SOAH Docket No. 473-21-2606 PUC Docket No. 52195 FMI's 2nd, Q. No. FMI 2-4 Attachment 1 Page 19 of 28

> PVNGS UNIT #3 MONTHLY OPERATING WORKSHEET December 2017

		January 2017	February 2017	March 2017	April 2017	May 2017	June 2017	July 2017	August 2017	September 2017	October 2017	November 2017	December 2017
	1 Hours in Month (HRS)	744	672	744	720	744	720	744	744	720	744	720	744
	2 Generator On-Line (HRS)	744.0	672.0	744.00	720.00	624.12	705.73	744.00	744.00	720.00	744.00	720.00	744.00
(1)	3 Unit Reserve (HRS)	0	0	0.0	0:0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5	9 Adjusted Net (MVVHe)	993,425.34	895,074.00	989,113.26	953,546.63	709,891.22	910,719.13	971,889.10	973,996.31	947,431.91	983,918.23	954,324.67	990,251.75
15	3 Net Max Depend Cap (MW)	1312	1312	1312	1312	1312	1312	1312	1312	1312	1312	1312	1312
15	3 Capacity Factor (MDC) (%)	101.8%	101.5%	101.3%	100.9%	72.7%	96.4%	99.6%	99.8%	100.3%	100.8%	101.0%	101.4%
20	D Equiv Avail Factor (%)	66.7%	99.4%	99.3%	98.9%	72.1%	94.7%	97.7%	97.9%	88.4%	%6'86	%0.66	99.4%
35	5 Equiv Unit Derated Hrs	1.71	2.09	2.60	4.87	83.93	17.61	5.20	5.19	1.89	2.02	2.63	2.37
36	S Equiv Season Derated Hrs	0.53	1.81	2.47	2.85	3.93	6.59	11.58	10.21	9.68	6.27	4.59	2.32
37	7 Equiv Forced Derated Hrs.	1.05	0.95	1.05	1.02	80.25	13.11	1.05	1.06	1.01	1.04	1.03	0.95
	EAF- Calculation	99.7%	99.4%	99.3%	98.9%	72.1%	94.7%	97.7%	97.9%	98.4%	98.9%	99.0%	99.4%
	Cap Factor (MDC) Calculation	101.8%	101.5%	101.3%	100.9%	72.7%	96.4%	99.6%	99.8%	100.3%	100.8%	101.0%	101.4%

SOAH Docket No. 473-21-2606 PUC Docket No. 52195 FMI's 2nd, Q. No. FMI 2-4 Attachment 1 Page 19 of 28

PVNGS UNIT #1 MONTHLY OPERATING WORKSHEET December 2018

		January 2018	February 2018	March 2018	April 2018	May 2018	June 2018	July 2018	August 2018	September 2018	October 2018	November 2018	December 2018
1	Hours in Month (HRS)	744	672	744	720	744	720	744	744	720	744	720	744
2	Generator On-Line (HRS)	744.00	590.82	744.00	720.00	744.00	720.00	720.37	744.00	720.00	744.00	720.00	744.00
3	Unit Reserve (HRS)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0
9	Adjusted Net (MWHe)	995,289.60	759,808.01	992,317.98	821,589.83	990,348.25	951,141.71	839,991.94	976,824.28	950,843.10	986,854.77	961,142.04	994,726.52
13	Net Max Depend Cap (MVV)	1311.0	1311.0	1311.0	1311.0	1311.0	1311	1311	1311	1311	1311	1311.0	1311
18	Capacity Factor (MDC) (%)	102.0%	86.2%	101.7%	87.0%	101.5%	100.8%	86.1%	100.1%	100.7%	101.2%	101.8%	102.0%
20	Equiv Avail Factor (%)	100.0%	84.9%	99.5%	85.8%	99.4%	98.7%	84.9%	98.1%	98.7%	99.1%	99.8%	99.9%
35	Equiv Unit Derated Hrs	1.32	21.11	4.25	99.42	0.97	4.10	75.77	1.72	0.88	0.83	1.15	1.35
36	Equiv Season Derated Hrs	-0.95	-0.63	-0.37	3.11	3.79	5.46	12.96	12.28	8.62	5.59	0.10	-0.58
37	Equiv Forced Derated Hrs	0.00	19.85	1.34	97.75	0.00	1.93	73.23	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	EAF- Calculation	100.0%	84.9%	99.5%	85.8%	99.4%	98.7%	84.9%	98.1%	98.7%	99.1%	99.8%	99.9%
	Cap Factor (MDC) Calculation	102.0%	86.2%	101.7%	87.0%	101.5%	100.8%	86.1%	100.1%	100.7%	101.2%	101.8%	102.0%

SOAH Docket No. 473-21-2606 PUC Docket No. 52195 FMI's 2nd, Q. No. FMI 2-4 Attachment 1 Page 21 of 28

PWNGS UNIT #2 MONTHLY OPERATING WORKSHEET December 2018

		January 2018	February 2018	March 2018	April 2018	May 2018	June 2018	July 2018	August 2018	September 2018	October 2018	November 2018	December 2018
1	Hours in Month (HRS)	744	672	744	720	744	720	744	744	720	744	720	744
2	Generator On-Line (HRS)	744.00	672.00	744.00	720.00	661.08	720.00	744.00	744.00	720.00	120.00	0.00	634.73
3	Unit Reserve (HRS)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
9	Adjusted Net (MWHe)	999,092.98	902,468.30	996,444.93	959,689.91	852,902.44	951,788.54	978,808.82	977,194.72	914,348.98	135,990.55	0.00	789,296.31
13	Net Max Depend Cap (MW)	1314	1314	1314	1314	1314	1314	1314	1314	1314	1314	1314	1314
18	Capacity Factor (MDC) (%)	102.2%	102.2%	101.9%	101.4%	87.2%	100.6%	100.1%	100.0%	96.6%	13.9%	0.0%	80.7%
20	Equiv Avail Factor (%)	100.2%	100.3%	100.0%	99.6%	85.9%	99.0%	98.3%	98.2%	95.1%	14.2%	0.0%	79.8%
35	Equiv Unit Derated Hrs	0.56	0.21	1.28	2.44	20.69	2.92	0.87	0.33	25.25	12.92	0.00	41.51
36	Equiv Season Derated Hrs	-1.95	(1.95)	(1.21)	0.76	1.22	4.43	11.74	13.32	10.19	1.27	0.00	(0.13)
37	Equiv Forced Derated Hrs	0.00	0.00	0.63	1.57	19.61	0.08	0.00	0.00	17.35	0.00	0.00	0.37
	EAF- Calculation	100.2%	100.3%	100.0%	99.6%	85.9%	99.0%	98.3%	98.2%	95.1%	14.2%	0.0%	79.8%
	Cap Factor (MDC) Calculation	102.2%	102.2%	101.9%	101.4%	87.2%	100.6%	100.1%	100.0%	96.6%	13.9%	0.0%	80.7%

PVNGS UNIT #3 MONTHLY OPERATING WORKSHEET December 2018

%0.101	%8.001	%E.001	%8`66	%8.66	%7 [°] E6	%2`68	%9.08	%2.61	%E.101	%7°101	%1.101	Cap Factor (MDC) Calculation	
%6`86	%8`86	%7 [`] 86	%8`26	%7 [*] 26	%6'16	%9.88	%9 [·] 62	%8.61	%E`66	%E`66	%E`66	EAF- Calculation	
00.0	00.0	90'0	00.0	00.0	15.92	00.0	00.0	00.0	0.26	00.0	96'0	Equiv Forced Derated Hrs	75
3.53	9.20	<u>78.8</u>	13.04	69.91	14.52	07.8	96'9	1.23	4.00	2.85	5.79	Equiv Season Derated Hrs	98
94.45	3.12	3.25	5.53	2.32	34.33	19.1	32.23	88.E	95.1	26°I	2.62	Equiv Unit Derated Hrs	32
%6`86	%8`86	%7`86	%8`26	%7 ⁻ 26	%6'16	%9.88	%9 ⁻ 62	%E'6I	%E`66	%E`66	%5.66	Equiv Avail Factor (%)	50
%0.101	%8.001	%E.001	%8`66	%E`66	63'7%	%2.68	%9.08	%2.61	%5.101	%t.101	%t.101	Capacity Factor (MDC) (%)	81
1312	1312	1312	1312	1312	1312	1312	1312	1312	1312	1312	1312	(WM) qs⊃ bneqen XsM teV	13
01.364,886	79.141,229	06'062'826	642,363.19	60`995`696	911,508.40	£9.735,748	£8.200,787	181,326.26	988,662.90	02.877,868	18.748,689	(9HWM) t9V b9tauįbA	6
0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0	Unit Reserve (HRS)	3
744.00	720.00	744.00	720.00	744.00	732.53	647.20	633.43	143'96	744.00	0.270	0.44.0	Generator On-Line (HRS)	5
744	720	744	720	744	744	720	744	720	744	729	744	(SAH) dtnoM ni anoH	۱.
December 2018	November 2018	October 2018	September 2018	8102 teuguA	July 2018	8102 ənu l	8102 YeM	8r0S lingA	March 2018	February 2018	January 2018		
							U3R20	U3R20					

PVNGS UNIT #1 MONTHLY OPERATING WORKSHEET December 2019

					U1R21	U1R21							
		January 2019	February 2019	March 2019	April 2019	May 2019	June 2019	July 2019	August 2019	September 2019	October 2019	November 2019	December 2019
1	Hours in Month (HRS)	744	672	744	720	744	720	744	744	720	744	720	744
2	Generator On-Line (HRS)	744.00	672.00	744.00	120.00	547.37	720.00	744.00	744.00	720.00	744.00	720.00	744.00
3	Unit Reserve (HRS)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0
9	Adjusted Net (MVVHe)	994,351.63	898,111.47	992,691.66	152,861.86	671,818.20	953,457.72	980,065.07	.977,512.86	949,582.29	991,218.78	959,214.89	994,281.81
13	Net Max Depend Cap (MVV)	1311.0	1311.0	1311.0	1311.0	1311.0	1311	1311	1311	1311	1311	1311.0	1311
18	Capacity Factor (MDC) (%)	101.9%	101.9%	101.8%	16.2%	68.9%	101.0%	100.5%	100.2%	100.6%	101.6%	101.6%	101.9%
20	Equiv Avail Factor (%)	99.8%	99.8%	99.6%	16.3%	68.2%	98.9%	98.3%	98.1%	98.6%	99.6%	99.6%	99.9%
35	Equiv Unit Derated Hrs	1.65	1.60	1.41	1.87	37.55	2.46	2.64	3.30	1.44	0.60	1.90	0.63
36	Equiv Season Derated Hrs	0.05	-0.33	1.48	0.52	2.25	5.81	9.64	10.64	8.82	2.32	0.87	0.26
37	Equiv Forced Derated Hrs	0.00	0.03	0.41	0.30	0.55	0.04	0.00	0.64	0.01	0.00	0.10	0.00
	EAF- Calculation	99.8%	99.8%	99.6%	16.3%	68.2%	98.9%	98.3%	98.1%	98.6%	99.6%	99.6%	99.9%
	Cap Factor (MDC) Calculation	101.9%	101.9%	101.8%	16.2%	68.9%	101.0%	100.5%	100.2%	100.6%	101.6%	101.6%	101.9%

SOAH Docket No. 473-21-2606 PUC Docket No. 52195 FMI's 2nd, Q. No. FMI 2-4 Attachment 1 Page 24 of 28

PVNGS UNIT #2 MONTHLY @PERATING WOR	KSHEET
December 2019	

		January 2019	February 2019	March 2019	April 2019	May 2019	June 2019	July 2019	August 2019	September 2018	October 2019	November 2019	December 2019
1	Hours in Month (HRS)	744	672	744	720	744	720	744	744	720	744	720	744
2	Generator On-Line (HRS)	744.00	672.00	744.00	720.00	744.00	720.00	744.00	654.23	720.00	744.00	720.00	744.00
3	Unit Reserve (HRS)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
9	Adjusted Net (MVVHe)	997,771.71	899,976.81	991,739.11	958,152.56	990,810.36	955,282.77	983,955.72	833,876.40	948,993.61	920,395.70	959,655.60	993,899.94
13	Net Max Depend Cap (MW)	1314	1314	1314	1314	1314	1314	1314	1314	1314	1314	1314	1314
18	Capacity Factor (MDC) (%)	102.1%	101.9%	101.4%	101.3%	101.3%	101.0%	100.6%	85.3%	100.3%	94.1%	101.4%	101.7%
20	Equiv Avail Factor (%)	99.9%	99.9%	99.4%	99.3%	99.4%	99.1%	98.8%	84.2%	98.5%	92.7%	99.2%	99.6%
35	Equiv Unit Derated Hrs	1.81	2.77	3.70	3.16	3.61	3.55	1.35	19.18	4.95	53.99	6.20	5.28
- 36	Equiv Season Derated Hrs	-1.26	(1.95)	0.58	1.94	0.79	3.06	7.63	8.66	5.81	0.21	(0.36)	(2.58)
-37	Equiv Forced Derated Hrs	0.80	0.05	0.20	0.82	0.00	0.00	0.00	17.71	2.35	51.04	1.82	1.12
	EAF- Calculation	99.9%	99.9%	99.4%	99.3%	99.4%	99.1%	98.8%	84.2%	98.5%	92.7%	99.2%	99.6%
	Cap Factor (MDC) Calculation	102.1%	101.9%	101.4%	101.3%	101.3%	101.0%	100.6%	85.3%	100.3%	94.1%	101.4%	101.7%

PVNGS UNIT #3 MONTHLY OPERATING WORKSHEET December 2019

		January 2019	February 2019	March 2019	April 2019	May 2019	June 2019	July 2019	August 2019	September 2019	October 2019	November 2019	December 2019
1	Hours in Month (HRS)	744	672	744	720	744	720	744	744	720	744	720	744
2	Generator On-Line (HRS)	744.0	672.0	744.00	720.00	744.00	720.00	744.00	744.00	720.00	96.00	235.30	744.00
3	Unit Reserve (HRS)	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
9	Adjusted Net (MWHe)	986,139.26	888,255.83	982,306.75	951,348.28	980,950.90	945,248.47	972,036.21	970,143.15	940,220.13	116,080.08	254,863.21	982,098.38
13	Net Max Depend Cap (MW)	1312	1312	1312	1312	1312	1312	1312	1312	1312	1312	1312	1312
18	Capacity Factor (MDC) (%)	101.0%	100.7%	100.6%	100.7%	100.5%	100.1%	99.6%	99.4%	99.5%	11.9%	27.0%	100.6%
20	Equiv Avail Factor (%)	98.9%	98.9%	98.7%	98.8%	98.6%	98.2%	97.7%	97.6%	97.7%	12.2%	27.5%	98.6%
35	Equiv Unit Derated Hrs	3.43	2.95	3.51	2.01	2.88	2.56	2.30	1.85	3.05	4.12	35.57	6.43
36	Equiv Season Derated Hrs	4.90	4.47	6.42	6.98	7.90	10.65	14.74	16.16	13.40	1.17	1.50	4.21
37	Equiv Forced Derated Hrs	2.00	1.78	2.00	0.12	0.82	0.81	0.63	0.74	0.68	0.06	1.47	1.76
	EAF- Calculation	98.9%	98.9%	98.7%	98.8%	98.6%	98.2%	97.7%	97.6%	97.7%	12.2%	27.5%	98.6%
	Cap Factor (MDC) Calculation	101.0%	100.7%	100.6%	100.7%	100.5%	100.1%	99.6%	99.4%	99.5%	11.9%	27.0%	100.6%

PVNGS UNIT #1 MONTHLY OPERATING WORKSHEET December 2020

		January 2020	February 2020	March 2020	April 2019	May 2020	June 2020	July 2020	August 2020	September 2020	October 2020	November 2020	December 2020
1	Hours in Month (HRS)	744	696	744	720	744	720	744	744	720	744	720	744
2	Generator On-Line (HRS)	744.00	696.00	744.00	720.00	744.00	720.00	744.00	744.00	720.00	216.00	0.00	677.88
3	Unit Reserve (HRS)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0
9	Adjusted Net (MWHe)	995,024.41	927,991.91	991,350.05	960,890.43	989,125.28	953,859.15	977,451.62	972,941.35	949,344.33	269,850.14	0.00	830,649.74
13	Net Max Depend Cap (MVV)	1311.0	1311.0	1311.0	1311.0	1311.0	1311	1311	1311	1311	1311	1311.0	1311
18	Capacity Factor (MDC) (%)	102.0%	101.7%	101.6%	101.8%	101.4%	101.1%	100.2%	99.7%	100.6%	27.7%	0.0%	85.2%
20	Equiv Avail Factor (%)	99.8%	99.6%	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%	99.0%	98.2%	97.8%	98.5%	27.5%	0.0%	83.8%
35	Equiv Unit Derated Hrs	1.42	2.69	1.42	0.73	1.18	2.41	4.76	7.96	4.73	10.93	0.00	57.53
36	Equiv Season Derated Hrs	-0.21	0.15	1.95	1.12	3.95	5.05	8.75	8.69	5.76	0.52	0.00	-2.98
37	Equiv Forced Derated Hrs	0.03	0.91	0.72	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.29	0.00	8.11
	EAF- Calculation	99.8%	99.6%	99.5%	99.7%	99.3%	99.0%	98.2%	97.8%	98.5%	27.5%	0.0%	83.8%
	Cap Factor (MDC) Calculation	102.0%	101.7%	101.6%	101.8%	101.4%	101.1%	100.2%	99.7%	100.6%	27.7%	0.0%	85.2%

SOAH Docket No. 473-21-2606 PUC Docket No. 52195 FMI's 2nd, Q. No. FMI 2-4 Attachment 1 Page 27 of 28

DV/ND	SSTINIT #2 MONTH & ODEDATING WORKSHEET
E-V 14	22 DIVIT #2 MONTHER OFERATING WORKSPIEL
	Ď
	December 2020

	January 2020	February 2020	March 2020	April 2020	May 2020	June 2020	July 2020	August 2020	September 2020	October 2020	November 2020	December 2020
1 Hours in Month (HRS)	744	696	744	720	744	720	744	744	720	744	720	744
2 Generator On-Line (HRS)	744.00	696.00	646.17	71.87	640.50	720.00	744.00	744.00	720.00	744.00	720.00	744.00
3 Unit Reserve (HRS)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
9 Adjusted Net (MWHe)	997,550.14	932,018.88	824,245.37	88,821.84	801,303.83	954,477.92	980,914.87	978,848.36	953,100.31	992,461.62	965,796.19	996,834.07
13 Net Max Depend Cap (MW)	1314	1314	1314	1314	1314	1314	1314	1314	1314	1314	1314	1314
18 Capacity Factor (MDC) (%)	102.0%	101.9%	84.3%	9.4%	82.0%	100.9%	100.3%	100.1%	100.7%	101.5%	102.1%	102.0%
20 Equiv Avail Factor (%)	99.6%	99.9%	83.2%	9.7%	80.9%	98.9%	98.4%	98.2%	98.7%	99.4%	100.0%	99.7%
35 Equiv Unit Derated Hrs	5.09	2.92	24.95	1.99	36.17	4.07	3.49	4.29	4.26	4.51	2.32	5.35
36 Equiv Season Derated Hrs	-2.42	(1.97)	1.92	0.22	2.13	3.72	8.27	8.85	4.79	(0.32)	(2.04)	(3.26)
37 Equiv Forced Derated Hrs	1.02	0.15	21.04	0.00	2.49	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.76	0.01	1.92
EAF- Calculation	99.6%	99.9%	83.2%	9.7%	80.9%	98.9%	98.4%	98.2%	98.7%	99.4%	100.0%	99.7%
Cap Factor (MDC) Calculation	102.0%	101.9%	84.3%	9.4%	82.0%	100.9%	100.3%	100.1%	100.7%	101.5%	102.1%	102.0%

PVNGS UNIT #3 MONTHLY OPERATING WORKSHEET December 2020

		January 2020	February 2020	March 2020	April 2020	May 2020	June 2020	July 2020	August 2020	September 2020	October 2020	November 2020	December 2020
1	Hours in Month (HRS)	744	696	744	720	744	720	744	744	720	744	720	744
2	Generator On-Line (HRS)	744.0	499.02	744.00	720.00	744.00	720.00	744.00	744.00	720.00	744.00	720.00	744.00
3	Unit Reserve (HRS)	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
9	Adjusted Net (MWHe)	983,419.59	631,602.01	980,589.14	949,586.19	978,830.41	943,222.47	970,701.55	969,282.10	943,864.23	980,857.25	949,805.21	985,824.42
13	Net Max Depend Cap (MW)	1312	1312	1312	1312	1312	1312	1312	1312	1312	1312	1312	1312
18	Capacity Factor (MDC) (%)	100.7%	69.2%	100.5%	100.5%	100.3%	99.8%	99.4%	99.3%	99.9%	100.5%	100.5%	101.0%
20	Equiv Avail Factor (%)	98.7%	68.4%	98.4%	98.6%	98.3%	97.9%	97.6%	97.4%	98.0%	98.5%	98.6%	98.9%
35	Equiv Unit Derated Hrs	5.64	20.77	6.17	3.27	3.18	4.75	3.09	3.01	3.09	3.53	5.40	4.72
36	Equiv Season Derated Hrs	4.37	2.33	5.79	7.03	9.16	10.14	15.06	16.01	11.31	7.48	4.79	3.48
37	Equiv Forced Derated Hrs	1.53	17.62	2.61	0.07	0.13	0.958	0.49	0.64	0.39	0.42	0.52	0.19
	EAF- Calculation	98.7%	68.4%	98.4%	98.6%	98.3%	97.9%	97.6%	97.4%	98.0%	98.5%	98.6%	98.9%
	Cap Factor (MDC) Calculation	100.7%	69.2%	100.5%	100.5%	100.3%	99.8%	99.4%	99.3%	99.9%	100.5%	100.5%	101.0%

SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-21-2606 PUC DOCKET NO. 52195

APPLICATION OF EL PASO	§	BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE
ELECTRIC COMPANY TO CHANGE	§	OF
RATES	§	ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY'S RESPONSE TO FREEPORT-MCMORAN, INC'S SECOND REQUEST FOR INFORMATION QUESTION NOS. FMI 2-1 THROUGH FMI 2-19

FMI 2-5:

Referring to EPE's Response to CEP 1-26, Schedule H-5-2b:

- a. Please explain in detail the benefit analysis used by EPE for the capital costs. For projects where EPE did not perform a benefit analysis, please explain why not.
- b. Please provide the detailed benefit analyses for the projects identified by EPE where a benefit analysis was performed. Please provide in Excel format with all formulas and links intact.

RESPONSE:

a. Please refer to El Paso Electric Company's ("EPE") response to FMI 2-3 for information on benefit analysis. Not all projects have a cost benefit analysis performed. Cost benefit analyses are not performed with projects that are considered to be non-discretionary. An example of a non-discretionary project would be the Newman Lake Liner Replacement Project. An example of a discretionary project would be the U4/GT1 & GT2 Turbine Capital Replacement Parts (W501B6) Project (see FMI 2-5, Attachment 10). EPE performs cost benefits analyses for projects that are discretionary or for which alternatives are available.

For every generation capital project whose costs are estimated to be at least \$100,000, EPE performs a business justification analysis as part of routine management review and monitoring. Please refer to EPE's response to CEP 13-7.

b. Project cost-benefit analyses can be found in FMI 2-5, Attachments 1 through 27.

Preparer:	Pedro Vega	Title:	Senior Accountant – Power Generation
Sponsor:	J Kyle Olson	Title:	Manager – Power Generation Engineering

Original Version:	06/16/2016
Date of Current Version:	06/16/2016
Dates Revised:	Click here to enter a date.

