sch 9 Ln. 13	\$406	\$409	\$410	\$412	\$414
14	CWIP Balance - EOY	Sch 16, Ln. 8	\$74	\$30	\$39	\$43	\$30
15	Net Carrier Property	Lns (10 -11-12-13-14)	\$752	\$777	\$743	\$739	\$746
16	Working Capital	Schedule 16, Ln. 11	\$36	\$44	\$43	\$47	\$24
17	ADIT	Sch 12 Ln. 20	\$89	\$72	\$71	\$70	\$68
18	Rate Base	Lns (15+16-17)	\$699	\$749	\$715	\$717	\$702
19	Average Rate Base	(Ln.18+Prior Ln.18)/2.0	\$705	\$724	\$732	\$716	\$709

Exhibit 3 Sch 14 Page 4 of 4

Illustrative Amortization Rates, 1977-2000 (percentages)

Line		Source	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987
No.													
1	Grand Total, Carrier Property - EOY	Sch 16 Ln. 9	\$9,133	\$9,289	\$9,373	\$9,486	\$9,531	\$9,572	\$9,613	\$9,634	\$9,646	\$9,665	\$9,680
2	Land - EOY	Sch 16 Ln. 7	\$17	\$17	\$17	\$17	\$17	\$17	\$17	\$17	\$17	\$17	\$17
3	CWIP - EOY	Sch 16 Ln. 8	\$42	\$24	\$28	\$29	\$29	\$33	\$15	\$4	\$1	\$2	\$2
4	Capitalized Interest - EOY	Sch 16 Ln. 10	\$1,216	\$1,216	\$1,216	\$1,216	\$1,215	\$1,215	\$1,215	\$1,214	\$1,214	\$1,214	\$1,214
5	Gross Depreciable Property, Less Capitalized Interest, EOY	Ln 1 - Lns(2 + 3 + 4)	\$7,859	\$8,033	\$8,113	\$8,224	\$8,270	\$8,306	\$8,366	\$8,399	\$8,414	\$8,431	\$8,447
6	Appropriate Depreciation Expense	Sch 6 Ln. 3	\$108	\$501	\$605	\$693	\$668	\$682	\$660	\$642	\$543	\$515	\$504
7	Appropriate Accumulated Depreciation	Sch 6 Ln. 6	\$108	\$602	\$1,197	\$1,838	\$2,505	\$3,173	\$3,811	\$4,441	\$4,970	\$5,482	\$5,969
8	Amortization Rate - Net Basis	Ln. 6/Lns. (5 + 6 - 7)	1.38%	6.31%	8.05%	9.79%	10.38%	11.72%	12.66%	13.95%	13.62%	14.85%	16.89%

Illustrative Amortization Rates, 1977-2000 (percentages)

Line		Source	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998
No.													
1	Grand Total, Carrier Property - EOY	Sch 16 Ln. 9	\$9,684	\$9,726	\$9,878	\$9,965	\$10,020	\$10,045	\$10,086	\$10,139	\$10,156	\$10,214	\$10,238
2	Land - EOY	Sch 16 Ln. 7	\$17	\$17	\$18	\$18	\$18	\$18	\$19	\$19	\$19	\$19	\$19
3	CWIP - EOY	Sch 16 Ln. 8	\$9	\$20	\$94	\$32	\$34	\$24	\$31	\$51	\$74	\$30	\$39
4	Capitalized Interest - EOY	Sch 16 Ln. 10	\$1,214	\$1,214	\$1,214	\$1,214	\$1,214	\$1,214	\$1,214	\$1,214	\$1,205	\$1,205	\$1,205
5	Gross Depreciable Property, Less Capitalized Interest, EOY	Ln 1 - Lns(2 + 3 + 4)	\$8,444	\$8,475	\$8,552	\$8,701	\$8,755	\$8,790	\$8,823	\$8,856	\$8,859	\$8,961	\$8,976
6	Appropriate Depreciation Expense	Sch 6 Ln. 3	\$459	\$395	\$336	\$296	\$286	\$237	\$196	\$165	\$127	\$82	\$50
7	Appropriate Accumulated Depreciation	Sch 6 Ln. 6	\$6,415	\$6,806	\$7,138	\$7,375	\$7,646	\$7,872	\$8,058	\$8,220	\$8,252	\$8,331	\$8,374
8	Amortization Rate - Net Basis	Ln. 6/Lns. (5 + 6 - 7)	18.44%	19.15%	19.18%	18.23%	20.49%	20.52%	20.39%	20.65%	17.29%	11.45%	7.60%

SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-21-2606 PUC DOCKET NO. 52195 CEP 17-13, Attachment 1 Page 256 of 486

All Carrier Inputs Except Depreciation

Illustrative Amortization Rates, 1977-2000 (percentages)

Line No.	Description	Source	1999	2000
1	Grand Total, Carrier Property - EOY	Sch 16 Ln. 9	\$10,286	\$10,330
2	Land - EOY	Sch 16 Ln. 7	\$19	\$19
3	CWIP - EOY	Sch 16 Ln. 8	\$43	\$30
4	Capitalized Interest - EOY	Sch 16 Ln. 10	\$1,205	\$1,205
5	Gross Depreciable Property, Less Capitalized Interest, EOY	Ln 1 - Lns(2 + 3 + 4)	\$9,019	\$9,076
6	Appropriate Depreciation Expense	Sch 6 Ln. 3	\$49	\$56
7	Appropriate Accumulated Depreciation	Sch 6 Ln. 6	\$8,420	\$8,469
8	Amortization Rate - Net Basis	Ln. 6/Lns. (5 + 6 - 7)	7.50%	8.51%

Illustrative Carrier Property Balances, 1977-2000 (millions \$)

All Carrier Inputs Except Depreciation

Line	Description ¹	Starting	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984
No.		Balance								
1	Land - New Construction Exp.		\$17	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2	CWIP - New Construction Exp.		\$42	(\$14)	\$3	(\$17)	(\$0)	\$5	(\$14)	(\$13)
3	Grand Total - New Construction E	\$7,741	\$1,422	\$98	\$100	\$106	\$49	\$65	\$70	\$38
4	Grand Total - Acquired Property		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$170	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
5	Grand Total - Credits for Retireme	nts	(\$29)	(\$7)	(\$12)	(\$165)	(\$2)	(\$12)	(\$24)	(\$13)
6	Grand Total - Other Adjustments		(\$0)	\$65	(\$4)	\$1	(\$1)	(\$13)	(\$4)	(\$4)
7	Land - EOY Balance		\$17	\$17	\$17	\$17	\$17	\$17	\$17	\$17
8	CWIP - EOY Balance		\$42	\$24	\$28	\$29	\$29	\$33	\$15	\$4
9	Grand Total - EOY Balance		\$9,133	\$9,289	\$9,373	\$9,486	\$9,531	\$9,572	\$9,613	\$9,634
10	Capitalized Interest - EOY Balance	\$1,216	\$1,216	\$1,216	\$1,216	\$1,216	\$1,215	\$1,215	\$1,215	\$1,214
11	Workign Capital		\$57.24	\$29.20	\$36.01	\$34.18	\$39.23	\$38.95	\$28.69	\$32.58
12	Operting Expenses		\$242	\$450	\$396	\$456	\$466	\$468	\$456	\$441

¹All inputs from 143-RGV-C,

TAPS-RGV WP3, Schedules 12 & 13

Illustrative Carrier Property Balances, 1977-2000 (millions \$)

All Carrier Inputs Except Depreciation

Line	Description ¹	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993
No.										
1	Land - New Construction Exp.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1	\$0	\$0	\$0
2	CWIP - New Construction Exp.	(\$3)	\$1	(\$1)	\$7	\$11	\$74	(\$62)	\$1	(\$10)
3	Grand Total - New Construction E	\$26	\$21	\$32	\$17	\$48	\$156	\$141	\$71	\$36
4	Grand Total - Acquired Property	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
5	Grand Total - Credits for Retireme	(\$14)	(\$3)	(\$17)	(\$13)	(\$5)	(\$4)	(\$53)	(\$16)	(\$11)
6	Grand Total - Other Adjustments	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$0)	\$0	(\$0)	\$0	(\$0)
7	Land - EOY Balance	\$17	\$17	\$17	\$17	\$17	\$18	\$18	\$18	\$18
8	CWIP - EOY Balance	\$1	\$2	\$2	\$9	\$20	\$94	\$32	\$34	\$24
9	Grand Total - EOY Balance	\$9,646	\$9,665	\$9,680	\$9,684	\$9,726	\$9,878	\$9,965	\$10,020	\$10,045
10	Capitalized Interest - EOY Balance	\$1,214	\$1,214	\$1,214	\$1,214	\$1,214	\$1,214	\$1,214	\$1,214	\$1,214
11	Workign Capital	\$33.68	\$28.58	\$33.33	\$36.15	\$36.24	\$39.01	\$58.84	\$54.30	\$44.19
12	Operting Expenses	\$462	\$438	\$411	\$449	\$582	\$710	\$658	\$717	\$753

¹All inputs from 143-RGV-C,

TAPS-RGV WP3, Schedules 12 & 13

Illustrative Carrier Property Balances, 1977-2000 (millions \$)

All Carrier Inputs Except Depreciation

Line No.	Description ¹	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
1	Land - New Construction Exp.	\$1	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2	CWIP - New Construction Exp.	\$7	\$20	\$23	(\$44)	\$9	\$49	(\$13)
3	Grand Total - New Construction E	\$51	\$56	\$52	`\$61 [°]	\$30	\$95	\$45
4	Grand Total - Acquired Property	\$0	\$0	\$81	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
5	Grand Total - Credits for Retireme	(\$10)	(\$4)	(\$116)	(\$3)	(\$6)	(\$47)	(\$1)
6	Grand Total - Other Adjustments	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$0)	(\$0)	(\$0)	\$0
7	Land - EOY Balance	\$19	\$19	\$19	\$19	\$19	\$19	\$19
8	CWIP - EOY Balance	\$31	\$51	\$74	\$30	\$39	\$43	\$30
9	Grand Total - EOY Balance	\$10,086	\$10,139	\$10,156	\$10,214	\$10,238	\$10,286	\$10,330
10	Capitalized Interest - EOY Balance	\$1,214	\$1,214	\$1,205	\$1,205	\$1,205	\$1,205	\$1,205
11	Workign Capital	\$43.05	\$34.49	\$36.42	\$43.96	\$43.06	\$47.45	\$23.80
12	Operting Expenses	\$889	\$668	\$601	\$604	\$566	\$568	\$444

¹All inputs from 143-RGV-C,

TAPS-RGV WP3, Schedules 12 & 13

Explanatory Note to Exhibit 4

The rationale for a comparative revenue requirement analysis is developed in Part V.

Lines 9 and 10 on Schedule 1 show that, even when measured against a straight-line DOC (but with appropriate capital structure and rate of return), the Carriers have had the opportunity to recover more than \$9 and \$20 billion (measured in nominal and 1997 dollars, respectively) in excess of the costs of providing service. The critical element to this calculation — the straight-line DOC revenue requirement — is reported at Line 1 of Schedule 1. TSM's revenue requirement is reduced by the amount of TSM DR&R to permit an "apples to apples" assessment of filed rates. We evaluate the comparative revenue requirements in 1997 present value dollars, rather than 1977 dollars, because the Carriers' opportunity at investment recovery is at issue, and such investment will be recovered in current rather than historical dollars.

The straight-line DOC revenue requirement is calculated at Schedule 2. The depreciation amounts are taken from Schedule 5, and reflect the Carriers' sponsored depreciation figures. AFUDC is calculated in Schedule 6, as per the discussion in Part IV.B.5, and is amortized according to Schedule 7, consistent with the net depreciation factors being modeled. Return on rate base is calculated in Schedule 3, where the rate base is developed in Schedule 8. Rate base reflects adjustments for ADIT, calculated in Schedule 11 as per the discussion in Part IV.D. Income taxes are calculated (see Schedule 4) according to maximum statutory rates; see Part V.A.3 for discussion.

¹ Exhibit 134-RGV-C, TAPS-RGV WP3.xls, Schedule 2.

Illustrative Annual and Cumulative Regulatorily Enforced Return Deficiency (millions \$)

Appropriate ROR and Capital Structure, Carriers' Inputs Otherwise

								Carriers	nputs Othe
Line No.	Description	Source	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983
1	Straight-Line DOC Revenue Requirement	Schedule 2, Ln. 6	\$2,355	\$2,860	\$2,718	\$2,847	\$2,976	\$2,683	\$2,324
2	TSM RR	31-BWF-E; 30-BWF-E: Line 125 ¹	\$860	\$2,480	\$2,654	\$3,081	\$3,354	\$3,548	\$3,524
3	TSM DR&R Charges	29-ABJ-W: Horst Testimony	\$24	\$96	\$113	\$127	\$120	\$121	\$116
4	TSM Revenue Requirement, Less DR&R	Lns. 2 - 3	\$836	\$2,384	\$2,541	\$2,954	\$3,234	\$3,427	\$3,408
5	Regulatorily Enforced Return Deficiency	Ln. 4 - Ln. 1	(\$1,519)	(\$476)	(\$177)	\$107	\$258	\$743	\$1,084
6	Weighted Rate of Return plus Unity	1 + (Schedule 3 Ln. 1)	1.1174	1.1219	1.1263	1.1367	1.1515	1.1498	1.1331
7	Present Value (1997) Factor	Product(Line 6, this year to 1996)	11.2640	10.0806	8.9853	7.9777	7.0183	6.0949	5.3008
8	Regulatory Deficiency (Present Value 1997\$)	Ln. 5 * Ln. 7	(\$17,105)	(\$4,803)	(\$1,587)	\$852	\$1,812	\$4,530	\$5,746
9	Cumulative Sum, Return Deficiency	Sum(Ln. 5, 1977 to this year)	(\$1,519)	(\$1,995)	(\$2,172)	(\$2,065)	(\$1,807)	(\$1,063)	\$21
10	Cumulative Sum, Return Deficiency, 1997 \$	Sum(Ln. 8, 1977 to this year)	(\$17,105)	(\$21,908)	(\$23,495)	(\$22,643)	(\$20,830)	(\$16,300)	(\$10,554)

Illustrative Annual and Cumulative Regulatorily Enforced Return Deficiency (millions \$)

Appropriate ROR and Capital Structure, Carriers' Inputs Otherwise

								Camers	nputs Otner
Line No.	Description	Source	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990
1	Straight-Line DOC Revenue Requirement	Schedule 2, Ln. 6	\$2,287	\$2,124	\$1,918	\$1,700	\$1,599	\$1,675	\$1,769
2	TSM RR	31-BWF-E; 30-BWF-E: Line 125 ¹	\$3,388	\$3,245	\$3,101	\$2,739	\$2,413	\$2,312	\$2,354
3	TSM DR&R Charges	29-ABJ-W: Horst Testimony	\$110	\$104	\$98	\$95	\$85	\$73	\$61
4	TSM Revenue Requirement, Less DR&R	Lns. 2 - 3	\$3,277	\$3,141	\$3,003	\$2,644	\$2,328	\$2,239	\$2,293
5	Regulatorily Enforced Return Deficiency	Ln. 4 - Ln. 1	\$991	\$1,018	\$1,085	\$944	\$729	\$564	\$524
	Weighted Rate of Return plus Unity	1 + (Schedule 3 Ln. 1)	1.1394	1.1329	1.1264	1.1263	1.1284	1.1274	1.1288
7	Present Value (1997) Factor	Product(Line 6, this year to 1996)	4.6782	4.1058	3.6242	3.2175	2.8567	2.5316	2.2455
	Regulatory Deficiency (Present Value 1997\$)	Ln. 5 * Ln. 7	\$4,634	\$4,178	\$3,931	\$3,037	\$2,084	\$1,428	\$1,177
9	Cumulative Sum, Return Deficiency	Sum(Ln. 5, 1977 to this year)	\$1,011	\$2,029	\$3,113	\$4,057	\$4,787	\$5,351	\$5,875
10	Cumulative Sum, Return Deficiency, 1997 \$	Sum(Ln. 8, 1977 to this year)	(\$5,920)	(\$1,742)	\$2,189	\$5,225	\$7,309	\$8,737	\$9,915

Illustrative Annual and Cumulative Regulatorily Enforced Return Deficiency (millions \$)

Appropriate ROR and Capital Structure, Carriers' Inputs Otherwise

								Carriers
Line No.	Description	Source	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996
1	Straight-Line DOC Revenue Requirement	Schedule 2, Ln. 6	\$1,694	\$1,728	\$1,692	\$1,808	\$1,556	\$1,439
2	TSM RR	31-BWF-E; 30-BWF-E: Line 125 ¹	\$2,220	\$2,151	\$2,046	\$2,057	\$1,722	\$1,514
3	TSM DR&R Charges	29-ABJ-W: Horst Testimony	\$50	\$40	\$31	\$24	\$19	\$14
4	TSM Revenue Requirement, Less DR&R	Lns. 2 - 3	\$2,169	\$2,111	\$2,015	\$2,033	\$1,703	\$1,501
5	Regulatorily Enforced Return Deficiency	Ln. 4 - Ln. 1	\$475	\$383	\$323	\$225	\$147	\$61
6	Weighted Rate of Return plus Unity	1 + (Schedule 3 Ln. 1)	1.1275	1.1252	1.1168	1.1207	1.1208	1.1178
7	Present Value (1997) Factor	Product(Line 6, this year to 1996)	1.9893	1.7644	1.5680	1.4040	1.2528	1.1178
8	Regulatory Deficiency (Present Value 1997\$)	Ln. 5 * Ln. 7	\$945	\$676	\$506	\$316	\$184	\$69
9	Cumulative Sum, Return Deficiency	Sum(Ln. 5, 1977 to this year)	\$6,350	\$6,733	\$7,056	\$7,281	\$7,427	\$7,489
	Cumulative Sum, Return Deficiency, 1997 \$	Sum(Ln. 8, 1977 to this year)	\$10,859	\$11,535	\$12,041	\$12,357	\$12,541	\$12,609

SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-21-2606 PUC DOCKET NO. 52195 CEP 17-13, Attachment 1 Page 264 of 486

Illustrative Annual Cost of Service, 1977-1996

(millions \$)