PROJECT OVERVIEW							
Project Descript	ion:* MPS Portable RO_EDI Water Treatment System	Project Manager:* Stephanie Lopez					
Project Number	* GM106	Project Manager Phone Number:* 915-543-5865, 915-487-0620					
Owning Cost Ce	nter:* 5140	Project Location:* 55-TX Montana Station Common					
Business Segme	nt:* 180 Montana Station Common	Must tie to Upload Template Total Project Cost; excludes AFUDC Total Project Cost:* \$458,458					
Has the RFP pro If yes, Bid Estim	cess been completed? 🗌 Yes 🛛 No ate Date:*	Excludes AFUDC; mandatory if RFP process complete Bid Estimate:*					
First month of cash estimates Anticipated Start Date:* 02/01/2017 Last month of cash estimates							
Enter "N/A" if no a	associated projects	And particular of the second s					
Associated Proje	ects:* N/A						
Project overview portable water trea	v/scope:* This project consists of developing a specification atment equipment.	on, obtaining bids, purchasing equipment, installation and start-up of					
	External Mandate (and/or):						
Reason for	○ Obligation to Serve/Reliability □ Safety □ Program □ Law □ Other Describe: Montana Power Station has added two more units and therefore the water requirements have doubled from last year. The existing reverse osmosis/electrodeionization (RO/EDI) unit has produced good quality water but has been jeopardized a number of times this past year (2016) leaving Montana without an RO/EDI. Copper, Rio, and Newman Power Plants have also experienced a need for a portable unit in the past. Newman Power Station has experienced a shortage of Deionization (DI) water and had to rent portable units. The company providing the units did not have one readily available and this caused a derate. Renting a portable unit is costly and not always readily available. Therefore, owning a portable unit will reduce the risk of El Paso Electric being derated due to a shortage of DI water.						
Expenditure:*	Internal or Customer Request:						
	Capacity Expansion/Growth If yes, Doltage/Load Future Expansion Identify customer, if applicable: El Paso Electric P What is the timing requirement?	Customer Load ower Generation Stations					
	System Performance and Reliability (describe): Ultra-pu	ire water production requirements.					
	Quality Enhancement/New Technology (describe):						
	Other (describe):						
Has Environmen	ntal been contacted? 🗌 Yes 🛛 No 🛛 If "No", pleas	e explain why not? There is no Environmental impact due to this project.					
List and provide evidence for expenditure (i.e. impact study and/or load forecast including version & date): Renting a 100 gpm RO unit from GE Mobile Water costs \$2,500 onetime prep charge, plus \$640/day rental, and \$0.30/1000 gallons permeate produced. In addition, to rent the EDI trailer it costs \$5,000 onetime prep charge, plus a \$500/day rental charge. These numbers are based on a daily rate at a 30 day term.							
Does this projec	t replace another asset? 🗌 Yes 🛛 🛛 🛛 🛛 If ye	s, please identify asset being replaced:					
Please list majo	r milestones:*						
Milestone:	D	Completed by:					
Develop Engineeri	ng Plan Terrena la Gradi Gradi and Bran and Birda. Engla da and Ing ang BG	08/01/2016					
Develop Water Tre	earment Specifications, Request Bids, Evaluate and Issue PC	. 10/03/2016					
	capactions ave tree 070 \$20 000	02/00/2017					
	connections exp type $0/0$ \$30,000	0//02/2017					
Start un System	חברונש מהת כטווויפנווטווש פגף נקפי טיט אבש,טטט	06/05/2017					
Closing of Project		07/31/2017					

			C	lick	here to	o en	ter a d	date.					
Please list internal and extern	ease list internal and external resources assigned to this project:*												
Resource Name:	Department/Company:		H	ave	you co	onta	cted t	his resource	e about	the p	oroject &	related ti	ming:
Power Plant Personnel	El Paso Electric Power G	Seneration			es		No	If no, explain	why not:	<u> </u>		r	
External TBD	IBD				es		NO	If no, explain	why not:	Goin	g out for	DIOS.	
					'es		No	If no, explain	why not:				
					es l		No	If no, explain	why not:				
					'es		No	If no, explain	why not:				
			ī	-] Y	es		No	If no, explain	why not:				
] Y	es		No	If no, explain	why not:				
] Y	es		No	If no, explain	why not:				
					es		No	If no, explain	why not:				
					es	<u>Ц</u>	NO	If no, explain	why not:				
Please identify any risks and/	or constraints related to	this proje	L		es		NO	If no, explain	wny not:				
r lease identity any risks and		ans proje											
		CASH F	LOW ANAI	_YS	SIS								
Proposed Project:*													
A Capital Budget Upload Template located under the 2017 Capital Bu	for all proposed projects m Indget May 2016 Actuals fold	ust be sub er in Liveli	mitted separ nk.	ately	y. Plea	ase	use th	e <u>GEN Tem</u>	plates I	Ready	/ for Upda	<mark>ates</mark> form	
Do your cash flows include any i	incremental revenue enhanc	ements an	nd/or cost rec	lucti	ions?							es 🛛	No
. If you place describe in d	atail (huwaar if pacacaan).												
 If yes, please describe in d If ves, please identify the c 	late to verify that the benefi	ts of the p	roiect have b	been	n imple	emer	nted:						
	,		,										
Alternative Project													I
A financial analysis is required only	when alternatives are con	idered If	f applicable in	an N	IDV an	alve		t be cubmit	tad for	oach	alternatio	o Diess	0.1100
the Generation Cash Flow for Alter	<u>mative</u> form located under t	he <i>2017 C</i>	<i>Capital Budge</i>	t Ma	ay 2010	6 AC	tuals	folde <u>r</u> in Liv	elink.	caun	allemau	ve. rieas	e use
		Describe	e alternativ	es:	Leavi	ng tl	he sys	tem as is w	hich ind	crease	es the risl	< of derat	ing
Were alternatives considered?	🖈 🛛 Yes 🗌 No	incase of	shortage of	DI V	water.	Ren	ting, v	which is not	cost ef	fectiv	e and not	t always r Station in	eadily
		portable.				ciui	ig chi			Jianu	C FOWCI	Station III	10 a
What was the reason for selec	ting the proposed project	t over the	e alternativ	es o	consic	lere	ed? R	eliability and	d cost.				
	CHECKLI	ST FOR I	ITEMS TO	BE	SUB	МІТ	TED						
Have all the applicable line ite	ms on the Business Case O	verview bee	en completed	1?				\boxtimes	Yes		No		
Do the milestones you identifi	ed take into consideration a	vailability o	of resources?	6] Yes		No		
Cash flow projection with deta	ail must be submitted for the	e project, is	s it enclosed?	?				X	Yes		No		
Did you provide supporting documents for cash flows (i.e. L&R, Promod)] Yes		No		
Does the Total Project	t Cost on you	ur ca	ash flo	w a	nalysis	s? 🛛 🖾	Yes		No				
If applicable, did you a	attach the financial analysis	for each al	lternative?] Yes		No	🖾 N/A	(
Please provide additional info	rmation not disclosed fo	r the com	nmittee's co	onsi	derati	ion:						<u> </u>	
Preparer:*													
Name: Stephanie M. Lopez													
Date:06/16/2016													

Generation Business Case Overview

Original Version:	07/26/2018
Date of Current Version:	11/30/2018
Dates Revised:	11/30/2018

	PROJECT OVE	RVIEW				
Project Descript	ion:* MONTANA CAP SPARE CRITICAL PARTS	Project Manager:* Albert Montano				
Project Number	:* GM120	Project Manager Phone Number:* (915) 319-0254				
Owning Cost Ce	nter:* 5140	Project Location:* 55-TX Montana Station Common				
Business Segme	ent:* 180 Montana Station Common	Must tie to Upload Template Total Project Cost; excludes AFUDC Total Project Cost:* \$12,913,145				
Are dollars inclu If yes, what is t	ided in a blanket for this project? 🗌 Yes 🛛 No he dollar amount?	Have dollars for this project been booked in FERC Account 183000? □ Yes ⊠ No If yes, what is the dollar amount? Are the dollars included in the Total Project Cost? □ Yes ☑ No				
Has the RFP pro If yes, Bid Estim	cess been completed? 🗌 Yes 🛛 No nate Date:*	Excludes AFUDC; mandatory if RFP process complete Bid Estimate:*				
First month of cas Anticipated Star	h estimates * t Date:* September 03, 2018	Last month of cash estimates Anticipated Closing Date:* January 31, 2019				
Enter "N/A" if no a Associated Proje	associated projects ects:* N/A					
Enter "N/A" if no associated projects Associated Projects:* N/A Project Overview/scope:* This capital project is for the procurement of Spare Parts for the General Electric (GE) LMS100 package spares. EPE has determined that package spares are necessary to improve and maintain reliability. The package is considered all non-consumable parts located within the perimeter of the Gas Turbine Skid together with the related General Electric Mark Vie Speedtronic Turbine Control System, Generator, and the following associated auxiliaries: SPARE (GE) LMS100 Booster or Low Pressure Compressor Rotor Assembly and the Stage 0-5 Vane and Ring Assemblies. (\$1,860,000) SPARE GE) LMS100 Power Turbine Rotor and Power Turbine Stator Assembly (Part Number L57080G06). (\$5,670,000) Mineral lube oil system Hydraulis stater system Hydraulis tarter system Hydraulis tarter system Hydraulis tarter system Supercore Tubing, Hoses and Brackets Aspare parts list, "Exhibit A - LMS100 Spare Parts Revision 0", totaling \$4,000,000 was develop with assistance from General Electric. The spare parts list were determined by using the following criteria: Past Failure Frequency, Lead Time, Cost, and Number of Parts installed on package. As part of the Multi- Year Service Agreement with GE, GE will repair or replace parts, components, or Parts of the turbine to address and correct unplanned events. The package equipment has in between 6,000 and 12,000 hours of operation depending on commercial date of the associated units and components. Payment Terms: Upon Purchase Order Receipt – Net 30, 25% of fixed price Upon first shipment of parts or by October 5, 2018 – Net 30, 75% of fixed price Upon first shipment of parts or by October 5, 2018 – Net 30, 75% of fixed price Upon first shipment of parts or by October 5, 2018 – Net 30, 75% of fixed price Upon final shipment of parts – Net 30, 100% of fixed price Upon final shipment of parts – Net 30, 100% of fixed price Upon final shipment of parts – Net 30, 100% of fixed price Upon final shipment of parts – Net 3						
Why this projec	t is needed?:* LMS100 Fleet Reliability and reduction of outag	ge time and failures to start				
	External Mandate (and/or):					
Reason for Expenditure:*	☐ Obligation to Serve/Reliability ☐ Safety ☐ Describe: LMS100 Fleet does not have an appropriate stock or Required by: Choose an item.	」Program				
	Internal or Customer Request:					
	Capacity Expansion/Growth					

	Generatio	n Bus	siness	: C	ase	O	verv	Page 2 of 3
If yes, Uolt Identify custor What is the tir	age/Load 🗌 Future E mer, if applicable: ning requirement?	Expansion] Cu	stomer	Loac	1	
System Performance and Reliability (describe): Reliability will be increase if components are replaced during the summer preparation outages or by having the spare component on the shelf and having the ability to replace the component.								
🗌 Quality Enhan	cement/New Technolog	y (describe	e):					
🗌 Other (describ	be):							
Has Environmental been contact	ed? TYes No	If "No"	, please e	xpla	in why	no	t? PAR	TS ONLY
List and provide evidence for exp	penditure (i.e. impact s	tudy and/o	or load fore	cast	includir	ng ve	ersion 8	k date):
Does this project replace anothe	r asset? Yes	🗌 No	If yes, p	leas	e ident	ify a	asset l	peing replaced: PARTS AS NEEDED
Please list major milestones:*								
Milestone:				Co	npleted	by:		
50% of invoiced total completed.				09/	30/201	8		
Second large shipment due on Dece	mber 21 (Invoice 25%).			01/	21/201	9		
final shipments).	9 (Invoice final 25% upo	on complet		03/	31/201	9	ator a c	1240
				Clic	k here i	to er	nter a c	late
				Clic	k here t	to er	nter a d	late.
				Clic	k here t	to er	nter a d	late.
				Clic	k here t	to er	nter a d	late.
Please list internal and external	resources assigned to	o this proj	ject:*					
Resource Name:	Department/Company:			Ha	ve you d	conta	acted t	nis resource about the project & related timing:
Ron Lamontine	Power Generation				Yes		No	If no, explain why not:
David Aranda	Power Generation				Yes	님	No	If no, explain why not:
Eric Jacquez	Power Generation				Yes	븜	NO	If no, explain why not:
				늼	Vec	븜	NO	If no, explain why not:
				믐	Vec	H	No	If no, explain why not:
				븜	Yes	H	No	If no, explain why not:
				늼	Yes	Η	No	If no, explain why not:
				H	Yes	Ħ	No	If no, explain why not:
					Yes		No	If no, explain why not:
					Yes		No	If no, explain why not:
					Yes		No	If no, explain why not:
Please identify any risks and/or	constraints related to	this proj	ect:					
		CASH F	LOW AN		(SIS			
Proposed Project:*								
A Capital Budget Upload Template fo located under the current Capital Bud	r all proposed projects n lget Revision folder in Li	nust be sul velink.	bmitted sep	oarat	ely. Ple	ease	use th	e <u>GEN Templates Ready for Updates</u> form
Do your cash flows include any inclu	remental revenue enhan	cements a	nd/or cost	redu	ictions?			🗆 Yes 🖾 No
, , ,								
If yes, please describe in deta	il (by year if necessary):							
If yes, please identify the date	e to verify that the benef	fits of the J	project hav	e be	en impl	eme	nted:	
Alternative Project:								
A financial analysis is required <u>only</u> w	hen alternatives are con	sidered. I	If applicable	e, ar	NPV ar	halys	sis mus	t be submitted for each alternative. Please use
uie Generauori Casri Flow for Alterna			ni Capital E	suag	el Kevis	non	ioiaer i	
Were alternatives considered?* Yes No Describe alternatives:								

SOAH Docket No. 473-21-2606 PUC Docket No. 52195 FMI's 2nd, Q. No. FMI 2-5 Attachment 2 Page 3 of 3

Generation Business Case Overview

What was the reason for selecting the proposed project over the alternatives considered?

CHECKLIST FOR ITEMS TO BE SUBMITTED								
Have all the applicable line items on the Business Case Overview b	peen completed?		Yes		No			
Do the milestones you identified take into consideration availability	y of resources?	\boxtimes	Yes		No			
Cash flow projection with detail must be submitted for the project, is it enclosed?					No			
Did you provide supporting documents for cash flows (i.e. L&R, Promod)?					No			
Does the Total Project Cost on page 1 equal the Total Project Cost on your cash flow analysis?					No			
If applicable, did you attach the financial analysis for each alternative?					No	🖾 N/A		
Please provide additional information not disclosed for the committee's consideration:								
Preparer:*								
Name: Albert Montaño								
Date:11/30/2018								

Project Number:	
Project Description:	MPS Gas Compressor A&B 8,000hr New Kit Replacement Assembly
Total Project Capital Cost:	\$825,955
Reporting Date:	3/26/2019

This form and supplemental documentation should be submitted to Financial Forecasting when requesting funds for a capital project greater than \$500,000 and for an individual work order, included in a blanket project, over \$500,000.

PROJECT OVERVIEW								
Project Manager:	Trey Frisbie	Project Manager Phone Number:	915-258-5320					
Owning Cost Center:	5140 MONTANA POWER STATION	Major Project Location:	50 - TX MONTANA POWER STATION - COMMON					
Project Functional Class;	Other Production	Business Segment:	180 MONTANA PWR STATION - COMMON					
Associated Projects:	None							
In-Lieu of Component								
Project Priority	HIGH - Important and Urgent							
Project overview/scope: Remove gas compressor A & B for shipping to Houston for installation of New 8,000 hour replacement kit assembly.								
Business Justification:	Business Justification: Kobelco recommends installation of new asssembly kit to be performed after 8,000-12,000 hours of run time. The new kits wi have improved seals. This work needs to be performed to ensure that the compressor is reliable for our summer run.							
Project Risks and Constraints:	While the work is being performed w	e would not have a spare gas compressor av	rialable.					
Primary Reason for Expendit	ture	Reliability						
Secondary Reason for Expen	diture	Quality Improvement/Enh	ancement					
Has the RFP process been co	mpleted Ves 🗸 No	Excludes AFUDC; mandatory if RFP process complete						
If yes, Bid Estimate Date:		Bid Estimate:	\$ -					
If dollars are included in a bl project, what is the amount?	lanket ? \$ -	Total dollars included in FERC account 183000. That amount must be included in project cost						
Estimated Start Date:	5/1/2019	Estimated Completion Date:	6/28/2019					
If applicable, describe and id	lentify asset being replaced/retire	d						
The gas compressor New Kit Rep	The gas compressor New Kit Replacement Assembly.							
Please descrive the potential	l Cost Savings and/or Cost Avoidar	nce for this project:						
The cost savings would be seen	in the improved availabiliy of this piece	e of equipment.						
Describe alternatives conside	ered:							
None								
What was the reason for sele	ecting the proposed project over th	ne alternatives considered?						

Kobelco is the OEM and the only qualified to perform the work.

6	(CO	ST/BENEFIT ANALYSIS						
Select Best Alternative: Status Quo - Do Nothing								
	<u>10 Year Total</u>	30 Year Total	10 Year PV	<u> 30 Year PV</u>				
COST								
Capital Expenditures:	\$825,955	\$825,955	\$771,740	\$771,740				
O&M Expenses:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0				
Financing Costs (Benefit):	\$132,174	(\$528,398)	\$105,248	(\$50,699)				
Income Tax Exp (Benefit):	\$216,418	\$555,943	\$149,477	\$252,933				
TOTAL COSTS	\$1,174,547	\$853,500	\$1,026,465	\$973,974				
BENEFITS								
Revenue Requirement:	\$1,107,041	\$1,871,471	\$785,763	\$1,062,079				
Cost Savings:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0				
Cost Avoidance:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0				
AFUDC:	\$17,270	\$17,270	\$16,137	\$16,137				
Other Benefits:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0				
TOTAL BENEFITS	\$1,124,311	\$1,888,741	\$801,899	\$1,078,216				

I.

BENEFIT-COST RATIO					0.78	1.11
Please list major milestones:						
Milestone:					Completed by:	
Remove gas compressor "B" for s	shipping to Houston.				4/30/2019	
Install compressor 8,000 hr. repla	acement kit assembly.				5/17/2019	
Oil flush piping with external pun	nping unit.				5/10/2019	
Ship gas compressor back to El P	aso and install.				5/17/2019	
Complete start up checks and pu	t back inservice.				5/18/2019	
Remove gas compressor "A" for s	shipping to Houston.				6/1/2019	
Install compressor 8,000 hr. repla	acement kit assembly.				6/12/2019	
oil flush piping with external pur	nping unit, complete startup che	ecks and put ba	ck inservice.		6/28/2019	
Please list internal and extern	nal resources assigned to th	is project:*				
Resource Name:	Department/Company:	Have you cont	tacted this re	esource about the project	& related timing:	
Kobelco	Trey Frisbie	√ Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
B&M	Trey Frisbie	🗸 Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
пс	Trey Frisbie	✓ Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		🗌 Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		

checklist for items to be submitted		
Has Environmental been contacted?	✓ Yes	No No
If applicable, has land been secured for this project?	Yes	🗌 No
Have all the applicable line items on the Business Case Overview been completed?	🗸 Yes	No No
Do the milestones you identified take into consideration availability of resources?	✓ Yes	No No
Cash flow projection with detail must be submitted for the project, is it enclosed?	✓ Yes	No No
Did you provide supporting documents for cash flows (i.e. L&R, Promod)?	🗸 Yes	No No
Does the Total Project Cost on page 1 equal the Total Project Cost on your cash flow analysis?	✓ Yes	🗌 No
If applicable, did you attach the financial analysis for each alternative?	Yes	No No
Please provide additional information not disclosed for the committee's consideration:		

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Name:	Trey Frisbie	
		_
Date:	3/26/2019	

	Sponsor / Approver Signature:	
Name:	Albert Montano	
Date:	3/26/2019	

Please fill in the white areas with no shading.

SOAH Docket No. 473-21-2606 PUC Docket No. 52195 FMI's 2nd, Q. No. FMI 2-5 Attachment 3 Page 3 of 3

Use this form if the new project has not been created in PowerPlant after requesting a new project through Cherwell

Project Number:	GM126
Project Description:	MPS lightning protection
Total Project Capital Cost:	\$311,798
Reporting Date:	6/25/2019

	PRO	DJECT OVERVIEW	
Project Manager:	Lusheng Su	Project Manager Phone Number:	915-235-7087
Owning Cost Center:	5140 MONTANA POWER STATION	Major Project Location:	50 - TX MONTANA POWER STATION - COMMON
Project Functional Class:	General	Business Segment:	180 MONTANA PWR STATION - COMMON
Associated Projects:	None		
In-Lieu of Component			
Project Priority	MEDIUM - Important but Not Urgen	t	
Project overview/scope:	Install lightning protection for MPS		
Business Justification:	On 08/23/2016 at a time of 9:52 PM PLC which is obsoleted in October, 2 protection LLC performed a site tour Master Installer Designer to meet th again, a lightning protection system	unit1 was struck, unit 2 took a lightning s 015. So MPS upgraded the PLC to GE RX3 , and recommended to design and install f e requirements specified by NFPA-780. In is recommended to be installed for the pla	trike 20 minutes later. This damaged GE90-30 11 platform which cost \$77,766. Baca lightning the lightning protection by an LPI certified order to prevent such incidents happening ant.
Project Risks and Constraints:	<u>.</u>		
Primary Reason for Expend	liture	Safety & Securit	у
Secondary Reason for Expe	enditure	System Reliabilty & Imp	rovement
Has the RFP process been o	completed Yes 🗸 No	Excludes AFUDC; mandatory if RFP	process complete
If yes, Bid Estimate Date:		Bid Estimate:	\$ -
If dollars are included in a project, what is the amoun	blanket t?	Total dollars included in FERC acc That amount must be included in	ount 183000. 9 project cost
Estimated Start Date:	8/1/2019	Estimated Completion Date:	12/31/2019
If applicable, describe and	identify asset being replaced/retire	ed	
Please describe the potenti	ial Cost Savings and/or Cost Avoida	ince for this project:	
The lightning struck the MPS U	1 in 2016 which caused unit shut down	due to thermo couple cards fried and \$77	,766 for repair.
Describe alternatives consi	dered:		
What was the reason for se	electing the proposed project over t	the alternatives considered?	

COST/BENEFIT, ANALÝSIS				
Select Best Alternative:				
	10 Year Total	30 Year Total	10 Year PV	<u>30 Year PV</u>
COST				
Capital Expenditures:	\$311,798	\$311,798	\$311,798	\$311,798
O&M Expenses:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financing Costs (Benefit):	\$45,859	(\$208,677)	\$40,196	(\$21,598)
Income Tax Exp (Benefit):	\$90,716	\$208,541	\$65,357	\$101,700
TOTAL COSTS	\$448,373	\$311,662	\$417,351	\$391,899
BENEFITS				
Revenue Requirement:	\$450,090	\$694,300	\$334,575	\$425,636
Cost Savings:	\$0	\$0	\$0	.\$0.
Cost Avoidance:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
AFUDC:	\$3,693	\$3,693	\$3,693	\$3,693
Other Benefits:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL BENEFITS	\$453,783	\$697,993	\$338,269	\$429,330

BENEFIT-COST RATIO	0				0.81	1.10
Please list major milest	tones:					
Milestone:					Completed by:	
Lightning protection install	allation completed				12/31/2019	
					Click here to enter a date.	
					Click here to enter a date.	
					Click here to enter a date.	
					Click here to enter a date.	
					Click here to enter a date.	
					Click here to enter a date.	
Please list internal and	external resources assigned to t	his project:				
Resource Name:	Department/Company:	Have you con	tacted this re	source about the projec	t & related timing:	
Lusheng Su	Power Gen/EPE	√ Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
Contractor TBD	External	Yes	🗸 No	If no, explain why not:	In the process of bidding out	
		Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	No No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		☐ Yes	□ No	If no, explain why not:		

checklist for items to be submitted		
Has Environmental been contacted?	Yes	√ No
If applicable, has land been secured for this project?	Yes	✓ No
Have all the applicable line items on the Business Case Overview been completed?	✓ Yes	🗌 No
Do the milestones you identified take into consideration availability of resources?	🗸 Yes	🗌 No
Cash flow projection with detail must be submitted for the project, is it enclosed?	🗸 Yes	🗌 No
Did you provide supporting documents for cash flows (i.e. L&R, Promod)?	Yes	✓ No
Does the Total Project Cost on page 1 equal the Total Project Cost on your cash flow analysis?	🗸 Yes	🗌 No
If applicable, did you attach the financial analysis for each alternative?	Yes	✓ No
Please provide additional information not disclosed for the committee's consideration:		

	Project Manager / Preparer Signature:	
Name:	Lusheng Su	
Date:	6/25/2019	
	Annuary Cinnetweet	

Approver Signature:		
Name:		
Date:		

Please fill in the white areas with no shading.

SOAH Docket No. 473-21-2606 PUC Docket No. 52195 FMI's 2nd, Q. No. FMI 2-5 Attachment 4 Page 3 of 3

Use this form if the new project has not been created in PowerPlant after requesting a new project through Cherwell

Run Spell Check Function

Project Number:	GMxxx
Project Description:	MPS3 CO Catalyst Gasket Retention System
Total Project Capital Cost:	\$111,573
Reporting Date:	7/9/2019

	PROJECT OVERVIEW				
Project Manager:	Albert Montaño	Project Manager Phone Number:	(915) 543-2074		
Owning Cost Center:	5140 MONTANA POWER STATION	Major Project Location:	54 - TX MONTANA POWER STATION - UNIT 3		
Project Functional Class:	General	Business Segment:	183 MONTANA PWR STATION - UNIT 3		
Associated Projects:	pjects: None				
In-Lieu of Component	None				
Project Priority	MEDIUM - Important but Not Urgent				
Project overview/scope:	A Gasket Retention System for the CO catalyst in the SCR was installed on MPS units 1 and 2 and Rio Grande Unit 9 in 2019. The LMS100 fleet SCR's were inspected during the summer preparation outages this spring and the CO Catalyst gaskets have fallen out and require reinstallation or adjustments to the water flow into the turbine combustion section. Reinstallation of the gasket requires a complete unstacking of the CO modules and a 5-day outage. The gaskets seal in between the catalyst modules. With the gaskets out of place CO emissions exceedances can occur due to bypass or leakage around the catalyst. Sisu Energy & Environmental, LLC (Sisu) has created a Gasket Retention System that is a new enhancement in order to keep the gaskets in place. By use of the Sisu's "H-Trays" the gasket is retained or captured in place and does not allow for the gasket to come loose or fall out of place. The project includes the new gasket retention system, remova/reinstallation of the catalyst, scaffolding, and a confined space attendant for \$110,000 per unit.				
Business Justification:	usiness Justification: New retention system will keep CO catalyst gasket in place. Catalyst removal and reinstallation of gasket is being performed frequently and requires a 5-day outage. This new system will resolve this issue and insure there is no CO bypass in the SCR. This system has been implemented on MPS1, MPS2 and RG9.				
Project Risks and Constraints:	CO Catalyst modules may get damag	ed during installation. Work can be performe	d during the summer preparation outages.		
Primary Reason for Expendit	ure	Quality Enhancement			
Secondary Reason for Expen	diture	Regulatory/Compliance/Enviror	nmental		
Has the RFP process been co	mpleted Yes 🗸 No	Excludes AFUDC; mandatory if RFP pro-	cess complete		
If yes, Bid Estimate Date:		Bid Estimate:	\$ -		
If dollars are included in a bl project, what is the amount?	lanket \$ 110,000.00	Total dollars included in FERC accoun That amount must be included in pro	it 183000. \$		
Estimated Start Date:	2/1/2020	Estimated Completion Date:	2/28/2020		
If applicable, describe and identify asset being replaced/retired					
Please describe the potentia	l Cost Savings and/or Cost Avoidar	nce for this project:			
Avoiding removal of CO catalyst	to reinstall gaskets frequently and insu	ure that the exhaust gas is passing thru the c	atalyst and not bypassing it.		
Describe alternatives conside	ered:				
No other technology or system is	s available to address this issue. Sisu h	1as become the OEM supplier for the LMS100	Fleet.		
What was the reason for sele	ecting the proposed project over th	e alternatives considered?			

COST/BENEFIT ANALYSIS				
Select Best Alternative:		Alternative A		
	<u>10 Year Total</u>	<u> 30 Year Total</u>	10 Year PV	<u> 30 Year PV</u>
COST				
Capital Expenditures:	\$111,573	\$111,573	\$104,249	\$104,249

SOAH Docket No. 473-21-2606 PUC Docket No. 52195 FMI's 2nd, Q. No. FMI 2-5 Attachment 5 Page 2 of 4

O&M Expenses:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financing Costs (Benefit):	\$18,154	(\$73,520)	\$14,454	(\$7,138)
Income Tax Exp (Benefit):	\$29,199	\$76,845	\$20,042	\$34,487
TOTAL COSTS	\$158,926	\$114,898	\$138,745	\$131,599
BENEFITS				
Revenue Requirement:	\$150,160	\$257,239	\$106,166	\$144,647
Cost Savings:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Cost Avoidance:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
AFUDC:	\$3,361	\$3,361	\$3,141	\$3,141
Other Benefits:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL BENEFITS	\$153,521	\$260,600	\$109,307	\$147,787

DEN	CETT.	COST	DAT	'TO

BENEFIT-COST RATIO	0.79	1.12
Please list major milestones:		
Milestone:	Completed by:	
Payment for Services	3/1/2019	
	Click here to enter a date.	
	Click here to enter a date.	
	Click here to enter a date.	
	Click here to enter a date.	
	Click here to enter a date.	
	Click here to enter a date.	
	Click here to enter a date.	

Please list internal and external resources assigned to this project:

Resource Name:	Department/Company:	Have you cont	acted this reso	urce about the project	& related timing:
Sisu Maintenance Staff	Sisu	Yes	✓ No	If no, explain why not:	
O&M Staff	Power Gen, EPE	Yes	✓ No	If no, explain why not:	performed
		Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:	
		Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:	
		Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:	
		Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:	
		Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:	
		Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:	
		Yes	No No	If no, explain why not:	
		Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:	
		Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:	
		Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:	1

checklist for items to be submitted		
Has Environmental been contacted?	Yes	✓ No
If applicable, has land been secured for this project?	Yes	✓ No
Have all the applicable line items on the Business Case Overview been completed?	✓ Yes	No No
Do the milestones you identified take into consideration availability of resources?	√ Yes	No No
Cash flow projection with detail must be submitted for the project, is it enclosed?	√ Yes	No No
Did you provide supporting documents for cash flows (i.e. L&R, Promod)?	Yes	✓ No
Does the Total Project Cost on page 1 equal the Total Project Cost on your cash flow analysis?	√ Yes	No No
If applicable, did you attach the financial analysis for each alternative?	Yes	✓ No
Please provide additional information not disclosed for the committee's consideration:		

Project Manager / Preparer Signature:			
Name:	Albert Montaño		
Date:	7/9/2019		

SOAH Docket No. 473-21-2606 PUC Docket No. 52195 FMI's 2nd, Q. No. FMI 2-5 Attachment 5 Page 3 of 4

γ. 	Approver Signature:	
Name:		
Date:		

Please fill in the white areas with no shading.

SOAH Docket No. 473-21-2606 PUC Docket No. 52195 FMI's 2nd, Q. No. FMI 2-5 Attachment 5 Page 4 of 4

Use this form if the new project has not been created in PowerPlant after requesting a new project through Cherwell

Run Spell Check Function

Project Number:	GM133
Project Description:	MONTANA DCS CIP_CYBER IMPRVMNTS
Total Project Capital Cost:	\$199,730
Reporting Date:	8/23/2019

PROJECT OVERVIEW						
Project Manager:	J Kyle Olson	Project Manager Phone Number:	915-521-4678			
Owning Cost Center:	5140 MONTANA POWER STATION	Major Project Location:	50 - TX MONTANA POWER STATION - COMMON			
Project Functional Class:	Other Production	Business Segment:	180 MONTANA PWR STATION - COMMON			
Associated Projects:	N/A	N/A				
In-Lieu of Component						
Project Priority	HIGH - Important and Urgent					
Project overview/scope:	Plant wide Digital Control System im Digital Control System cyber security	Plant wide Digital Control System improvements to comply with Critical Intrastructure Protection-003-7 and improve overall Digital Control System cyber security systems.				
Business Justification:	Needed to comply with Critical Intras	structure Protection-0003-7 and improve Div	gital Control System Cyber Security Systems			
Project Risks and Constraints: Short timeline due to Critical Intrastructure Protection-003-7 becoming effective 1/1/2020						
Primary Reason for Expendi	ture	Regulatory/Compliance/Envi	ronmental			
Secondary Reason for Exper	nditure	System Reliabilty & Impro	vement			
Has the RFP process been co	ompleted Yes 🗸 No	Excludes AFUDC; mandatory if RFP p	rocess complete			
If yes, Bid Estimate Date:		Bid Estimate:	\$-			
If dollars are included in a b project, what is the amount	lanket ? \$ -	Total dollars included in FERC acco That amount must be included in p	unt 183000.			
Estimated Start Date:	12/1/2019	Estimated Completion Date:	12/31/2019			
If applicable, describe and identify asset being replaced/retired						
Please describe the potential Cost Savings and/or Cost Avoidance for this project:						
Describe alternatives consid	Describe alternatives considered:					
What was the reason for selecting the proposed project over the alternatives considered?						

COST/BENEFIT ANALYSIS					
Select Best Alternative:	ernative: Alternative A				
	<u>10 Year Total</u>	<u> 30 Year Total</u>	<u> 10 Year PV</u>	<u>30 Year PV</u>	
соѕт					
Capital Expenditures:	\$199,730	\$199,730	\$199,730	\$199,730	
O&M Expenses:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Financing Costs (Benefit):	\$28,734	(\$128,310)	\$25,189	(\$13,063)	
Income Tax Exp (Benefit):	\$58,058	\$129,455	\$42,166	\$64,338	
TOTAL COSTS	\$286,522	\$200,875	\$267,085	\$251 <u>,</u> 005	
BENEFITS					

SOAH Docket No. 473-21-2606 PUC Docket No. 52195 FMI's 2nd, Q. No. FMI 2-5 Attachment 6 Page 2 of 4

	¢206 200	+404 I		6014 00F	taco 753
Revenue Requirement:	\$286,390	\$434,5	¢0	\$214,005	\$209,753
Cost Savings:	\$U \$0		\$U	\$0	\$0
Cost Avoidance:	\$U \$0		\$0	\$0	\$U \$0
AFUDC:	\$U \$0		\$0	\$0	\$U \$0
Other Benefits:	\$0		<u>\$0</u>	\$U +214.005	\$0
TOTAL BENEFITS	\$200,390	\$434,0	609	\$214,005	\$209,755
BENEFIT-COST RATIO				0.80	1.07
Please list major milestones:					
Milestone:					Completed by:
Issue Purchase Order					9/15/2019
Parts on site					11/15/2019
Installation Complete					12/15/2019
				Click	here to enter a date.
				Click	here to enter a date.
				Click	here to enter a date.
				Click	here to enter a date.
				Click	here to enter a date.
Please list internal and exter	nal resources assigned to t	his project:			
Resource Name:	Department/Company:	Have you contacted t	his resource about the proj	ect & related tim	ning:
Kyle Olson	Power Generation	✓ Yes 🗌 N	o If no, explain why not:		
Tanisha House	Power Generation	🗸 Yes 🗌 N	O If no, explain why not:		
Tracy Van Slyke	Operation Technology	🗸 Yes 🗌 N	O If no, explain why not:		
		Yes N	o If no, explain why not:		
		Yes N	o If no, explain why not:		
		Yes N	O If no, explain why not:		
		Yes N	O If no, explain why not:		
		Yes N	O If no, explain why not:		
		Yes N	O If no, explain why not:		
		Yes N	O If no, explain why not:		
		Yes N	O If no, explain why not:		
		Yes N	0 If no, explain why not:		

checklist for items to be submitted		
Has Environmental been contacted?	🗸 Yes	🗌 No
If applicable, has land been secured for this project?	Yes	✓ No
Have all the applicable line items on the Business Case Overview been completed?	✓ Yes	🗌 No
Do the milestones you identified take into consideration availability of resources?	🗸 Yes	🗌 No
Cash flow projection with detail must be submitted for the project, is it enclosed?	✓ Yes	No No
Did you provide supporting documents for cash flows (i.e. L&R, Promod)?	Yes	✓ No
Does the Total Project Cost on page 1 equal the Total Project Cost on your cash flow analysis?	🗸 Yes	🗌 No
If applicable, did you attach the financial analysis for each alternative?	Ves	✓ No
Please provide additional information not disclosed for the committee's consideration:		

Project Manager / Preparer Signature:						
Name:	J Kyle Olson					
Date:	8/23/2019					

Approver Signature:					
Name:					
Date:					

Please fill in the white areas with no shading.

SOAH Docket No. 473-21-2606 PUC Docket No. 52195 FMI's 2nd, Q. No. FMI 2-5 Attachment 6 Page 4 of 4

Use this form if the new project has not been created in PowerPlant after requesting a new project through Cherwell

Run Spell Check Function

Generation Business Case Overview

Original Version:	07/14/2016
Date of Current Version:	07/14/2016
Dates Revised:	Click here to enter a date.

PROJECT OVERVIEW						
Project Descript	ion:* Newman U4 ST Rotor Replacement	Project Manager:* Adam Davila				
Project Number	* GN160	Project Manager Phone Number:* x2960				
Owning Cost Ce	nter:* 5110	Project Location:* 10-TX Newman Unit 4				
Business Segme	ent:* 114 Newman-Unit 4	Must tie to Upload Template Total Project Cost; excludes AFUDC Total Project Cost:* 2,015,200				
Has the RFP pro If yes, Bid Estim	cess been completed? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No nate Date:* 7/12/2016	Excludes AFUDC; mandatory if RFP process complete Bid Estimate:* 1,667,500				
First month of cas Anticipated Star	h estimates r t Date:* 8/15/2016	Last month of cash estimates Anticipated Closing Date:* 10/15/2016				
Enter "N/A" if no a Associated Proj	associated projects ects:* N/A	· · · · · ·				
Project overview On June 2, 2016, Inspection of the of the rotor reveal disks to harden. If Two options were cost of this option approximately 2 w replacement rotor approximately 2 w Newman Block 4 i dry mode, but are It is recommended the existing rotor, hours than the ex	 Project overview/scope:* On June 2, 2016, the Newman U4 steam turbine was removed from service to investigate high turbine vibration that prevented the unit from operating. Inspection of the unit revealed that the thrust bearing had failed causing the internal components of the steam turbine to become damaged. Inspection of the rotor revealed that heavy rubbing of the row 5 & 6 turbine disks on the stationary row 6 & 7 diaphragms caused the downstream side of the rotor disks to harden. Because of this hardness, the row 5 & 6 turbine disks were deemed no longer fit for service. Two options were presented to EPE for consideration. One, machine off the row 5 & 6 turbine disks and restore them by weld build up of new disks. The cost of this option ranged from \$1.1M to \$1.7M (excluding installation of the repaired rotor) with lead times ranging from 11 to 15 weeks plus approximately 2 weeks for installation. Two, replace the rotor with a refurbished rotor from Siemens. The cost of this option is \$1.7M for the replacement rotor plus approximately \$250K for the installation. The lead time for this option is 6 weeks for the rotor in the Siemens shop plus approximately 2 weeks for installation. Newman Block 4 is capable of providing 230MW with both gas turbines and the steam turbine in operation. Currently, the gas turbines are available in dry mode, but are limited to 30MW each. It is recommended to purchase the replacement rotor for the following reasons: 1) The cost for the replacement rotor is comparable to cost for repairing the existing rotor, 2) the lead time for the replacement rotor option is shorter than the repair option, and 3) the replacement rotor has fewer service hours than the existing rotor (176K versus 250K+). 					
	External Mandate (and/or):] Program 🛛 Law 🗍 Other				
	Describe: The loss of 170MW from Block 4 potentially affects Required by: Choose an item.	EPE's ability to serve & reliability				
	Internal or Customer Request:					
Reason for Expenditure:*	Capacity Expansion/Growth If yes, Voltage/Load Future Expansion Identify customer, if applicable: What is the timing requirement?] Customer Load				
	System Performance and Reliability (describe): The loss of reliability	170MW from Block 4 potentially affects EPE's ability to serve &				
	Quality Enhancement/New Technology (describe):					
	Other (describe):					
Has Environmental been contacted? 🗌 Yes 🛛 🛛 🛛 If "No", please explain why not? Like kind replacement						
List and provide evidence for expenditure (i.e. impact study and/or load forecast including version & date):						
Does this proied	t replace another asset? 🛛 Yes 🛛 No 🛛 If ves. ı	lease identify asset being replaced: Exisiting U4 ST rotor				
Please list majo	r milestones:*	Completed by:				
		completed by,				

a. 5 _ ----....... 0----A -----

Order replacement rotor				
Deceive replacement rotor		07/18/2016		
Receive replacement rotor		08/31/2016		
Install replacement rotor		09/14/2016		
		Click here to enter a	date.	
		Click here to enter a	date	
		Click here to enter a	date.	
		Click here to enter a	date.	
Please list internal and ex	xternal resources assigned to	this project:*		
Resource Name:	Department/Company:	Have you contacted	this resource about the project &	& related timing:
Adam Davila	Power Generation	Yes 🗌 No	If no, explain why not:	
Siemens	Siemens	🛛 Yes 🔲 No	If no, explain why not:	
Chris Carroll	Power Generation	Yes 🗌 No	If no, explain why not:	
		Yes No	If no, explain why not:	
		YesNo	If no, explain why not:	
		Yes No	If no, explain why not:	
		YesNo	If no, explain why not:	
			If no, explain why not:	
			If no, explain why not:	
			If no, explain why not:	
			If no, explain why not:	
		CASH FLOW ANALYSIS		
Proposed Project:*				
Do your cash flows include	tal Budget May 2016 Actuals fold	er in Livelink. ements and/or cost reductions?		Yes No
 If yes, please describe If yes, please identify 	the date to verify that the benefi	s of the project have been implemented:		
Alternative Project:				
Alternative Project: A financial analysis is require the Generation <u>Cash Flow for</u>	d <u>only</u> when alternatives are cons <u>r Alternative</u> form located under t	idered. If applicable, an NPV analysis mu 1e <i>2017 Capital Budget May 2016 Actuals</i>	ist be submitted for each alterna folde <u>r</u> in Livelink.	tive. Please use
Alternative Project: A financial analysis is require the Generation <u>Cash Flow for</u> Were alternatives conside	ed <u>only</u> when alternatives are cons <u>r Alternative</u> form located under t ered?*	idered. If applicable, an NPV analysis mu ne <i>2017 Capital Budget May 2016 Actuals</i> Describe alternatives: See above	ist be submitted for each alterna folde <u>r</u> in Livelink.	tive. Please use
Alternative Project: A financial analysis is require the Generation <u>Cash Flow for</u> Were alternatives conside What was the reason for	ered?* No selecting the proposed project	idered. If applicable, an NPV analysis mu ne <i>2017 Capital Budget May 2016 Actuals</i> Describe alternatives: See above t over the alternatives considered?	ust be submitted for each alterna r folde <u>r</u> in Livelink. See above	tive. Please use
Alternative Project: A financial analysis is require the Generation <u>Cash Flow for</u> Were alternatives conside What was the reason for	ed <u>only</u> when alternatives are cons <u>r Alternative</u> form located under t ered?* Yes No selecting the proposed project CHECKLI	idered. If applicable, an NPV analysis mu ne <i>2017 Capital Budget May 2016 Actuals</i> Describe alternatives: See above t over the alternatives considered?	ist be submitted for each alterna folde <u>r</u> in Livelink. See above	tive. Please use
Alternative Project: A financial analysis is require the Generation <u>Cash Flow for</u> Were alternatives conside What was the reason for Have all the applicable lin	ed <u>only</u> when alternatives are cons <u>r Alternative</u> form located under t ered?* Yes No selecting the proposed project CHECKLI ne items on the Business Case Ov	idered. If applicable, an NPV analysis mune <i>2017 Capital Budget May 2016 Actuals</i> Describe alternatives: See above t over the alternatives considered?	ust be submitted for each alterna r folde <u>r</u> in Livelink. See above	tive. Please use
Alternative Project: A financial analysis is require the Generation <u>Cash Flow for</u> Were alternatives conside What was the reason for Have all the applicable lin Do the milestones you ic	ed <u>only</u> when alternatives are con: <u>r Alternative</u> form located under t ered?* Yes No selecting the proposed project CHECKLI ne items on the Business Case Ov lentified take into consideration a	idered. If applicable, an NPV analysis mune <i>2017 Capital Budget May 2016 Actuals</i> Describe alternatives: See above t over the alternatives considered? TFOR ITEMS TO BE SUBMITTEE erview been completed? failability of resources?	Ist be submitted for each alterna folder in Livelink. See above N X Yes No	tive. Please use
Alternative Project: A financial analysis is require the Generation <u>Cash Flow for</u> Were alternatives conside What was the reason for Have all the applicable lin Do the milestones you ic Cash flow projection with	ed <u>only</u> when alternatives are con: <u>r Alternative</u> form located under t ered?* Yes No selecting the proposed project CHECKLI ne items on the Business Case Ov lentified take into consideration a n detail must be submitted for the	idered. If applicable, an NPV analysis mute <i>2017 Capital Budget May 2016 Actuals</i> Describe alternatives: See above t over the alternatives considered? T FOR ITEMS TO BE SUBMITTEE erview been completed? railability of resources? project, is it enclosed?	st be submitted for each alterna folder in Livelink.	tive. Please use
Alternative Project: A financial analysis is require the Generation <u>Cash Flow for</u> Were alternatives conside What was the reason for Have all the applicable lin Do the milestones you ic Cash flow projection with Did you provide s	ed <u>only</u> when alternatives are con: <u>r Alternative</u> form located under t ered?* Yes No selecting the proposed project CHECKLII ne items on the Business Case Ov lentified take into consideration a n detail must be submitted for the supporting documents for cash file	idered. If applicable, an NPV analysis mute <i>2017 Capital Budget May 2016 Actuals</i> Describe alternatives: See above t over the alternatives considered? T FOR ITEMS TO BE SUBMITTEE erview been completed? vailability of resources? project, is it enclosed? ws (i.e. L&R, Promod)?	See above Ist be submitted for each alterna Folder in Livelink. See above Image: See above Ima	tive. Please use
Alternative Project: A financial analysis is require the Generation <u>Cash Flow for</u> Were alternatives conside What was the reason for Have all the applicable lin Do the milestones you ic Cash flow projection with Did you provide s	ed <u>only</u> when alternatives are con: <u>r Alternative</u> form located under t ered?* Yes No selecting the proposed project CHECKLI ne items on the Business Case Ov lentified take into consideration a h detail must be submitted for the supporting documents for cash flor reight Cost on page 1 and the reight of the	idered. If applicable, an NPV analysis mune <i>2017 Capital Budget May 2016 Actuals</i> Describe alternatives: See above t over the alternatives considered? TFOR ITEMS TO BE SUBMITTEE erview been completed? railability of resources? project, is it enclosed? ws (i.e. L&R, Promod)?	Ist be submitted for each alterna folder in Livelink. See above N N Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No	tive. Please use
Alternative Project: A financial analysis is require the Generation <u>Cash Flow for</u> Were alternatives conside What was the reason for Have all the applicable lin Do the milestones you ic Cash flow projection with Did you provide s Does the Total Pr	ed <u>only</u> when alternatives are con: <u>r Alternative</u> form located under t ered?* Yes No selecting the proposed project CHECKLIT ne items on the Business Case OV lentified take into consideration a h detail must be submitted for the supporting documents for cash flor roject Cost on page 1 equal the T	idered. If applicable, an NPV analysis mute 2017 Capital Budget May 2016 Actuals Describe alternatives: See above t over the alternatives considered? T FOR ITEMS TO BE SUBMITTEL erview been completed? railability of resources? project, is it enclosed? ws (i.e. L&R, Promod)? otal Project Cost on your cash flow analys	Ist be submitted for each alterna folder in Livelink. See above See above Image: See above </td <td>tive. Please use</td>	tive. Please use
Alternative Project: A financial analysis is require the Generation <u>Cash Flow for</u> Were alternatives conside What was the reason for Have all the applicable lin Do the milestones you ic Cash flow projection with Did you provide s Does the Total Pro If applicable, did	ed <u>only</u> when alternatives are con: <u>r Alternative</u> form located under t ered?* Yes No selecting the proposed project CHECKLIS ne items on the Business Case Ov lentified take into consideration a h detail must be submitted for the supporting documents for cash flor roject Cost on page 1 equal the T you attach the financial analysis	idered. If applicable, an NPV analysis mute <i>2017 Capital Budget May 2016 Actuals</i> Describe alternatives: See above t over the alternatives considered? TFOR ITEMS TO BE SUBMITTEE erview been completed? (ailability of resources? project, is it enclosed? ws (i.e. L&R, Promod)? Dtal Project Cost on your cash flow analys or each alternative?	See above Ist be submitted for each alterna Folder in Livelink. See above Image: See above Ima	tive. Please use
Alternative Project: A financial analysis is require the Generation <u>Cash Flow for</u> Were alternatives conside What was the reason for Have all the applicable lin Do the milestones you ic Cash flow projection with Did you provide s Does the Total Pri If applicable, did Please provide additiona	ed <u>only</u> when alternatives are con: <u>r Alternative</u> form located under t ered?* Yes No selecting the proposed project CHECKLI ne items on the Business Case Ov lentified take into consideration a h detail must be submitted for the supporting documents for cash flor roject Cost on page 1 equal the T you attach the financial analysis I information not disclosed for	idered. If applicable, an NPV analysis mute 2017 Capital Budget May 2016 Actuals Describe alternatives: See above t over the alternatives considered? TFOR ITEMS TO BE SUBMITTEL erview been completed? failability of resources? project, is it enclosed? ws (i.e. L&R, Promod)? tal Project Cost on your cash flow analys or each alternative?	See above Ist be submitted for each alterna Folder in Livelink. See above Image: See above Ima	tive. Please use

Generation Business Case Overview

Generation Business Case Overview

Original Version:	10/04/2016
Date of Current Version:	10/04/2016
Dates Revised:	Click here to enter a date.