Line	Description	Source	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984
No.										
1	Operating Expenses Excluding Depreciation and DR&R	Schedule 14, Ln. 12	\$242	\$450	\$396	\$456	\$466	\$468	\$456	\$441
2	Depreciation Expense	Schedule 5 Ln. 18	\$134	\$324	\$327	\$331	\$333	\$238	\$242	\$243
3	Amortization of AFUDC	Schedule 7, Lns. 14	\$33	\$78	\$78	\$78	\$79	\$56	\$57	\$57
4	Return on Rate Base	Schedule 3 Ln 3	\$1,126	\$1,129	\$1,104	\$1,126	\$1,171	\$1,083	\$900	\$879
5	Income Tax Allowance	Schedule 4 Ln. 16	\$820	\$878	\$814	\$856	\$927	\$839	\$669	\$667
6	Total Cost of Service	Sum Lines 1 to 5	\$2,355	\$2,860	\$2,718	\$2,847	\$2,976	\$2,683	\$2,324	\$2,287

SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-21-2606 PUC DOCKET NO. 52195 CEP 17-13, Attachment 1 Page 265 of 486

Illustrative Annual Cost of Service, 1977-1996

(millions \$)

Line No.	Description	Source	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992
INO.										
1	Operating Expenses Excluding Depreciation and DR&R	Schedule 14, Ln. 12	\$462	\$438	\$411	\$449	\$582	\$710	\$658	\$717
2	Depreciation Expense	Schedule 5 Ln. 18	\$245	\$238	\$241	\$238	\$245	\$249	\$261	\$273
3	Amortization of AFUDC	Schedule 7, Lns. 14	\$58	\$56	\$56	\$56	\$57	\$57	\$58	\$60
4	Return on Rate Base	Schedule 3 Ln 3	\$778	\$684	\$633	\$600	\$555	\$528	\$505	\$479
5	Income Tax Allowance	Schedule 4 Ln. 16	\$582	\$503	\$359	\$256	\$236	\$224	\$212	\$199
6	Total Cost of Service	Sum Lines 1 to 5	\$2,124	\$1,918	\$1,700	\$1,599	\$1,675	\$1,769	\$1,694	\$1,728

SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-21-2606 PUC DOCKET NO. 52195 CEP 17-13, Attachment 1 Page 266 of 486

Appropriate RR and Capital Structure, Carriers' Inputs Otherwise

Illustrative Annual Cost of Service, 1977-1996 (millions \$)

	Description	Source	1993	1994	1995	1996
No.						
1	Operating Expenses Excluding Depreciation and DR&R	Schedule 14, Ln. 12	\$753	\$889	\$668	\$601
2	Depreciation Expense	Schedule 5 Ln. 18	\$278	\$273	\$274	\$267
3	Amortization of AFUDC	Schedule 7, Lns. 14	\$61	\$59	\$59	\$57
4	Return on Rate Base	Schedule 3 Ln 3	\$421	\$410	\$387	\$359
5	Income Tax Allowance	Schedule 4 Ln. 16	\$179	\$177	\$168	\$155
6	Total Cost of Service	Sum Lines 1 to 5	\$1,692	\$1,808	\$1,556	\$1,439

SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-21-2606 PUC DOCKET NO. 52195 CEP 17-13, Attachment 1 Page 267 of 486

Illustrative Return on Rate Base, 1977-1996 (millions)

Line	Description	Source	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988
No.														
1	Weighted Rate of Return	Exhibit 2	11.74%	12.19%	12.63%	13.67%	15.15%	14.98%	13.31%	13.94%	13.29%	12.64%	12.63%	12.84%
2	Average Rate Base	Sch. 8 Ln. 19	\$9,590	\$9,261	\$8,740	\$8,236	\$7,732	\$7,228	\$6,762	\$6,306	\$5,852	\$5,410	\$5,015	\$4,669
3	Total Return on Rate Base	Ln.1 * Ln.2	\$1,126	\$1,129	\$1,104	\$1,126	\$1,171	\$1,083	\$900	\$879	\$778	\$684	\$633	\$600
4	Debt Capital Structure	Exhibit 11, col e	49.50%	49.50%	49.50%	49.50%	49.50%	49.50%	49.50%	49.50%	49.50%	49.50%	49.50%	49.50%
5	Cost of Debt	Exhibit 15, Sch. 3, col I	8.86%	8.88%	8.90%	8.98%	9.01%	9.03%	9.08%	9.13%	9.16%	9.17%	9.19%	9.20%
6	Debt Portion of Weighted Rate of Return	Ln.4 * Ln.5	4.39%	4.40%	4.41%	4.45%	4.46%	4.47%	4.49%	4.52%	4.53%	4.54%	4.55%	4.55%
7	Interest Expense	Ln.2 * Ln.6	\$421	\$407	\$385	\$366	\$345	\$323	\$304	\$285	\$265	\$246	\$228	\$213
8	Equity Portion of Weighted Rate of Return	Ln.1 - Ln.6	7.35%	7.79%	8.22%	9.22%	10.69%	10.51%	8.82%	9.42%	8.76%	8.10%	8.08%	8.29%
9	Return on Equity	Ln.2 * Ln.8	\$705	\$722	\$719	\$760	\$827	\$760	\$596	\$594	\$512	\$438	\$405	\$387

SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-21-2606 PUC DOCKET NO. 52195 CEP 17-13, Attachment 1 Page 268 of 486

> Appropriate ROR and Capital Structure, Carrier Inputs Otherwise

Illustrative Return on Rate Base, 1977-1996 (millions)

Line No.	Description	Source	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996
1	Weighted Rate of Return	Exhibit 2	12.74%	12.88%	12.75%	12.52%	11.68%	12.07%	12.08%	11.78%
2	Average Rate Base	Sch. 8 Ln. 19	\$4,357	\$4,101	\$3,958	\$3,823	\$3,606	\$3,396	\$3,206	\$3,047
3	Total Return on Rate Base	Ln.1 * Ln.2	\$555	\$528	\$505	\$479	\$421	\$410	\$387	\$359
4	Debt Capital Structure	Exhibit 11, col e	49.50%	49.50%	49.50%	49.50%	49.50%	49.50%	49.50%	49.50%
5	Cost of Debt	Exhibit 15, Sch. 3, col l	9.23%	9.35%	9.37%	9.37%	9.30%	9.41%	9.38%	9.24%
6	Debt Portion of Weighted Rate of Return	Ln.4 * Ln.5	4.57%	4.63%	4.64%	4.64%	4.60%	4.66%	4.64%	4.57%
7	Interest Expense	Ln.2 * Ln.6	\$199	\$190	\$184	\$177	\$166	\$158	\$149	\$139
8	Equity Portion of Weighted Rate of Return	Ln.1 - Ln.6	8.17%	8.25%	8.11%	7.88%	7.08%	7.41%	7.44%	7.21%
9	Return on Equity	Ln.2 * Ln.8	\$356	\$338	\$321	\$301	\$255	\$252	\$238	\$220

Illustrative Income Tax Allowance, 1977-1996 (millions \$)

Line	Description	Source	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983
No.									
1	Total Return on Rate Base	Schedule 3, Ln. 3	\$1,126	\$1,129	\$1,104	\$1,126	\$1,171	\$1,083	\$900
2	Interest Expense	Schedule 3, Ln. 7	\$421	\$407	\$385	\$366	\$345	\$323	\$304
3	Equity Portion of Return on Rate Base	Ln. 1 - Ln. 2	\$705	\$722	\$719	\$760	\$827	\$760	\$596
	Permanent Differences - Federal Income Tax:								
4	Amortization of Equity AFUDC	Schedule 7, Ln. 4	\$25	\$61	\$60	\$61	\$61	\$44	\$44
5	Amortization of TEFRA Adjustment	Schedule 12, Ln. 6	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
6	Amortization of Deferred Tax Adjustments	Schedule 11 Ln. 18	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
7	Subtotal for Federal Income Tax Allowance	Lns (3 + 4 + 5 - 6)	\$731	\$782	\$779	\$820	\$888	\$803	\$641
8	Federal Income Tax Rate	RGV-143-C	48.00%	48.00%	46.00%	46.00%	46.00%	46.00%	46.00%
9	Net-to-Tax Multiplier - Federal Income Tax	IRC	92.31%	92.31%	85.19%	85.19%	85.19%	85.19%	85.19%
10	Federal Income Tax Allowance	Ln. 7 * Ln. 9 - Ln. 6	\$674	\$722	\$664	\$699	\$756	\$684	\$546
	Permanent Differences - State Income Tax:								
11		Schedule 7, Ln. 4	\$25	\$61	\$60	\$61	\$61	\$44	\$44
	Amortization of Equity AFUDC	12.0							
	Subtotal for State Income Tax Allowance	Lns (3 + 10 + 11)	\$1,405	\$1,505		\$1,519	\$1,644		
	Federal Income Tax Rate	RGV-143-C	9.40%	9.40%		9.40%	9.40%	9.40%	
	Net-to-Tax Multiplier - State Income Tax	AK Stat.	10.38%	10.38%		10.38%	10.38%	10.38%	10.38%
15	State Income Tax Allowance	Ln. 12 * Ln. 14	\$146	\$156	\$150	\$158	\$171	\$154	\$123
16	Total Income Tax Allowance	Ln. 10 + Ln. 15	\$820	\$878	\$814	\$856	\$927	\$839	\$669

Illustrative Income Tax Allowance, 1977-1996 (millions \$)

Line	Description	Source	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990
No.									
1	Total Return on Rate Base	Schedule 3, Ln. 3	\$879	\$778	\$684	\$633	\$600	\$555	\$528
2	Interest Expense	Schedule 3, Ln. 7	\$285	\$265	\$246	\$228	\$213	\$199	\$190
3	Equity Portion of Return on Rate Base	Ln. 1 - Ln. 2	\$594	\$512	\$438	\$405	\$387	\$356	\$338
	Permanent Differences - Federal Income Tax:								
4	Amortization of Equity AFUDC	Schedule 7, Ln. 4	\$44	\$44	\$43	\$43	\$43	\$44	\$44
5	Amortization of TEFRA Adjustment	Schedule 12, Ln. 6	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
6	Amortization of Deferred Tax Adjustments	Schedule 11 Ln. 18	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$10	\$20	\$20	\$20
7	Subtotal for Federal Income Tax Allowance	Lns (3 + 4 + 5 - 6)	\$639	\$557	\$482	\$439	\$410	\$380	\$363
8	Federal Income Tax Rate	RGV-143-C	46.00%	46.00%	46.00%	39.95%	34.00%	34.00%	34.00%
9	Net-to-Tax Multiplier - Federal Income Tax	IRC	85.19%	85.19%	85.19%	66.53%	51.52%	51.52%	51.52%
10	Federal Income Tax Allowance	Ln. 7 * Ln. 9 - Ln. 6	\$544	\$475	\$410	\$283	\$192	\$176	\$167
	Permanent Differences - State Income Tax:								
11	Amortization of Equity AFUDC	Schedule 7, Ln. 4	\$44		\$43	\$43	\$43	\$44	\$44
12	Subtotal for State Income Tax Allowance	Lns (3 + 10 + 11)	\$1,182	\$1,031	\$891	\$731	\$622	\$576	\$549
13	Federal Income Tax Rate	RGV-143-C	9.40%	9.40%	9.40%	9.40%	9.40%	9.40%	9.40%
14	Net-to-Tax Multiplier - State Income Tax	AK Stat.	10.38%	10.38%	10.38%	10.38%	10.38%	10.38%	10.38%
15	State Income Tax Allowance	Ln. 12 * Ln. 14	\$123	\$107	\$92	\$76	\$64	\$60	\$57
16	Total Income Tax Allowance	Ln. 10 + Ln. 15	\$667	\$582	\$503	\$359	\$256	\$236	\$224

Illustrative Income Tax Allowance, 1977-1996 (millions \$)

Line	Description	Source	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996
No.								
1	Total Return on Rate Base	Schedule 3, Ln. 3	\$505	\$479	\$421	\$410	\$387	\$359
2	Interest Expense	Schedule 3, Ln. 7	\$184	\$177	\$166	\$158	\$149	\$139
3	Equity Portion of Return on Rate Base	Ln. 1 - Ln. 2	\$321	\$301	\$255	\$252	\$238	\$220
	Permanent Differences - Federal Income Tax:							
4	Amortization of Equity AFUDC	Schedule 7, Ln. 4	\$44	\$46	\$47	\$46	\$46	\$44
5	Amortization of TEFRA Adjustment	Schedule 12, Ln. 6	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
6	Amortization of Deferred Tax Adjustments	Schedule 11 Ln. 18	\$20	\$21	\$19	\$18	\$18	\$17
7	Subtotal for Federal Income Tax Allowance	Lns (3 + 4 + 5 - 6)	\$346	\$327	\$284	\$280	\$266	\$246
8	Federal Income Tax Rate	RGV-143-C	34.00%	34.00%	35.00%	35.00%	35.00%	35.00%
9	Net-to-Tax Multiplier - Federal Income Tax	IRC	51.52%	51.52%	53.85%	53.85%	53.85%	53.85%
10	Federal Income Tax Allowance	Ln. 7 * Ln. 9 - Ln. 6	\$158	\$148	\$134	\$132	\$125	\$115
	Permanent Differences - State Income Tax:							
	Amortization of Equity AFUDC	Schedule 7, Ln. 4	\$44	\$46	\$47	\$46	\$46	\$44
1	Subtotal for State Income Tax Allowance	Lns (3 + 10 + 11)	\$524	\$495	\$436		\$409	\$379
	Federal Income Tax Rate	RGV-143-C	9.40%	9.40%	9.40%			9.40%
	Net-to-Tax Multiplier - State Income Tax	AK Stat.	10.38%	10.38%	10.38%		10.38%	10.38%
	State Income Tax Allowance	Ln. 12 * Ln. 14	\$54	\$51	\$45	\$45	\$42	\$39
		The second secon						
16	Total Income Tax Allowance	Ln. 10 + Ln. 15	\$212	\$199	\$179	\$177	\$168	\$155

SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-21-2606 PUC DOCKET NO. 52195 CEP 17-13, Attachment 1 Page 272 of 486

Illustrative Annual and Accumulated Depreciation, 1977-1996

(millions \$)

Line No	Description	Source	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985
1	Depreciation, Net Capitalized Interest	RGV-143-C, TAPS- RGV WP3, Sch. 2, Ln. 17	\$134	\$324	\$327	\$331	\$333	\$238	\$242	\$243	\$245
	Retirements of Accumulated Depreciation	FERC Form 6, from 143-RGV-C, TAPS- RGV WP3	\$0	(\$5)	(\$5)	(\$17)	(\$2)	(\$14)	(\$11)	(\$5)	(\$13)
	Adjustments to Accumulated Depreciation	FERC Form 6, from 143-RGV-C, TAPS- RGV WP3	\$0	\$0	\$1	\$0	\$1	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
4	Accumulated Depreciation	Lns(1+2+3+Prior 4)	\$134	\$453	\$775	\$1,089	\$1,421	\$1,645	\$1,876	\$2,114	\$2,345

SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-21-2606 PUC DOCKET NO. 52195 CEP 17-13, Attachment 1 Page 273 of 486

Illustrative Annual and Accumulated Depreciation, 1977-1996 (millions \$)

Line No	Description	Source	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994
1	Depreciation, Net Capitalized Interest	RGV-143-C, TAPS- RGV WP3, Sch. 2, Ln. 17	\$238	\$241	\$238	\$245	\$249	\$261	\$273	\$278	\$273
	Retirements of Accumulated Depreciation	FERC Form 6, from 143-RGV-C, TAPS- RGV WP3	(\$3)	(\$17)	(\$13)	(\$4)	(\$3)	(\$57)	(\$14)	(\$9)	(\$8)
	Adjustments to Accumulated Depreciation	FERC Form 6, from 143-RGV-C, TAPS- RGV WP3	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$0)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
4	Accumulated Depreciation	Lns(1+2+3+Prior 4)	\$2,580	\$2,804	\$3,029	\$3,270	\$3,516	\$3,720	\$3,979	\$4,248	\$4,513

SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-21-2606 PUC DOCKET NO. 52195 CEP 17-13, Attachment 1 Page 274 of 486

Appropriate ROR and Capital Structure, Carriers' Inputs Otherwise

Illustrative Annual and Accumulated Depreciation, 1977-1996 (millions \$)

Line No	Description	Source	1995	1996
1	Depreciation, Net Capitalized Interest	RGV-143-C, TAPS- RGV WP3, Sch. 2, Ln. 17	\$274	\$267
2	Retirements of Accumulated Depreciation	FERC Form 6, from 143-RGV-C, TAPS- RGV WP3	(\$3)	(\$89)
3	Adjustments to Accumulated Depreciation	FERC Form 6, from 143-RGV-C, TAPS- RGV WP3	(\$0)	\$19
4	Accumulated Depreciation	Lns(1+2+3+Prior 4)	\$4,784	\$4,982