	PROJECT OVERVIEW						
Project Descript	tion:* U4 W501B6 Spare GT Parts	Project Manager:* Chris Carroll					
Project Number	:* GN163	Project Manager Phone Number:* 2924					
Owning Cost Ce	nter:* 5110	Project Location:* 10-TX Newman Unit 4					
Business Segment:* 118 Newman-Gas Turbine 2		Must tie to Upload Template Total Project Cost; excludes AFUDC Total Project Cost:* 1,007,600					
Has the RFP pro If yes, Bid Estim	cess been completed? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No nate Date:* 9/21/2016	Excludes AFUDC; mandatory if RFP process complete Bid Estimate:* \$1,000,000					
First month of cas	h estimates rt Date:* November 2016	Last month of cash estimates Anticipated Closing Date:* November 2016					
Enter "N/A" if no a	associated projects						
Associated Proj	ects:* N/A						
for the Newman L The turbine blade	s will provide EPE with the ability to install the blades	It is a series of the refurbished parts (See attached quote for parts listing). s on the spare rotor that was refurbished earlier this year. With the turbine blades, nes for the rotor to be installed. The other components are replaced at each					
outage and sent of mu	but for refurbishing. The addition of the additional coult of the additional coult of the same time or within a	omponents would allow EPE to be prepared with more than one set of components a couple of months of each other.					
Additionally, the a and outage. It we work and more lik	dded components would allow EPE to search for bet buld also allow EPE to hold off sending the replaced ely to offer discounts on inspection, refurbishment, a	ter pricing on repairs and refurbishment on the used components pulled out during components out until peak generating season when repair shops are looking for and repairs. Typical discounts offered range from 10% to 30%.					
The cost of this pr are purchased, an sets of fuel nozzle approximately \$7,	Cost: The cost of this project is \$1,000,000. That includes the parts and shipping. The seller is giving EPE a 15% discount if all the parts in the attached quote are purchased, and an additional 30% discount is offered if all the parts are purchased by October 17th, 2016. The seller will also provide at no charge sets of fuel nozzles, clamshells, baskets, and transitions with seals if the October 17th date is met. If purchased new from the OEM, the parts would cost approximately \$7,000,000.						
	External Mandate (and/or):						
	 ☑ Obligation to Serve/Reliability □ Safety Describe: Required by: Choose an item. 	🗌 Program 🛛 🗌 Law 🔲 Other					
	Internal or Customer Request:						
Reason for Expenditure:*	Capacity Expansion/Growth If yes, Voltage/Load Future Expansion Identify customer, if applicable: What is the timing requirement?	Customer Load					
	System Performance and Reliability (describe):	Spare components to maintain units.					
	Quality Enhancement/New Technology (describ	be):					
	Other (describe):						
Has Environmental been contacted? 🗌 Yes 🛛 🛛 🛛 If "No", please explain why not? Like kind replacement parts.							
List and provide evidence for expenditure (i.e. impact study and/or load forecast including version & date):							
Does this projec	t replace another asset? 🗌 Yes 🛛 🛛 No	If yes, please identify asset being replaced:					
Please list majo	r milestones:*						
Milestone:		Completed by:					
Receive Proposal		09/21/2016					
Complete Business Case 10/04/2016							

SOAH Docket No. 473-21-2606 PUC Docket No. 52195 FMI's 2nd, Q. No. FMI 2-5 Attachment 8 of 3

a 🖻 -

	Generat	ion B	usiness Cas	se Ove	erview			Page 2
Submit Business Case for review			10/04/201	.6				
Receive approval to purchase			10/07/2016					
Submit Purchase Requisition			10/07/2016					
Receive components to stock		10/31/2016						
Close Project		11/30/201	11/30/2016					
Discos list intermed and anterm			Click here	to enter a d	late.			
Please list internal and extern Resource Name:	Department/Company:	this proj		contacted t	his resource about	the r	vroject &	related timina:
loe Magers	Magers Turbines LLC				If no, explain why not		nojeci a	
Chris Carroll	Outage		X Yes		If no, explain why not			
					If no, explain why not			
					If no, explain why not			
			🗌 Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not			
			🗌 Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not			
			🗌 Yes	🗆 No	If no, explain why not			
			🗌 Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not			
			🗌 Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not			
			🗌 Yes	□ No	If no, explain why not			
			☐ Yes		If no, explain why not			
				No No	If no, explain why not			
Please identify any risks and/	or constraints related to	this proj	ect:					
		CASH F	LOW ANALYSIS					
Proposed Project:*								
A Capital Budget Upload Template located under the 2017 Capital Bu	e for all proposed projects m <i>udget May 2016 Actuals</i> fold	ust be sut er in Livel	omitted separately. Pl ink.	ease use th	e <u>GEN Templates</u>	Ready	/ for Upc	lates form
Do your cash flows include any	incremental revenue enhanc	ements a	nd/or cost reductions?)				Yes 🖾 No
 If yes, please describe in d If yes, please identify the c 	 If yes, please describe in detail (by year if necessary): If yes, please identify the date to verify that the benefits of the project have been implemented: 							
Alternative Project:								
A financial analysis is required <u>online</u> the Generation Cash Flow for Alter	y when alternatives are cons rnative form located under t	idered. I he <i>2017 C</i>	f applicable, an NPV a Capital Budget May 20	nalysis mus <i>16 Actuals</i>	t be submitted for folder in Livelink.	each	alternat	ive. Please use
Were alternatives considered?	?* □ Yes ☑ No	Describ	e alternatives:					
What was the reason for selec	cting the proposed projec	t over th	e alternatives cons	idered?				
	CHECKLIS	ST FOR	ITEMS TO BE SUE	BMITTED	1			
Have all the applicable line ite	ems on the Business Case Ov	verview be	een completed?		🛛 Yes		No	
Do the milestones you identified take into consideration availability of resources?								
Cash flow projection with detail must be submitted for the project, is it enclosed?								
Did you provide supporting documents for cash flows (i.e. L&R, Promod)?								
Does the Total Project Cost on page 1 equal the Total Project Cost on your cash flow analysis?								
If applicable, did you attach the financial analysis for each alternative?				🖾 N/A				
Please provide additional info	ormation not disclosed fo	r the con	nmittee's considera	tion:				
Preparer:*								
Name: Chris Carroll								

2
Project Number:	GN209
Project Description:	GT3 Boiler Feed Pump Upgrade
Total Project Capital Cost:	\$159,237
Reporting Date:	2/6/2019

PROJECT OVERVIEW				
Project Manager:	Juan A. Sanchez Jr	Project Manager Phone Number:	915-543-2940	
Owning Cost Center:	5110 POWER PLANT NEWMAN	Major Project Location:	11 - TX NEWMAN - UNIT 5	
Project Functional Class:	Steam Production	Business Segment:	115 NEWMAN - UNIT 5 GT3	
Associated Projects:	N/A	•		
In-Lieu of Component	N/A			
Project Priority	HIGH - Important and Urgent			
Project overview/scope:	Upgrade and replace kit parts to New	man GT3 Boiler Feed Pump		
Business Justification:	iness Justification: Pump was removed due to pump failure and due to time restraints to upgrade and return this pump back in time for our summer run, we had no choice but to have this pump sent to the OEM for review and upgrade of pump. This pump suffered a catastrophic event on or about June 1, 2018 which rendered this unit inoperable. The pump was sent to a repair shop for dissasemble, clean and inspect (DCI) and a quote has been provided with a detailed quote depicting labor and kit (parts) list and prices. This pump is required for reliability of Unit 5's HRSG 3 unit. The pump was sent to the OEM repair shop for sole sourcing.			
Project Risks and Constraints:	Project Risks and Constraints: If we don't get this pump back in time for our Summer run, we risk on operating Newman's Block 5 fleet to a less than optimal operation. Newman Block 5 has two HRSG units and each one has a Boiler Feed Pump that is the main piece of equipment to feed water to the Heat Recovery Steam Generator (HRSG). Without this pump, the steam turbine will is reduced to half load.			
Primary Reason for Expenditure Reliability				
Secondary Reason for Expe	nditure	Quality Improvement/Enha	ncement	
Has the RFP process been co	ompleted Yes 🗸 No	Excludes AFUDC; mandatory if RFP proc	cess complete	
If yes, Bid Estimate Date:		Bid Estimate:	\$ -	
If dollars are included in a b project, what is the amount	lanket ? \$ -	Total dollars included in FERC accoun That amount must be included in pro	t 183000. Jject cost	
Estimated Start Date:	4/8/2019	Estimated Completion Date:	4/30/2019	
If applicable, describe and identify asset being replaced/retired				
Replacement of internal pump l	kit(s).			
Please descrive the potentia	l Cost Savings and/or Cost Avoidan	ice for this project:		
risk on operating Newman's Block 5 fleet to a less than optimal operation.				
Describe alternatives consid	lered:			
None				
What was the reason for sel	ecting the proposed project over th	e alternatives considered?		

COST/BENEFIT ANALYSIS				
Select Best Alternative:		Alternative A	3. 	
	<u>10 Year Total</u>	30 Year Total	<u> 10 Year PV</u>	<u> 30 Year PV</u>
COST				
Capital Expenditures:	\$159,237	\$159,237	\$148,785	\$148,785
O&M Expenses:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financing Costs (Benefit):	\$25,624	(\$102,884)	\$20,403	(\$9,912)
Income Tax Exp (Benefit):	\$41,706	\$108,004	\$28,746	\$48,914
TOTAL COSTS	\$226,567	\$164,357	\$197,934	\$187,787
BENEFITS				
Revenue Requirement:	\$213,720	\$362,901	\$151,499	\$205,317

Cost Savings:	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0
Cost Avoidance:	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0
AFUDC:	\$3,816	\$3,816		\$3,566	\$3,566
Other Benefits:	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0
TOTAL BENEFITS	\$217,536	\$366,717	\$1	55,065	\$208,882
BENEFIT-COST RATI	0			0.78	1.11
Please list major milest	ones:				
Milestone:				Completed	by:
Quote				1/11/201	9
Capital request				1/11/201	.9
Purchase Requisition				1/14/201	.9
Purchase Order				1/21/201	9
Pump received on site				2/25/201	9
Invoiced				3/4/2019	Э
PO Closed				4/30/201	9
				Click here to ente	er a date.
Please list internal and	external resources assigned to th	is project:*			
Resource Name:	Department/Company:	Have you contacted this res	source about the project	& related timing:	
Juan A. Sanchez	Power Generation	🗸 Yes 🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
Repair Shop	Flowserve	🗸 Yes 🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
Enrique Acosta	Supply Chain Management	🗌 Yes 🗹 No	If no, explain why not:	Waiting on all appro	ovals
		🗌 Yes 🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		🗌 Yes 🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		🗌 Yes 🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		🗌 Yes 🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes No	If no, explain why not:		
		🗌 Yes 🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		🗌 Yes 🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		🗌 Yes 🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		🗌 Yes 🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		

checklist for items to be submitted			
Has Environmental been contacted?	Yes	🗸 No	_
If applicable, has land been secured for this project?	Yes	✓ No	
Have all the applicable line items on the Business Case Overview been completed?	✓ Yes	🗌 No	
Do the milestones you identified take into consideration availability of resources?	√ Yes	🗌 No	
Cash flow projection with detail must be submitted for the project, is it enclosed?	✓ Yes	No No	
Did you provide supporting documents for cash flows (i.e. L&R, Promod)?	Yes	✓ No	
Does the Total Project Cost on page 1 equal the Total Project Cost on your cash flow analysis?	🗸 Yes	🗌 No	
If applicable, did you attach the financial analysis for each alternative?	✓ Yes	🗌 No	
Please provide additional information not disclosed for the committee's consideration:			

	Project Manager / Preparer Signature:	
Name:	Juan A. Sanchez Jr.	
Date:	2/13/2019	
	Sponsor / Approver Signatura	
	Sponsor / Approver Signature:	
Name:		

Please fill in the white areas	s with no shading.
--------------------------------	--------------------

Date:

Use this form if the new project has not been created in PowerPlant after requesting a new project through Cherwell

Project Number:	GN210
Project Description:	U4/GT1 & GT2 Turbine Capital Replacement Parts (W501B6)
Total Project Capital Cost:	\$6,894,966
Reporting Date:	

PROJECT OVERVIEW				
Project Manager:	Chris Carroll	Project Manager Phone Number:	915-	
Owning Cost Center:	5110 POWER PLANT NEWMAN	Major Project Location:	10 - TX NEWMAN - UNIT 4	
Project Functional Class:	Other Production	Business Segment:	114 NEWMAN - UNIT 4	
Associated Projects:		•		
In-Lieu of Component				
Project Priority	HIGH - Important and Urgent			
Project overview/scope:	Procurement of replacement capita	parts for Newman GT1 and GT2 - W501B6	units.	
Business Justification:	Have a complete (one) set of turbi reliable operation.	ne components for GT1 and GT2 in the eve	nt parts need to be replaced with urgency	
Project Risks and Constraints:				
Primary Reason for Expenditure Reliability				
Secondary Reason for Expenditure Quality Improvement/Enhancement				
Has the RFP process been o	completed Yes No	Excludes AFUDC; mandatory if RFP	process complete	
If yes, Bid Estimate Date:	C	Bid Estimate:	\$ -	
If dollars are included in a project, what is the amoun	blanket t? \$-	Total dollars included in FERC accord That amount must be included in	ount 183000. project cost	
Estimated Start Date:	5/29/2019	Estimated Completion Date:	4/30/2020	
If applicable, describe and Turbine components of the WS	identify asset being replaced/retir 501B6 units (NM4 GT1 & GT2) will be n	ed	ts being procured as part of this project.	
Please descrive the potenti	al Cost Savings and/or Cost Avoid	ance for this project:		
Having turbine components rea	adily available will reduce GT1/GT2 shu	t down time for equipment failure referred t	to turbine components.	
Describe alternatives consi	dered:			
Bying replacement parts as ne	eded.			
What was the reason for se	electing the proposed project over	the alternatives considered?		
	Ex	tremely long lead times.		

COST/BENEFIT ANALYSIS Select Best Alternative: Status Quo - Do Nothing 10 Year Total 30 Year Total 10 Year PV 30 Year PV COST \$6,894,966 \$6,894,966 \$6,019,517 \$6,019,517 **Capital Expenditures:** O&M Expenses: \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$1,120,677 Financing Costs (Benefit): (\$3,946,876) \$824,303 (\$338,261) Income Tax Exp (Benefit): \$1,611,987 \$4,457,863 \$1,081,163 \$1,945,250 TOTAL COSTS \$9,627,630 \$7,405,953 \$7,924,984 \$7,626,506 BENEFITS \$8,421,571 \$8,217,273 Revenue Requirement: \$15,353,471 \$5,756,813 Cost Savings: \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

Page 2 of 3

Cost Avoidance:	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0
AFUDC:	\$81,674	\$81,674	\$	71,304	\$71,304
Other Benefits:	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0
TOTAL BENEFITS	\$8,503,245	\$15,435,144	\$5,82	28,117	\$8,288,577
BENEFIT-COST RATIO				0.74	1.09
Please list major milestones:					
Milestone:				Comp	oleted by:
Letter of Intent to EthosEnergy fo	r replacement capital parts pr	ocurement		5/2	9/2019
Issue PO to EthosEnergy				6/1	5/2019
Manufacturing of Parts				3/3	1/2020
Delivery of First Set - Stationary I	Parts			4/:	1/2020
Delivery of Second Set of Parts				4/3	0/2020
				Click here t	o enter a date.
				Click here t	o enter a date.
				Click here t	o enter a date.
Please list internal and extern	al resources assigned to t	his project:*			
Resource Name:	Department/Company:	Have you contacted this res	ource about the project	& related timing:	
		Yes No	If no, explain why not:		
		🗌 Yes 🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes No	If no, explain why not:		

checklist for items to be submitted		
Has Environmental been contacted?	Yes	✓ No
If applicable, has land been secured for this project?	🗌 Yes	✓ No
Have all the applicable line items on the Business Case Overview been completed?	✓ Yes	🗌 No
Do the milestones you identified take into consideration availability of resources?	🗸 Yes	🗌 No
Cash flow projection with detail must be submitted for the project, is it enclosed?	✓ Yes	🗌 No
Did you provide supporting documents for cash flows (i.e. L&R, Promod)?	Yes	🗸 No
Does the Total Project Cost on page 1 equal the Total Project Cost on your cash flow analysis?		No No
If applicable, did you attach the financial analysis for each alternative?	Ves	🗌 No
Please provide additional information not disclosed for the committee's consideration:		

	Project Manager / Preparer Signature:	
Name:	Chris Carroll	
Date:	5/29/2019	
	Sponsor / Approver Signature:	

Sponsor / Approver Signature:				
Name:				
Date:				

Use this form if the new project has not been created in PowerPlant after requesting a new project through Cherwell

Project Number:	GN211
Project Description:	GE 7EAs Capital Parts Replacement- Newman GT3 & GT4 Units
Total Project Capital Cost:	\$0
Reporting Date:	

DDOJECT OVEDVIEW					
Project Maniaria Division Carroll Division Maniaria Division Number 015 542 2024					
Project manager:		Project Manager Phone Number.	915-545-2924		
Owning Cost Center:	5110 POWER PLANT NEWMAN	Major Project Location:	11 - TX NEWMAN - UNIT 5		
Project Functional Class:	Other Production	Business Segment:	115 NEWMAN - UNIT 5 GT3		
Associated Projects:					
In-Lieu of Component					
Project Priority	HIGH - Important and Urgent				
Project overview/scope:	Procurement of replacement capital p machines next spring in preparation f nozzles and shrouds.	arts for Newman GT3 and GT3 - 7EA GE unit or the 2020 summer operation. The parts to	 These parts will be installed into the be procured are the stage 3 buckets, 		
Business Justification:	Business Justification: GT3 and GT4 provide 140MW of capacity support in simple cycle confirmation and 282 MW in combined cycle configuration. The need for the requested spare buckets, nozzles, and shrouds is required to mitigate lead time acquisition. Current lead times are in excess of 40 weeks and depending on the timing of the need, could result in capacity shortfalls for peak season operation. In addition to needing to import (likely higher priced) replacement power during summer run, depending on system conditions, EPIC may not be sufficient to support reliable system operations across peak hours. Having turbine components readily available will reduce GT3/GT4 down time for equipment failure referred to turbine components.Have a complete (one) set of turbine stage 3 components for GT3 and GT4 in the event parts need to be replaced with urgency reliable operation. EPIC currently owns a spare set of turbine stage 1 and stage 2 components.				
Project Risks and Constraints:	Project Risks and Constraints: With the age of the current parts and the cost of a failure can be several million dollars on average.				
Primary Reason for Expendit	ure	Reliability			
Secondary Reason for Expen	diture	Quality Improvement/Enha	ncement		
Has the RFP process been co	mpleted Yes 🗸 No	Excludes AFUDC; mandatory if RFP pro-	cess complete		
If yes, Bid Estimate Date:		Bid Estimate:	\$ -		
If dollars are included in a bl project, what is the amount?	lanket	Total dollars included in FERC accoun That amount must be included in pro	t 183000. oject cost		
Estimated Start Date:	1/0/1900	Estimated Completion Date:	1/0/1900		
If applicable, describe and identify asset being replaced/retired					
Turbine components of the GE 7EAS units (NM5 GT3 & GT4) will be replaced with the new components during next spring's outage season.					
Please descrive the potential Cost Savings and/or Cost Avoidance for this project:					
The cost savings is in the risk avoidance. A failed turbine bucket can quote to millions in unplanned and unbudgeted repair costs.					
Describe alternatives considered: Alternatives considered in the past have been to purchase "grey market" or used parts and to destructively test a sample of existing parts to determine the status of the remaining narts in the set and replace just the nart tested. What was the reason for selecting the proposed project over the alternatives considered?					

Experience has shown that the "pedigree" or history of grey market parts is too unreliable and with the advanced age of existing parts, destructive testing of a sample does not necessarily provide an accurate assessment of the remaining parts.

COST/BENEFIT ANALYSIS							
Select Best Alternative:							
	<u>10 Year Total</u>	<u>30 Year Total</u>	<u> 10 Year PV</u>	<u> 30 Year PV</u>			
COST							
Capital Expenditures:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
O&M Expenses:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0.			

	Dago	2	of 1
Ú.	age	2	01 -

Financing Costs (Benefit):	\$0		\$0		\$0	\$0
Income Tax Exp (Benefit):	\$0		\$0		\$0	\$0
TOTAL COSTS	\$0		\$0		\$0	\$0
BENEFITS						
Revenue Requirement:	\$0		\$0		\$0	\$0
Cost Savings:	\$0		\$0		\$0	\$0
Cost Avoidance:	\$0		\$0		\$0	\$0
AFUDC:	\$0		\$0		\$0	\$0
Other Benefits:	\$0		\$0		\$0	\$0
TOTAL BENEFITS	\$0		\$0		\$0	\$0
BENEFIT-COST RATIO					0.00	0.00
Please list major milestones:						
Milestone:					Completed by:	
Inform Vendor of parts procureme	nt				6/10/2019	
Issue a PO to Vendor					6/11/2019	
Manufacturing of Parts					6/12/2019	
Delivery of Parts					1/15/2020	
					Click here to enter a da	ate.
					Click here to enter a da	ate.
					Click here to enter a da	ate.
					Click here to enter a da	ate.
Please list internal and externa	al resources assigned to th	nis project:*				
Resource Name:	Department/Company:	Have you contacted	this reso	urce about the project	& related timing:	
		Yes	No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes 🗌	No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes 🗌	No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes 🗌	No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes 🗌	No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes 🗌	No	If no, explain why not:		
İ		Yes	No	If no, explain why not:		
ĺ		Yes	No	If no, explain why not:		
İ		Yes	No	If no, explain why not:		
İ		Yes	No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	No	If no, explain why not:		

checklist for items to be submitted					
Has Environmental been contacted?	Yes	No No			
If applicable, has land been secured for this project?	Yes	✓ No			
Have all the applicable line items on the Business Case Overview been completed?	✓ Yes	No No			
Do the milestones you identified take into consideration availability of resources?	✓ Yes	No No			
Cash flow projection with detail must be submitted for the project, is it enclosed?	✓ Yes	No No			
Did you provide supporting documents for cash flows (i.e. L&R, Promod)?	Yes	✓ No			
Does the Total Project Cost on page 1 equal the Total Project Cost on your cash flow analysis?	Yes	No No			
If applicable, did you attach the financial analysis for each alternative?	Yes	✓ No			
Please provide additional information not disclosed for the committee's consideration:					

Project Manager / Preparer Signature:			
Name:	Chris Carroll		
Date:	6/5/2019		

SOAH Docket No. 473-21-2606
PUC Docket No. 52195
FMI's 2nd, Q. No. FMI 2-5
Attachment 11

| Page 3 of 4

i.	Sponsor / Approver Signature:	P
Name:		
Date:		

Use this form if the new project has not been created in PowerPlant after requesting a new project through Cherwell

Project Number:	
Project Description:	NW U2 AUTO VOLT REGULATOR OVATION UPGRADE
Total Project Capital Cost:	\$192,320
Reporting Date:	6/26/2019

PROJECT OVERVIEW					
Project Manager:	Jesus Marquez	Project Manager Phone Number: 915	-543-2935		
Owning Cost Center:	5110 POWER PLANT NEWMAN	Major Project Location: 8 -	TX NEWMAN - UNIT 2		
Project Functional Class:	Steam Production	Business Segment: 112	NEWMAN - UNIT 2		
Associated Projects:	NONE	•			
In-Lieu of Component					
Project Priority	MEDIUM - Important but Not Urgent				
Project overview/scope:	Unit 2 Auto voltage regulator front er	nd upgrade. Change out the controls from VME tech	nnology to Ovation.		
Business Justification:	Business Justification: In 2013. U2 AVR was upgraded to a DGC (VME) Emerson, at that moment Emerson had not developed the Ovation AVR controls. Now that they have Ovation controls for the AVR, upgrading to Ovation would enhance the operation of the AVR. Further Newman is upgrading all their controls to Ovation, this would provide a better interface between the DCS and the AVR. In addition, Emerson has notified us that they will support VME technology for 5 more years.				
Project Risks and Constraints:					
Primary Reason for Expendi	iture	Quality Enhancement			
Secondary Reason for Expe	nditure	New Technology			
Has the RFP process been c	ompleted Yes 🗸 No	Excludes AFUDC; mandatory if RFP process	complete		
If yes, Bid Estimate Date:		Bid Estimate:	\$ -		
If dollars are included in a b project, what is the amount		Total dollars included in FERC account 18 That amount must be included in project	3000. ¢ost		
Estimated Start Date:	1/20/2020	Estimated Completion Date:	5/15/2020		
If applicable, describe and identify asset being replaced/retired Emerson DGC VME Controller					
Please describe the potential Cost Savings and/or Cost Avoidance for this project:					
The VME technology will not be supported in 5 years and not many qualified people around to service equipment at this moment.					
Describe alternatives consid	Describe alternatives considered:				
What was the reason for selecting the proposed project over the alternatives considered?					

COST/BENEFIT ANALYSIS				
Select Best Alternative:		Alternative A		
	<u>10 Year Total</u>	<u> 30 Year Total</u>	<u> 10 Year PV</u>	<u> 30 Year PV</u>
COST				
Capital Expenditures:	\$192,320	\$192,320	\$179,696	\$179,696
O&M Expenses:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financing Costs (Benefit):	\$31,466	(\$127,976)	\$25,053	(\$12,474)
Income Tax Exp (Benefit):	\$50,310	\$133,484	\$34,458	\$59,634
TOTAL COSTS	\$274,096	\$197,828	\$239,207	\$226,857
BENEFITS				
Revenue Requirement:	\$259,193	\$445,987	\$183,014	\$250,015
Cost Savings:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Page 2 of 3

Cost Avoidance:	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0
AFUDC:	\$6,392	\$6,392		\$5,972	\$5,972
Other Benefits:	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0
TOTAL BENEFITS	\$265,584	\$452,378	\$1	88,987	\$255,987
BENEFIT-COST RATIO				0.79	1.13
Please list major mileston	es:				
Milestone:				Comple	ted by:
Issue PO				9/15/	2019
Receive equipment				3/15/	2020
Install equipment				4/1/2	2020
Complete installation and test	ing			4/14/	2020
				Click here to	enter a date.
				Click here to	enter a date.
				Click here to	enter a date.
				Click here to	enter a date.
Please list internal and ext	ternal resources assigned to thi	is project:			
Resource Name:	Department/Company:	Have you contacted this rese	ource about the project	& related timing:	
Jesus Marquez	Power Gen/EPE	🗸 Yes 🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
TBD	Power Gen/EPE	🗌 Yes 🗹 No	If no, explain why not:		
		🗌 Yes 🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		🗌 Yes 🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		🗌 Yes 🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		🗌 Yes 🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		🗌 Yes 🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		🗌 Yes 🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes No	If no, explain why not:		
		🗌 Yes 🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		🗌 Yes 🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		

checklist for items to be submitted		
Has Environmental been contacted?	Yes	✓ No
If applicable, has land been secured for this project?	Yes	✓ No
Have all the applicable line items on the Business Case Overview been completed?	✓ Yes	🗌 No
Do the milestones you identified take into consideration availability of resources?	✓ Yes	🗌 No
Cash flow projection with detail must be submitted for the project, is it enclosed?	✓ Yes	🗌 No
Did you provide supporting documents for cash flows (i.e. L&R, Promod)?	Yes	✓ No
Does the Total Project Cost on page 1 equal the Total Project Cost on your cash flow analysis?	✓ Yes	🗌 No
If applicable, did you attach the financial analysis for each alternative?	Yes	✓ No
Please provide additional information not disclosed for the committee's consideration:		

Project Manager / Preparer Signature:				
Name:	Jesus Marquez			
Date:	6/26/2019			

Approver Signature:				
Name:				
Date:				

Use this form if the new project has not been created in PowerPlant after requesting a new project through Cherwell

Project Number:	
Project Description:	NW U3 AUTO VOLT REGULATOR OVATION UPGRADE
Total Project Capital Cost:	\$192,320
Reporting Date:	6/26/2019

PROJECT OVERVIEW					
Project Manager:	Jesus Marqu	ez	Project Manager Phone Number: 9	915-543-29	35
Owning Cost Center:	5110 POWER	LANT NEWMAN	Major Project Location: 9) - TX NEW	MAN - UNIT 3
Project Functional Class:	Steam Produ	ction	Business Segment: 1	.13 NEWMA	AN - UNIT 3
Associated Projects:	NONE		·		
In-Lieu of Component					
Project Priority	MEDIUM - In	nportant but Not Urgent			
Project overview/scope:	Unit 3 Auto v	/oltage regulator front en	d upgrade. Change out the controls from VME te	echnology t	to Ovation.
Business Justification:In 2016. U2 AVR was upgraded to a DGC (VME) Emerson, at that moment Emerson had not developed the Ovation AVR controls. Now that they have Ovation controls for the AVR, upgrading to Ovation would enhance the operation of the AVR. Further Newman is upgrading all their controls to Ovation, this would provide a better interface between the DCS and the AVR. In addition, Emerson has notified us that they will support VME technology for 5 more years.					
Project Risks and Constraints:					
Primary Reason for Expendi	iture		Quality Enhancement		
Secondary Reason for Exper	nditure		New Technology		
Has the RFP process been co	ompleted	Yes 🗸 No	Excludes AFUDC; mandatory if RFP proces	ss comple	te
If yes, Bid Estimate Date:			Bid Estimate:		\$
If dollars are included in a b project, what is the amount	planket t?	\$	Total dollars included in FERC account 1 That amount must be included in proje	183000. ct cost	\$ -
Estimated Start Date:		1/13/2020	Estimated Completion Date:		5/29/2020
If applicable, describe and i	dentify asset I	eing replaced/retired			
Emerson DGC VME Controller					
Please describe the potentia	al Cost Savings	and/or Cost Avoidan	ce for this project:		
The VME technology will not be	supported in 5	years and not many qua	lified people around to service equipment at this	moment.	
Describe alternatives consid	lered:				
What was the reason for se	lecting the pro	posed project over the	e alternatives considered?		

	COS	ST/BENEFIT ANALYSIS		
Select Best Alternative:		Alternative A	×	
	<u>10 Year Total</u>	<u>30 Year Total</u>	<u> 10 Year PV</u>	<u>30 Year PV</u>
COST				
Capital Expenditures:	\$192,320	\$192,320	\$179,696	\$179,696
O&M Expenses:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financing Costs (Benefit):	\$31,466	(\$127,976)	\$25,053	(\$12,474)
Income Tax Exp (Benefit):	\$50,310	\$133,484	\$34,458	\$59,634
TOTAL COSTS	\$274,096	\$197,828	\$239,207	\$226,857
BENEFITS				
Revenue Requirement:	\$259,193	\$445,987	\$183,014	\$250,015
Cost Savings:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Cost Avoidance:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

\$179,696 \$0 (\$12,474) \$59,634 \$226,857

AFUDC:	\$6,392		\$6,392	:	\$5,972	\$5,972 ^P
Other Benefits:	\$0		\$0		\$0	\$0
TOTAL BENEFITS	\$265,584		\$452,378	\$1	88,987	\$255,987
BENEFIT-COST RATIO					0.79	1.13
Please list major milestones:						
Milestone:					Lo	impleted by:
Issue PO						9/15/2019
Receive equipment						3/15/2020
Install equipment						4/14/2020
Complete installation and testing					·	4/30/2020
					Click her	re to enter a date.
					Click her	re to enter a date.
					Click her	re to enter a date.
					Click her	re to enter a date.
Please list internal and extern	al resources assigned to th	is project:				
Resource Name:	Department/Company:	Have you cont	acted this re	source about the project	& related timing:	
Jesus Marquez	Power Gen/EPE	🗸 Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
TBD	Power Gen/EPE	Yes	🗸 No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		

checklist for items to be submitted					
Has Environmental been contacted?	Yes	🗸 No			
If applicable, has land been secured for this project?	Yes	🗸 No			
Have all the applicable line items on the Business Case Overview been completed?	🗸 Yes	🗌 No			
Do the milestones you identified take into consideration availability of resources?	🗸 Yes	🗌 No			
Cash flow projection with detail must be submitted for the project, is it enclosed?	🗸 Yes	🗌 No			
Did you provide supporting documents for cash flows (i.e. L&R, Promod)?	Yes	✓ No			
Does the Total Project Cost on page 1 equal the Total Project Cost on your cash flow analysis?	🗸 Yes	🗌 No			
If applicable, did you attach the financial analysis for each alternative?	Yes	🗸 No			
Please provide additional information not disclosed for the committee's consideration:					

	Project Manager / Preparer Signature:	
Name:	Jesus Marquez	
Date:	6/26/2019	
	Approver Signature:	
Name:		
Date:		

Use this form if the new project has not been created in PowerPlant after requesting a new project through Cherwell

Project Number:	
Project Description:	NW U3 UNINTERUPTIBLE POWER SUPPLY UPGRADE
Total Project Capital Cost:	\$293,672
Reporting Date:	6/26/2019

PROJECT OVERVIEW					
Project Manager:	Jesus Marquez	Z	Project Manager Phone Number: 9	15-543-293	35
Owning Cost Center:	5110 POWER I	PLANT NEWMAN	Major Project Location: 9) - TX NEWI	MAN - UNIT 3
Project Functional Class:	Steam Product	tion	Business Segment: 1	13 NEWMA	.N - UNIT 3
Associated Projects:	NONE				
In-Lieu of Component					
Project Priority	MEDIUM - Imp	portant but Not Urgent			
Project overview/scope:	Turnkey Projec	ct to Upgrade current Uf r,battery charger and a	PS to new 20kva UPS to include engineering , re Il required panels to critical equipment.	emoval and	installation. Also to include
Business Justification: The current UPS was installed in 1995, the last two years we have had issue with the UPS failing. The failures have caused units to trip and go off line. On 5/17/2019 we tripped Unit 1 and Unit 3 due to a failure on the UPS, further we are having a hard time finding parts to repair the UPS and the repairs are very costly.					
Project Risks and Constraints:					
Primary Reason for Expendit	.ure		System Reliability & Improveme	ent	
Secondary Reason for Expen	diture		Quality Enhancement		
Has the RFP process been co	mpleted	Yes 🗸 No	Excludes AFUDC; mandatory if RFP proces	ss complet	ie
If yes, Bid Estimate Date:			Bid Estimate:		\$ -
If dollars are included in a bl project, what is the amount?	anket ?	\$ -	Total dollars included in FERC account 1 That amount must be included in proje	183000. ect cost	\$ -
Estimated Start Date:		1/6/2020	Estimated Completion Date:		5/15/2020
If applicable, describe and id	entify asset be	ing replaced/retired			
SCI 20KVA UPS ,battery charger	with 2 input sou	irces.			
Please describe the potential	Cost Savings	and/or Cost Avoidand	ce for this project:		
Upgrading UPS will reduce unit tr	rips. The trips ar	re costly due to unit dow	<i>i</i> ntime .		
Describe alternatives conside	ered:				
What was the reason for sele	ecting the prop	losed project over the	alternatives considered?		

COST/BENEFIT ANALYSIS							
Select Best Alternative:	native: Alternative A						
	10 Year Total	<u> 30 Year Total</u>	<u> 10 Year PV</u>	<u>30 Year PV</u>			
COST							
Capital Expenditures:	\$293,672	\$293,672	\$274,396	\$274,396			
O&M Expenses:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
Financing Costs (Benefit):	\$48,049	(\$195,417)	\$38,255	(\$19,047)			
Income Tax Exp (Benefit):	\$76,824	\$203,822	\$52,619	\$91,061			
TOTAL COSTS	\$418,545	\$302,077	\$365,270	\$346,410			
BENEFITS							
Revenue Requirement:	\$395,785	\$681,019	\$279,462	\$381,772			
Cost Savings:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			
Cost Avoidance:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0			

AFUDC:	\$9,760	\$9,	760	:	\$9,119	\$9,119 ^P
Other Benefits:	\$0		\$0		\$0	\$0
TOTAL BENEFITS	\$405,546	\$690,	779	\$2	88,581	\$390,891
BENEFIT-COST RATIO					0.79	1.13
Please list major milestones:						
Milestone:					Co	mpleted by:
Issue PO						10/1/2019
Receive equipment						2/1/2020
Install equipment						4/1/2020
Complete installation and testing					· · · · ·	4/14/2020
					Click her	e to enter a date.
					Click her	e to enter a date.
					Click her	e to enter a date.
					Click her	e to enter a date.
Please list internal and extern	al resources assigned to thi	s project:				
Resource Name:	Department/Company:	Have you contacted	his res	ource about the project	& related timing:	
Jesus Marquez	Power Gen/EPE	🗸 Yes 🗌	٧o	If no, explain why not:		
TBD	Power Gen/EPE	🗌 Yes 🗸	٧o	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	٧o	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	٧o	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	٧o	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	٧o	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	٥V	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	٧o	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	٥V	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	٥V	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	٧o	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	١o	If no, explain why not:		

checklist for items to be submitted		
Has Environmental been contacted?	🗸 Yes	🗌 No
If applicable, has land been secured for this project?	Yes	✓ No
Have all the applicable line items on the Business Case Overview been completed?	🗸 Yes	🗌 No
Do the milestones you identified take into consideration availability of resources?	🗸 Yes	🗌 No
Cash flow projection with detail must be submitted for the project, is it enclosed?	🗸 Yes	🗌 No
Did you provide supporting documents for cash flows (i.e. L&R, Promod)?	Yes	✓ No
Does the Total Project Cost on page 1 equal the Total Project Cost on your cash flow analysis?	🗸 Yes	🗌 No
If applicable, did you attach the financial analysis for each alternative?	🗌 Yes	✓ No
Please provide additional information not disclosed for the committee's consideration:		

	Project Manager / Preparer Signature:	
Name:	Jesus Marquez	
Date:	6/26/2019	
	Annual Cinestana	
	Approver Signature:	
Name:		
		1
Date:		

Use this form if the new project has not been created in PowerPlant after requesting a new project through Cherwell

Project Number:	
Project Description:	NW U4 COOLING TOWER TRANSFORMER REPLACEMENT
Total Project Capital Cost:	\$119,609
Reporting Date:	6/26/2019

PROJECT OVERVIEW						
Project Manager:	Jesus Marque	Z	Project Manager Phone Number: 91	15 543-935	; 	
Owning Cost Center:	5110 POWER	PLANT NEWMAN	Major Project Location: 10) - TX NEW	/MAN - UNIT 4	
Project Functional Class:	Steam Produc	ction	Business Segment: 11	14 NEWMA	N - UNIT 4	
Associated Projects:	NONE					
In-Lieu of Component						
Project Priority	HIGH - Impor	rtant and Urgent				
Project overview/scope:	Cooling towe	r transformer, disconnect	switch and under ground cable replacement			
Business Justification:	On May 16, 2019. the transformer of the A side to the cooling tower load center faulted. The fault damaged the disconnect switch and the under ground feeder cable. The cooling tower switch gear has a redundant transformer and is currently running on that side. The A side transformer, switch and underground cable needs to be replaced ASAP to assure Unit 4 stays on line. Loss of the B side will cause a total loss of Block 4					
Project Risks and Constraints:						
Primary Reason for Expendi	ture		System Reliability & Improveme	nt		
Secondary Reason for Exper	nditure		Safety & Security			
Has the RFP process been co	ompleted	🗹 Yes 🗌 No	Excludes AFUDC; mandatory if RFP process	s complet	te	
If yes, Bid Estimate Date:			Bid Estimate:		\$	
If dollars are included in a b project, what is the amount	lanket ?	\$ 117,000.00	Total dollars included in FERC account 1 That amount must be included in project	83000. ct cost	\$ -	
Estimated Start Date:	·	7/1/2019	Estimated Completion Date:		10/31/2019	
If applicable, describe and in	dentify asset b	eing replaced/retired				
1500 kva transformer 4160v /48	30v 3 phase , 41	.60v switch and 1500ft of	f 250 mcm cable.			
Please describe the potentia	I Cost Savings	and/or Cost Avoidan	ce for this project:			
If we lose the B side of load cer	ter there will be	e a loss of 268 mw				
Describe alternatives consid	lered:					
What was the reason for sel	ecting the pro	posed project over the	e alternatives considered?			

COST/BENEFIT ANALYSIS								
Select Best Alternative:	Alternative A							
	<u> 10 Year Total</u>	<u> 30 Year Total</u>	<u> 10 Year PV</u>	<u>30 Year PV</u>				
COST								
Capital Expenditures:	\$119,609	\$119,609	\$119,609	\$119,609				
O&M Expenses:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0				
Financing Costs (Benefit):	\$17,690	(\$80,865)	\$15,505	(\$8,403)				
Income Tax Exp (Benefit):	\$34,808	\$80,622	\$25,026	\$39,135				
TOTAL COSTS	\$172,107	\$119,366	\$160,140	\$150,342				
BENEFITS								
Revenue Requirement:	\$172,952	\$267,889	\$128,395	\$163,719				
Cost Savings:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0				
Cost Avoidance:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0				

AFUDC:	\$1,776		\$1,776	:	\$1,776	\$1,776 P
Other Benefits:	\$0		\$0		\$0	\$0
TOTAL BENEFITS	\$174,728		\$269,665	\$1	30,171	\$165,495
					• • •	
BENEFIT-COST RATIO					0.81	1.10
Please list major milestones:					Com	plated by
Tasue DO for Transformer					7	(9/2010
Issue PO for Cuitch					7/	8/2019
					7,	8/2019
					9/	16/2019
Complete installation and testing					10	/1/2019
					Click here	to enter a date.
					Click here	to enter a date.
					Click here	to enter a date.
					Click here	to enter a date.
Please list internal and extern	al resources assigned to th	is project:				
Resource Name:	Department/Company:	Have you cont	acted this re	source about the project	& related timing:	
Jesus Marquez	Power Gen/EPE	🗸 Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	□ No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	 No	If no, explain why not:		
		☐ Yes	□ No	If no, explain why not:		
		☐ Yes	 ∏ No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	 □ No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		

checklist for items to be submitted		
Has Environmental been contacted?	Yes	🗌 No
If applicable, has land been secured for this project?	Yes	🗸 No
Have all the applicable line items on the Business Case Overview been completed?	🗸 Yes	🗌 No
Do the milestones you identified take into consideration availability of resources?	🗸 Yes	🗌 No
Cash flow projection with detail must be submitted for the project, is it enclosed?	🗸 Yes	🗌 No
Did you provide supporting documents for cash flows (i.e. L&R, Promod)?	Yes	✓ No
Does the Total Project Cost on page 1 equal the Total Project Cost on your cash flow analysis?	🗸 Yes	🗌 No
If applicable, did you attach the financial analysis for each alternative?	Yes	🗸 No
Please provide additional information not disclosed for the committee's consideration:		

Project Manager / Preparer Signature:	
Jesus Marquez	
6/26/2019	
Annuau Cirratura	
Approver Signature:	
	Project Manager / Preparer Signature: Jesus Marquez 6/26/2019 Approver Signature:

Use this form if the new project has not been created in PowerPlant after requesting a new project through Cherwell

Project Number:	
Project Description:	NW U2 UNINTERUPTIBLE POWER SUPPLY UPGRADE
Total Project Capital Cost:	\$293,672
Reporting Date:	6/26/2019

1	PROJECT OVERVIEW						
Project Manager:	Jesus Marquez		Project Manager Phone Number: 915	5-543-293	5		
Owning Cost Center:	5110 POWER PLANT NEWMAN	ī	Major Project Location: 8 -	TX NEWM	1AN - UNIT 2		
Project Functional Class:	Steam Production		Business Segment: 112	2 NEWMA	N - UNIT 2		
Associated Projects:	NONE						
In-Lieu of Component							
Project Priority	MEDIUM - Important but Not L	Urgent					
Project overview/scope:	Turnkey Project to install new 2 ,battery charger and all requir	20 KVA red cab	UPS to include engineering , removal and installa le and panels to critical equipment.	ation. To i	nclude line conditioner		
Business Justification:	usiness Justification: Currently the UPS on Unit 3 is supplying power to Unit 1 and Unit 2. The UPS is loaded heavily and is running at maximum load. U2 and U1 loads need to be separated from Unit 3 UPS to assure better reliability for all units. Further, it will make maintenance on UPS much easier and assure better unit radiality						
Project Risks and Constraints:							
Primary Reason for Expendit	ure		System Reliability & Improvement	t			
Secondary Reason for Expen	diture		Quality Enhancement				
Has the RFP process been co	mpleted Yes 🗸] No	Excludes AFUDC; mandatory if RFP process	complet	e		
If yes, Bid Estimate Date:			Bid Estimate:		\$		
If dollars are included in a bla project, what is the amount?	anket\$		Total dollars included in FERC account 18 That amount must be included in project	3000. t cost	\$ -		
Estimated Start Date:	2/7/2020	ງ	Estimated Completion Date:		5/29/2020		
If applicable, describe and ide	If applicable, describe and identify asset being replaced/retired						
Please describe the potential	Cost Savings and/or Cost Av	voidan	ce for this project:				
Installing a new UPS will reduce	Installing a new UPS will reduce multiple unit trips. The trips are costly due to unit downtime .						
Describe alternatives conside	Describe alternatives considered:						
What was the reason for selecting the proposed project over the alternatives considered?							

COST/BENEFIT ANALYSIS						
Select Best Alternative: Alternative A						
	<u>10 Year Total</u>	<u> 30 Year Total</u>	<u> 10 Year PV</u>	<u>30 Year PV</u>		
COST						
Capital Expenditures:	\$293,672	\$293,672	\$274,396	\$274,396		
O&M Expenses:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Financing Costs (Benefit):	\$47,783	(\$193,517)	\$38,045	(\$18,790)		
Income Tax Exp (Benefit):	\$76,855	\$202,270	\$52,752	\$90,775		
TOTAL COSTS	\$418,310	\$302,425	\$365,192	\$346,381		
BENEFITS						
Revenue Requirement:	\$395,239	\$677,084	\$279,443	\$380,728		
Cost Savings:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Cost Avoidance:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		

AFUDC:	\$8,848	\$8,848	:	\$8,267	\$8,267 ^{Pa}
Other Benefits:	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0
TOTAL BENEFITS	\$404,087	\$685,931	\$2	87,710	\$388,994
BENEFIT-COST RATIO				0.79	1.12
Please list major milestones:					
Milestone:				Comp	leted by:
Issue PO				10/	1/2019
Receive equipment				2/:	L/2020
Install equipment				4/1	4/2020
Complete installation and testing				4/3	0/2020
				Click here t	o enter a date.
				Click here t	o enter a date.
				Click here t	o enter a date.
				Click here t	o enter a date.
Please list internal and extern	nal resources assigned to th	is project:			
Resource Name:	Department/Company:	Have you contacted this r	esource about the project	& related timing:	
Jesus Marquez	Power Gen/EPE	🗸 Yes 🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
TBD	Power Gen/EPE	🗌 Yes 🗹 No	If no, explain why not:		
		🗌 Yes 🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		🗌 Yes 🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes No	If no, explain why not:		
		🗌 Yes 🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes No	If no, explain why not:		
		🗌 Yes 🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes No	If no, explain why not:		

checklist for items to be submitted		
Has Environmental been contacted?	🗸 Yes	🗌 No
If applicable, has land been secured for this project?	Yes	✓ No
Have all the applicable line items on the Business Case Overview been completed?	🗸 Yes	🗌 No
Do the milestones you identified take into consideration availability of resources?	✓ Yes	🗌 No
Cash flow projection with detail must be submitted for the project, is it enclosed?	🗸 Yes	🗌 No
Did you provide supporting documents for cash flows (i.e. L&R, Promod)?	Yes	✓ No
Does the Total Project Cost on page 1 equal the Total Project Cost on your cash flow analysis?	✓ Yes	🗌 No
If applicable, did you attach the financial analysis for each alternative?	Yes	🗸 No
Please provide additional information not disclosed for the committee's consideration:		

	Project Manager / Preparer Signature:	
Name:	Jesus Marquez	
Date:	6/26/2019	
	Approver Signature	
Nama	Approver Signature:	
Name:		
Date:		

Use this form if the new project has not been created in PowerPlant after requesting a new project through Cherwell

Project Number:	GN228
Project Description:	U2 GENERATOR PROTECTION RELAY UPGRADE
Total Project Capital Cost:	\$172,194
Reporting Date:	6/25/2019

٣	PF	OJECT OVERVIEW				
Project Manager:	Lusheng Su	Project Manager Phone Number: 9	15-235-7087			
Owning Cost Center:	5110 POWER PLANT NEWMAN	Major Project Location: 8	- TX NEWMAN - UNIT 2			
Project Functional Class:	Steam Production	Business Segment: 1	12 NEWMAN - UNIT 2			
Associated Projects:	NONE					
In-Lieu of Component						
Project Priority	MEDIUM - Important but Not Urge	nt				
Project overview/scope:	Replace ABB relays to SEL relays fo	or U2				
Business Justification:	SEL relays can be synchronized to GPS so that each trip or misoperation can be analyzed on one sitieth of a second level. While the ABB relay's time stamp is hard to set, it is difficult to analyze the root cause of the trip or misoperations with the time stamp ABB relay provided. So it is hard to decide the orders of events. With the function of event reports from SEL relays, it is easy to decide the root cause of the unit trip or misoperation PRC-004. Plus, the SEL relays will provide live phase analysis and advanced protection elements.					
Project Risks and Constraints:						
Primary Reason for Expendi	iture	System Reliabilty & Improveme	nt			
Secondary Reason for Expe	nditure	New Technology				
Has the RFP process been c	ompleted Yes 🗸 No	Excludes AFUDC; mandatory if RFP proces	s complete			
If yes, Bid Estimate Date:		Bid Estimate:	\$ -			
If dollars are included in a b project, what is the amount	blanket t? \$	Total dollars included in FERC account 1 That amount must be included in project	83000. \$			
Estimated Start Date:	11/1/2019	Estimated Completion Date:	3/31/2020			
If applicable, describe and i	identify asset being replaced/reti	red				
Five ABB relays will be replaced	l by SEL relays					
Please describe the potentia	al Cost Savings and/or Cost Avoid	ance for this project:				
SEL relay will help analyze the	root cause of unit trip or misoperation	l				
Describe alternatives consid	Jered:					
What was the reason for se	lecting the proposed project over	the alternatives considered?				

	CO	ST/BENEFIT ANALYSIS		
Select Best Alternative:		· ·		
	<u>10 Year Total</u>	<u>30 Year Total</u>	<u> 10 Year PV</u>	<u>30 Year PV</u>
COST				
Capital Expenditures:	\$172,194	\$172,194	\$162,720	\$162,720
O&M Expenses:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financing Costs (Benefit):	\$28,711	(\$103,125)	\$23,020	(\$8,165)
Income Tax Exp (Benefit):	\$44,956	\$111,993	\$31,247	\$51,830
TOTAL COSTS	\$245,861	\$181,062	\$216,986	\$206,385
BENEFITS				
Revenue Requirement:	\$229,983	\$381,943	\$164,077	\$219,460
Cost Savings:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Attachment 17 Page 2 of 3

Cost Avoidance:	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0
AFUDC:	\$1,855	\$1,855		\$1,739	\$1,739
Other Benefits:	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0
TOTAL BENEFITS	\$231,838	\$383,798	\$1	65,816	\$221,199
BENEFIT-COST RATIO				0.76	1.07
Please list major milestones:					
Milestone:				Comple	eted by:
SEL relays mounted and wires te	rminated			3/1/	2020
SEL relays programmed and com	missioned			3/30/	/2020
				Click here to	enter a date.
				Click here to	enter a date.
				Click here to	enter a date.
				Click here to	enter a date.
				Click here to	enter a date.
				Click here to	enter a date.
Please list internal and extern	nal resources assigned to th	is project:			
Resource Name:	Department/Company:	Have you contacted this rese	ource about the project	& related timing:	
Lusheng Su	Power Gen/EPE	🗸 Yes 🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
TBD		🗌 Yes 🗹 No	If no, explain why not:	In the process of bide	ding out
		🗌 Yes 🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		🗌 Yes 🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		🗌 Yes 🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		🗌 Yes 🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		🗌 Yes 🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		🗌 Yes 🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		🗌 Yes 🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		🗌 Yes 🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		🗌 Yes 🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		🗌 Yes 🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		

checklist for items to be submitted Has Environmental been contacted? Yes 🗌 No If applicable, has land been secured for this project? Yes 🗌 No Have all the applicable line items on the Business Case Overview been completed? Yes 🗌 No Do the milestones you identified take into consideration availability of resources? Yes 🗌 No Cash flow projection with detail must be submitted for the project, is it enclosed? 🗌 No Yes Did you provide supporting documents for cash flows (i.e. L&R, Promod)? Yes 🗌 No Does the Total Project Cost on page 1 equal the Total Project Cost on your cash flow analysis? Yes 🗌 No If applicable, did you attach the financial analysis for each alternative? Yes 🗌 No Please provide additional information not disclosed for the committee's consideration:

Project Manager / Preparer Signature:						
Name:	Lusheng Su					
Date:	6/25/2019					
	Anna Signatura					
Namo	Approver Signature:					

Please fill in the white areas with no shading.

Date:

Use this form if the new project has not been created in PowerPlant after requesting a new project through Cherwell

Project Number:	GN232
Project Description:	Newman DCS Improvements CIP and Cyber Security Improvements
Total Project Capital Cost:	\$341,335
Reporting Date:	8/23/2019

14		PRO	JECT OVERVIEW		
Project Manager:	J Kyle Olson		Project Manager Phone Number:	915-521-46	78
Owning Cost Center:	5110 POWER PLANT I	NEWMAN	Major Project Location:	6 - TX NEW	(MAN - COMMON
Project Functional Class:	Steam Production		Business Segment:	110 NEWM/	AN - JOINT
Associated Projects:			* 		
In-Lieu of Component					
Project Priority	HIGH - Important and	l Urgent			
Project overview/scope:	Plant wide Digital Con Digital Control System	trol System imp cyber security	provements to comply with Critical Infrastruc v systems.	ture Protectior	1-003-7 and improve overall
Business Justification:	Needed to comply wit	h Critical Infras	tructure Protection-0003-7 and improve Digi	tal Control Sys	tem Cyber Security Systems
Project Risks and Constraints:	Short timeline due to	Critical Infrastr	ucture Protection-003-7 becoming effective :	l/1/2020	
Primary Reason for Expendit	ture		Regulatory/Compliance/Enviro	onmental	
Secondary Reason for Expen	diture		System Reliabilty & Improv	ement	
Has the RFP process been co	mpleted Y	′es 🔽 No	Excludes AFUDC; mandatory if RFP pr	ocess comple	te
If yes, Bid Estimate Date:			Bid Estimate:		\$ -
If dollars are included in a b project, what is the amount	lanket ? \$	-	Total dollars included in FERC accou That amount must be included in p	nt 183000. roject cost	\$ -
Estimated Start Date:	1	2/1/2019	Estimated Completion Date:		12/31/2019
If applicable, describe and id	lentify asset being re	placed/retire	d		
Please describe the potential Cost Savings and/or Cost Avoidance for this project:					
Describe alternatives consid	ered:	roject ever t	no alternativos considerad?		