	Date	Construc	ction Work in	Progress	In-service Ratio	Compounding Additions	Equity	Equity Additions	Equity Transfers	Equity Base	ROE	Incremental Equity	Transfers Equity	Equity AFUDC	Compounding Additions	Debt %	Debt Additions	Debt Transfers	Debt Base	COD	Incremental Debt	Transfers Debt	Debt AFUDC
Line	09/10/00/00	Property	Transfers	Property	Kauo	Equity	%	Additions	Transfers	Dase	KOE	AFUDC	AFUDC	Balance	Debt	Deut 76	Additions	Transiers	Dase	COD	AFUDC	AFUDC	Balance
No.		Additions	to Property	Balance		AFUDC						,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			AFUDC								
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
1	Sep-68	\$1	\$0	\$1	0.0%	\$0	100.0%	\$1	\$0	\$0	12.28%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$0	\$0	\$0
2	Oct-68	\$0	\$0	\$1	0.0%	\$0	100.0%	\$0	\$0	\$1	12.28%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$0	\$0	\$0
3	Nov-68	\$0	\$0	\$1	0.0%	\$0	100.0%	\$0	\$0	\$1	12.28%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$0	\$0	\$0
4 5	Dec-68 Jan-69	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$1 \$1	0.0% 0.0%	\$0 \$0	100.0% 100.0%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$1 \$1	12.28% 13.14%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	0.0%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	0.00%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
6	Feb-69	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$1	0.0%	\$0	100.0%	\$0	\$0	\$1	13.14%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$0	\$0	\$0
7	Mar-69	\$0	\$0	\$1	0.0%	\$0	100.0%	\$0	\$0	\$1	13.14%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$0	\$0	\$0
8	Apr-69	\$0	\$0	\$1	0.0%	\$0	100.0%	\$0	\$0	\$1	13.14%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$0	\$0	\$0
9	May-69	\$1	\$0	\$2	0.0%	\$0	100.0%	\$1	\$0	\$1	13.14%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$0	\$0	\$0
10	Jun-69	\$1	\$0	\$4	0.0%	\$0	100.0%	\$1	\$0	\$2	13.14%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$0	\$0	\$0
11 12	Jul-69	\$1 \$1	\$0 \$0	\$4 \$5	0.0% 0.0%	\$0 \$0	100.0% 100.0%	\$1 \$1	\$0 \$0	\$4 \$4	13.14% 13.14%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	0.0%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	0.00%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
13	Aug-69 Sep-69	\$2	\$0 \$0	\$8	0.0%	\$0	100.0%	\$2	\$0 \$0	\$6	13.14%	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
14	Oct-69	\$5	\$0	\$13	0.0%	\$0	100.0%	\$5	\$0	\$8	13.14%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$0	\$0	\$0
15	Nov-69	\$7	\$0	\$19	0.0%	\$0	100.0%	\$7	\$0	\$13	13.14%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$0	\$0	\$0
16	Dec-69	\$17	\$0	\$36	0.0%	\$0	100.0%	\$17	\$0	\$19	13.14%	\$0	\$0	\$1	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$0	\$0	\$0
17	Jan-70	(\$1)	\$0	\$36	0.0%	\$1	100.0%	(\$1)	\$0	\$37	13.60%	\$0	\$0	\$1	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$0	\$0	\$0
18	Feb-70	\$9	\$0	\$45	0.0%	\$0	100.0%	\$9	\$0	\$36	13.60%	\$0	\$0	\$2	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0
19 20	Mar-70 Apr-70	\$12 \$18	\$0 \$0	\$56 \$75	0.0% 0.0%	\$0 \$0	100.0% 100.0%	\$12 \$18	\$0 \$0	\$45 \$57	13.60% 13.60%	\$1 \$1	\$0 \$0	\$2 \$3	\$0 \$0	0.0%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	0.00%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
21	May-70	\$17	\$0	\$91	0.0%	\$0	100.0%	\$17	\$0	\$75	13.60%	\$1	\$0	\$4	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$0	\$0	\$0
22	Jun-70	\$20	\$0	\$111	0.0%	\$0	100.0%	\$20	\$0	\$92	13.60%	\$1	\$0	\$5	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$0	\$0	\$0
23	Jul-70	\$28	\$0	\$139	0.0%	\$4	100.0%	\$28	\$0	\$115	13.60%	\$1	\$0	\$6	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$0	\$0	\$0
24	Aug-70	\$17	\$0	\$156	0.0%	\$0	100.0%	\$17	\$0	\$144	13.60%	\$2	\$0	\$8	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$0	\$0	\$0
25	Sep-70	\$24	\$0	\$181	0.0%	\$0	100.0%	\$24	\$0	\$161	13.60%	\$2	\$0	\$9	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$0	\$0	\$0
26 27	Oct-70 Nov-70	\$16 \$8	\$0 \$0	\$196 \$205	0.0% 0.0%	\$0 \$0	100.0% 100.0%	\$16 \$8	\$0 \$0	\$185 \$201	13.60% 13.60%	\$2 \$2	\$0 \$0	\$11 \$14	\$0 \$0	0.0%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	0.00%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
28	Dec-70	\$13	\$0 \$0	\$203	0.0%	\$0	100.0%	\$13	\$0	\$201	13.60%	\$2	\$0	\$14	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$0	\$0	\$0
29	Jan-71	\$1	\$0	\$219	0.0%	\$12	100.0%	\$1	\$0	\$234	12.72%	\$2	\$0	\$19	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$0	\$0	\$0
30	Feb-71	\$4	\$0	\$222	0.0%	\$0	100.0%	\$4	\$0	\$235	12.72%	\$2	\$0	\$21	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$0	\$0	\$0
31	Mar-71	\$5	\$0	\$227	0.0%	\$0	100.0%	\$5	\$0	\$238	12.72%	\$3	\$0	\$24	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$0	\$0	\$0
32	Apr-71	\$5	\$0	\$232	0.0%	\$0	100.0%	\$5	\$0	\$243	12.72%	\$3	\$0	\$26	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$0	\$0	\$0
33	May-71	\$6	\$0	\$238	0.0%	\$0	100.0%	\$6	\$0	\$248	12.72%	\$3	\$0	\$29	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0
34 35	Jun-71 Jul-71	\$6 \$9	\$0 \$0	\$244 \$254	0.0% 0.0%	\$0 \$15	100.0% 100.0%	\$6 \$9	\$0 \$0	\$254 \$276	12.72% 12.72%	\$3 \$3	\$0 \$0	\$32 \$34	\$0 \$0	0.0%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	0.00%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
36	Aug-71	\$15	\$0 \$0	\$268	0.0%	\$19	100.0%	\$15	\$0	\$270	12.72%	\$3	\$0	\$37	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$0	\$0	\$0
37	Sep-71	\$10	\$0	\$279	0.0%	\$0	100.0%	\$10	\$0	\$300	12.72%	\$3	\$0	\$41	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$0	\$0	\$0
38	Oct-71	\$12	\$0	\$291	0.0%	\$0	100.0%	\$12	\$0	\$310	12.72%	\$3	\$0	\$44	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$0	\$0	\$0
39	Nov-71	\$16	\$0	\$307	0.0%	\$0	100.0%	\$16	\$0	\$322	12.72%	\$3	\$0	\$47	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$0	\$0	\$0
40	Dec-71	\$19	\$0	\$326	0.0%	\$0	100.0%	\$19	\$0	\$339	12.72%	\$4	\$0	\$51	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$0	\$0	\$0
41	Jan-72	(\$1) \$5	\$0 \$0	\$325 \$330	0.0% 0.0%	\$19 \$0	100.0% 100.0%	(\$1) \$5	\$0 \$0	\$377 \$376	12.56%	\$4 \$4	\$0 \$0	\$55 \$59	\$0 \$0	0.0%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	0.00% 0.00%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
42 43	Feb-72 Mar-72	\$5 \$4	\$0 \$0	\$335	0.0%	\$0 \$0	100.0%	\$3 \$4	\$0 \$0	\$376	12.56% 12.56%	\$4 \$4	\$0 \$0	\$59 \$63	\$0 \$0	0.0%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	0.00%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
43	Apr-72	\$4	\$0 \$0	\$339	0.0%	\$0 \$0	100.0%	\$4	\$0	\$386	12.56%	\$4	\$0	\$67	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$0	\$0	\$0
45	May-72	\$6	\$0	\$345	0.0%	\$0	100.0%	\$6	\$0	\$390	12.56%	\$4	\$0	\$71	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$0	\$0	\$0
46	Jun-72	\$7	\$0	\$352	0.0%	\$0	100.0%	\$7	\$0	\$396	12.56%	\$4	\$0	\$75	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$0	\$0	\$0
47	Jul-72	\$4	\$0	\$356	0.0%	\$24	100.0%	\$4	\$0	\$427	12.56%	\$4	\$0	\$80	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$0	\$0	\$0

	Date	Construc	ction Work in	Progress	In-service Ratio	Compounding Additions	Equity	Equity Additions	Equity Transfers	Equity Base	ROE	Incremental Equity	Transfers Equity	Equity AFUDC	Compounding Additions	Debt %	Debt Additions	Debt Transfers	Debt Base	COD	Incremental Debt	Transfers Debt	Debt AFUDC
Line	Date	Property	Transfers	Property	Nauo	Equity	24uity	Additions	Transfers	Dase	KOL	AFUDC	AFUDC	Balance	Debt	Deut 70	Additions	Transiers	Dase	COD	AFUDC	AFUDC	Balance
No.		Additions	to Property	Balance		AFUDC	00000								AFUDC								
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
48	Aug-72	\$4	\$0	\$360	0.0%	\$0	100.0%	\$4	\$0	\$431	12.56%	\$5	\$0	\$84	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$0	\$0	\$0
49	Sep-72	\$3	\$0	\$363	0.0%	\$0	100.0%	\$3	\$0	\$435	12.56%	\$5	\$0	\$89	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$0	\$0	\$0
50	Oct-72	\$4	\$0	\$367	0.0%	\$0	100.0%	\$4	\$0	\$438	12.56%	\$5	\$0	\$93	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$0	\$0	\$0
51	Nov-72	\$3	\$0	\$370	0.0%	\$0	100.0%	\$3	\$0	\$442	12.56%	\$5	\$0	\$98	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$0	\$0	\$0
52	Dec-72	\$7	\$0	\$376	0.0%	\$0	100.0%	\$7	\$0	\$445	12.56%	\$5 85	\$0	\$102	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$0	\$0	\$0
53 54	Jan-73 Feb-73	(\$1) \$2	\$0 \$0	\$375 \$378	0.0% 0.0%	\$27 \$0	100.0% 100.0%	(\$1) \$2	\$0 \$0	\$479 \$478	13.23% 13.23%	\$5 \$5	\$0 \$0	\$108 \$113	\$0 \$0	0.0%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	0.00%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
55	Mar-73	\$4	\$0	\$382	0.0%	\$0	100.0%	\$4	\$0	\$480	13.23%	\$5 \$5	\$0	\$118	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$0	\$0	\$0
56	Apr-73	\$3	\$0	\$385	0.0%	\$0	100.0%	\$3	\$0	\$484	13.23%	\$5	\$0	\$124	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$0	\$0	\$0
57	May-73	\$4	\$0	\$389	0.0%	\$0	100.0%	\$4	\$0	\$487	13.23%	\$5	\$0	\$129	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$0	\$0	\$0
58	Jun-73	\$4	\$0	\$393	0.0%	\$0	100.0%	\$4	\$0	\$491	13.23%	\$5	\$0	\$134	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$0	\$0	\$0
59	Jul-73	\$5	\$0	\$398	0.0%	\$32	100.0%	\$5	\$0	\$527	13.23%	\$6	\$0	\$140	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$0	\$0	\$0
60	Aug-73	\$5	\$0	\$402	0.0%	\$0	100.0%	\$5	\$0	\$532	13.23%	\$6	\$0	\$146	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$0	\$0	\$0
61	Sep-73	\$5	\$0	\$408	0.0%	\$0	100.0%	\$5	\$0	\$537	13.23%	\$6	\$0	\$152	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$0	\$0	\$0
62	Oct-73	\$8	\$0	\$416	0.0%	\$0	100.0%	\$8	\$0	\$542	13.23%	\$6	\$0	\$158	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$0	\$0	\$0
63	Nov-73	\$4	\$0	\$420 \$427	0.0%	\$0	100.0%	\$4	\$0	\$550	13.23%	\$6	\$0 \$0	\$164	\$0 \$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0 \$0
64 65	Dec-73 Jan-74	\$6 \$21	\$0 \$0	\$427 \$448	0.0% 0.0%	\$0 \$36	100.0% 100.0%	\$6 \$21	\$0 \$0	\$555 \$597	13.23% 13.90%	\$6 \$7	\$0 \$0	\$170 \$177	\$0 \$0	0.0%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	0.00%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
66	Feb-74	\$19	\$0 \$0	\$467	0.0%	\$0	100.0%	\$19	\$0	\$618	13.90%	\$7	\$0	\$177	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$0	\$0	\$0
67	Mar-74	\$22	\$0	\$489	0.0%	\$0	100.0%	\$22	\$0	\$638	13.90%	\$7	\$0	\$192	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$0	\$0	\$0
68	Apr-74	\$25	\$0	\$514	0.0%	\$0	100.0%	\$25	\$0	\$659	13.90%	\$8	\$0	\$199	\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$0	\$0	\$0
69	May-74	\$25	\$0	\$540	0.0%	\$0	67.0%	\$17	\$0	\$685	13.90%	\$8	\$0	\$207	\$0	33.0%	\$8	\$0	\$0	8.74%	\$0	\$0	\$0
70	Jun-74	\$33	\$0	\$573	0.0%	\$0	67.0%	\$22	\$0	\$702	13.90%	\$8	\$0	\$215	\$0	33.0%	\$11	\$0	\$8	8.74%	\$0	\$0	\$0
71	Jul-74	\$69	\$0	\$642	0.0%	\$45	67.0%	\$46	\$0	\$769	13.90%	\$9	\$0	\$224	\$0	33.0%	\$23	\$0	\$19	8.74%	\$0	\$0	\$0
72	Aug-74	\$96	\$0	\$738	0.0%	\$0	67.0%	\$64	\$0	\$815	13.90%	\$9	\$0	\$234	\$0	33.0%	\$32	\$0	\$42	8.74%	\$0	\$0	\$1
73	Sep-74	\$88	\$0	\$826	0.0%	\$0	67.0%	\$59	\$0	\$880	13.90%	\$10	\$0	\$244	\$0	33.0%	\$29	\$0	\$74	8.74%	\$1	\$0	\$1
74 75	Oct-74 Nov-74	\$161 \$107	\$0 \$0	\$987 \$1,094	0.0% 0.0%	\$0 \$0	67.0% 67.0%	\$108 \$71	\$0 \$0	\$939 \$1,047	13.90% 13.90%	\$11 \$12	\$0 \$0	\$255 \$267	\$0 \$0	33.0% 33.0%	\$53 \$35	\$0 \$0	\$103 \$156	8.74% 8.74%	\$1 \$1	\$0 \$0	\$2 \$3
76	Dec-74	\$266	\$0 \$0	\$1,094	0.0%	\$0	67.0%	\$178	\$0 \$0	\$1,047	13.90%	\$12	\$0	\$280	\$0	33.0%	\$88	\$0 \$0	\$191	8.74%	\$1 \$1	\$0	\$3 \$4
77	Jan-75	\$41	\$0	\$1,400	0.0%	\$64	53.4%	\$22	\$0	\$1,361	13.98%	\$16	\$0	\$296	\$4	46.6%	\$19	\$0	\$283	8.80%	\$2	\$0	\$6
78	Feb-75	\$132	\$0	\$1,531	0.0%	\$0	53.4%	\$70	\$0	\$1,382	13.98%	\$16	\$0	\$312	\$0	46.6%	\$61	\$0	\$302	8.80%	\$2	\$0	\$9
79	Mar-75	\$257	\$0	\$1,788	0.0%	\$0	53.4%	\$137	\$0	\$1,453	13.98%	\$17	\$0	\$329	\$0	46.6%	\$120	\$0	\$363	8.80%	\$3	\$0	\$11
80	Apr-75	\$107	\$0	\$1,896	0.0%	\$0	53.4%	\$57	\$0	\$1,590	13.98%	\$19	\$0	\$347	\$0	46.6%	\$50	\$0	\$483	8.80%	\$4	\$0	\$15
81	May-75	\$263	\$0	\$2,158	0.0%	\$0	53.4%	\$140	\$0	\$1,647	13.98%	\$19	\$0	\$366	\$0	46.6%	\$122	\$0	\$533	8.80%	\$4	\$0	\$19
82	Jun-75	\$582	\$0	\$2,740	0.0%	\$0	53.4%	\$310	\$0	\$1,787	13.98%	\$21	\$0	\$387	\$0	46.6%	\$271	\$0	\$655	8.80%	\$5	\$0	\$24
83	Jul-75	(\$58)	\$0	\$2,682	0.0%	\$107	53.4%	(\$31)	\$0	\$2,205	13.98%	\$26	\$0	\$413	\$19	46.6%	(\$27)	\$0	\$946	8.80%	\$7	\$0	\$30
84 85	Aug-75	\$282 \$648	\$0 \$0	\$2,963	0.0% 0.0%	\$0 \$0	53.4%	\$150 \$346	\$0 \$0	\$2,174 \$2,324	13.98% 13.98%	\$25 \$27	\$0 \$0	\$438 \$465	\$0 \$0	46.6% 46.6%	\$131 \$302	\$0 \$0	\$919 #####	8.80% 8.80%	\$7 \$8	\$0 \$0	\$37 \$45
86	Sep-75 Oct-75	\$204	\$0 \$0	\$3,612 \$3,816	0.0%	\$0	53.4% 53.4%	\$109	\$0 \$0	\$2,324	13.98%	\$27 \$31	\$0 \$0	\$465 \$496	\$0 \$0	46.6%	\$302 \$95	\$0 \$0	#####		\$8 \$10	\$0 \$0	\$45 \$55
87	Nov-75	\$204	\$0 \$0	\$4,087	0.0%	\$0	53.4%	\$109	\$0	\$2,070	13.98%	\$31	\$0	\$529	\$0	46.6%	\$127	\$0	#####	8.80%	\$10	\$0	\$65
88	Dec-75	\$180	\$0	\$4,268	0.0%	\$0	53.4%	\$96	\$0	\$2,779	13.98%	\$34	\$0	\$563	\$0	46.6%	\$84	\$0 \$0	#####	8.80%	\$12	\$0	\$77
89	Jan-76	\$230	\$0	\$4,497	0.0%	\$176	50.9%	\$117	\$0	\$3,196	13.81%	\$37	\$0	\$600	\$53	49.1%	\$113	\$0	#####		\$12	\$0	\$89
90	Feb-76	\$202	\$0	\$4,699	0.0%	\$0	50.9%	\$103	\$0	\$3,313	13.81%	\$38	\$0	\$638	\$0	49.1%	\$99	\$0	#####	207000 9000075	\$13	\$0	\$103
91	Mar-76	\$178	\$0	\$4,877	0.0%	\$0	50.9%	\$90	\$0	\$3,416	13.81%	\$39	\$0	\$677	\$0	49.1%	\$87	\$0	#####		\$14	\$0	\$117
92	Apr-76	\$262	\$0	\$5,139	0.0%	\$0	50.9%	\$133	\$0	\$3,506	13.81%	\$40	\$0	\$717	\$0	49.1%	\$128	\$0	#####		\$15	\$0	\$131
93	May-76	\$276	\$0	\$5,414	0.0%	\$0	50.9%	\$140	\$0	\$3,639	13.81%	\$42	\$0	\$759	\$0	49.1%	\$135	\$0	#####		\$16	\$0	\$147
94	Jun-76	\$220	\$0	\$5,634	0.0%	\$0	50.9%	\$112	\$0	\$3,780	13.81%	\$44	\$0	\$803	\$0	49.1%	\$108	\$0	#####	8.74%	\$17	\$0	\$164

	Date	Construc	ction Work in	Progress	In-service Ratio	Compounding Additions	Emits	Equity Additions	Equity Transfers	Equity Base	ROE	Incremental	Transfers	Equity AFUDC	Compounding	Dobt 9/	Debt	Debt	Debt Base	COD	Incremental	Transfers Debt	Debt AFUDC
Line	Date	Property	Transfers	Property	Kauo	Equity	Equity %	Additions	Transfers	Dase	KOE	Equity AFUDC	Equity AFUDC	Balance	Additions Debt	Debt %	Additions	Transfers	Dase	COD	Debt AFUDC	AFUDC	Balance
No.		Additions	to Property	Balance		AFUDC	10.00								AFUDC								
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
95	Jul-76	\$298	\$0	\$5,932	0.0%	\$240	50.9%	\$152	\$0	\$4,132	13.81%	\$48	\$0	\$850	\$87	49.1%	\$146	\$0	#####	8.74%	\$18	\$0	\$181
96	Aug-76	\$236	\$0	\$6,168	0.0%	\$0	50.9%	\$120	\$0	\$4,283	13.81%	\$49	\$0	\$900	\$0	49.1%	\$116	\$0	#####	8.74%	\$19	\$0	\$201
97	Sep-76	\$232	\$0	\$6,400	0.0%	\$0	50.9%	\$118	\$0	\$4,404	13.81%	\$51	\$0	\$950	\$0	49.1%	\$114	\$0	#####	8.74%	\$20	\$0	\$220
98 99	Oct-76	\$136	\$0	\$6,536	0.0%	\$0	50.9% 50.9%	\$69	\$0	\$4,522 \$4,591	13.81%	\$52	\$0	\$1,002	\$0	49.1%	\$67 \$78	\$0	#####	8.74% 8.74%	\$21 \$21	\$0	\$241
100	Nov-76 Dec-76	\$159 \$208	\$0 \$0	\$6,695 \$6,902	0.0% 0.0%	\$0 \$0	50.9%	\$81 \$106	\$0 \$0	\$4,591	13.81% 13.81%	\$53 \$54	\$0 \$0	\$1,055 \$1,109	\$0 \$0	49.1% 49.1%	\$102	\$0 \$0	#####	8.74%	\$21 \$22	\$0 \$0	\$262 \$284
101	Jan-77	\$50	\$0	\$6,952	0.0%	\$306	50.5%	\$25	\$0	\$5,084	14.55%	\$62	\$0	\$1,109	\$121	49.5%	\$25	\$0	#####	8.82%	\$24	\$0	\$308
102	Feb-77	\$108	\$0	\$7,060	0.0%	\$0	50.5%	\$55	\$0	\$5,109	14.55%	\$62	\$0	\$1,233	\$0	49.5%	\$53	\$0	#####	8.82%	\$24	\$0	\$332
103	Mar-77	\$356	\$0	\$7,416	0.0%	\$0	50.5%	\$180	\$0	\$5,164	14.55%	\$63	\$0	\$1,295	\$0	49.5%	\$176	\$0	#####	8.82%	\$24	\$0	\$356
104	Apr-77	\$46	\$0	\$7,461	0.0%	\$0	50.5%	\$23	\$0	\$5,343	14.55%	\$65	\$0	\$1,360	\$0	49.5%	\$23	\$0	#####	8.82%	\$25	\$0	\$381
105	May-77	\$131	\$0	\$7,592	0.0%	\$0	50.5%	\$66	\$0	\$5,366	14.55%	\$65	\$0	\$1,425	\$0	49.5%	\$65	\$0	#####	8.82%	\$26	\$0	\$407
106	Jun-77	\$149	\$7,741	\$0	100.0%	\$0	50.5%	\$75	\$5,507	\$5,432	14.55%	\$66	\$1,491	\$0	\$0	49.5%	\$74	\$3,627	#####	8.82%	\$26	\$433	\$0
107 108	Jul-77	\$521 \$0	\$0 \$334	\$521 \$187	0.0% 64.1%	\$0 \$0	50.5% 50.5%	\$263 \$0	\$0 \$169	\$0 \$263	14.55% 14.55%	\$0 \$3	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$3	\$0 \$0	49.5% 49.5%	\$258 \$0	\$0 \$165	\$0 \$258	8.86% 8.86%	\$0 \$2	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$2
108	Aug-77 Sep-77	\$0 \$0	\$334 \$55	\$132	29.5%	\$0	50.5%	\$0 \$0	\$28	\$203	14.55%	\$3 \$1	\$1	\$3 \$3	\$0 \$0	49.5%	\$0	\$103	\$93	8.86%	\$2 \$1	\$1	\$2 \$2
110	Oct-77	\$0	\$1	\$131	0.5%	\$0	50.5%	\$0	\$0	\$67	14.55%	\$1	\$0	\$4	\$0	49.5%	\$0	\$0	\$65	8.86%	\$0	\$0	\$2
111	Nov-77	\$0	\$1	\$130	0.5%	\$0	50.5%	\$0	\$0	\$66	14.55%	\$1	\$0	\$5	\$0	49.5%	\$0	\$0	\$65	8.86%	\$0	\$0	\$3
112	Dec-77	\$1	\$3	\$129	2.1%	\$0	50.5%	\$1	\$1	\$66	14.55%	\$1	\$0	\$6	\$0	49.5%	\$1	\$1	\$65	8.86%	\$0	\$0	\$3
113	Jan-78	\$0	\$2	\$127	1.3%	\$0	50.5%	\$0	\$1	\$65	15.42%	\$1	\$0	\$6	\$0	49.5%	\$0	\$1	\$64	8.88%	\$0	\$0	\$4
114	Feb-78	\$0	\$73	\$54	57.6%	\$0	50.5%	\$0	\$37	\$64	15.42%	\$1	\$4	\$4	\$0	49.5%	\$0	\$36	\$63	8.88%	\$0	\$2	\$2
115	Mar-78	\$0	\$39	\$15	72.7%	\$0	50.5%	\$0	\$20	\$27	15.42%	\$0	\$3	\$1	\$0	49.5%	\$0	\$19	\$27	8.88%	\$0	\$2	\$1
116 117	Apr-78	\$79	\$42 \$0	\$52	44.6% 0.5%	\$0 \$0	50.5% 50.5%	\$40	\$21 \$0	\$7	15.42% 15.42%	\$0 \$0	\$1 \$0	\$1 \$1	\$0 \$0	49.5% 49.5%	\$39	\$21	\$7 \$26	8.88% 8.88%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$1
117	May-78 Jun-78	(\$15) (\$11)	\$0 \$0	\$36 \$24	1.7%	\$0 \$0	50.5%	(\$8) (\$6)	\$0 \$0	\$26 \$18	15.42%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$1 \$1	\$0 \$0	49.5%	(\$8) (\$6)	\$0 \$0	\$18	8.88%	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$1
119	Jul-78	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$24	1.7%	\$0	50.5%	\$0	\$0	\$13	15.42%	\$0	\$0	\$2	\$0	49.5%	\$0	\$0	\$12	8.88%	\$0	\$0	\$1
120	Aug-78	\$15	\$1	\$38	2.6%	\$0	50.5%	\$8	\$1	\$12	15.42%	\$0	\$0	\$2	\$0	49.5%	\$7	\$0	\$12	8.88%	\$0	\$0	\$1
121	Sep-78	\$65	\$3	\$100	2.9%	\$0	50.5%	\$33	\$2	\$19	15.42%	\$0	\$0	\$2	\$0	49.5%	\$32	\$1	\$19	8.88%	\$0	\$0	\$1
122	Oct-78	(\$86)	\$1	\$13	5.4%	\$0	50.5%	(\$44)	\$0	\$51	15.42%	\$1	\$0	\$2	\$0	49.5%	(\$43)	\$0	\$50	8.88%	\$0	\$0	\$1
123	Nov-78	\$8	\$1	\$20	3.6%	\$0	50.5%	\$4	\$0	\$7	15.42%	\$0	\$0	\$2	\$0	49.5%	\$4	\$0	\$6	8.88%	\$0	\$0	\$1
124	Dec-78	\$73	\$10	\$84	10.1%	\$0	50.5%	\$37	\$5	\$10	15.42%	\$0	\$0	\$2	\$0	49.5%	\$36	\$5	\$10	8.88%	\$0	\$0	\$1
125 126	Jan-79 Feb-79	(\$7) \$2	\$1 \$0	\$77 \$78	0.7% 0.0%	\$0 \$0	50.5% 50.5%	(\$4) \$1	\$0 \$0	\$43 \$39	16.28% 16.28%	\$1 \$1	\$0 \$0	\$3 \$3	\$0 \$0	49.5% 49.5%	(\$4) \$1	\$0 \$0	\$42 \$38	8.90% 8.90%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$2 \$2
120	Mar-79	\$2 \$1	\$0 \$0	\$79	0.0%	\$0	50.5%	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$40	16.28%	\$1	\$0	\$3 \$4	\$0	49.5%	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$39	8.90%	\$0	\$0	\$2 \$2
128	Apr-79	\$4	\$0 \$0	\$82	0.3%	\$0	50.5%	\$2	\$0	\$40	16.28%	\$1	\$0	\$4	\$0	49.5%	\$2	\$0	\$39	8.90%	\$0	\$0	\$2
129	May-79	\$3	\$0	\$85	0.5%	\$0	50.5%	\$2	\$0	\$41	16.28%	\$1	\$0	\$5	\$0	49.5%	\$2	\$0	\$41	8.90%	\$0	\$0	\$3
130	Jun-79	\$9	\$0	\$94	0.1%	\$0	50.5%	\$5	\$0	\$43	16.28%	\$1	\$0	\$6	\$0	49.5%	\$5	\$0	\$42	8.90%	\$0	\$0	\$3
131	Jul-79	\$6	\$1	\$99	0.8%	\$0	50.5%	\$3	\$0	\$48	16.28%	\$1	\$0	\$6	\$0	49.5%	\$3	\$0	\$47	8.90%	\$0	\$0	\$3
132	Aug-79	\$9	\$0	\$108	0.1%	\$0	50.5%	\$4	\$0	\$50	16.28%	\$1	\$0	\$7	\$0	49.5%	\$4	\$0	\$49	8.90%	\$0	\$0	\$4
133	Sep-79	\$11	\$1	\$117	1.1%	\$0	50.5%	\$5	\$1	\$54	16.28%	\$1	\$0	\$7	\$0	49.5%	\$5	\$1	\$53	8.90%	\$0	\$0	\$4
134 135	Oct-79 Nov-79	\$25 \$8	\$54 \$0	\$89 \$96	37.9% 0.0%	\$0 \$0	50.5% 50.5%	\$13 \$4	\$27 \$0	\$59 \$45	16.28% 16.28%	\$1 \$1	\$3 \$0	\$5 \$6	\$0 \$0	49.5% 49.5%	\$13 \$4	\$27 \$0	\$58 \$44	8.90% 8.90%	\$0 \$0	\$2 \$0	\$3 \$3
136	Dec-79	\$12	\$0 \$17	\$96 \$91	16.0%	\$0 \$0	50.5%	\$4 \$6	\$0 \$9	\$45 \$49	16.28%	\$1 \$1	\$0 \$1	\$6 \$6	\$0 \$0	49.5%	\$4 \$6	\$9	\$44	8.90%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$1	\$3
137	Jan-80	\$25	\$2	\$113	2.1%	\$0	50.5%	\$13	\$1	\$46	18.25%	\$1	\$0	\$6	\$0	49.5%	\$12	\$1	\$45	8.98%	\$0	\$0	\$3
138	Feb-80	\$8	\$1	\$120	0.5%	\$0	50.5%	\$4	\$0	\$57	18.25%	\$1	\$0	\$7	\$0	49.5%	\$4	\$0	\$56	8.98%	\$0	\$0	\$4
139	Mar-80	\$8	\$0	\$128	0.2%	\$0	50.5%	\$4	\$0	\$61	18.25%	\$1	\$0	\$8	\$0	49.5%	\$4	\$0	\$60	8.98%	\$0	\$0	\$4
140	Apr-80	\$13	\$1	\$141	0.5%	\$0	50.5%	\$7	\$0	\$65	18.25%	\$1	\$0	\$9	\$0	49.5%	\$7	\$0	\$63	8.98%	\$0	\$0	\$5
141	May-80	\$9	\$0	\$150	0.3%	\$0	50.5%	\$5	\$0	\$71	18.25%	\$1	\$0	\$10	\$0	49.5%	\$5	\$0	\$70	8.98%	\$1	\$0	\$5