COST/BENEFIT ANALYSIS				
Select Best Alternative:		Alternative A		
	<u>10 Year Total</u>	<u> 30 Year Total</u>	<u> 10 Year PV</u>	<u>.30 Year PV</u>
COST				
Capital Expenditures:	\$341,335	\$341,335	\$341,335	\$341,335
O&M Expenses:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financing Costs (Benefit):	\$49,106	(\$219,280)	\$43,048	(\$22,325)
Income Tax Exp (Benefit):	\$99,222	\$221,246	\$72,062	\$109,956
TOTAL COSTS	\$489,663	\$343,301	\$456,445	\$428,966
BENEFITS				
Revenue Requirement:	\$489,436	\$742,653	\$365,731	\$461,002
Cost Savings:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Attachment 18 Page 2 of 3

Cost Avoidance:	\$0		\$0		\$0	\$0
AFUDC:	\$0		\$0		\$0	\$0
Other Benefits:	\$0		\$0		\$0	\$0
TOTAL BENEFITS	\$489,436	\$	742,653	\$3	65,731	\$461,002
BENEFIT-COST RATIO					0.80	1.07
Please list major milestones	s:					
Milestone:					Complete	d by:
Issue Purchase Order					9/15/20	019
Parts on site					11/15/2	019
Installation Complete					12/15/2	019
					Click here to er	nter a date.
					Click here to er	nter a date.
					Click here to er	nter a date.
					Click here to er	nter a date.
					Click here to er	nter a date.
Please list internal and exte	ernal resources assigned to th	nis project:				
Resource Name:	Department/Company:	Have you conta	acted this res	ource about the project	& related timing:	
Kyle Olson	Power Generation	✓ Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
Tanisha House	Power Generation	🗸 Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
Tracy Van Slyke	Operation Technology	🗸 Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		

checklist for items to be submitted

Has Environmental been contacted?	✓ Yes	🗌 No
If applicable, has land been secured for this project?	Yes	🗸 No
Have all the applicable line items on the Business Case Overview been completed?	✓ Yes	🗌 No
Do the milestones you identified take into consideration availability of resources?	✓ Yes	🗌 No
Cash flow projection with detail must be submitted for the project, is it enclosed?	✓ Yes	🗌 No
Did you provide supporting documents for cash flows (i.e. L&R, Promod)?	Yes	✓ No
Does the Total Project Cost on page 1 equal the Total Project Cost on your cash flow analysis? Ves		
If applicable, did you attach the financial analysis for each alternative?	Yes	✓ No
Please provide additional information not disclosed for the committee's consideration:		

	Project Manager / Preparer Signature:	
Name:	J Kyle Olson	
Date:	8/23/2019	

Approver Signature:		
Name:		
Date:		

Use this form if the new project has not been created in PowerPlant after requesting a new project through Cherwell

Project Number:	GN238
Project Description:	NM2 LPT Packing Replacement
Total Project Capital Cost:	\$342,040
Reporting Date:	1/8/2020

٣		PRO	JECT OVERVIEW	
Project Manager:	Eustacio Alderette	9	Project Manager Phone Number:	(915) 543-4086
Owning Cost Center:	5110 POWER PLA	NT NEWMAN	Major Project Location:	8 - TX NEWMAN - UNIT 2
Project Functional Class:	Steam Production		Business Segment:	112 NEWMAN - UNIT 2
Associated Projects:	sociated Projects: WK151014.0014 - U2 TURBINE JOURNAL BEARING 3; WK180909.0007 - U2 TURBINE JOURNAL BEARING 2			
In-Lieu of Component	New replacement parts (packing, gland steam seals) are being fabricated to address steam leaks.			
Project Priority	HIGH - Important	and Urgent		
Project overview/scope:	Replacement of N	IM2 low pressure tur	bine packing replacement to address steam	eaking, blow-by.
Business Justification:	The steam leak cr	reates operational, n	eliability, and safety concerns.	
Project Risks and Constraints:	There is a specific who can reverse e	time constraint to a engineer the packing	address the issue to avoid impacting reliabilit g and perform installation.	y. There are a limited number of vendors
Primary Reason for Expendi	ture		System Reliability & Improve	ement
Secondary Reason for Exper	diture		Safety & Security	
Has the RFP process been co	mpleted [Yes 🗸 No	Excludes AFUDC; mandatory if RFP pro	cess complete
If yes, Bid Estimate Date:			Bid Estimate:	\$ -
If dollars are included in a b project, what is the amount	lanket ?	-	Total dollars included in FERC accour That amount must be included in pr	it 183000. spject cost
Estimated Start Date:		1/9/2020	Estimated Completion Date:	3/31/2020
If applicable, describe and id	lentify asset bein	g replaced/retired	z <u></u>	
Newman Unit 2 Low Pressure To	urbine Gland Seal St	eam Packing.		
Please describe the potentia	l Cost Savings an	d/or Cost Avoidan	ce for this project:	
EPE avoids delaying resolution a	ind running the risk	of an unplanned ou	tage. Also, EPE avoids maintaining a safety	issue and concern.
Describe alternatives consid	ered:			
Continue to run without fully ad	dressing the issue.			
What was the reason for sel	ecting the propos	ed project over th	e alternatives considered?	

An unplanned outage runs the risk of higher costs during peak season (with tighter resource constraints - contractors, reliability, replacement power).

COST/BENEFIT ANALYSIS				
Select Best Alternative:		Alternative A		
	<u>10 Year Total</u>	<u> 30 Year Total</u>	<u> 10 Year PV</u>	<u>30 Year PV</u>
COST				
Capital Expenditures:	\$342,040	\$342,040	\$319,589	\$319,589
O&M Expenses:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financing Costs (Benefit):	\$55,963	(\$227,604)	\$44,556	(\$22,184)
Income Tax Exp (Benefit):	\$89,478	\$237,401	\$61,286	\$106,060
TOTAL COSTS	\$487,481	\$351,837	\$425,431	\$403,465
BENEFITS				
Revenue Requirement:	\$460,972	\$793,184	\$325,490	\$444,650
Cost Savings:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

🗌 Yes

🗸 No

Cost Avoidance:	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0
AFUDC:	\$11,368	\$11,368	\$:	10,621	\$10,621
Other Benefits:	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0
TOTAL BENEFITS	\$472,340	\$804,552	\$33	36,111	\$455,272
BENEFIT-COST RATIO				0.79	1.13
Please list major milestor	nes:				
Milestone:				Comple	eted by:
Contractor to mobilize to site	2			1/10,	/2020
Operations Turnover				1/27,	/2020
				Click here to	enter a date.
				Click here to	enter a date.
				Click here to	enter a date.
				Click here to	enter a date.
				Click here to	enter a date.
				Click here to	enter a date.
Please list internal and ex	cternal resources assigned to th	is project:			
Resource Name:	Department/Company:	Have you contacted this res	ource about the project	& related timing:	
Eustacio Alderette	Outage Department	🗸 Yes 🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		🗌 Yes 🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes No	If no, explain why not:		

checklist for items to be submitted Has Environmental been contacted? If applicable, has land been secured for this project?

If applicable, has land been secured for this project?	Yes	🗸 No
Have all the applicable line items on the Business Case Overview been completed?	✓ Yes	🗌 No
Do the milestones you identified take into consideration availability of resources?	✓ Yes	🗌 No
Cash flow projection with detail must be submitted for the project, is it enclosed?	🗸 Yes	🗌 No
Did you provide supporting documents for cash flows (i.e. L&R, Promod)?	Yes	✓ No
Does the Total Project Cost on page 1 equal the Total Project Cost on your cash flow analysis?	✓ Yes	🗌 No
If applicable, did you attach the financial analysis for each alternative?		
Please provide additional information not disclosed for the committee's consideration:		

	Project Manager / Preparer Signature:	
Name:	Eustacio Alderette	
	4/0/0000	
Date:	1/8/2020	
	Approver Signature	
	Approver Signature:	
Name:		

Please fill in the white areas with no shading.

Date:

Use this form if the new project has not been created in PowerPlant after requesting a new project through Cherwell

Project Number:	GN263
Project Description:	NW U4GT1 Generator Hydrogen Cooler Retube
Total Project Capital Cost:	\$114,679
Reporting Date:	9/3/2020

PROJECT OVERVIEW				
Project Manager:	Nathaniel Campos	Project Manager Phone Number:	915-238-7718	
Owning Cost Center:	5110 POWER PLANT NEWMAN	Major Project Location:	10 - TX NEWMAN - UNIT 4	
Project Functional Class:	Steam Production	Business Segment:	117 NEWMAN - GAS TURBINE 1	
Associated Projects:	GN264 GT2 Generator Hydrogen Coc	bler Retube		
In-Lieu of Component				
Project Priority	HIGH - Important and Urgent			
Project overview/scope:	Brimhall Industries will retube the 2 generator hydrogen coolers and prepare them for shipment back to El Paso Electric.			
Business Justification:	The retubing is done as preventative maintenacne. These coolers were inspected during the 2020 Major Inspection. They were in poor condition and a retube was recomeneded. The retube did not fit the schedule, so it was postponed and scheduled for the DCS upgrade. If the tubes fail, water will leak into the generator causing high risk or arcing.			
Project Risks and Constraints:	Risks andIf the tubes fail, water will leak into the generator and the risk of arcing will be high. Arcing can leak to extensive generator damage, and possible rewind. The cost associated with the failure is very high.			
Primary Reason for Expendi	Primary Reason for Expenditure System Reliability & Improvement			
Secondary Reason for Exper	Secondary Reason for Expenditure Quality Enhancement			
Has the RFP process been co	mpleted Ves 🗸 No	Excludes AFUDC; mandatory if RFP proc	ess complete	
If yes, Bid Estimate Date:		Bid Estimate:	\$ -	
If dollars are included in a blanket project, what is the amount?		Total dollars included in FERC account That amount must be included in pro	t 183000. sject cost	
Estimated Start Date:	10/1/2020	Estimated Completion Date:	1/1/2021	
If applicable, describe and identify asset being replaced/retired				
Old generator hydrogen cooler tubes and tube sheets.				
Please describe the potential Cost Savings and/or Cost Avoidance for this project:				
The cost associated with the failure of the hydrogen coolers is extremely high. The RG 7 rewind was \$2.5 million. The GT1 FO caused by arcing was \$942,000.				
Describe alternatives considered:				
none				
What was the reason for selecting the proposed project over the alternatives considered?				

N/A

COST/BENEFIT ANALYSIS				
Select Best Alternative:		Alternative A		
	<u>10 Year Total</u>	<u> 30 Year Total</u>	<u> 10 Year PV</u>	<u>30 Year PV</u>
COST				
Capital Expenditures:	\$114,679	\$114,679	\$107,917	\$107,917
O&M Expenses:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financing Costs (Benefit):	\$18,501	(\$67,850)	\$14,798	(\$5,669)
Income Tax Exp (Benefit):	\$29,998	\$73,479	\$20,946	\$34,345
TOTAL COSTS	\$163,178	\$120,308	\$143,661	\$136,593
BENEFITS				
Revenue Requirement:	\$152,604	\$251,187	\$109,148	\$145,233
Cost Savings:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Cost Avoidance:	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0
AFUDC:	\$440	\$440		\$416	\$416
Other Benefits:	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0
TOTAL BENEFITS	\$153,044	\$251,627	\$:	109,563	\$145,649
BENEFIT-COST RATIO				0.76	1.07
Please list major milestones	6:				
Milestone:				Completed by	"
Bidding to start				8/1/2020	
Award of Contract				8/20/2020	
Procurment of parts (Tubes)				9/1/2020	
Coolers sent offsite				10/9/2020	
Coolers back onsite				11/21/2020	
Coolers reinstalled				11/27/2020	
Completed				11/27/2020	
In service date				12/19/2020	
Please list internal and exte	rnal resources assigned to th	is project:			
Resource Name:	Department/Company:	Have you contacted this re	esource about the project	t & related timing:	
Nathaniel Campos	Outage	🗸 Yes 🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
Mark Brimhall	Brimhall Industries	🗸 Yes 🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
EPE Employee	Mechanics	🗌 Yes 🗹 No	If no, explain why not:	Preliminary stage of project	
		🗌 Yes 🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		🗌 Yes 🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		🗌 Yes 🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes No	If no, explain why not:		
	1	 YesNo	If no, explain why not:		
	İ	Yes No	If no, explain why not:		
	1	Yes No	If no, explain why not:		

checklist for items to be submitted

Has Environmental been contacted?	Yes	✓ No
If applicable, has land been secured for this project?	Yes	✓ No
Have all the applicable line items on the Business Case Overview been completed?	✓ Yes	🗌 No
Do the milestones you identified take into consideration availability of resources?	✓ Yes	🗌 No
Cash flow projection with detail must be submitted for the project, is it enclosed?	🗸 Yes	🗌 No
Did you provide supporting documents for cash flows (i.e. L&R, Promod)?	Yes	✓ No
Does the Total Project Cost on page 1 equal the Total Project Cost on your cash flow analysis?		🗌 No
If applicable, did you attach the financial analysis for each alternative?		✓ No
Please provide additional information not disclosed for the committee's consideration:		

Project Manager / Preparer Signature:				
Name:	Nathaniel Campos			
Date:	9/3/2020			
Approver Signature:				
Name:				

Please fill in the white areas with no shading.

Date:
SOAH Docket No. 473-21-2606 PUC Docket No. 52195 FMI's 2nd, Q. No. FMI 2-5 Attachment 20 Page 3 of 3

Use this form if the new project has not been created in PowerPlant after requesting a new project through Cherwell

Project Number:	GN264
Project Description:	NW U4GT2 Generator Hydrogen Cooler Retube
Total Project Capital Cost:	\$114,679
Reporting Date:	9/3/2020

PROJECT OVERVIEW							
Project Manager:	Nathaniel Campos	Project Manager Phone Number:	915-238-7718				
Owning Cost Center:	5110 POWER PLANT NEWMAN	Major Project Location:	10 - TX NEWMAN - UNIT 4				
Project Functional Class:	Steam Production	Business Segment:	118 NEWMAN - GAS TURBINE 2				
Associated Projects:	GN263 U4GT1 Generator Hydrogen	Cooler Retube					
In-Lieu of Component							
Project Priority	HIGH - Important and Urgent						
Project overview/scope:	Brimhall Industries will retube the 2	generator hydrogen coolers and prepare them	for shipment back to El Paso Electric.				
Business Justification:	Business Justification: The retubing is done as preventative maintenacne. These coolers were inspected during the 2020 Major Inspection. They were in poor condition and a retube was recommended. The retube did not fit the schedule, so it was postponed and scheduled for the DCS upgrade. If the tubes fail, water will leak into the generator causing high risk or arcing.						
Project Risks and Constraints:	If the tubes fail, water will leak into damage, and possible rewind. The c	the generator and the risk of arcing will be hig ost associated with the failure is very high.	h. Arcing can leak to extensive generator				
Primary Reason for Expendit	ture	System Reliabilty & Improve	ment				
Secondary Reason for Expen	nditure	Quality Enhancement					
Has the RFP process been co	mpleted Ves V No	Excludes AFUDC; mandatory if RFP proc	cess complete				
If yes, Bid Estimate Date:		Bid Estimate:	\$ -				
If dollars are included in a b project, what is the amount	lanket ? \$ -	Total dollars included in FERC accoun That amount must be included in pro	t 183000. \$				
Estimated Start Date:	10/1/2020	Estimated Completion Date:	1/1/2021				
If applicable, describe and id	If applicable, describe and identify asset being replaced/retired						
Old generator hydrogen cooler t	ubes and tube sheets.						
Please describe the potentia	l Cost Savings and/or Cost Avoida	nce for this project:					
The cost associated with the failure of the hydrogen coolers is extremely high. The RG 7 rewind was \$2.5 million. The GT1 FO caused by arcing was \$942,000.							
Describe alternatives consid	ered:						
none							
What was the reason for sel	ecting the proposed project over t	he alternatives considered?					

N/A

COST/BENEFIT ANALYSIS						
Select Best Alternative: Alternative A						
	<u>10 Year Total</u>	<u> 30 Year Total</u>	<u> 10 Year PV</u>	<u>30 Year PV</u>		
COST						
Capital Expenditures:	\$114,679	\$114,679	\$107,917	\$107,917		
O&M Expenses:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Financing Costs (Benefit):	\$18,501	(\$67,850)	\$14,798	(\$5 <u>,</u> 669)		
Income Tax Exp (Benefit):	\$29,998	\$73,479	\$20,946	\$34,345		
TOTAL COSTS	\$163,178	\$120,308	\$143,661	\$136,593		
BENEFITS						
Revenue Requirement:	\$152,604	\$251,187	\$109,148	\$145,233		
Cost Savings:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		

Cost Avoidance:	\$0		\$0		\$0	\$0
AFUDC:	\$440	\$4	440		\$416	\$416
Other Benefits:	\$0		\$0		\$0	\$0
TOTAL BENEFITS	\$153,044	\$251,	627	\$1	109,563	\$145,649
BENEFIT-COST RATIO					0.76	1.07
Please list major milestones	5 :					
Milestone:					Completed by	
Bidding to start					8/1/2020	
Award of Contract					8/20/2020	
Procurment of parts (Tubes)					9/1/2020	
Coolers sent offsite					10/9/2020	
Coolers back onsite					11/21/2020	
Coolers reinstalled					11/27/2020	
Completed					11/27/2020	
In service date					12/19/2020	
Please list internal and exte	rnal resources assigned to th	is project:				
Resource Name:	Department/Company:	Have you contacted	this reso	ource about the project	t & related timing:	
Nathaniel Campos	Outage	🗸 Yes 🗌 I	No	If no, explain why not:		
Mark Brimhall	Brimhall Industries	🗸 Yes 🗌 I	No	If no, explain why not:		
EPE Employee	Mechanics	🗌 Yes 🗹 I	No	If no, explain why not:	Preliminary stage of project.	
		Yes I	No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes I	No	If no, explain why not:		
	1	Yes I	No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes I	No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes I	No	If no, explain why not:		
	İ	 Yes I	No	If no, explain why not:	1	
	1	 Yes □ I	No	If no, explain why not:	1	
	1	Yes II	No	If no, explain why not:		
	1	Yes1	No	If no, explain why not:	1	

checklist for items to be submitted Has Environmental been contacted? Yes 🗸 No Yes If applicable, has land been secured for this project? 🗸 No Have all the applicable line items on the Business Case Overview been completed? 🗸 Yes 🗌 No Do the milestones you identified take into consideration availability of resources? 🗸 Yes 🗌 No Cash flow projection with detail must be submitted for the project, is it enclosed? ✓ Yes 🗌 No Did you provide supporting documents for cash flows (i.e. L&R, Promod)? Yes 🗸 No Does the Total Project Cost on page 1 equal the Total Project Cost on your cash flow analysis? 🗸 Yes 🗌 No If applicable, did you attach the financial analysis for each alternative? 🗸 No Yes Please provide additional information not disclosed for the committee's consideration:

Project Manager / Preparer Signature:					
Name:	Nathaniel Campos				
Date:	9/3/2020				
Annavora Signatura					
Name:					
L					
Date:					

Please fill in the white areas with no shading.

SOAH Docket No. 473-21-2606 PUC Docket No. 52195 FMI's 2nd, Q. No. FMI 2-5 Attachment 21 Page 3 of 3

Use this form if the new project has not been created in PowerPlant after requesting a new project through Cherwell

Project Number:	GR165
Project Description:	RG PLANT WELL WATER PIPING REPL
Total Project Capital Cost:	\$388,523
Reporting Date:	6/21/2019

PROJECT OVERVIEW					
Project Manager:	JESUS JIMENEZ	Project Manager Phone Number:	915-543-2972		
Owning Cost Center:	5131 POWER PLANT RIO GRANDE	Major Project Location:	17 - NM RIO GRANDE - COMMON		
Project Functional Class:	Steam Production	Business Segment:	120 RIO GRANDE - JOINT		
Associated Projects:	N/A				
In-Lieu of Component					
Project Priority	HIGH - Important and Urgent				
Project overview/scope:	Replace deteiorated sections of the v	well piping supplying cooling water to the Rio G	Grande Power Plant		
Business Justification:	The well water piping supplying cooling water to Rio Grande was installed iapproximately 40 years ago. During the 2019 summer run, 20 ft of pipe was replaced due to pipe deterioration and subsequent pipe rupture. The power plant was required to install a temporary patch to complete summer run. If pipe ruptures continue, Rio Grande Power Plant may exerience complete loss of cooling water. This BCO is submitted for the replacement of 2300 linear feet of pipe.				
Project Risks and Constraints:	Project Risk - MW Curtailment/ Poter Schhedule	ntial Outage due to loss of cooling water Proje	ect Contraints - Rio Grande Operational		
Primary Reason for Expendit	ture	System Reliabilty & Improver	ment		
Secondary Reason for Expen	ıditure	Safety & Security			
Has the RFP process been co	ompleted Ves 🗸 No	Excludes AFUDC; mandatory if RFP proc	ess complete		
If yes, Bid Estimate Date:	L	Bid Estimate:	\$ -		
If dollars are included in a b project, what is the amount	lanket	Total dollars included in FERC account That amount must be included in pro	t 183000. sject cost		
Estimated Start Date:	1/1/2020	Estimated Completion Date:	7/31/2020		
If applicable, describe and ic	dentify asset being replaced/retire	d			
Well Field Distribution Piping					
Please describe the potentia	I Cost Savings and/or Cost Avoida	nce for this project:			
Describe alternatives consid	lered:				
Initiate Eddy Testing on the exis	sting piping, determine condition of pip	ing and continue monitoring existing piping			
What was the reason for sel	ecting the proposed project over t	he alternatives considered?			

COST/BENEFIT ANALYSIS						
Select Best Alternative:		Alternative A				
	<u>10 Year Total</u>	<u> 30 Year Total</u>	<u> 10 Year PV</u>	<u>30 Year PV</u>		
COST						
Capital Expenditures:	\$388,523	\$388,523	\$363,021	\$363,021		
O&M Expenses:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Financing Costs (Benefit):	\$63,569	(\$258,532)	\$50,611	(\$25,198)		
Income Tax Exp (Benefit):	\$101,637	\$269,657	\$69,614	\$120,472		
TOTAL COSTS	\$553,729	\$399,648	\$483,246	\$458,295		
BENEFITS						
Revenue Requirement:	\$523,617	\$900,975	\$369,723	\$505,077		
Cost Savings:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		

Cost Avoidance:	\$0		\$0		\$0	\$0
AFUDC:	\$12,912		\$12,912	\$	12,065	\$12,065
Other Benefits:	\$0		\$0		\$0	\$0
TOTAL BENEFITS	\$536,529		\$913,887	\$3	381,788	\$517,142
BENEFIT-COST RATIO					0.79	1.13
Please list major milestones	:					
Milestone:					Complete	d by:
Order Pipe					11/1/20	019
Pipe Delivery					1/1/20	20
Perform Eddy Current Testing					1/1/20	20
Commence Excavation					2/15/20	020
Install Piping					3/1/20	20
Complete Pipe Install					4/30/20	020
Final Invoicing					5/30/20	019
					Click here to er	nter a date.
Please list internal and exte	rnal resources assigned to thi	s project:				
Resource Name:	Department/Company:	Have you con	tacted this re	esource about the project	t & related timing:	
Jesus Jimenez	Power Generation -Rio Grande Engineering	√ Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
Environmental Rep	EPE - Environmental Group	🗌 Yes	✓ No	If no, explain why not:	Project SOW in Deveop	ment
Contractor Replacing Pipe	TBD	🗌 Yes	✓ No	If no, explain why not:	Project SOW in Deveop	ment
Contractor Performing Eury Current Testing	TBD	🗌 Yes	✓ No	If no, explain why not:	Project SOW in Deveop	ment
		🗌 Yes	✓ No	If no, explain why not:		
		🗌 Yes	🗸 No	If no, explain why not:		
		🗌 Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		🗌 Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		🗌 Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		🗌 Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		🗌 Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		

checklist for items to be submitted

Has Environmental been contacted?	Yes	🗸 No
If applicable, has land been secured for this project?	Yes	✓ No
Have all the applicable line items on the Business Case Overview been completed?	✓ Yes	🗌 No
Do the milestones you identified take into consideration availability of resources?	🗸 Yes	🗌 No
Cash flow projection with detail must be submitted for the project, is it enclosed?	✓ Yes	🗌 No
Did you provide supporting documents for cash flows (i.e. L&R, Promod)?	Yes	🗸 No
Does the Total Project Cost on page 1 equal the Total Project Cost on your cash flow analysis?	✓ Yes	No No
If applicable, did you attach the financial analysis for each alternative?	Yes	✓ No
Please provide additional information not disclosed for the committee's consideration:		

Project Manager / Preparer Signature:			
Name:	Jesus Jimenez		
Date:	6/21/2019		
Approver Signature:			

	Approver Signature:	
Name:		
Date:		

Please fill in the white areas with no shading.

SOAH Docket No. 473-21-2606 PUC Docket No. 52195 FMI's 2nd, Q. No. FMI 2-5 Attachment 22 Page 3 of 3

Use this form if the new project has not been created in PowerPlant after requesting a new project through Cherwell

Project Number:	
Project Description:	Replace Power Rail on 100 Ton Crane
Total Project Capital Cost:	\$172,385
Reporting Date:	7/8/2019

PROJECT OVERVIEW						
Project Manager:	Ronald L Heckman	Project Manager Phone Number:	915-472-0697			
Owning Cost Center:	5131 POWER PLANT RIO GRANDE	Major Project Location:	17 - NM RIO GRANDE - COMMON			
Project Functional Class:	Steam Production	Business Segment:	120 RIO GRANDE - JOINT			
Associated Projects:	NONE	<u>~</u>				
In-Lieu of Component						
Project Priority	MEDIUM - Important but Not Urgent					
Project overview/scope:	Replace existing Duct-o-Bar power ra	il with a span guard power rail system				
Business Justification:	Business Justification: We installed the Duct-O-Bar system in 2005. At the time it was \$24,784 less than a better system. Since that time we have spent \$73,600 on maintenance. At todays rates it will cost \$26,430 more to install the improved system over a bar system.					
Project Risks and Constraints:						
Primary Reason for Expendi	ture	Quality Enhancement				
Secondary Reason for Expe	nditure	Cost Savings				
Has the RFP process been co	mpleted Ves 🗸 No	Excludes AFUDC; mandatory if RFP proce	ess complete			
If yes, Bid Estimate Date:		Bid Estimate:	\$ -			
If dollars are included in a b project, what is the amount	lanket ? \$ -	Total dollars included in FERC account That amount must be included in proj	183000. ect cost ^{\$} -			
Estimated Start Date:	10/1/2019	Estimated Completion Date:	12/30/2019			
If applicable, describe and i	dentify asset being replaced/retire	i				
The existing Duct-O-Rail power system is being replaced.						
Please describe the potential Cost Savings and/or Cost Avoidance for this project:						
With the new system we will save approximately \$4,000 per year on equipmental rental and \$1,000 per year contractor cost for maintenance of the power rail						
Describe alternatives consid	ered:					
there were additional quotes us	ing a Bar system one was more expens	ive and one was less.				
What was the reason for sel	ecting the proposed project over th	e alternatives considered?				