	Date	Construc	ction Work in	Progress	In-service Ratio	Compounding Additions	Equity	Equity	Equity Transfers	Equity Base	ROE	Incremental Equity	Transfers Equity	Equity AFUDC	Compounding Additions	Debt %	Debt Additions	Debt Transfers	Debt Base	COD	Incremental Debt	Transfers Debt	Debt AFUDC
Line	Date	Property	Transfers	Property	Kauo	Equity	24uity %	Additions	Transfers	Dase	KOL	AFUDC	AFUDC	Balance	Debt	Deut 70	Additions	Transiers	Dase	COD	AFUDC	AFUDC	Balance
No.		Additions	to Property	Balance		AFUDC									AFUDC								
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
142	Jun-80	\$11	\$1	\$160	0.4%	\$0	50.5%	\$6	\$0	\$75	18.25%	\$1	\$0	\$11	\$0	49.5%	\$6	\$0	\$74	8.98%	\$1	\$0	\$6
143	Jul-80	\$14	\$1	\$174	0.3%	\$0	50.5%	\$7	\$0	\$81	18.25%	\$1	\$0	\$12	\$0	49.5%	\$7	\$0	\$79	8.98%	\$1	\$0	\$6
144	Aug-80	\$13	\$1	\$185	0.7%	\$0	50.5%	\$6	\$1	\$88	18.25%	\$1	\$0	\$14	\$0	49.5%	\$6	\$1	\$86	8.98%	\$1	\$0	\$7
145 146	Sep-80 Oct-80	\$13 \$14	\$30 (\$0)	\$168 \$182	15.2% -0.1%	\$0 \$0	50.5% 50.5%	\$6 \$7	\$15 (\$0)	\$94 \$85	18.25% 18.25%	\$1 \$1	\$2 (\$0)	\$13 \$14	\$0 \$0	49.5% 49.5%	\$6 \$7	\$15 (\$0)	\$92 \$83	8.98% 8.98%	\$1 \$1	\$1 (\$0)	\$7 \$7
147	Nov-80	\$7	\$1	\$182	0.5%	\$0	50.5%	\$4	\$0	\$92	18.25%	\$1	\$0	\$14	\$0	49.5%	\$4	\$0 \$0	\$90	8.98%	\$1	\$0	\$8
148	Dec-80	(\$1)	\$71	\$117	37.9%	\$0	50.5%	(\$1)	\$36	\$95	18.25%	\$1	\$6	\$11	\$0	49.5%	(\$1)	\$35	\$93	8.98%	\$1	\$3	\$6
149	Jan-81	(\$8)	\$20	\$88	18.5%	\$0	50.5%	(\$4)	\$10	\$59	21.16%	\$1	\$2	\$10	\$0	49.5%	(\$4)	\$10	\$58	9.01%	\$0	\$1	\$5
150	Feb-81	\$2	\$1	\$89	1.0%	\$0	50.5%	\$1	\$0	\$45	21.16%	\$1	\$0	\$11	\$0	49.5%	\$1	\$0	\$44	9.01%	\$0	\$0	\$5
151	Mar-81	\$5	\$2	\$92	2.3%	\$0	50.5%	\$2	\$1	\$45	21.16%	\$1	\$0	\$11	\$0	49.5%	\$2	\$1	\$44	9.01%	\$0	\$0	\$5
152	Apr-81	(\$11)	\$0	\$81	0.2%	\$0	50.5%	(\$5)	\$0	\$46	21.16%	\$1	\$0	\$12	\$0	49.5%	(\$5)	\$0	\$45	9.01%	\$0	\$0	\$6
153 154	May-81 Jun-81	\$4 \$7	\$1 \$2	\$84 \$88	1.2% 2.7%	\$0 \$0	50.5% 50.5%	\$2 \$4	\$0 \$1	\$41 \$42	21.16% 21.16%	\$1 \$1	\$0 \$0	\$13 \$13	\$0 \$0	49.5% 49.5%	\$2 \$4	\$0 \$1	\$40 \$41	9.01% 9.01%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$6 \$6
155	Jul-81	\$22	\$2	\$108	1.9%	\$0	50.5%	\$11	\$1	\$45	21.16%	\$1	\$0	\$14	\$0	49.5%	\$11	\$1	\$44	9.01%	\$0	\$0	\$6
156	Aug-81	\$10	\$2	\$116	1.5%	\$0	50.5%	\$5	\$1	\$54	21.16%	\$1	\$0	\$14	\$0	49.5%	\$5	\$1	\$53	9.01%	\$0	\$0	\$7
157	Sep-81	\$14	\$1	\$128	1.1%	\$0	50.5%	\$7	\$1	\$59	21.16%	\$1	\$0	\$15	\$0	49.5%	\$7	\$1	\$57	9.01%	\$0	\$0	\$7
158	Oct-81	\$9	\$3	\$135	2.2%	\$0	50.5%	\$5	\$2	\$65	21.16%	\$1	\$0	\$16	\$0	49.5%	\$5	\$1	\$64	9.01%	\$0	\$0	\$7
159	Nov-81	\$2	\$0	\$136	0.3%	\$0	50.5%	\$1	\$0	\$68	21.16%	\$1	\$0	\$17	\$0	49.5%	\$1	\$0	\$67	9.01%	\$1	\$0	\$8
160	Dec-81	(\$19)	\$45	\$72	38.1%	\$0	50.5%	(\$10)	\$23	\$69	21.16%	\$1	\$7	\$12	\$0	49.5%	(\$9)	\$22	\$67	9.01%	\$1	\$3	\$5
161 162	Jan-82 Feb-82	(\$40) \$3	\$6 \$1	\$27 \$29	16.9% 3.6%	\$0 \$0	50.5% 50.5%	(\$20) \$2	\$3 \$1	\$37 \$14	20.81% 20.81%	\$1 \$0	\$2 \$0	\$11 \$10	\$0 \$0	49.5% 49.5%	(\$20) \$2	\$3 \$1	\$36 \$14	9.03% 9.03%	\$0 \$0	\$1 \$0	\$5 \$5
163	Mar-82	\$3 \$2	\$1	\$30	3.4%	\$0	50.5%	\$1	\$1 \$1	\$14	20.81%	\$0	\$0	\$10	\$0	49.5%	\$2 \$1	\$1 \$1	\$14	9.03%	\$0	\$0	\$5 \$5
164	Apr-82	\$4	\$2	\$31	6.8%	\$0	50.5%	\$2	\$1	\$15	20.81%	\$0	\$1	\$10	\$0	49.5%	\$2	\$1	\$15	9.03%	\$0	\$0	\$4
165	May-82	\$5	\$1	\$36	2.7%	\$0	50.5%	\$3	\$1	\$16	20.81%	\$0	\$0	\$10	\$0	49.5%	\$3	\$0	\$16	9.03%	\$0	\$0	\$4
166	Jun-82	\$10	\$1	\$45	1.8%	\$0	50.5%	\$5	\$0	\$18	20.81%	\$0	\$0	\$10	\$0	49.5%	\$5	\$0	\$18	9.03%	\$0	\$0	\$4
167	Jul-82	\$5	\$1	\$49	1.6%	\$0	50.5%	\$3	\$0	\$23	20.81%	\$0	\$0	\$10	\$0	49.5%	\$3	\$0	\$22	9.03%	\$0	\$0	\$5
168	Aug-82	\$12	\$18	\$44	28.9%	\$0	50.5%	\$6	\$9	\$25	20.81%	\$0	\$3	\$8	\$0	49.5%	\$6	\$9	\$24	9.03%	\$0	\$1	\$3
169 170	Sep-82 Oct-82	\$9 \$6	\$10 \$9	\$43 \$39	19.3% 19.4%	\$0 \$0	50.5% 50.5%	\$5 \$3	\$5 \$5	\$22 \$21	20.81% 20.81%	\$0 \$0	\$1 \$1	\$7 \$6	\$0 \$0	49.5% 49.5%	\$4 \$3	\$5 \$5	\$22 \$21	9.03% 9.03%	\$0 \$0	\$1 \$1	\$3 \$3
171	Nov-82	\$1	\$4	\$36	10.4%	\$0	50.5%	\$0	\$2	\$20	20.81%	\$0	\$1	\$5 \$5	\$0	49.5%	\$0	\$2	\$20	9.03%	\$0	\$0	\$2
172	Dec-82	\$0	\$3	\$33	7.9%	\$0	50.5%	\$0	\$1	\$18	20.81%	\$0	\$0	\$5	\$0	49.5%	\$0	\$1	\$18	9.03%	\$0	\$0	\$2
173	Jan-83	\$1	\$1	\$34	1.9%	\$0	50.5%	\$1	\$0	\$17	17.46%	\$0	\$0	\$5	\$0	49.5%	\$1	\$0	\$17	9.08%	\$0	\$0	\$2
174	Feb-83	\$3	\$0	\$37	0.6%	\$0	50.5%	\$1	\$0	\$17	17.46%	\$0	\$0	\$6	\$0	49.5%	\$1	\$0	\$17	9.08%	\$0	\$0	\$3
175	Mar-83	\$12	\$13	\$36	26.9%	\$0	50.5%	\$6	\$7	\$19	17.46%	\$0	\$2	\$4	\$0	49.5%	\$6	\$7	\$18	9.08%	\$0	\$1	\$2
176	Apr-83	\$12	\$9	\$39	18.5%	\$0	50.5%	\$6	\$4	\$18	17.46%	\$0	\$1	\$4	\$0	49.5%	\$6	\$4	\$18	9.08%	\$0	\$0	\$2
177 178	May-83 Jun-83	\$6 \$7	\$1 \$0	\$44 \$50	2.1% 0.9%	\$0 \$0	50.5% 50.5%	\$3 \$3	\$0 \$0	\$20 \$22	17.46% 17.46%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$4 \$4	\$0 \$0	49.5% 49.5%	\$3 \$3	\$0 \$0	\$19 \$22	9.08% 9.08%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$2 \$2
179	Jul-83	\$4	\$0	\$53	0.4%	\$0	50.5%	\$2	\$0	\$25	17.46%	\$0	\$0	\$5	\$0	49.5%	\$2	\$0	\$25	9.08%	\$0	\$0	\$2
180	Aug-83	(\$1)	\$1	\$51	1.7%	\$0	50.5%	(\$1)	\$0	\$27	17.46%	\$0	\$0	\$5	\$0	49.5%	(\$1)	\$0	\$26	9.08%	\$0	\$0	\$2
181	Sep-83	\$5	\$42	\$14	74.5%	\$0	50.5%	\$3	\$21	\$26	17.46%	\$0	\$4	\$2	\$0	49.5%	\$3	\$21	\$25	9.08%	\$0	\$2	\$1
182	Oct-83	\$4	\$2	\$16	11.4%	\$0	50.5%	\$2	\$1	\$7	17.46%	\$0	\$0	\$2	\$0	49.5%	\$2	\$1	\$7	9.08%	\$0	\$0	\$1
183	Nov-83	\$3	\$4	\$15	20.5%	\$0	50.5%	\$2	\$2	\$8	17.46%	\$0	\$0	\$1	\$0	49.5%	\$2	\$2	\$8	9.08%	\$0	\$0	\$1
184	Dec-83	\$6	\$3	\$18	14.7%	\$0	50.5%	\$3	\$2	\$8	17.46%	\$0	\$0	\$1	\$0	49.5%	\$3	\$2	\$8	9.08%	\$0	\$0	\$1
185 186	Jan-84 Feb-84	\$2 \$3	\$1 \$0	\$20 \$22	3.9% 2.1%	\$0 \$0	50.5% 50.5%	\$1 \$1	\$0 \$0	\$9 \$10	18.66% 18.66%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$1 \$1	\$0 \$0	49.5% 49.5%	\$1 \$1	\$0 \$0	\$9 \$10	9.13% 9.13%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$1 \$1
186	Mar-84	\$5 \$6	\$0 \$5	\$22 \$23	2.1% 19.6%	\$0 \$0	50.5%	\$3	\$0	\$10	18.66%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$1 \$1	\$0 \$0	49.5%	\$1	\$0 \$3	\$10	9.13%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$1
188	Apr-84	\$4	\$2	\$24	8.9%	\$0	50.5%	\$2	\$1	\$11	18.66%	\$0	\$0	\$1	\$0	49.5%	\$2	\$1	\$11	9.13%	\$0	\$0	\$1
1 100	1.12.01	, y-11			2.270	Ψ.	0 3.5 70	Ψ2	**	ΨΙΙ	10.0070	40	40	Ψ1	. **	1 .5.570	. **	Ψ1	4.11		Ψ° Ι	40	Ψ.

	Dut	Construc	etion Work in l	Progress	In-service	Compounding	The state of	Equity	Equity	Equity	DOE	Incremental	Transfers	Equity	Compounding	D 1 4 0 /	Debt	Debt	Debt	GOD	Incremental	Transfers	Debt
Line	Date	Property	Transfers	Property	Ratio	Additions Equity	Equity %	Additions	1 ransiers	Base	ROE	Equity AFUDC	Equity AFUDC	AFUDC Balance	Additions Debt	Debt %	Additions	Transfers	Base	COD	Debt AFUDC	Debt AFUDC	AFUDC Balance
No.		Additions	to Property	Balance		AFUDC	70					ATODC	Arobe	Datance	AFUDC						Arobe	Arobe	Darance
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
189	May-84	\$5	\$3	\$26	11.2%	\$0	50.5%	\$2	\$2	\$12	18.66%	\$0	\$0	\$1	\$0	49.5%	\$2	\$2	\$12	9.13%	\$0	\$0	\$1
190	Jun-84	\$2	\$1	\$27	4.0%	\$0	50.5%	\$1	\$1	\$13	18.66%	\$0	\$0	\$2	\$0	49.5%	\$1	\$1	\$13	9.13%	\$0	\$0	\$1
191	Jul-84	\$3	\$1	\$28	3.9%	\$0	50.5%	\$1	\$1	\$13	18.66%	\$0	\$0	\$2	\$0	49.5%	\$1	\$1	\$13	9.13%	\$0	\$0	\$1
192	Aug-84	\$1	\$0	\$29	0.9%	\$0	50.5%	\$1	\$0	\$14	18.66%	\$0	\$0	\$2	\$0	49.5%	\$1	\$0	\$14	9.13%	\$0	\$0	\$1
193	Sep-84	\$1	\$0	\$30	1.5%	\$0	50.5%	\$1	\$0	\$15	18.66%	\$0	\$0	\$2	\$0	49.5%	\$1	\$0	\$15	9.13%	\$0	\$0	\$1
194	Oct-84	\$2	\$6	\$26	17.8%	\$0	50.5%	\$1	\$3	\$15	18.66%	\$0	\$0	\$2	\$0	49.5%	\$1	\$3	\$15	9.13%	\$0	\$0	\$1
195	Nov-84	\$2	\$2	\$26	6.7%	\$0	50.5%	\$1	\$1	\$13	18.66%	\$0	\$0	\$2	\$0	49.5%	\$1	\$1	\$13	9.13%	\$0	\$0	\$1
196	Dec-84	\$16	\$42	\$0	100.0%	\$0	50.5% 50.5%	\$8	\$21 \$0	\$13	18.66%	\$0	\$2	\$0	\$0	49.5% 49.5%	\$8	\$21	\$13	9.13% 9.16%	\$0	\$1	\$0
197 198	Jan-85 Feb-85	\$8 \$5	\$0 \$0	\$8	1.7% 0.4%	\$0 \$0	50.5%	\$4 \$2	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$4	17.35% 17.35%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	49.5%	\$4 \$2	\$0 \$0	(\$0) \$4	9.16%	(\$0) \$0	\$0	(\$0) \$0
198	Mar-85	\$5 \$5	\$0 \$0	\$13 \$17	1.2%	\$0 \$0	50.5%	\$3	\$0 \$0	\$4 \$6	17.35%	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	49.5%	\$2 \$2	\$0 \$0	\$6	9.16%	\$0 \$0	(\$0) \$0	\$0 \$0
200	Apr-85	\$5 \$5	\$1	\$21	5.8%	\$0	50.5%	\$3	\$1	\$9	17.35%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	49.5%	\$3	\$1	\$9	9.16%	\$0	\$0	\$0
201	May-85	\$3	\$1	\$24	2.7%	\$0	50.5%	\$2	\$0	\$11	17.35%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	49.5%	\$2	\$0	\$10	9.16%	\$0	\$0	\$0
202	Jun-85	\$1	\$25	\$0	100.0%	\$0	50.5%	\$1	\$12	\$12	17.35%	\$0	\$1	\$0	\$0	49.5%	\$1	\$12	\$12	9.16%	\$0	\$0	\$0
203	Jul-85	\$3	\$3	\$0	87.7%	\$0	50.5%	\$2	\$1	\$0	17.35%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	49.5%	\$2	\$1	(\$0)	9.16%	(\$0)	\$0	(\$0)
204	Aug-85	\$1	\$1	\$1	43.8%	\$0	50.5%	\$1	\$0	\$0	17.35%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	49.5%	\$1	\$0	\$0	9.16%	\$0	(\$0)	\$0
205	Sep-85	\$1	\$0	\$2	12.6%	\$0	50.5%	\$1	\$0	\$0	17.35%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	49.5%	\$1	\$0	\$0	9.16%	\$0	\$0	\$0
206	Oct-85	\$1	\$1	\$2	37.5%	\$0	50.5%	\$1	\$1	\$1	17.35%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	49.5%	\$1	\$1	\$1	9.16%	\$0	\$0	\$0
207	Nov-85	\$0	\$0	\$2	17.2%	\$0	50.5%	\$0	\$0	\$1	17.35%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	49.5%	\$0	\$0	\$1	9.16%	\$0	\$0	\$0
208	Dec-85	(\$1)	\$0	\$1	0.0%	\$0	50.5%	(\$1)	\$0	\$1	17.35%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	49.5%	(\$1)	\$0	\$1	9.16%	\$0	\$0	\$0
209	Jan-86	\$1	\$1	\$2	24.9%	\$0	50.5%	\$1	\$0	\$0	16.04%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	49.5%	\$1	\$0	\$0	9.17%	\$0	\$0	\$0
210 211	Feb-86 Mar-86	\$1 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$2 \$2	16.9% 1.7%	\$0 \$0	50.5% 50.5%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$1 \$1	16.04% 16.04%	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	49.5% 49.5%	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$1 \$1	9.17% 9.17%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
211	Apr-86	\$0 \$1	\$0 \$0	\$2 \$3	5.4%	\$0 \$0	50.5%	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$1	16.04%	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	49.5%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$1	9.17%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0
213	May-86	\$2	\$2	\$3	36.4%	\$0	50.5%	\$1	\$1	\$2	16.04%	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	49.5%	\$1	\$1	\$2	9.17%	\$0	\$0	\$0
214	Jun-86	\$3	\$1	\$5	13.7%	\$0	50.5%	\$2	\$0	\$1	16.04%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	49.5%	\$1	\$0	\$1	9.17%	\$0	\$0	\$0
215	Jul-86	\$3	\$1	\$7	7.1%	\$0	50.5%	\$1	\$0	\$3	16.04%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	49.5%	\$1	\$0	\$3	9.17%	\$0	\$0	\$0
216	Aug-86	\$4	\$0	\$11	1.8%	\$0	50.5%	\$2	\$0	\$4	16.04%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	49.5%	\$2	\$0	\$4	9.17%	\$0	\$0	\$0
217	Sep-86	\$2	\$0	\$13	0.9%	\$0	50.5%	\$1	\$0	\$6	16.04%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	49.5%	\$1	\$0	\$6	9.17%	\$0	\$0	\$0
218	Oct-86	\$17	\$26	\$4	88.0%	\$0	50.5%	\$8	\$13	\$7	16.04%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	49.5%	\$8	\$13	\$7	9.17%	\$0	\$0	\$0
219	Nov-86	\$1	\$0	\$4	9.5%	\$0	50.5%	\$0	\$0	\$2	16.04%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	49.5%	\$0	\$0	\$2	9.17%	\$0	\$0	\$0
220	Dec-86	\$2	\$4	\$2	62.9%	\$0	50.5%	\$1	\$2	\$2	16.04%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	49.5%	\$1	\$2	\$2	9.17%	\$0	\$0	\$0
221	Jan-87	\$1	\$2	\$2	48.3%	\$0	50.5%	\$0	\$1	\$1	16.00%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	49.5%	\$0	\$1	\$1	9.19%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0
222 223	Feb-87 Mar-87	\$0 \$1	\$0 \$1	\$2 \$2	2.7% 31.8%	\$0 \$0	50.5% 50.5%	\$0 \$1	\$0 \$0	\$1 \$1	16.00% 16.00%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	49.5% 49.5%	\$0 \$1	\$0 \$0	\$1 \$1	9.19% 9.19%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
223	Apr-87	\$1 \$2	\$0	\$2 \$3	11.7%	\$0 \$0	50.5%	\$1	\$0 \$0	\$1 \$1	16.00%	\$0	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	49.5%	\$1 \$1	\$0 \$0	\$1	9.19%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
225	May-87	\$4	\$1	\$3 \$7	9.0%	\$0 \$0	50.5%	\$2	\$0	\$2	16.00%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	49.5%	\$2	\$0	\$2	9.19%	\$0	\$0	\$0
226	Jun-87	\$7	\$1	\$12	10.2%	\$0	50.5%	\$3	\$1	\$3	16.00%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	49.5%	\$3	\$1	\$3	9.19%	\$0	\$0	\$0
227	Jul-87	\$8	\$7	\$13	33.0%	\$0	50.5%	\$4	\$3	\$6	16.00%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	49.5%	\$4	\$3	\$6	9.19%	\$0	\$0	\$0
228	Aug-87	\$4	\$1	\$16	5.4%	\$0	50.5%	\$2	\$0	\$7	16.00%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	49.5%	\$2	\$0	\$7	9.19%	\$0	\$0	\$0
229	Sep-87	\$7	\$16	\$7	71.1%	\$0	50.5%	\$4	\$8	\$8	16.00%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	49.5%	\$3	\$8	\$8	9.19%	\$0	\$0	\$0
230	Oct-87	\$1	\$1	\$7	7.3%	\$0	50.5%	\$1	\$0	\$3	16.00%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	49.5%	\$1	\$0	\$3	9.19%	\$0	\$0	\$0
231	Nov-87	\$3	\$1	\$9	11.4%	\$0	50.5%	\$1	\$1	\$4	16.00%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	49.5%	\$1	\$1	\$4	9.19%	\$0	\$0	\$0
232	Dec-87	\$7	\$14	\$1	90.7%	\$0	50.5%	\$3	\$7	\$5	16.00%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	49.5%	\$3	\$7	\$4	9.19%	\$0	\$0	\$0
233	Jan-88	\$0	\$0	\$2	5.6%	\$0	50.5%	\$0	\$0	\$1	16.42%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	49.5%	\$0	\$0	\$1	9.20%	\$0	\$0	\$0
234	Feb-88	\$2	\$1	\$2	42.1%	\$0	50.5%	\$1	\$1	\$1	16.42%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	49.5%	\$1	\$1	\$1	9.20%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0
235	Mar-88	\$1	\$1	\$2	43.5%	\$0	50.5%	\$1	\$1	\$1	16.42%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	49.5%	\$1	\$1	\$1	9.20%	\$0	\$0	\$0

	Date	Construc	ction Work in	Progress	In-service Ratio	Compounding Additions	Equity	Equity Additions	Equity	Equity Base	ROE	Incremental Equity	Transfers Equity	Equity AFUDC	Compounding Additions	Debt %	Debt Additions	Debt Transfers	Debt Base	COD	Incremental Debt	Transfers Debt	Debt AFUDC
Line	Date	Property	Transfers	Property	Kauo	Equity	24uity	Additions	Transfers	Dase	KOE	AFUDC	AFUDC	Balance	Debt	Deut 76	Additions	Transiers	Dase	COD	AFUDC	AFUDC	Balance
No.		Additions	to Property	Balance		AFUDC						,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		AFUDC								
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
236	Apr-88	\$1	\$0	\$2	16.2%	\$0	50.5%	\$0	\$0	\$1	16.42%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	49.5%	\$0	\$0	\$1	9.20%	\$0	\$0	\$0
237	May-88	\$1	\$1	\$3	24.9%	\$0	50.5%	\$1	\$0	\$1	16.42%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	49.5%	\$1	\$0	\$1	9.20%	\$0	\$0	\$0
238	Jun-88	\$0	\$0	\$3	13.7%	\$0	50.5%	\$0	\$0	\$1	16.42%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	49.5%	\$0	\$0	\$1	9.20%	\$0	\$0	\$0
239 240	Jul-88	\$2 \$2	\$0 \$0	\$4 \$6	5.7% 7.2%	\$0 \$0	50.5% 50.5%	\$1 \$1	\$0 \$0	\$1 \$2	16.42% 16.42%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	49.5% 49.5%	\$1 \$1	\$0 \$0	\$1 \$2	9.20% 9.20%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
241	Aug-88 Sep-88	\$4 \$4	\$2	\$7	22.3%	\$0	50.5%	\$2	\$1	\$3	16.42%	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0	49.5%	\$2	\$1	\$3	9.20%	\$0	\$0	\$0
242	Oct-88	\$3	\$1	\$10	5.5%	\$0	50.5%	\$1	\$0	\$4	16.42%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	49.5%	\$1	\$0	\$4	9.20%	\$0	\$0	\$0
243	Nov-88	\$2	\$3	\$8	28.0%	\$0	50.5%	\$1	\$2	\$5	16.42%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	49.5%	\$1	\$2	\$5	9.20%	\$0	\$0	\$0
244	Dec-88	\$1	\$1	\$9	9.9%	\$0	50.5%	\$1	\$0	\$4	16.42%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	49.5%	\$1	\$0	\$4	9.20%	\$0	\$0	\$0
245	Jan-89	\$1	\$1	\$9	6.5%	\$0	50.5%	\$1	\$0	\$4	16.18%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	49.5%	\$1	\$0	\$4	9.23%	\$0	\$0	\$0
246 247	Feb-89	\$1	\$1	\$9	7.6%	\$0	50.5%	\$0	\$0	\$5	16.18%	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	49.5%	\$0	\$0	\$5	9.23%	\$0	\$0	\$0
247	Mar-89 Apr-89	\$2 \$3	\$1 \$2	\$10 \$11	6.1% 16.5%	\$0 \$0	50.5% 50.5%	\$1 \$2	\$0 \$1	\$5 \$5	16.18% 16.18%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	49.5% 49.5%	\$1 \$1	\$0 \$1	\$4 \$5	9.23% 9.23%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
249	May-89	\$6	\$8	\$9	47.0%	\$0	50.5%	\$3	\$4	\$6	16.18%	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0	49.5%	\$3	\$4	\$5	9.23%	\$0	\$0	\$0
250	Jun-89	\$5	\$3	\$11	18.5%	\$0	50.5%	\$2	\$1	\$5	16.18%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	49.5%	\$2	\$1	\$5	9.23%	\$0	\$0	\$0
251	Jul-89	\$4	\$2	\$14	10.9%	\$0	50.5%	\$2	\$1	\$6	16.18%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	49.5%	\$2	\$1	\$6	9.23%	\$0	\$0	\$0
252	Aug-89	\$7	\$5	\$15	26.8%	\$0	50.5%	\$3	\$3	\$7	16.18%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	49.5%	\$3	\$3	\$7	9.23%	\$0	\$0	\$0
253	Sep-89	\$7	\$1	\$21	5.3%	\$0	50.5%	\$4	\$1	\$7	16.18%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	49.5%	\$4	\$1	\$7	9.23%	\$0	\$0	\$0
254	Oct-89	\$8	\$5	\$24	16.4%	\$0	50.5%	\$4	\$2	\$10	16.18%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	49.5%	\$4	\$2	\$10	9.23%	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
255 256	Nov-89 Dec-89	\$4 \$7	\$1 \$15	\$27 \$20	3.0% 43.1%	\$0 \$0	50.5% 50.5%	\$2 \$4	\$0 \$7	\$12 \$14	16.18% 16.18%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$1 \$1	\$0 \$0	49.5% 49.5%	\$2 \$3	\$0 \$7	\$12 \$14	9.23% 9.23%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
257	Jan-90	\$5 \$5	\$3	\$20	13.8%	\$0	50.5%	\$4 \$2	\$7 \$2	\$14	16.35%	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$1	\$0	49.5%	\$3 \$2	\$2	\$10	9.25%	\$0	\$0	\$0
258	Feb-90	\$5	\$2	\$25	5.9%	\$0	50.5%	\$3	\$1	\$11	16.35%	\$0	\$0	\$1	\$0	49.5%	\$3	\$1	\$10	9.35%	\$0	\$0	\$0
259	Mar-90	\$5	\$1	\$29	3.8%	\$0	50.5%	\$3	\$1	\$12	16.35%	\$0	\$0	\$1	\$0	49.5%	\$3	\$1	\$12	9.35%	\$0	\$0	\$0
260	Apr-90	\$6	\$1	\$34	3.2%	\$0	50.5%	\$3	\$1	\$15	16.35%	\$0	\$0	\$1	\$0	49.5%	\$3	\$1	\$14	9.35%	\$0	\$0	\$1
261	May-90	\$10	\$4	\$40	8.4%	\$0	50.5%	\$5	\$2	\$17	16.35%	\$0	\$0	\$1	\$0	49.5%	\$5	\$2	\$17	9.35%	\$0	\$0	\$1
262	Jun-90	\$15	\$5	\$50	8.6%	\$0	50.5%	\$7	\$2	\$20	16.35%	\$0	\$0	\$1	\$0	49.5%	\$7	\$2	\$20	9.35%	\$0	\$0	\$1
263 264	Jul-90	\$9 \$13	\$7 \$4	\$53 \$62	11.1% 5.8%	\$0 \$0	50.5% 50.5%	\$5 \$7	\$3 \$2	\$25 \$27	16.35% 16.35%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$2 \$2	\$0 \$0	49.5% 49.5%	\$5 \$7	\$3 \$2	\$25 \$26	9.35% 9.35%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$1 \$1
265	Aug-90 Sep-90	\$26	\$18	\$71	19.8%	\$0	50.5%	\$13	\$9	\$32	16.35%	\$0	\$0	\$2	\$0	49.5%	\$13	\$9	\$31	9.35%	\$0	\$0	\$1
266	Oct-90	\$24	\$3	\$92	3.4%	\$0	50.5%	\$12	\$2	\$36	16.35%	\$0	\$0	\$2	\$0	49.5%	\$12	\$2	\$35	9.35%	\$0	\$0	\$1
267	Nov-90	\$20	\$20	\$92	17.8%	\$0	50.5%	\$10	\$10	\$47	16.35%	\$1	\$0	\$3	\$0	49.5%	\$10	\$10	\$46	9.35%	\$0	\$0	\$1
268	Dec-90	\$27	\$25	\$94	21.2%	\$0	50.5%	\$13	\$13	\$47	16.35%	\$1	\$1	\$3	\$0	49.5%	\$13	\$13	\$46	9.35%	\$0	\$0	\$1
269	Jan-91	\$7	\$9	\$92	8.6%	\$0	50.5%	\$3	\$4	\$47	16.06%	\$1	\$0	\$3	\$0	49.5%	\$3	\$4	\$46	9.37%	\$0	\$0	\$2
270	Feb-91	\$15	\$3	\$104	2.9%	\$0	50.5%	\$8	\$2	\$46	16.06%	\$1	\$0	\$4	\$0	49.5%	\$7	\$2	\$45	9.37%	\$0	\$0	\$2
271 272	Mar-91 Apr-91	\$23 \$15	\$42 \$2	\$85 \$98	33.3% 1.7%	\$0 \$0	50.5% 50.5%	\$12 \$7	\$21 \$1	\$52 \$43	16.06% 16.06%	\$1 \$1	\$1 \$0	\$3 \$4	\$0 \$0	49.5% 49.5%	\$12 \$7	\$21 \$1	\$51 \$42	9.37% 9.37%	\$0 \$0	\$1 \$0	\$2 \$2
273	May-91	\$13	\$3	\$108	2.8%	\$0	50.5%	\$7	\$2	\$49	16.06%	\$1	\$0	\$4	\$0	49.5%	\$7	\$2	\$48	9.37%	\$0	\$0	\$2
274	Jun-91	\$14	\$2	\$120	1.6%	\$0	50.5%	\$7	\$1	\$55	16.06%	\$1	\$0	\$5	\$0	49.5%	\$7	\$1	\$54	9.37%	\$0	\$0	\$3
275	Jul-91	\$15	\$11	\$124	8.3%	\$0	50.5%	\$8	\$6	\$61	16.06%	\$1	\$0	\$5	\$0	49.5%	\$8	\$6	\$59	9.37%	\$0	\$0	\$3
276	Aug-91	(\$2)	\$10	\$112	8.3%	\$0	50.5%	(\$1)	\$5	\$63	16.06%	\$1	\$0	\$6	\$0	49.5%	(\$1)	\$5	\$61	9.37%	\$0	\$0	\$3
277	Sep-91	(\$67)	\$3	\$42	6.0%	\$0	50.5%	(\$34)	\$1	\$57	16.06%	\$1	\$0	\$6	\$0	49.5%	(\$33)	\$1	\$56	9.37%	\$0	\$0	\$3
278	Oct-91	\$9	\$5	\$45	10.7%	\$0	50.5%	\$4	\$3	\$21	16.06%	\$0	\$1	\$6	\$0	49.5%	\$4	\$3	\$21	9.37%	\$0	\$0	\$3
279 280	Nov-91	\$13 \$1	\$2 \$25	\$55 \$32	4.3% 43.7%	\$0 \$0	50.5% 50.5%	\$6 \$0	\$1 \$12	\$23 \$28	16.06% 16.06%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$3	\$6 \$4	\$0 \$0	49.5% 49.5%	\$6 \$0	\$1 \$12	\$22 \$27	9.37% 9.37%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$1	\$3 \$2
280	Dec-91 Jan-92	\$1 \$5	\$25 \$8	\$32 \$28	23.1%	\$0 \$0	50.5%	\$0 \$3	\$12 \$4	\$28 \$16	15.61%	\$0 \$0	\$3 \$1	\$4 \$3	\$0 \$0	49.5%	\$0 \$2	\$12	\$16	9.37%	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$2 \$2
282	Feb-92	\$6	\$5	\$29	14.0%	\$0	50.5%	\$3	\$2		15.61%	\$0	\$0	\$3	\$0	49.5%	\$3	\$2	\$14	9.37%	\$0	\$0	\$2
1 202			1 70	+	1	Ψ.	0 3.5 70	Ψ.	w=	ΨΙΤ	10.0170	40	40	Ψ.	. **	1	• •	Ψ2	41.		Ψ° Ι	40	W

	Date	Construc	tion Work in	Progress	In-service Ratio	Compounding Additions	Equity	Equity Additions	Equity Transfers	Equity Base	ROE	Incremental Equity	Transfers Equity	Equity AFUDC	Compounding Additions	Debt %	Debt Additions	Debt Transfers	Debt Base	COD	Incremental Debt	Transfers Debt	Debt AFUDC
Line	Date	Property	Transfers	Property	Kauo	Equity	24uity	Additions	Transfers	Dase	KOL	AFUDC	AFUDC	Balance	Debt	Deut 76	Additions	Transiers	Dase	COD	AFUDC	AFUDC	Balance
No.		Additions	to Property	Balance		AFUDC						,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		AFUDC								
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
283	Mar-92	\$3	\$7	\$25	21.0%	\$0	50.5%	\$2	\$3	\$15	15.61%	\$0	\$1	\$2	\$0	49.5%	\$2	\$3	\$14	9.37%	\$0	\$0	\$1
284	Apr-92	\$8	\$5	\$28	13.9%	\$0	50.5%	\$4	\$2	\$13	15.61%	\$0	\$0	\$2	\$0	49.5%	\$4	\$2	\$13	9.37%	\$0	\$0	\$1
285	May-92	\$4	\$2	\$31	6.2%	\$0	50.5%	\$2	\$1	\$14	15.61%	\$0	\$0	\$2	\$0	49.5%	\$2	\$1	\$14	9.37%	\$0	\$0	\$1
286 287	Jun-92 Jul-92	\$7 \$6	\$1 \$6	\$36 \$35	3.6% 15.1%	\$0 \$0	50.5% 50.5%	\$3 \$3	\$1 \$3	\$16 \$18	15.61% 15.61%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$2 \$2	\$0 \$0	49.5% 49.5%	\$3 \$3	\$1 \$3	\$15 \$18	9.37% 9.37%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$1 \$1
288	Aug-92	\$3	\$3	\$36	8.6%	\$0	50.5%	\$2	\$2	\$18	15.61%	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$2	\$0	49.5%	\$2	\$2	\$18	9.37%	\$0	\$0	\$1
289	Sep-92	\$13	\$5	\$44	9.6%	\$0	50.5%	\$6	\$2	\$18	15.61%	\$0	\$0	\$2	\$0	49.5%	\$6	\$2	\$18	9.37%	\$0	\$0	\$1
290	Oct-92	\$7	\$7	\$43	14.6%	\$0	50.5%	\$3	\$4	\$22	15.61%	\$0	\$0	\$2	\$0	49.5%	\$3	\$4	\$22	9.37%	\$0	\$0	\$1
291	Nov-92	\$6	\$4	\$45	8.5%	\$0	50.5%	\$3	\$2	\$22	15.61%	\$0	\$0	\$2	\$0	49.5%	\$3	\$2	\$21	9.37%	\$0	\$0	\$1
292	Dec-92	\$3	\$15	\$33	30.6%	\$0	50.5%	\$2	\$7	\$23	15.61%	\$0	\$1	\$2	\$0	49.5%	\$1	\$7	\$22	9.37%	\$0	\$0	\$1
293	Jan-93	\$2	\$6	\$29	18.0%	\$0	50.5%	\$1	\$3	\$17	14.02%	\$0	\$0	\$2	\$0	49.5%	\$1	\$3	\$17	9.29%	\$0	\$0	\$1
294 295	Feb-93 Mar-93	\$3 \$5	\$2 \$4	\$29 \$31	6.8% 10.5%	\$0 \$0	50.5% 50.5%	\$1 \$3	\$1 \$2	\$15 \$15	14.02% 14.02%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$2 \$2	\$0 \$0	49.5% 49.5%	\$1 \$3	\$1 \$2	\$14 \$15	9.29% 9.29%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$1 \$1
296	Apr-93	\$5 \$5	\$5	\$32	12.5%	\$0	50.5%	\$3	\$2	\$15 \$16	14.02%	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$2	\$0	49.5%	\$3	\$2	\$15	9.29%	\$0	\$0	\$1
297	May-93	\$2	\$2	\$31	6.8%	\$0	50.5%	\$1	\$1	\$16	14.02%	\$0	\$0	\$2	\$0	49.5%	\$1	\$1	\$16	9.29%	\$0	\$0	\$1
298	Jun-93	\$4	\$6	\$29	17.4%	\$0	50.5%	\$2	\$3	\$16	14.02%	\$0	\$0	\$2	\$0	49.5%	\$2	\$3	\$16	9.29%	\$0	\$0	\$1
299	Jul-93	\$1	\$8	\$22	25.8%	\$0	50.5%	\$0	\$4	\$15	14.02%	\$0	\$0	\$1	\$0	49.5%	\$0	\$4	\$15	9.29%	\$0	\$0	\$1
300	Aug-93	\$2	\$1	\$24	2.9%	\$0	50.5%	\$1	\$0	\$11	14.02%	\$0	\$0	\$2	\$0	49.5%	\$1	\$0	\$11	9.29%	\$0	\$0	\$1
301	Sep-93	\$1	\$3	\$22	13.5%	\$0	50.5%	\$1	\$2	\$12	14.02%	\$0	\$0	\$1	\$0	49.5%	\$1	\$2	\$12	9.29%	\$0	\$0	\$1
302	Oct-93	\$3	\$2	\$22	8.6%	\$0	50.5%	\$1	\$1	\$11	14.02%	\$0	\$0	\$1	\$0	49.5%	\$1	\$1	\$11	9.29%	\$0	\$0	\$1
303 304	Nov-93 Dec-93	\$4 \$7	\$2 \$6	\$24 \$24	8.6% 21.3%	\$0 \$0	50.5% 50.5%	\$2 \$3	\$1 \$3	\$11 \$12	14.02% 14.02%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$1 \$1	\$0 \$0	49.5% 49.5%	\$2 \$3	\$1 \$3	\$11 \$12	9.29% 9.29%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$1 \$1
305	Jan-94	\$3	\$2	\$25	6.3%	\$0	50.5%	\$3 \$1	\$3 \$1	\$12	14.67%	\$0	\$0	\$1	\$0	49.5%	\$3 \$1	\$3 \$1	\$12	9.39%	\$0	\$0	\$1
306	Feb-94	\$2	\$1	\$26	4.1%	\$0	50.5%	\$1	\$1	\$13	14.67%	\$0	\$0	\$1	\$0	49.5%	\$1	\$1	\$12	9.39%	\$0	\$0	\$1
307	Mar-94	\$5	\$1	\$30	2.2%	\$0	50.5%	\$2	\$0	\$13	14.67%	\$0	\$0	\$2	\$0	49.5%	\$2	\$0	\$13	9.39%	\$0	\$0	\$1
308	Apr-94	\$4	\$2	\$31	6.8%	\$0	50.5%	\$2	\$1	\$15	14.67%	\$0	\$0	\$2	\$0	49.5%	\$2	\$1	\$15	9.39%	\$0	\$0	\$1
309	May-94	\$5	\$3	\$34	6.9%	\$0	50.5%	\$3	\$1	\$16	14.67%	\$0	\$0	\$2	\$0	49.5%	\$3	\$1	\$15	9.39%	\$0	\$0	\$1
310	Jun-94	\$4	\$3	\$35	8.2%	\$0	50.5%	\$2	\$2	\$17	14.67%	\$0	\$0	\$2	\$0	49.5%	\$2	\$2	\$17	9.39%	\$0	\$0	\$1
311 312	Jul-94	\$5 65	\$1 \$1	\$38	3.4%	\$0	50.5% 50.5%	\$2	\$1	\$18	14.67%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$2	\$0	49.5%	\$2 \$2	\$1	\$17	9.39% 9.39%	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$1 \$1
313	Aug-94 Sep-94	\$5 \$6	\$1 \$1	\$42 \$47	1.4% 2.8%	\$0 \$0	50.5%	\$2 \$3	\$0 \$1	\$19 \$21	14.67% 14.67%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$2 \$2	\$0 \$0	49.5% 49.5%	\$2 \$3	\$0 \$1	\$19 \$21	9.39%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$1
314	Oct-94	\$9	\$1	\$55	2.1%	\$0	50.5%	\$5 \$5	\$1	\$24	14.67%	\$0	\$0	\$3	\$0	49.5%	\$5 \$5	\$1	\$23	9.39%	\$0	\$0	\$2
315	Nov-94	\$1	\$0	\$56	0.5%	\$0	50.5%	\$1	\$0	\$28	14.67%	\$0	\$0	\$3	\$0	49.5%	\$1	\$0	\$27	9.39%	\$0	\$0	\$2
316	Dec-94	\$5	\$31	\$31	49.9%	\$0	50.5%	\$3	\$15	\$28	14.67%	\$0	\$1	\$2	\$0	49.5%	\$3	\$15	\$28	9.39%	\$0	\$1	\$1
317	Jan-95	\$3	\$7	\$27	20.6%	\$0	50.5%	\$2	\$4	\$16	14.74%	\$0	\$0	\$2	\$0	49.5%	\$2	\$3	\$15	9.35%	\$0	\$0	\$1
318	Feb-95	\$5	\$1	\$31	2.8%	\$0	50.5%	\$3	\$0	\$14	14.74%	\$0	\$0	\$2	\$0	49.5%	\$3	\$0	\$13	9.35%	\$0	\$0	\$1
319	Mar-95	\$4	\$0	\$35	0.2%	\$0	50.5%	\$2	\$0	\$16	14.74%	\$0	\$0	\$2	\$0	49.5%	\$2	\$0	\$15	9.35%	\$0	\$0	\$1
320	Apr-95	\$5	\$0	\$40	0.6%	\$0	50.5%	\$3	\$0	\$18	14.74%	\$0	\$0	\$2	\$0	49.5%	\$3	\$0	\$17	9.35%	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$1
321 322	May-95 Jun-95	\$3 \$4	\$0 \$5	\$43 \$41	0.1% 10.3%	\$0 \$0	50.5% 50.5%	\$1 \$2	\$0 \$2	\$20 \$22	14.74% 14.74%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$2 \$2	\$0 \$0	49.5% 49.5%	\$1 \$2	\$0 \$2	\$20 \$21	9.35% 9.35%	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$1 \$2
323	Jul-95	\$4 \$4	\$0	\$45	0.8%	\$0	50.5%	\$2	\$0 \$0	\$21	14.74%	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$3	\$0	49.5%	\$2	\$0	\$20	9.35%	\$0	\$0	\$2
324	Aug-95	\$5	\$1	\$50	1.3%	\$0	50.5%	\$3	\$0	\$23	14.74%	\$0	\$0	\$3	\$0	49.5%	\$3	\$0	\$22	9.35%	\$0	\$0	\$2
325	Sep-95	\$4	\$0	\$54	0.2%	\$0	50.5%	\$2	\$0	\$25	14.74%	\$0	\$0	\$3	\$0	49.5%	\$2	\$0	\$25	9.35%	\$0	\$0	\$2
326	Oct-95	\$5	\$3	\$57	4.5%	\$0	50.5%	\$3	\$1	\$27	14.74%	\$0	\$0	\$3	\$0	49.5%	\$3	\$1	\$27	9.35%	\$0	\$0	\$2
327	Nov-95	\$4	\$1	\$59	2.4%	\$0	50.5%	\$2	\$1	\$29	14.74%	\$0	\$0	\$4	\$0	49.5%	\$2	\$1	\$28	9.35%	\$0	\$0	\$2
328	Dec-95	\$12	\$21	\$51	28.9%	\$0	50.5%	\$6	\$10	\$30	14.74%	\$0	\$1	\$3	\$0	49.5%	\$6	\$10	\$29	9.35%	\$0	\$1	\$2
329	Jan-96	\$5	\$10	\$46	17.8%	\$0	50.5%	\$3	\$5	\$26	14.28%	\$0	\$1	\$3	\$0	49.5%	\$3	\$5	\$25	9.19%	\$0	\$0	\$2