The proposed system is a continuous run with no joints and the collector system will withstand 6 inch movement both horizontal and vertical.

COST/BENEFIT ANALYSIS					
Select Best Alternative:		Alternative A			
	<u>10 Year Total</u>	<u> 30 Year Total</u>	<u> 10 Year PV</u>	<u>30 Year PV</u>	
COST					
Capital Expenditures:	\$172,385	\$172,385	\$172,385	\$172,385	
O&M Expenses:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Financing Costs (Benefit):	\$25,075	(\$113,045)	\$21,981	(\$11,606)	
Income Tax Exp (Benefit):	\$50,132	\$113,507	\$36,265	\$55,878	
TOTAL COSTS	\$247,592	\$172,847	\$230,630	\$216,656	
BENEFITS					
Revenue Requirement:	\$248,007	\$379,436	\$184,841	\$234,065	
Cost Savings:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	

SOAH Docket No. 473-21-2606 PUC Docket No. 52195 FMI's 2nd, Q. No. FMI 2-5 Attachment 23

Page 2 of 3

Cost Avoidance:	\$0		\$0		\$0	\$0
AFUDC:	\$1,015		\$1,015		\$1,015	\$1,015
Other Benefits:	\$0		\$0		\$0	\$0
TOTAL BENEFITS	\$249,022		\$380,451	\$	185,856	\$235,080
BENEFIT-COST RATIO					0.81	1.09
Please list major milestones:						
Milestone:					Com	oleted by:
Bids already completed					7/	9/2019
Write PO awaiting Project number	r to write work order				8/	1/2019
Start Work 9/1/2019					9/	1/2019
Complete Work 10/1/2019					10,	/1/2019
billing 12/15/2019					12/	15/2019
					Click here	to enter a date.
					Click here	to enter a date.
					Click here	to enter a date.
Please list internal and exter	nal resources assigned to th	is project:			·	
Resource Name:	Department/Company:	Have you cont	tacted this res	source about the projec	t & related timing:	
Ronald L Heckman	Power Gen Engineer	√ Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
Twin City Crane	Sub Contractor	✓ Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
United Rental		Yes	✓ No	If no, explain why not:	Project planning sta	ige
		Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	No	If no, explain why not:		

checklist for items to be submitted

Has Environmental been contacted?	Yes	🗸 No
If applicable, has land been secured for this project?	Yes	✓ No
Have all the applicable line items on the Business Case Overview been completed?	√ Yes	🗌 No
Do the milestones you identified take into consideration availability of resources?	✓ Yes	🗌 No
Cash flow projection with detail must be submitted for the project, is it enclosed?	✓ Yes	🗌 No
Did you provide supporting documents for cash flows (i.e. L&R, Promod)?	Yes	🗸 No
Does the Total Project Cost on page 1 equal the Total Project Cost on your cash flow analysis?	✓ Yes	🗌 No
If applicable, did you attach the financial analysis for each alternative?	Yes	✓ No
Please provide additional information not disclosed for the committee's consideration:		

Name:	Ronald L Heckman		
		l.	
Date:	7/9/2019		
Approver Signature:			

	Approver Signature:	
Name:		
Date:		

Please fill in the white areas with no shading.

SOAH Docket No. 473-21-2606 PUC Docket No. 52195 FMI's 2nd, Q. No. FMI 2-5 Attachment 23 Page 3 of 3

Use this form if the new project has not been created in PowerPlant after requesting a new project through Cherwell

Project Number:	GR175
Project Description:	Rio 8 4160V Switchgear Breaker Upgrade
Total Project Capital Cost:	\$283,851
Reporting Date:	4/30/2020

PROJECT OVERVIEW						
Project Manager:	Jeff Hughes		Project Manager Phone Number:	915-543-2958		
Owning Cost Center:	5131 POWER	PLANT RIO GRANDE	Major Project Location:	20 - NM RIO GRANDE - UNIT 8		
Project Functional Class:	Steam Produc	tion	Business Segment:	128 RIO GRANDE - UNIT 8		
Associated Projects:	NONE					
In-Lieu of Component						
Project Priority	MEDIUM - Im	portant but Not Urgent				
Project overview/scope:	Upgrade the 4	1160 Volt switchgear bre	eakers from the OEM install of 1973.			
Business Justification:	Business Justification: To ensure proper circuit disconnect on a 4160V trip event in order to protect downstream equipment.					
Project Risks and Constraints:	Unit must be i	in outage to exchange b	preakers.			
Primary Reason for Expendit	ture		Safety & Security			
Secondary Reason for Expen	diture		System Reliabilty & Improv	vement		
Has the RFP process been co	mpleted	Yes 🗸 No	Excludes AFUDC; mandatory if RFP pr	ocess complete		
If yes, Bid Estimate Date:			Bid Estimate:	\$ -		
If dollars are included in a b project, what is the amount	lanket ?	\$-	Total dollars included in FERC accou That amount must be included in p	ınt 183000. Iroject cost		
Estimated Start Date:		3/1/2020	Estimated Completion Date:	4/30/2020		
If applicable, describe and ic	lentify asset b	eing replaced/retired	1			
Qty 10, GE OEM breakers AM-4.76-250-7H 1200A, AM-4.76-250-7H 2000A						
Please describe the potential Cost Savings and/or Cost Avoidance for this project:						
Minimize risk of a failed circuit disconnect on 4160V switchgear.						
Describe alternatives considered:						
N/A						
What was the reason for sel	ecting the proj	posed project over th	e alternatives considered?			

N/A

· · · · ·	CO	ST/BENEFIT ANALYSIS		
Select Best Alternative:		Alternative A		
	<u>10 Year Total</u>	<u> 30 Year Total</u>	<u> 10 Year PV</u>	<u>30 Year PV</u>
COST				
Capital Expenditures:	\$283,851	\$283,851	\$265,219	\$265,219
O&M Expenses:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financing Costs (Benefit):	\$45,929	(\$185,218)	\$36,570	(\$17,915)
Income Tax Exp (Benefit):	\$74,317	\$194,013	\$51,117	\$87,467
TOTAL COSTS	\$404,097	\$292,646	\$352,907	\$334,772
BENEFITS				
Revenue Requirement:	\$381,495	\$650,658	\$270,078	\$366,991
Cost Savings:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Cost Avoidance:	\$0	\$	0	\$0	\$0
AFUDC:	\$7,675	\$7,67	5	\$7,171	\$7,171
Other Benefits:	\$0	\$	D	\$0	\$0
TOTAL BENEFITS	\$389,170	\$658,33	3 \$2	277,249	\$374,162
				0.70	1.12
BENEFIT-COST RATIO				0.79	1.12
Milostono:	5.			Complet	tod by:
Order Breakers for build up				10/21	/2010
Deserve and inspect breakers				1/31/	2019
Tratell and Commission by con	tus aatau			2/20/	2020
	Onemation and Maintenance			3/30/	2020
Training for sire personnell on	Operation and Maintenance.			Click have to	2020
				Click here to	enter a date.
				Click here to	enter a date.
				Click here to	enter a date.
				Click here to	enter a date.
Please list internal and exte	ernal resources assigned to the	nis project:		A I I I I I I I I	
Resource Name:	Department/Company:	Have you contacted the	s resource about the project	& related timing:	
Wilson Tademy	Generation	✓ Yes 🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
Kyle Olson	Generation	🗸 Yes 🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes No	If no, explain why not:		

checklist for items to be submitted

Has Environmental been contacted?	Yes	✓ No
If applicable, has land been secured for this project?	Yes	✓ No
Have all the applicable line items on the Business Case Overview been completed?	✓ Yes	🗌 No
Do the milestones you identified take into consideration availability of resources?	✓ Yes	🗌 No
Cash flow projection with detail must be submitted for the project, is it enclosed?	✓ Yes	No No
Did you provide supporting documents for cash flows (i.e. L&R, Promod)?	Yes	🗸 No
Does the Total Project Cost on page 1 equal the Total Project Cost on your cash flow analysis?	✓ Yes	🗌 No
If applicable, did you attach the financial analysis for each alternative?	Yes	✓ No
Please provide additional information not disclosed for the committee's consideration:		

Project Manager / Preparer Signature:				
Name:	Jeff Hughes			
Date:	7/16/2019			
Approver Signature:				

Approver Signature:				
Name:				
Date:				

Please fill in the white areas with no shading.

SOAH Docket No. 473-21-2606 PUC Docket No. 52195 FMI's 2nd, Q. No. FMI 2-5 Attachment 24 Page 3 of 3

Use this form if the new project has not been created in PowerPlant after requesting a new project through Cherwell

Project Number:	GR176	
Project Description:	RG U8 FEED WATER REGULATORS UPGRADE	
Total Project Capital Cost:	\$283,211	
Reporting Date:	7/16/2019	

PROJECT OVERVIEW					
Project Manager:	Jeff Hughes		Project Manager Phone Number:	915-543-2958	
Owning Cost Center:	5131 POWER	PLANT RIO GRANDE	Major Project Location:	20 - NM RIO GRANDE - UNIT 8	
Project Functional Class:	Steam Product	tion	Business Segment:	128 RIO GRANDE - UNIT 8	
Associated Projects:	NONE				
In-Lieu of Component					
Project Priority	MEDIUM - Imp	portant but Not Urgent			
Project overview/scope:	Upgrade. Spec	ify design and install n	ew Main and Start Up Feed Water Regulato	rs.	
Business Justification:	Business Justification: Ensure proper control of boiler feed water and eliminate unit swings due to stepping movement of current regulator.				
Project Risks and Constraints:	Rio 8 must be	in outage for installation	on. Current FW regulator is extremely de-tun	ned to prevent swings.	
Primary Reason for Expendit	ure		System Reliability & Improv	/ement	
Secondary Reason for Expen	diture		Growth & Increased Capa	acity	
Has the RFP process been co	mpleted	🗌 Yes 🗹 No	Excludes AFUDC; mandatory if RFP pro	ocess complete	
If yes, Bid Estimate Date:			Bid Estimate:	\$ -	
If dollars are included in a bl project, what is the amount?	anket	<u> </u>	Total dollars included in FERC accou That amount must be included in p	nt 183000. roject cost	
Estimated Start Date:		10/1/2019	Estimated Completion Date:	4/1/2020	
If applicable, describe and id	entify asset b	eing replaced/retired			
Mogas Air actuated ball valves with position control.					
Please describe the potential Cost Savings and/or Cost Avoidance for this project:					
Improved reliability and response of the Feed Water system.					
Describe alternatives considered:					
N/A					
What was the reason for selecting the proposed project over the alternatives considered?					

N/A

COST/BENEFIT ANALYSIS					
Select Best Alternative:		Alternative A			
	<u>10 Year Total</u>	<u> 30 Year Total</u>	<u> 10 Year PV</u>	<u>30 Year PV</u>	
COST					
Capital Expenditures:	\$283,211	\$283,211	\$269,589	\$269,589	
O&M Expenses:	\$2,000	\$2,000	\$1,869	\$1,869	
Financing Costs (Benefit):	\$48,927	(\$170,525)	\$39,445	(\$12,423)	
Income Tax Exp (Benefit):	\$74,119	\$186,154	\$51,407	\$85,744	
TOTAL COSTS	\$408,257	\$300,840	\$362,310	\$344,779	
BENEFITS					
Revenue Requirement:	\$382,352	\$636,138	\$272,994	\$365,293	
Cost Savings:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Cost Avoidance:	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0
AFUDC:	\$4,707	\$4,707		\$4,428	\$4,428
Other Benefits:	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0
TOTAL BENEFITS	\$387,059	\$640,846	\$2	77,421	\$369,721
BENEFIT-COST RATIO)			0.77	1.07
Please list major milesto	ones:				
Milestone:				Comple	eted by:
Specify Valves				10/31	/2019
Engineer installation				12/31	/2019
Installation by contractor.				3/1/	2020
Calibrate, commission and t	test valves.			3/31,	/2020
				Click here to	enter a date.
				Click here to	enter a date.
				Click here to	enter a date.
				Click here to	enter a date.
Please list internal and e	external resources assigned to t	his project:			
Resource Name:	Department/Company:	Have you contacted this re	source about the project	& related timing:	
Wilson Tademy	Generation	🗸 Yes 🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
Kyle Olson	Generation	🗸 Yes 🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes No	If no, explain why not:		
		🗌 Yes 🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		

checklist for items to be submitted

Has Environmental been contacted?	Yes	✓ No
If applicable, has land been secured for this project?	Yes	✓ No
Have all the applicable line items on the Business Case Overview been completed?	✓ Yes	🗌 No
Do the milestones you identified take into consideration availability of resources?	✓ Yes	🗌 No
Cash flow projection with detail must be submitted for the project, is it enclosed?	✓ Yes	No No
Did you provide supporting documents for cash flows (i.e. L&R, Promod)?	Yes	🗸 No
Does the Total Project Cost on page 1 equal the Total Project Cost on your cash flow analysis?	✓ Yes	🗌 No
If applicable, did you attach the financial analysis for each alternative?	Yes	✓ No
Please provide additional information not disclosed for the committee's consideration:		

Project Manager / Preparer Signature:				
Name:	Jeff Hughes			
Date:	7/16/2019			
Approver Signature:				

Approver Signature:				
Name:				
Date:				

Please fill in the white areas with no shading.

SOAH Docket No. 473-21-2606 PUC Docket No. 52195 FMI's 2nd, Q. No. FMI 2-5 Attachment 25 Page 3 of 3

Use this form if the new project has not been created in PowerPlant after requesting a new project through Cherwell

Project Number:	GR177	
Project Description:	RIO GRANDE DCS CIP_CYBER IMPRVMNTS	
Total Project Capital Cost:	\$232,496	
Reporting Date:	8/23/2019	

PROJECT OVERVIEW					
Project Manager:	J Kyle Olson		Project Manager Phone Number:	915-521-4678	
Owning Cost Center:	5131 POWER PLANT	RIO GRANDE	Major Project Location:	17 - NM RIO GRANDE - COMMON	
Project Functional Class:	Steam Production		Business Segment:	120 RIO GRANDE - JOINT	
Associated Projects:	N/A				
In-Lieu of Component					
Project Priority	HIGH - Important an	nd Urgent			
Project overview/scope:	Plant wide Digital Co Digital Control Syste	ontrol System imp m cyber security	rovements to comply with Critical Intrastructur systems.	e Protection-003-7 and improve overall	
Business Justification:	Needed to comply w	ith Critical Intrasi	tructure Protection-0003-7 and improve Digital	Control System Cyber Security Systems	
Project Risks and Constraints:	Short timeline due to	o Critical Intrastru	ucture Protection-003-7 becoming effective 1/1	/2020	
Primary Reason for Expendi	iture		Regulatory/Compliance/Environr	nental	
Secondary Reason for Exper	nditure		System Reliabilty & Improvem	ient	
Has the RFP process been co	ompleted	Yes 🔽 No	Excludes AFUDC; mandatory if RFP proce	ess complete	
If yes, Bid Estimate Date:			Bid Estimate:	\$ -	
If dollars are included in a b project, what is the amount	lanket	-	Total dollars included in FERC account That amount must be included in proj	183000. ect cost ^{\$} -	
Estimated Start Date:		12/1/2019	Estimated Completion Date:	12/31/2019	
If applicable, describe and identify asset being replaced/retired					
Please describe the potentia	Please describe the potential Cost Savings and/or Cost Avoidance for this project:				
Describe alternatives considered:					
What was the reason for sel	lecting the proposed	project over th	e alternatives considered?		

COST/BENEFIT ANALYSIS					
Select Best Alternative:		Alternative A			
	<u>10 Year Total</u>	<u> 30 Year Total</u>	<u> 10 Year PV</u>	<u>30 Year PV</u>	
COST					
Capital Expenditures:	\$232,496	\$232,496	\$232,496	\$232,496	
O&M Expenses:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Financing Costs (Benefit):	\$33,448	(\$149,360)	\$29,322	(\$15,206)	
Income Tax Exp (Benefit):	\$67,584	\$150,700	\$49,085	\$74,895	
TOTAL COSTS	\$333,528	\$233,836	\$310,902	\$292,185	
BENEFITS					
Revenue Requirement:	\$333,373	\$505,849	\$249,113	\$314,006	
Cost Savings:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	

SOAH Docket No. 473-21-2606 PUC Docket No. 52195 FMI's 2nd, Q. No. FMI 2-5

Cost Avoidance:	\$0		\$0		\$0	\$0
AFUDC:	\$0		\$0		\$0	\$0
Other Benefits:	\$0		\$0		\$0	\$0
TOTAL BENEFITS	\$333,373	\$	505,849	\$2	49,113	\$314,006
BENEFIT-COST RATIO)				0.80	1.07
Please list major milesto	nes:					
Milestone:					Complete	d by:
Issue Purchase Order					9/15/20)19
Parts on site					11/15/2	019
Installation Complete					12/15/2	019
					Click here to er	iter a date.
					Click here to er	iter a date.
					Click here to er	iter a date.
					Click here to er	iter a date.
					Click here to er	iter a date.
Please list internal and e	external resources assigned to th	his project:				
Resource Name:	Department/Company:	Have you conta	acted this re	source about the project	& related timing:	
Kyle Olson	Power Generation	✓ Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
Tanisha House	Power Generation	✓ Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
Tracy Van Slyke	Operation Technology	✓ Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes	No No	If no, explain why not:		

checklist for items to be submitted

Has Environmental been contacted?	🗸 Yes	No No
If applicable, has land been secured for this project?	Yes	✓ No
Have all the applicable line items on the Business Case Overview been completed?	✓ Yes	🗌 No
Do the milestones you identified take into consideration availability of resources?	✓ Yes	🗌 No
Cash flow projection with detail must be submitted for the project, is it enclosed?	✓ Yes	No No
Did you provide supporting documents for cash flows (i.e. L&R, Promod)?	Yes	✓ No
Does the Total Project Cost on page 1 equal the Total Project Cost on your cash flow analysis?	✓ Yes	🗌 No
If applicable, did you attach the financial analysis for each alternative?	Yes	✓ No
Please provide additional information not disclosed for the committee's consideration:		

Project Manager / Preparer Signature:			
Name:	J Kyle Olson		
Date:	8/23/2019		

Approver Signature:			
Name:			
Date:			

Please fill in the white areas with no shading.

SOAH Docket No. 473-21-2606 PUC Docket No. 52195 FMI's 2nd, Q. No. FMI 2-5 Attachment 26 Page 3 of 3

Use this form if the new project has not been created in PowerPlant after requesting a new project through Cherwell

Project Number:	GR180	
Project Description:	Rio Grande Unit 7 Generator Rewind	
Total Project Capital Cost:	\$2,231,459	
Reporting Date:	1/20/2020	

	PROJECT OVERVIEW			
Project Manager:	Chris Carroll	Project Manager Phone Number:	x2924	
Owning Cost Center:	5131 POWER PLANT RIO GRANDE	Major Project Location:	19 - NM RIO GRANDE - UNIT 7	
Project Functional Class:	Steam Production	Business Segment:	127 RIO GRANDE - UNIT 7	
Associated Projects:	N/A			
In-Lieu of Component	Purchase power agreement RFP had no responses.			
Project Priority	HIGH - Important and Urgent			
Project overview/scope:	The Rio Grande Unit 7 will have the g hardware.	enerator disassembled, inspected and reass	embled after it is rewound with new	
Business Justification:	Business Justification: This unit is needed to support local generation during summer months.			
Project Risks and Constraints:	The greates risk to the project is thre of all repairs will not be known until t	efold, Scope, schedule and budget. This is a he unit is fully disassembled. There is a risk	an unplanned forced outage. The full extent of cost increases.	
Primary Reason for Expendit	ure	System Reliabilty & Improve	ement	
Secondary Reason for Expen	diture	Safety & Security		
	weited Dy Dy	Evaluation AFUDC: manufations if DFD mus		
Has the RFP process been co	res V No	Excludes APODC; manuatory if RPP pro	ocess complete	
Has the RFP process been co If yes, Bid Estimate Date:	Mpleted Yes V No	Bid Estimate:	scess complete	
Has the RFP process been co If yes, Bid Estimate Date: If dollars are included in a bl project, what is the amount?	anket\$ -	Bid Estimate: Total dollars included in FERC account That amount must be included in pr	ocess complete \$	
Has the RFP process been co If yes, Bid Estimate Date: If dollars are included in a bl project, what is the amount? Estimated Start Date:	anket \$ - 1/25/2020	Bid Estimate: Total dollars included in FERC account That amount must be included in pr Estimated Completion Date:	s - nt 183000. \$ - soject cost \$ -	
Has the RFP process been co If yes, Bid Estimate Date: If dollars are included in a bl project, what is the amount? Estimated Start Date: If applicable, describe and id	anket \$ - 1/25/2020 entify asset being replaced/retired	Bid Estimate: Total dollars included in FERC account That amount must be included in pr Estimated Completion Date:	scoress complete - \$ - nt 183000. \$ oject cost \$ 5/31/2020	
Has the RFP process been co If yes, Bid Estimate Date: If dollars are included in a bl project, what is the amount? Estimated Start Date: If applicable, describe and id The assets being retired and rep blocks, resin, paint and miscellar	Anket	Bid Estimate: Total dollars included in FERC account That amount must be included in pr Estimated Completion Date: I tal, a full set of stator wedges, ripple springs orm a rewind.	scoress complete - \$ - nt 183000. \$ opiect cost \$ 5/31/2020 , ring blocking, radial rings, all tapes ties,	
Has the RFP process been co If yes, Bid Estimate Date: If dollars are included in a bi project, what is the amount? Estimated Start Date: If applicable, describe and id The assets being retired and rep blocks, resin, paint and miscellar Please describe the potential	Anket	Bid Estimate: Total dollars included in FERC account That amount must be included in pr Estimated Completion Date: Ital, a full set of stator wedges, ripple springs orm a rewind. Ince for this project:	x complete \$ - nt 183000. oject cost \$ - 5/31/2020 , ring blocking, radial rings, all tapes ties,	
Has the RFP process been co If yes, Bid Estimate Date: If dollars are included in a bi project, what is the amount? Estimated Start Date: If applicable, describe and id The assets being retired and rep blocks, resin, paint and miscellar Please describe the potential The best cost avoidance at this j is less expensive than purchase	Anket	Bid Estimate: Total dollars included in FERC account That amount must be included in pr Estimated Completion Date: Ital, a full set of stator wedges, ripple springs form a rewind. Ital completion completes and scope control tak summer months.	scess complete \$ nt 183000. oject cost \$ 5/31/2020 , ring blocking, radial rings, all tapes ties, to prevent avoidable delays. Cost for repair	
Has the RFP process been co If yes, Bid Estimate Date: If dollars are included in a bl project, what is the amount? Estimated Start Date: If applicable, describe and id The assets being retired and rep blocks, resin, paint and miscellar Please describe the potential The best cost avoidance at this j is less expensive than purchase Describe alternatives conside	Anket Anket (1/25/2020 (anket) (1/25/2020) (anket) (1/25/2020) (anket) (1/25/2020) (anket) (1/25/2020) (anket) (1/25/2020) (anket) (1/25/2020) (anket) (anket) (1/25/2020) (anket) (a)(anket) (a)	Bid Estimate: Total dollars included in FERC account That amount must be included in properties Estimated Completion Date: Ital, a full set of stator wedges, ripple springs orm a rewind. Ince for this project: maintains strict schedule and scope control tak summer months.	scoress complete \$ - int 183000. \$ - oject cost \$ - 5/31/2020 5/31/2020 - , ring blocking, radial rings, all tapes ties, - to prevent avoidable delays. Cost for repair	
Has the RFP process been co If yes, Bid Estimate Date: If dollars are included in a bl project, what is the amount? Estimated Start Date: If applicable, describe and id The assets being retired and rep blocks, resin, paint and miscellar Please describe the potential The best cost avoidance at this j is less expensive than purchase Describe alternatives conside A Purchase power agreement to	Anket Anket Anket Anket Anket	Bid Estimate: Total dollars included in FERC account That amount must be included in pro- Estimated Completion Date: Ital, a full set of stator wedges, ripple springs form a rewind. Ince for this project: maintains strict schedule and scope control tak summer months.	scoress complete s - nt 183000. \$ - orject cost \$ - 5/31/2020 5/31/2020 , ring blocking, radial rings, all tapes ties, - to prevent avoidable delays. Cost for repair	

There were no responses to the PPA RFP

COST/BENEFIT ANALYSIS				
Select Best Alternative: Alternative A				
	<u>10 Year Total</u>	<u> 30 Year Total</u>	10 Year PV	<u>30 Year PV</u>
COST				
Capital Expenditures:	\$2,231,459	\$2,231,459	\$2,084,989	\$2,084,989
O&M Expenses:	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financing Costs (Benefit):	\$365,102	(\$1,484,873)	\$290,683	(\$144,727)
Income Tax Exp (Benefit):	\$583,748	\$1,548,763	\$399,823	\$691,928
TOTAL COSTS	\$3,180,309	\$2,295,349	\$2,775,495	\$2,632,190
BENEFITS				
Revenue Requirement:	\$3,007,367	\$5,174,705	\$2,123,485	\$2,900,883

Cost Savings:	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0
Cost Avoidance:	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0
AFUDC:	\$74,162	\$74,162	\$	69,294	\$69,294
Other Benefits:	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0
TOTAL BENEFITS	\$3,081,528	\$5,248,866	\$2,1	92,779	\$2,970,177
BENEFIT-COST RATIO				0.79	1.13
Please list major milestones:					
Milestone:				Con	pleted by:
Place order with GE				1/	25/2020
Generator disassembly; Ship roto	r off site for rings off inspectio	'n		2/	15/2020
Engineering complete				2/	15/2020
Material ships				3/	17/2020
Stator rewind begins on site				4	/1/2020
Rewind and generator reassemble	y complete			4/	30/2020
				Click here	to enter a date.
				Click here	to enter a date.
Please list internal and extern	nal resources assigned to the	his project:			
Resource Name:	Department/Company:	Have you contacted this re	source about the project	& related timing:	
General Electric		🗸 Yes 🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
PG Outage Group		🗸 Yes 🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
PG Engineering		🗸 Yes 🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
RG Management		🗸 Yes 🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes No	If no, explain why not:		
		🗌 Yes 🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		🗌 Yes 🗌 No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes No	If no, explain why not:		
		Yes No	If no, explain why not:		

checklist for items to be submitted			
Has Environmental been contacted?	Yes	✓ No	
If applicable, has land been secured for this project?	Yes	🗌 No	
Have all the applicable line items on the Business Case Overview been completed?	✓ Yes	🗌 No	
Do the milestones you identified take into consideration availability of resources?	✓ Yes	🗌 No	
Cash flow projection with detail must be submitted for the project, is it enclosed?	✓ Yes	🗌 No	
Did you provide supporting documents for cash flows (i.e. L&R, Promod)?	Yes	✓ No	
Does the Total Project Cost on page 1 equal the Total Project Cost on your cash flow analysis?	✓ Yes	🗌 No	
If applicable, did you attach the financial analysis for each alternative?	Yes	🗌 No	
Please provide additional information not disclosed for the committee's consideration:			

Project Manager / Preparer Signature:			
Name:	Chris Carroll		
Date:	1/20/2020		
Approver Signature:			
Name:			
Date:			

SOAH Docket No. 473-21-2606 PUC Docket No. 52195 FMI's 2nd, Q. No. FMI 2-5 Attachment 27 Page 3 of 3

Use this form if the new project has not been created in PowerPlant after requesting a new project through Cherwell

SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-21-2606 PUC DOCKET NO. 52195

APPLICATION OF EL PASO	§	BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE
ELECTRIC COMPANY TO CHANGE	§	OF
RATES	§	ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY'S RESPONSE TO FREEPORT-MCMORAN, INC'S SECOND REQUEST FOR INFORMATION QUESTION NOS. FMI 2-1 THROUGH FMI 2-19

<u>FMI 2-6</u>:

Referring to EPE's Response to CEP 6-1, please provide the following in Excel format with all formulas and links intact:

- a. Attachment 1, pages 1, 25, and 35.
- b. Attachment 2
- c. Attachment 3
- d. Attachment 4

Please provide all supporting data for the pivot tables where applicable.