Appropriate ROR and Capital Structure, Carriers' Inputs Otherwise

		Construc	tion Work in I	rogress	In-service	Compounding		Equity	Equity	Equity		Incremental	Transfers	Equity	Compounding		Debt	Debt	Debt		Incremental	Transfers	Debt
	Date				Ratio	Additions	Equity	Additions	Transfers	Base	ROE	Equity	Equity	AFUDC	Additions	Debt %	Additions	Transfers	Base	COD	Debt	Debt	AFUDC
Line		Property	Transfers	Property		Equity	%					AFUDC	AFUDC	Balance	Debt						AFUDC	AFUDC	Balance
No.		Additions	to Property	Balance		AFUDC									AFUDC								
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
330	Feb-96	\$3	\$0	\$49	0.7%	\$0	50.5%	\$2	\$0	\$23	14.28%	\$0	\$0	\$3	\$0	49.5%	\$2	\$0	\$23	9.19%	\$0	\$0	\$2
331	Mar-96	\$4	\$0	\$53	0.4%	\$0	50.5%	\$2	\$0	\$25	14.28%	\$0	\$0	\$3	\$0	49.5%	\$2	\$0	\$24	9.19%	\$0	\$0	\$2
332	Apr-96	\$3	\$1	\$56	1.3%	\$0	50.5%	\$2	\$0	\$27	14.28%	\$0	\$0	\$4	\$0	49.5%	\$2	\$0	\$26	9.19%	\$0	\$0	\$2
333	May-96	\$4	\$2	\$57	4.1%	\$0	50.5%	\$2	\$1	\$28	14.28%	\$0	\$0	\$4	\$0	49.5%	\$2	\$1	\$28	9.19%	\$0	\$0	\$2
334	Jun-96	\$8	\$3	\$62	4.6%	\$0	50.5%	\$4	\$2	\$29	14.28%	\$0	\$0	\$4	\$0	49.5%	\$4	\$1	\$28	9.19%	\$0	\$0	\$2
335	Jul-96	(\$3)	\$0	\$60	0.1%	\$0	50.5%	(\$1)	\$0	\$32	14.28%	\$0	\$0	\$4	\$0	49.5%	(\$1)	\$0	\$31	9.19%	\$0	\$0	\$3
336	Aug-96	\$6	\$0	\$65	0.3%	\$0	50.5%	\$3	\$0	\$30	14.28%	\$0	\$0	\$5	\$0	49.5%	\$3	\$0	\$30	9.19%	\$0	\$0	\$3
337	Sep-96	\$7	\$1	\$71	1.7%	\$0	50.5%	\$4	\$1	\$33	14.28%	\$0	\$0	\$5	\$0	49.5%	\$4	\$1	\$32	9.19%	\$0	\$0	\$3
338	Oct-96	\$5	\$0	\$75	0.6%	\$0	50.5%	\$2	\$0	\$36	14.28%	\$0	\$0	\$5	\$0	49.5%	\$2	\$0	\$35	9.19%	\$0	\$0	\$3
339	Nov-96	\$5	\$0	\$80	0.0%	\$0	50.5%	\$2	\$0	\$38	14.28%	\$0	\$0	\$6	\$0	49.5%	\$2	\$0	\$37	9.19%	\$0	\$0	\$4
340	Dec-96	\$5	\$11	\$74	13.0%	\$0	50.5%	\$3	\$6	\$40	14.28%	\$0	\$1	\$6	\$0	49.5%	\$3	\$5	\$40	9.19%	\$0	\$0	\$3

SOURCE

URCE:	
Column 1	Time in months
Column 2	Exhibit 143-RGV-C, TAPS-RGV WP3, Schedule 3
Column 3	Exhibit 143-RGV-C, TAPS-RGV WP3, Schedule 3
Column 4	Exhibit 143-RGV-C, TAPS-RGV WP3, Schedule 3
Column 5	Exhibit 143-RGV-C, TAPS-RGV WP3, Schedule 3
Column 6	Sum (prior six months Col 12) - sumproduct(prior six months Col 12 * prior six months Col 5) [A]
Column 7	Exhibit 11
Column 8	Col 2 * Col 7
Column 9	(cum Col 8 - cum prior Col 9 + cum Col 6) * Col 5
Column 10	prior (Col 8 - Col 9 + Col 10) + Col 6
Column 11	Exhibit 20
Column 12	(Col 10 * Col 11)/12
Column 13	prior Col 14 * Col 5 + [B]
Column 14	prior Col 14 + Col 12 - Col 13
Column 15	Sum (prior six months Col 21) - sumproduct(prior six months Col 21 * prior six months Col 5) [A]
Column 16	1.0 - Col 7
Column 17	Col 2 * Col 16
Column 18	(cum Col 17 - cum prior Col 18 + cum Col 15) * Col 5
Column 19	prior (Col 17 - Col 18 + Col 19) + Col 15
Column 20	Exhibit 15, Schedule 3
Column 21	(Col 19 * Col 20) / 12
Column 22	prior Col 23 * Col 5 +[C]
Column 23	prior Col 23 + Col 21 - Col 22

[A] for the months January and July prior to June of 1977, otherwise equal to 0

[B] if Col 5 = 100%, +Col 12, otherwise 0 [C] if Col 5 = 100%, +Col 19, otherwise 0

Illustrative Annual Amortization of AFUDC, 1977-1996

(millions \$)

Appropriate ROR and Capital Structure, Carriers' Inputs Otherwise

Line No.	Description	Source	Starting Balances	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983
1	Amortization Factor - Net Basis	Schedule 13, Ln. 6	Dalatices	1.70%	4.10%	4.26%	4.44%	4.64%	3.44%	3.60%
		·								
2	Net Equity AFUDC - BOY	Prior Ln. 5		\$1,491	\$1,467	\$1,414	\$1,357	\$1,305	\$1,255	\$1,222
3	Equity AFUDC Additions	Schedule 6, col 13	\$1,491	\$1	\$7	\$4	\$8	\$10	\$11	\$7
4	Current Period Equity AFUDC Amortization	(Ln.2 + Ln.3) * Ln.1		\$25	\$61	\$60	\$61	\$61	\$44	\$44
5	Net Equity AFUDC - EOY	Lns.(2+3-4)	\$1,491	\$1,467	\$1,414	\$1,357	\$1,305	\$1,255	\$1,222	\$1,185
6	Accumulated Equity AFUDC Additions	Ln. 3 + Prior Ln. 6	\$1,491	\$1,492	\$1,499	\$1,503	\$1,512	\$1,522	\$1,533	\$1,540
7	Accumulated Equity AFUDC Amortization	Ln. 4 + Prior Ln. 7		\$25	\$86	\$146	\$207	\$268	\$311	\$356
8	Net Debt AFUDC - BOY	Prior Ln. 11		\$433	\$426	\$413	\$397	\$384	\$371	\$363
9	Debt AFUDC Additions	Schedule 6, col 22	\$433	\$1	\$4	\$2	\$4	\$5	\$5	\$3
10	Current Period Debt AFUDC Amortization	(Ln. 8 + Ln. 9) * Ln.1		\$7	\$18	\$18	\$18	\$18	\$13	\$13
11	Net Debt AFUDC - EOY	Lns. (8 + 9 - 10)	\$433	\$426	\$413	\$397	\$384	\$371	\$363	\$353
12	Accumulated Debt AFUDC Additions	Ln. 9 + Prior Ln. 12	\$433	\$434	\$438	\$440	\$444	\$449	\$454	\$457
13	Accumulated Debt AFUDC Amortization	Ln. 10 + Prior Ln. 13		\$7	\$25	\$43	\$61	\$79	\$92	\$105
14	Total Current Period AFUDC Amortization	Ln. 4 + Ln. 10		\$32.7	\$78.2	\$78.1	\$78.4	\$79.1	\$56.5	\$57.4

Exhibit 4 Sch. 7 Page 1 of 3

Illustrative Annual Amortization of AFUDC, 1977-1996 (millions \$)

Appropriate ROR and Capital Structure, Carriers' Inputs Otherwise

Line No.	Description	Source	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991
	Amortization Factor - Net Basis	Schedule 13, Ln. 6	3.72%	3.88%	3.91%	4.09%	4.21%	4.49%	4.72%	4.98%
2	Net Equity AFUDC - BOY	Prior Ln. 5	\$1,185	\$1,144	\$1,100	\$1,058	\$1,015	\$972	\$930	\$888
3	Equity AFUDC Additions	Schedule 6, col 13	\$4	\$1	\$0	\$1	\$0	\$1	\$2	\$6
4	Current Period Equity AFUDC Amortization	(Ln.2 + Ln.3) * Ln.1	\$44	\$44	\$43	\$43	\$43	\$44	\$44	\$44
5	Net Equity AFUDC - EOY	Lns.(2+3-4)	\$1,144	\$1,100	\$1,058	\$1,015	\$972	\$930	\$888	\$849
6	Accumulated Equity AFUDC Additions	Ln. 3 + Prior Ln. 6	\$1,544	\$1,545	\$1,545	\$1,545	\$1,546	\$1,547	\$1,548	\$1,555
7	Accumulated Equity AFUDC Amortization	Ln. 4 + Prior Ln. 7	\$400	\$444	\$487	\$531	\$573	\$617	\$661	\$705
8	Net Debt AFUDC - BOY	Prior Ln. 11	\$353	\$341	\$328	\$316	\$303	\$290	\$278	\$266
9	Debt AFUDC Additions	Schedule 6, col 22	\$2	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1	\$4
10	Current Period Debt AFUDC Amortization	(Ln. 8 + Ln. 9) * Ln.1	\$13	\$13	\$13	\$13	\$13	\$13	\$13	\$13
11	Net Debt AFUDC - EOY	Lns. (8 + 9 - 10)	\$341	\$328	\$316	\$303	\$290	\$278	\$266	\$256
12	Accumulated Debt AFUDC Additions	Ln. 9 + Prior Ln. 12	\$459	\$459	\$460	\$460	\$460	\$461	\$462	\$465
13	Accumulated Debt AFUDC Amortization	Ln. 10 + Prior Ln. 13	\$118	\$131	\$144	\$157	\$170	\$183	\$196	\$209
14	Total Current Period AFUDC Amortization	Ln. 4 + Ln. 10	\$57.4	\$57.6	\$55.8	\$56.2	\$55.5	\$56.8	\$57.1	\$57.9

Exhibit 4 Sch. 7 Page 2 of 3

SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-21-2606 PUC DOCKET NO. 52195 CEP 17-13, Attachment 1 Page 285 of 486

Appropriate ROR and Capital Structure, Carriers' Inputs Otherwise

Illustrative Annual Amortization of AFUDC, 1977-1996 (millions \$)

Line	Description	Source	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996
No.							
1	Amortization Factor - Net Basis	Schedule 13, Ln. 6	5.41%	5.77%	5.95%	6.30%	6.45%
2	Net Equity AFUDC - BOY	Prior Ln. 5	\$849	\$808	\$763	\$720	\$677
3	Equity AFUDC Additions	Schedule 6, col 13	\$4	\$3	\$2	\$2	\$2
4	Current Period Equity AFUDC Amortization	(Ln.2 + Ln.3) * Ln.1	\$46	\$47	\$46	\$46	\$44
5	Net Equity AFUDC - EOY	Lns.(2+3-4)	\$808	\$763	\$720	\$677	\$635
6	Accumulated Equity AFUDC Additions	Ln. 3 + Prior Ln. 6	\$1,559	\$1,562	\$1,564	\$1,566	\$1,568
7	Accumulated Equity AFUDC Amortization	Ln. 4 + Prior Ln. 7	\$752	\$798	\$844	\$889	\$933
8	Net Debt AFUDC - BOY	Prior Ln. 11	\$256	\$245	\$232	\$219	\$207
9	Debt AFUDC Additions	Schedule 6, col 22	\$3	\$2	\$1	\$1	\$1
10	Current Period Debt AFUDC Amortization	(Ln. 8 + Ln. 9) * Ln.1	\$14	\$14	\$14	\$14	\$13
11	Net Debt AFUDC - EOY	Lns. (8 + 9 - 10)	\$245	\$232	\$219	\$207	\$194
12	Accumulated Debt AFUDC Additions	Ln. 9 + Prior Ln. 12	\$468	\$469	\$471	\$472	\$473
13	Accumulated Debt AFUDC Amortization	Ln. 10 + Prior Ln. 13	\$223	\$237	\$251	\$265	\$279
14	Total Current Period AFUDC Amortization	Ln. 4 + Ln. 10	\$60.2	\$61.0	\$59.4	\$59.4	\$57.2

Exhibit 4 Sch. 7 Page 3 of 3

Illustrative Rate Base, 1977-1996 (millions \$)

Line No.	Description	Source	Starting Balances	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986
1	Gross Carrier Property - BOY 1/	Prior Ln. 10	\$7,741	\$9,665	\$9,843	\$10,011	\$10,101	\$10,226	\$10,288	\$10,344	\$10,396	\$10,423	\$10,436
2	Gross Carrier Property Additions	Schedule 14, Ln. 3		\$1,422	\$98	\$100	\$106	\$49	\$65	\$70	\$38	\$26	\$21
3	Less Additions to Capitalized Interest	sch. 14, Ln. 10 - Prior Ln. 1	I 0 I	\$1,216	\$0	\$0	\$0	(\$0)	\$0	\$0	(\$1)	(\$0)	(\$0)
4	Gross Carrier Property Additions, Net IDC	Ln. 2 - Ln. 3		\$206	\$98	\$100	\$106	\$50	\$65	\$70	\$39	\$26	\$21
5	Acquisitions of Carrier Property in Service	Schedule 14, Ln. 4		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$170	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
6	Retirements of CPIS	Schedule 14, Ln. 5		(\$29)	(\$7)	(\$12)	(\$165)	(\$2)	(\$12)	(\$24)	(\$13)	(\$14)	(\$3)
7	Adjustments to CPIS	Schedule 14, Ln. 6		(\$0)	\$65	(\$4)	\$1	(\$1)	(\$13)	(\$4)	(\$4)	\$0	\$0
8	Equity AFUDC Additions	Schedule 6, col 13	\$1,491	\$1	\$7	\$4	\$8	\$10	\$11	\$7	\$4	\$1	\$0
9	Debt AFUDC Additions	Schedule 6, col 22	\$433	\$1	\$4	\$2	\$4	\$5	\$5	\$3	\$2	\$0	\$0
10	Gross Carrier Property - EOY	Lns (1+4+5+6+7+8+9)	\$9,665	\$9,843	\$10,011	\$10,101	\$10,226	\$10,288	\$10,344	\$10,396	\$10,423	\$10,436	\$10,455
11	Accumulated Depreciation	Schedule 5, Ln. 4		\$134	\$453	\$775	\$1,089	\$1,421	\$1,645	\$1,876	\$2,114	\$2,345	\$2,580
12	Accumulated Equity AFUDC Amortization	Schedule 7, Ln. 7		\$25	\$86	\$146	\$207	\$268	\$311	\$356	\$400	\$444	\$487
13	Accumulated Debt AFUDC Amortization	Schedule 7, Ln. 13		\$7	\$25	\$43	\$61	\$79	\$92	\$105	\$118	\$131	\$144
14	CWIP Balance - EOY	Schedule 14, Ln. 8		\$42	\$24	\$28	\$29	\$29	\$33	\$15	\$4	\$1	\$2
15	Net Carrier Property	Lns (10 -11-12-13-14)	\$9,665	\$9,635	\$9,424	\$9,110	\$8,841	\$8,491	\$8,263	\$8,044	\$7,788	\$7,515	\$7,242
16	Working Capital	Schedule 14, Ln. 11		\$57	\$29	\$36	\$34	\$39	\$39	\$29	\$33	\$34	\$29
17	ADIT	Schedule 11, Ln. 20		\$177	\$446	\$672	\$877	\$1,064	\$1,312	\$1,538	\$1,743	\$1,923	\$2,076
18	Rate Base	Lns (15+16-17)	\$9,665	\$9,516	\$9,007	\$8,474	\$7,998	\$7,467	\$6,990	\$6,535	\$6,078	\$5,626	\$5,194
19	Average Rate Base	(Ln.18+Prior Ln.18)/2		\$9,590	\$9,261	\$8,740	\$8,236	\$7,732	\$7,228	\$6,762	\$6,306	\$5,852	\$5,410

^{1/} Starting Balance is from Sch. 6 Sum Records (1 to 106)

Illustrative Rate Base, 1977-1996 (millions \$)

Line No.	Description	Source	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996
1	Gross Carrier Property - BOY 1/	Prior Ln. 10	\$10,455	\$10,471	\$10,476	\$10,520	\$10,674	\$10,772	\$10,834	\$10,863	\$10,908	\$10,963
2	Gross Carrier Property Additions	Schedule 14, Ln. 3	\$32	\$17	\$48	\$156	\$141	\$71	\$36	\$51	\$56	\$52
3	Less Additions to Capitalized Interest	sch. 14, Ln. 10 - Prior Ln. 1	(\$0)	(\$0)	(\$0)	(\$0)	(\$0)	(\$0)	(\$0)	(\$0)	(\$0)	(\$9)
4	Gross Carrier Property Additions, Net IDC	Ln. 2 - Ln. 3	\$32	\$17	\$48	\$156	\$141	\$71	\$36	\$51	\$56	\$61
5	Acquisitions of Carrier Property in Service	Schedule 14, Ln. 4	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$81
6	Retirements of CPIS	Schedule 14, Ln. 5	(\$17)	(\$13)	(\$5)	(\$4)	(\$53)	(\$16)	(\$11)	(\$10)	(\$4)	(\$116)
7	Adjustments to CPIS	Schedule 14, Ln. 6	\$0	\$0	(\$0)	\$0	(\$0)	\$0	(\$0)	\$0	\$0	\$0
8	Equity AFUDC Additions	Schedule 6, col 13	\$1	\$0	\$1	\$2	\$6	\$4	\$3	\$2	\$2	\$2
9	Debt AFUDC Additions	Schedule 6, col 22	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1	\$4	\$3	\$2	\$1	\$1	\$1
10	Gross Carrier Property - EOY	Lns (1+4+5+6+7+8+9)	\$10,471	\$10,476	\$10,520	\$10,674	\$10,772	\$10,834	\$10,863	\$10,908	\$10,963	\$10,992
11	Accumulated Depreciation	Schedule 5, Ln. 4	\$2,804	\$3,029	\$3,270	\$3,516	\$3,720	\$3,979	\$4,248	\$4,513	\$4,784	\$4,982
12	Accumulated Equity AFUDC Amortization	Schedule 7, Ln. 7	\$531	\$573	\$617	\$661	\$705	\$752	\$798	\$844	\$889	\$933
13	Accumulated Debt AFUDC Amortization	Schedule 7, Ln. 13	\$157	\$170	\$183	\$196	\$209	\$223	\$237	\$251	\$265	\$279
14	CWIP Balance - EOY	Schedule 14, Ln. 8	\$2	\$9	\$20	\$94	\$32	\$34	\$24	\$31	\$51	\$74
15	Net Carrier Property	Lns (10 -11-12-13-14)	\$6,978	\$6,695	\$6,430	\$6,207	\$6,105	\$5,846	\$5,555	\$5,268	\$4,973	\$4,725
16	Working Capital	Schedule 14, Ln. 11	\$33	\$36	\$36	\$39	\$59	\$54	\$44	\$43	\$34	\$36
17	ADIT	Schedule 11, Ln. 20	\$2,175	\$2,229	\$2,254	\$2,257	\$2,237	\$2,182	\$2,106	\$2,012	\$1,895	\$1,780
18	Rate Base	Lns (15+16-17)	\$4,836	\$4,503	\$4,212	\$3,989	\$3,927	\$3,718	\$3,493	\$3,299	\$3,113	\$2,981
19	Average Rate Base	(Ln.18+Prior Ln.18)/2	\$5,015	\$4,669	\$4,357	\$4,101	\$3,958	\$3,823	\$3,606	\$3,396	\$3,206	\$3,047

Illustrative State Tax Depreciaiton, 1977-1996:

Appropriate ROR and Capital Structure, Carriers' Inputs Otherwise (millions \$)

Exhibit 4 Schedule 9 Page 1 of 2

Carriers' Inputs Otherwise (millions \$)															
			Year	1 1977	2 1978	3 1979	4 1980	5 1981	6 1982	7 1983	8 1984	9 1985	10 1986	11 1987	12 1988
Depreciation Factors - (1977) Depreciation Factors - (1978) Depreciation Factors - (1979) Depreciation Factors - (1980) Depreciation Factors - (1981) Depreciation Factors - (1982-present)					4.00% 4.00% 4.00% 4.00% 11.15% 10.78%	4.00% 4.00% 4.00% 10.87% 10.20% 9.82%	4.00% 4.00% 10.84% 10.26% 9.58% 9.21%	4.00% 10.75% 10.23% 9.65% 8.97% 8.60%	10.22% 10.14% 9.61% 9.03% 8.35% 7.98%	9.61% 9.52% 9.00% 8.42% 7.74% 7.37%	9.00% 8.91% 8.39% 7.80% 7.13% 6.75%	8.38% 8.29% 7.77% 7.19% 6.51% 6.14%	7.77% 7.68% 7.16% 6.58% 5.90% 5.53%	7.15% 7.06% 6.54% 5.96% 5.28% 4.91%	6.54% 6.45% 5.93% 5.35% 4.30% 4.30%
Year	Property Additions [a] Exhibit 1, Lns. 3 - (1+2) ¹	AFUDC Additions [b] Sch. 6, Col 22	Tax Basis for Depreciation [d] = [a] + [b]												
1977 1 1978 2 1979 3 1980 4 1981 5 1982 6 1983 7 1984 8 1985 9 1986 10 1987 11 1988 12 1989 13 1990 14 1991 15 1992 16 1993 17 1994 18 1995 19	\$7,889 \$112 \$97 \$123 \$49 \$60 \$84 \$51 \$29 \$20 \$33 \$10 \$37 \$81 \$203 \$69 \$46 \$43 \$36	\$434 \$4 \$2 \$4 \$5 \$5 \$3 \$2 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1 \$4 \$3 \$2 \$1 \$1	\$8,322 \$117 \$99 \$128 \$54 \$65 \$87 \$53 \$29 \$20 \$33 \$10 \$37 \$82 \$206 \$72 \$47 \$45 \$37	\$476 \$000000000000000000000000000000000000	33	\$333 \$52 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$00 \$0	354300000000000000000000000000000000000	33 55 4 5 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	\$851 \$13 \$11 \$14 \$6 \$4 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$800 \$12 \$10 \$13 \$6 \$7 \$5 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$749 \$11 \$9 \$12 \$5 \$6 \$9 \$3 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$698 \$109 \$125 \$569 \$62 \$500 \$500 \$500 \$500 \$500 \$500 \$500 \$50	60081568853100000000000000000000000000000000000	5 9 8 0 4 5 8 5 3 2 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	\$544 \$8 \$7 \$9 \$4 \$5 \$7 \$5 \$3 \$2 \$4 \$1 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
	1996 20 \$29 \$1 \$30 Fotal State Tax Depreciation					\$340	\$344	\$348	\$898	\$852	\$806	\$755	\$703	\$651	\$598

For 1977, Exh. 1, Lns 3 - (1+2+10)

Illustrative State Tax Depreciaiton, 1977-1996:
Appropriate ROR and Capital Structure,
Carriers' Inputs Otherwise (millions \$)

Exhibit 4
Schedule 9

Carriers' Inp	outs Otherwise (millions \$)									age 2 of 2
			Year	13 1989	14 1990	15 1991	16 1992	17 1993	18 1994	19 1995	20 1996
Depreciation Depreciation Depreciation Depreciation	n Factors - (197 n Factors - (197 n Factors - (197 n Factors - (198 n Factors - (198 n Factors - (198	(8) (9) (0) (1)		5.93% 5.84% 5.32% 3.68% 3.68% 3.68%	5.31% 5.22% 3.07% 3.07% 3.07% 3.07%	2.46%	1.84% 1.84% 1.84% 1.84% 1.84%	1.23% 1.23% 1.23% 1.23% 1.23% 1.23%	0.61% 0.61% 0.61% 0.61% 0.61% 0.61%	0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	0.00% 0.00%
Year	Property Additions [a] Exhibit 1, Lns. 3 - (1+2) ¹	AFUDC Additions [b] Sch. 6, Col 22	Tax Basis for Depreciation [d] = [a] + [b]								
1977 1 1978 2 1979 3 1980 4 1981 5 1982 6 1983 7 1984 8 1985 9 1986 10 1987 11 1988 12 1989 13 1990 14 1991 15 1992 16 1993 17 1994 18 1995 19 1996 20	\$7,889 \$112 \$97 \$123 \$49 \$60 \$84 \$51 \$29 \$20 \$33 \$10 \$37 \$81 \$203 \$69 \$46 \$43 \$36 \$29	\$434 \$4 \$2 \$4 \$5 \$5 \$3 \$2 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1 \$4 \$3 \$2 \$1 \$1	\$8,322 \$117 \$99 \$128 \$54 \$65 \$87 \$53 \$29 \$20 \$33 \$10 \$37 \$82 \$206 \$72 \$47 \$45 \$37	33 8 6 8 8 4 4 4 6 4 3 2 3 1 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	247683446422314500000 \$	1 6 5 7 3 4 4 2 2 3 1 4 9 2 0 0 0 0 0 8 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	\$3 3 3 5 2 3 5 5 3 2 1 3 3 8 2 4 0 0 0 0 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	\$10224423443211213880833008 \$108544233455555555555555555555555555555555	\$51 \$12 \$32 \$44 \$32 \$137 \$197 \$530 \$530 \$530 \$530 \$530 \$530 \$530 \$530	\$0 \$1 \$1 \$2 \$1 \$2 \$1 \$1 \$1 \$1 \$1 \$1 \$1 \$1 \$1 \$1 \$1 \$1 \$1	\$0 \$1 2 1 \$3 \$4 \$4 \$5 \$5 \$6 \$6 \$4 \$4 \$4 \$5 \$5 \$6 \$6 \$6 \$6 \$6 \$6 \$6 \$6 \$6 \$6 \$6 \$6 \$6
Total State	Tax Depreciat	ion		\$545	\$496	\$456	\$222	\$171	\$117	\$64	\$60

For 1977, Exh. 1, Lns 3 - (1+2+10)

Illustrative Federal Tax Depreciaiton, 1977-1996:

Appropriate ROR and Capital Structure,
Carriers' Inputs Otherwise (millions \$)

Exhibit 4 Schedule 10 Page 1 of 2

												age 1 of 2				
				Year	1 1977	2 1978	3 1979	4 1980	5 1981	6 1982	7 1983	8 1984	9 1985	10 1986	11 1987	12 1988
Depreciation	Depreciation Factors - (1977-1980) Depreciation Factors - (1981-1986) Depreciation Factors - (1987-Present)					10.78% 22.00% 9.50%	9.82% 21.00% 8.55%	9.21% 21.00% 7.70%	8.60% 21.00% 6.93%	7.98% 0.00% 6.23%	7.37% 0.00% 5.90%	6.75% 0.00% 5.90%	6.14% 0.00% 5.90%	5.53% 0.00% 5.90%	4.91% 0.00% 5.90%	4.30% 0.00% 5.90%
Year	Property Addition [a] Lns. 3 - (1+2)1	AFUDC Addition [b] Sch. 6, Col 22	TEFRA Adjustment [c] Sch. 12, Ln. 3	Tax Basis for Depreciation [d] = [a] + [b] - [c]												
1977 1 1978 2 1979 3 1980 4 1981 5 1982 6 1983 7 1984 8 1985 9 1986 10 1987 11 1988 12 1989 13 1990 14 1991 15 1992 16 1993 17 1994 18 1995 19	\$7,889 \$112 \$97 \$123 \$49 \$60 \$84 \$51 \$29 \$20 \$33 \$10 \$37 \$81 \$203 \$69 \$46 \$43 \$36	\$434 \$4 \$2 \$4 \$5 \$5 \$3 \$2 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1 \$4 \$3 \$2 \$1 \$1	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$8,322 \$117 \$99 \$128 \$54 \$65 \$83 \$50 \$28 \$20 \$33 \$10 \$37 \$82 \$206 \$72 \$47 \$45 \$37	\$476 \$000000000000000000000000000000000000	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	8136000000000000000000000000000000000000	\$767 \$11 \$11 \$7 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$715 \$1104 \$104 \$104 \$104 \$104 \$104 \$104 \$10	\$664 \$10 \$13 \$12 \$10 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$613 \$8 \$12 \$114 \$10 \$10 \$10 \$10 \$10 \$10 \$10 \$10 \$10 \$10	\$562 \$9 \$8 \$11 \$14 \$18 \$7 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$511 \$8 \$7 \$10 \$11 \$14 \$17 \$11 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$460 \$77 \$90 \$117 \$110 \$30 \$90 \$90 \$90 \$90 \$90 \$90 \$90	\$409 \$66 \$90 \$170 \$110 \$420 \$90 \$90 \$90 \$90 \$90 \$90 \$90 \$90 \$90 \$9	\$ 5 6 5 5 8 0 0 0 0 0 6 4 3 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
1996 20 Total Federa	1996 20 \$29 \$1 \$0 \$30 Total Federal Tax Depreciation						\$0 \$836	\$0 \$796	\$0 \$758	\$0 \$718	\$0 \$681	\$0 \$640	\$0 \$594	\$0 \$534	\$0 \$470	\$0 \$401

¹ For 1977, Exh. 1, Lns 3 - (1+2+10)

Illustrative Federal Tax Depreciaiton, 1977-1996:
Appropriate ROR and Capital Structure,
Carriers' Inputs Otherwise (millions \$)

Exhibit 4
Schedule 10
Page 2 of 2

Carrier	s' Inpu	its Otherwise	(millions \$)									P	age 2 of 2
					Year	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
						1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996
Depred	ciation	Factors - (197	77-1980)			3.68%	3.07%	2.46%	1.84%	1.23%	0.61%	0.00%	0.00%
Deprec	ciation	Factors - (198	81-1986)			0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
		Factors - (198				5.90%	5.90%	5.90%	2.95%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
		,	,										
		Property	AFUDC	TEFRA	Tax Basis for								
Ye	ar	Addition	Addition	Adjustment	Depreciation								
		[a]	[b]	[c]	[d] = [a] + [b] - [c]								
		Lns. 3 -	Sch. 6, Col	Sch. 12,									
		(1+2)1	22	Ln. 3									
		,											
1977	1	\$7,889	\$434	\$0	\$8,322	\$307	\$256	\$204	\$153	\$102	\$51	\$0	\$0
1978	2	\$112	\$4	\$0	\$117	\$5	\$4	\$4	\$3	\$2	\$1	\$1	\$0
1979	3	\$97	\$2	\$0	\$99	\$5	\$4	\$4	\$3	\$2	\$2	\$1	\$1
1980	4	\$123	\$4	\$0	\$128	\$7	\$6	\$5	\$5	\$4	\$3	\$2	\$2
1981	5	\$49	\$5	\$0	\$54	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1982	6	\$60	\$5	\$0	\$65	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1983	7	\$84	\$3	\$4	\$83	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1984	8	\$51	\$2	\$3	\$50	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1985	9	\$29	\$0	\$1	\$28	\$6	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1986	10	\$20	\$0	\$0	\$20	\$4	\$4	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
1987	11	\$33	\$0	\$0	\$33	\$3	\$3	\$2	\$2	\$2	\$2	\$2	\$2
1988	12	\$10	\$0	\$0	\$10	\$1	\$1	\$1	\$1	\$1	\$1	\$1	\$1
1989	13	\$37	\$0	\$0	\$37	\$2	\$4	\$3	\$3	\$3	\$2	\$2	\$2
1990	14	\$81	\$1	\$0	\$82	\$0	\$4	\$8	\$7	\$6	\$6	\$5	\$5
1991	15	\$203	\$4	\$0	\$206	\$0	\$0	\$10	\$20	\$18	\$16	\$14	\$13
1992	16	\$69	\$3	\$0	\$72	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4	\$7	\$6	\$6	\$5
1993	17	\$46	\$2	\$0	\$47	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2	\$4	\$4	\$4
1994	18	\$43	\$1	\$0	\$45	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2	\$4	\$4
1995	19	\$36	\$1	\$0	\$37	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2	\$4
1996	20	\$29	\$1	\$0	\$30	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1
Total F	Total Federal Tax Depreciation							\$241	\$200	\$149	\$97	\$44	\$42

¹ For 1977, Exh. 1, Lns 3 - (1+2+10)