RESPONSE:

The attachments to EPE's response to CEP 6-1 were provided in Excel format in Kiteworks server with all supporting data for the pivot tables included.

Preparer:	Curtis Hutcheson	Title:	Manager - Regulatory Case Management
Sponsor:	James Schichtl	Title:	Vice President – Regulatory and
			Governmental Affairs

SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-21-2606 PUC DOCKET NO. 52195

APPLICATION OF EL PASO	§	BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE
ELECTRIC COMPANY TO CHANGE	§	OF
RATES	§	ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY'S RESPONSE TO FREEPORT-MCMORAN, INC'S SECOND REQUEST FOR INFORMATION QUESTION NOS. FMI 2-1 THROUGH FMI 2-19

<u>FMI 2-7</u>:

Referring to EPE's Response to CEP 6-3, please provide Attachments 1 and 2 in Excel format with all formulas and links intact.

RESPONSE:

Attached are CEP 6-3, Attachments 1 and 2 in Excel format. El Paso Electric Company ("EPE") is unable to provide the Excel formulas and links intact, as this information was downloaded from EPE's OATI system.

To clarify, Attachment 2, reflects an estimate of February 2021's system marginal cost on a daily and hourly basis. EPE does not have natural gas, diesel fuel and nuclear fuel amounts for each day of February 2021, as EPE is invoiced monthly for those fuel sources.

In reference to EPE's response to CEP 6-3, to clarify, the reconcilable fuel amount provided of \$9,935,796.88 only reflects natural gas and diesel fuel costs, offset by the Winter Storm credits received from EPE's Gas Counterparties (amounts received in April 2021). The total reconcilable fuel and purchased power amount for the month of February 2021, as reported in EPE's February 2021 monthly fuel report filed with the Public Utility Commission of Texas and which includes nuclear fuel and net purchased power costs, is \$11,564,727.

Preparer:	Jesse Gonzalez	Title:	Manager – Day Ahead & Long-Term Trading
Sponsor:	David C. Hawkins	Title:	Vice President – Strategy & Sustainability

SOAH Docket No. 473-21-2606 PUC Docket No. 52195

FMI's 2nd, Q. No. FMI 2-7

Attachment 1 Page 1 of 6

El Paso Electric Company February 2021 Account 555 - Energy Purchases

Date	Counterparty	Туре	Data	HE1	HE2	HE3	HE4	HE5	HE6	HE7	HE8	HE9	HE10	HE11	HE12	HE13	HE14	HE15	HE16	HE17	HE18	HE19	HE20	HE21	HE22	HE23	HE24	MW Total	Trade Value*
2/1/202	1 FREEPORT	Purchase	MWh	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-124	-124	-121	-124	-124	-124	-123	-123	-124	-123	-123	-124	-124	-125	-124	-124	-124	-124	-2,976	0.00
2/1/202	1 FREEPORT	Purchase	\$/MWh																										
2/1/202	1 MACHO	Purchase	MWh		-9609																							-9,609	-556,384.14
2/1/202	1 MACHO	Purchase	\$/MWh		57.9																								
2/1/20	1 NEWSOL	Purchase	MWh		-1955																							-1,955	-107524.94
2/1/203	1 NEWSOL	Purchase	\$/MWh		55																								
2/1/202	1 RAIN	Purchase	MWh		-5	-5	-5	-5	-5	-5																	-5	-35	-765.80
2/1/202	1 RAIN	Purchase	\$/MWh		21.88	21.88	21.88	21.88	21.88	21.88																	21.88		
2/1/202	1 RAIN	Purchase	MWh		-12	-12	-12	-12	-12	-12																	-8	-80	-1750.4
2/1/202	1 RAIN	Purchase	\$/MWh		21.88	21.88	21.88	21.88	21.88	21.88																	21.88		
2/1/202	1 TENASKA	Purchase	MWh	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-1,800	-46554
2/1/202	1 TENASKA	Purchase	\$/MWh	21.56	23.88	23.88	23.88	23.88	23.88	23.88	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	23.88		
2/1/202	1 TENASKA	Purchase	MWh	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-1,800	-46,554.00
2/1/20	1 TENASKA	Purchase	\$/MWh	21.56	23.88	23.88	23.88	23.88	23.88	23.88	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	23.88		
2/2/20	1 FREEPORT	Purchase	MWh	-124	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-124	-125	-124	-124	-124	-124	-124	-6				-1	-22	-78	-125	-125	-122	-124	-2,221	0.00
2/2/202	1 FREEPORT	Purchase	\$/MWh	_																								_	
2/2/20	1 RAIN	Purchase	MWh	-5																								-5	-109.40
2/2/202	1 RAIN	Purchase	\$/MWh	21.88																									
2/2/20	1 RAIN	Purchase	MWh	-8																								-8	-175.04
2/2/202	1 RAIN	Purchase	\$/MWh	21.88																									
2/2/202	1 TENASKA	Purchase	MWh	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-1,800	-43,992.75
2/2/20	1 TENASKA	Purchase	\$/MWh	23.88	23.63	23.63	23.63	23.63	23.63	23.63	24.83	24.83	24.83	24.83	24.83	24.83	24.83	24.83	24.83	24.83	24.83	24.83	24.83	24.83	24.83	24.83	23.63		
2/2/20	1 TENASKA	Purchase	MWh	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-1,800	-43,992.75
2/2/20	1 TENASKA	Purchase	\$/MWh	23.88	23.63	23.63	23.63	23.63	23.63	23.63	24.83	24.83	24.83	24.83	24.83	24.83	24.83	24.83	24.83	24.83	24.83	24.83	24.83	24.83	24.83	24.83	23.63		
2/3/20	1 FREEPORT	Purchase	MWh	-124	-124	-124	-124	-124	-124	-124	-125	-124	-123	-124	-123	-124	-124	-124	-124	-124	-125	-124	-124	-125	-124	-124	-124	-2,977	0.00
2/3/20	1 FREEPORT	Purchase	\$/MWh																										
2/3/20	1 TENASKA	Purchase	NWh	-/5	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-/5	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-1,800	-41,354.25
2/3/20	1 TENASKA	Purchase	\$/IVIWn	23.63	24.08	24.08	24.08	24.08	24.08	24.08	22.45	22.45	22.45	22.45	22.45	22.45	22.45	22.45	22.45	22.45	22.45	22.45	22.45	22.45	22.45	22.45	24.08	1 000	
2/3/20	1 TENASKA	Purchase	NWN ¢(NAM)	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-/5	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-1,800	-41,354.25
2/3/20	1 TENASKA	Purchase	\$/IVIWn	23.63	24.08	24.08	24.08	24.08	24.08	24.08	22.45	22.45	22.45	22.45	22.45	22.45	22.45	22.45	22.45	22.45	22.45	22.45	22.45	22.45	22.45	22.45	24.08	47	442.05
2/3/20	1 TENASKA	Purchase	ivivvn ć (sasali													-17												-17	-442.85
2/3/20		Purchase	S/IVIVVII NANA/b													26.05												0	208.40
2/3/20	1 TENASKA	Purchase	iviwn ¢ (MMM													-8												-8	-208.40
2/5/20	1 FREEDORT	Purchase	S/IVIVVII AAVA/b	174	174	172	174	124	124	124	175	175	125	175	125	125.05	125	125	125	175	175	175	177	175	175	175	175	2 080	0.00
2/4/20		Purchase	C /MAN/b	-124	-124	-125	-124	-124	-124	-124	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-122	-125	-125	-125	-125	-2,969	0.00
2/4/20	1 TENASVA	Purchase	S/IVIVVII NANA/b	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	1 800	12 100 25
2/4/20	1 TENASKA	Purchase	¢/M/M/h	24.09	-75	22.25	22.25	22.25	22.25	-75	-75 27 22	-75	-75	272	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	22.25	-1,800	-42,400.23
2/4/20	1 TENASKA	Purchase	S/IVIVIII	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-1 900	-12 100 25
2/4/20	1 TENASKA	Purchase	¢/M/M/b	24.09	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	27-	27 22	272	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-1,800	-42,400.23
2/4/20		Purchase	MAA	24.08	23.25	23.25	23.25	23.25	23.25	23.25	23.75	23.75	-25	25.75	23.75	23.75	23.75	25.75	25.75	23.75	23.75	23.75	23.75	25.75	25.75	23.75	23.25	-25	-125.00
2/5/20	1 BROOK RENEW	Purchase	\$/M/Mb										-25															-25	-125.00
2/5/20	1 BROOK RENEW	Purchase	M/M/b										5					-25										-25	-125.00
2/5/20	1 BROOK RENEW	Purchase	\$/M/M/b															25										25	125.00
2/5/20		Purchase	MM/h	-125	-125	-125	-125	-123	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-2 998	0.00
2/5/20	1 FREEPORT	Purchase	\$/M/M/b	125	125	125	125	125	125	125	125	125	125	125	125	125	125	125	125	125	125	125	125	125	125	125	125	2,550	0.00
2/5/20	1 TENASKA	Purchase	MMh	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-1 800	-43 001 25
2/5/20	1 ΤΕΝΔSΚΔ	Purchase	\$/MWh	23 25	243	243	243	243	243	243	23 75	23 75	23 75	23 75	23 75	23 75	23 75	23 75	23 75	23 75	23 75	23 75	23 75	23 75	23 75	23 75	243	1,000	45,001.25
2/5/20	1 ΤΕΝΔ5ΚΔ	Purchase	MWh	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-1 800	-43 001 25
2/5/20	1 TENASKA	Purchase	\$/MWb	23 25	243	243	243	243	243	243	23 75	23 75	23 75	23 75	23 75	23 75	23 75	23 75	23 75	23 75	23 75	23 75	23 75	23 75	23 75	23 75	243	1,000	45,001.25
2/6/20	1 FREEPORT	Purchase	MW/h	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-3 000	0.00
2/6/20	1 FREEPORT	Purchase	Ś/MWh	120	120	120	120	123	123	125	120	123	120	125	120	120	125	120	125	125	123	123	223	123	120	120	123	5,000	
2/6/20	1 TENASKA	Purchase	MW/h	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-1 ጸበባ	-43,080.00
2/6/201	1 TENASKA	Purchase	\$/MWh	24.3	24.3	24.3	24.3	24.3	24.3	24.3	23.75	23.75	23.75	23.75	23.75	23.75	23.75	23.75	23.75	23.75	23.75	23.75	23.75	23.75	23.75	23.75	24.3	1,000	.s,ccc.co <u>=</u> u
2/6/201	1 TENASKA	Purchase	MWh	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-1.800	-43.080.00
_, 0, 20.																												1,000	<u> </u>

3,001.25 FMI's 2nd, Q. No. FMI 2-7 5,000 00 3,080 00 3,080 00 3,080 00 Attachment 1 Page 1 of 6

SOAH Docket No. 473-21-2606 PUC Docket No. 52195 FMI's 2nd, Q. No. FMI 2-7 Attachment 1

El Paso Electric Company February 2021 Account 555 - Energy Purchases

																													Page 2 of 6
Date Counter	rparty	Туре	Data	HE1	HE2	HE3	HE4	HE5	HE6	HE7	HE8	HE9	HE10	HE11	HE12	HE13	HE14	HE15	HE16	HE17	HE18	HE19	HE20	HE21	HE22	HE23	HE24	MW Total	Trade Value*
2/6/2021 TENASKA		Purchase	\$/MWh	24.3	24.3	24.3	24.3	24.3	24.3	24.3	23.75	23.75	23.75	23.75	23.75	23.75	23.75	23.75	23.75	23.75	23.75	23.75	23.75	23.75	23.75	23.75	24.3		
2/7/2021 FREEPORT	т	Purchase	MWh	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-3,000	0.00
2/7/2021 FREEPOR	т	Purchase	\$/MWh																										
2/7/2021 TENASKA		Purchase	MWh	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-1,800	-44,085.00
2/7/2021 TENASKA		Purchase	\$/MWh	24.3	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5		
2/7/2021 TENASKA		Purchase	MWh	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-1,800	-44,085.00
2/7/2021 TENASKA		Purchase	\$/MWh	24.3	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5		
2/8/2021 FREEPORT	т	Purchase	MWh	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-124	-125	-125	-125	-125	-2,999	0.00
2/8/2021 FREEPOR	т	Purchase	\$/MWh																										
2/8/2021 TENASKA		Purchase	MWh	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-1,800	-48,300.00
2/8/2021 TENASKA		Purchase	\$/MWh	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	28	28	28	28	28	28	28	28	28	28	28	28	28	28	28	28	24.5		
2/8/2021 TENASKA		Purchase	MWh	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-1,800	-48,300.00
2/8/2021 TENASKA		Purchase	\$/MWh	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	24.5	28	28	28	28	28	28	28	28	28	28	28	28	28	28	28	28	24.5		
2/9/2021 FREEPOR	т	Purchase	MWh	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-121	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-2,996	0.00
2/9/2021 FREEPOR	т	Purchase	\$/MWh																										
2/9/2021 TENASKA		Purchase	MWh								-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50		-800	-23,440.00
2/9/2021 TENASKA		Purchase	\$/MWh	24.5	28.04	28.04	28.04	28.04	28.04	28.04	29.3	29.3	29.3	29.3	29.3	29.3	29.3	29.3	29.3	29.3	29.3	29.3	29.3	29.3	29.3	29.3	28.04		
2/9/2021 TENASKA		Purchase	MWh	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-75	-1,000	-28,278.50
2/9/2021 TENASKA		Purchase	\$/MWh	24.5	28.04	28.04	28.04	28.04	28.04	28.04	29.3	29.3	29.3	29.3	29.3	29.3	29.3	29.3	29.3	29.3	29.3	29.3	29.3	29.3	29.3	29.3	28.04		
2/9/2021 TENASKA		Purchase	MWh	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-1,800	-51,718.50
2/9/2021 TENASKA		Purchase	\$/MWh	24.5	28.04	28.04	28.04	28.04	28.04	28.04	29.3	29.3	29.3	29.3	29.3	29.3	29.3	29.3	29.3	29.3	29.3	29.3	29.3	29.3	29.3	29.3	28.04		
2/10/2021 BROOK R	ENEW	Purchase	MWh												-37													-37	-370.00
2/10/2021 BROOK RI	ENEW	Purchase	\$/MWh												10														
2/10/2021 FREEPOR	т	Purchase	MWh	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-123	-119	-124	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-2,991	0.00
2/10/2021 FREEPORT	т	Purchase	\$/MWh																										
2/10/2021 TENASKA		Purchase	MWh								-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25		-400	-11,100.00
2/10/2021 TENASKA		Purchase	\$/MWh	28.04	28.19	28.19	28.19	28.19	28.19	28.19	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	28.19		
2/10/2021 TENASKA		Purchase	MWh	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-75	-1,400	-39,102.75
2/10/2021 TENASKA		Purchase	\$/MWh	28.04	28.19	28.19	28.19	28.19	28.19	28.19	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	28.19		
2/10/2021 TENASKA		Purchase	MWh	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-1,800	-50,202.75
2/10/2021 TENASKA		Purchase	\$/MWh	28.04	28.19	28.19	28.19	28.19	28.19	28.19	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	28.19		
2/10/2021 TENASKA		Purchase	MWh		-3	-10	-10																					-23	-644.00
2/10/2021 TENASKA		Purchase	\$/MWh		28	28	28																						
2/11/2021 FREEPOR	т	Purchase	MWh	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-115	-115	-115	-2,970	0.00
2/11/2021 FREEPOR	т	Purchase	\$/MWh																										
2/11/2021 TENASKA		Purchase	MWh								-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25		-400	-12,316.00
2/11/2021 TENASKA		Purchase	\$/MWh	28.19	31.38	31.38	31.38	31.38	31.38	31.38	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	31.38		
2/11/2021 TENASKA		Purchase	MWh	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-75	-1,400	-43,220.75
2/11/2021 TENASKA		Purchase	\$/MWh	28.19	31.38	31.38	31.38	31.38	31.38	31.38	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	31.38		
2/11/2021 TENASKA		Purchase	MWh	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-1,800	-55,536.75
2/11/2021 TENASKA		Purchase	\$/MWh	28.19	31.38	31.38	31.38	31.38	31.38	31.38	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	31.38		
2/12/2021 FREEPOR	т	Purchase	MWh	-115	-115	-115	-115	-115	-115	-115	-116	-115	-113	-116	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-121	-124	-2,885	0.00
2/12/2021 FREEPORT	т	Purchase	\$/MWh																										
2/12/2021 TENASKA		Purchase	MWh								-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25		-400	-12,316.00
2/12/2021 TENASKA		Purchase	\$/MWh	31.38	31.38	31.38	31.38	31.38	31.38	31.38	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	31.38		
2/12/2021 TENASKA		Purchase	MWh	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-75	-1,400	-43,460.00
2/12/2021 TENASKA		Purchase	\$/MWh	31.38	31.38	31.38	31.38	31.38	31.38	31.38	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	31.38		
2/12/2021 TENASKA		Purchase	MWh	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-1,800	-55,776.00
2/12/2021 TENASKA		Purchase	\$/MWh	31.38	31.38	31.38	31.38	31.38	31.38	31.38	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	30.79	31.38		
2/13/2021 FREEPOR	т	Purchase	MWh	-125	-124	-124	-124	-125	-124	-121	-122	-121	-122	-122	-121	-123	-123	-123	-123	-124	-123	-123	-123	-123	-123	-122	-121	-2,949	0.00
2/13/2021 FREEPOR	т	Purchase	\$/MWh																									, .	
2/13/2021 MACQUA	RIE	Purchase	MWh														-6											-6	-510.00 -
2/13/2021 MACQUA	RIE	Purchase	\$/MWh														85												3
2/13/2021 TENASKA		Purchase	MWh		-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-25	-975	-79,736.00 ú
2/13/2021 TENASKA		Purchase	\$/MWh	31.38	68.8	68.8	68.8	68.8	68.8	68.8	84.62	84.62	84.62	84.62	84.62	84.62	84.62	84.62	84.62	84.62	84.62	84.62	84.62	84.62	84.62	84.62	68.8		<u> 1</u>
-																													7

SOAH Docket No. 473-21-2606 PUC Docket No. 52195 FMI's 2nd, Q. No. FMI 2-7 9,736.0 Attachment 1 Page 2 of 6

SOAH Docket No. 473-21-2606 PUC Docket No. 52195 FMI's 2nd, Q. No. FMI 2-7

Attachment 1 Page 3 of 6

El Paso Electric Company February 2021 Account 555 - Energy Purchases

_	Date	Counterparty	Туре	Data	HE1	HE2	HE3	HE4	HE5	HE6	HE7	HE8	HE9	HE10	HE11	HE12	HE13	HE14	HE15	HE16	HE17	HE18	HE19	HE20	HE21	HE22	HE23	HE24	MW Total	Trade Value*
_	2/13/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	MWh	-75	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-50	-825	-60,281.50
	2/13/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	\$/MWh	31.38	68.8	68.8	68.8	68.8	68.8	68.8	84.62	84.62	84.62	84.62	84.62	84.62	84.62	84.62	84.62	84.62	84.62	84.62	84.62	84.62	84.62	84.62	68.8		
	2/13/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	MWh	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-1,800	-140,017.50
	2/13/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	\$/MWh	31.38	68.8	68.8	68.8	68.8	68.8	68.8	84.62	84.62	84.62	84.62	84.62	84.62	84.62	84.62	84.62	84.62	84.62	84.62	84.62	84.62	84.62	84.62	68.8		
	2/14/2021	AEPCO	Purchase	MWh																		-25	-25	-45	-45	-45			-185	-136,500.00
	2/14/2021	AEPCO	Purchase	\$/MWh																		300	300	900	900	900				
	2/14/2021	BROOK RENEW	Purchase	MWh																			-21	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-146	-77,499.72
	2/14/2021	BROOK RENEW	Purchase	\$/MWh																			530.82	530.82	530.82	530.82	530.82	530.82		
	2/14/2021	BROOK RENEW	Purchase	MWh																			-22	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-147	-4,645.20
	2/14/2021	BROOK RENEW	Purchase	\$/MWh																			31.6	31.6	31.6	31.6	31.6	31.6		
	2/14/2021	FREEPORT	Purchase	MWh	-122	-125	-125	-120	-125	-125	-125	-123	-123	-123	-123	-123	-123	-124	-124	-124	-125	-121	-122	-125	-121	-122	-122	-122	-2,957	0.00
	2/14/2021	FREEPORT	Purchase	\$/MWh																										
	2/14/2021	GUZ PART	Purchase	MWh																		-50	-50	-100	-100				-300	-195,000.00
	2/14/2021	GUZ PART	Purchase	\$/MWh																		650	650	650	650					
	2/14/2021	LADWP	Purchase	MWh																			-50	-50		-100	-100	-100	-400	-80,000.00
	2/14/2021	LADWP	Purchase	\$/MWh																			200	200		200	200	200		
	2/14/2021	LADWP	Purchase	MWh																		-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-2		-127	-8.509.00
	2/14/2021	LADWP	Purchase	\$/MWh																		67	67	67	67	67	67			,
	2/14/2021	LADWP	Purchase	MWh																		-25	-24	-25	-25	-25	-2		-126	-8.442.00
	2/14/2021	LADWP	Purchase	\$/MWh																		67	67	67	67	67	67			-, · · _ · · -
	2/14/2021	MORGAN	Purchase	MWh																			-22	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-147	-4 645 20
	2/14/2021	MORGAN	Purchase	\$/MWh																			31.6	31.6	31.6	31.6	31.6	31.6	147	4,040.20
	2/14/2021	SHELL	Purchase	MWb																			-15	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-140	-50 626 80
	2/14/2021	SHELL	Durchase	\$ /M/M/b																			261.62	261.62	261.62	261.62	261.62	261 62	140	50,020.00
	2/14/2021	SPD	Purchase	MAN																		-50	501.02	301.02	301.02	301.02	301.02	501.02	-50	-11 250 00
	2/14/2021	SDD	Purchase	\$/M/M																		225							-30	-11,250.00
	2/14/2021	JENIASKA	Purchase	S/IVIVITI	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	75	25	25	25	25	225	25	25	25	75	25	25	600	41 280 00
	2/14/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	C (NA)A/h	-23	-25	-23	-23	-23	-23	-23	-25	-25	-25	-23	-25	-23	-23	-23	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-23	-25	-25	-23	-600	-41,280.00
	2/14/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	5/IVIVVII	00.0	00.0	68.8	68.8	50.0	50.0	00.0	00.0	68.8	00.0	68.8	50.0	00.0	68.8	00.0	50.0	00.0	68.8	68.8	50.0	68.8	00.0	08.8	08.8	1 200	00 500 00
	2/14/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	ivivvn ¢ (s ssall	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-1,200	-82,560.00
	2/14/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	\$/IVIVVn	68.8	68.8	68.8	68.8	68.8	68.8	68.8	68.8	68.8	68.8	68.8	68.8	68.8	68.8	68.8	68.8	68.8	68.8	68.8	68.8	68.8	68.8	68.8	68.8		
	2/14/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	NWh	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-/5	-75	-75	-75	-75	-/5	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-1,800	-123,840.00
	2/14/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	\$/MWh	68.8	68.8	68.8	68.8	68.8	68.8	68.8	68.8	68.8	68.8	68.8	68.8	68.8	68.8	68.8	68.8	68.8	68.8	68.8	68.8	68.8	68.8	68.8	68.8		
	2/14/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	MWh																		-1	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-151	-10,932.40
	2/14/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	\$/MWh																		/2.4	/2.4	/2.4	/2.4	72.4	/2.4	/2.4		
	2/14/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	MWh																		-4	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-304	-22,009.60
	2/14/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	\$/MWh																		72.4	72.4	72.4	72.4	72.4	72.4	72.4		
	2/14/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	MWh																			-8	-10	-10	-10	-10	-10	-58	-4,199.20
	2/14/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	\$/MWh																			72.4	72.4	72.4	72.4	72.4	72.4		
	2/14/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	MWh																			-14	-15	-15	-15	-15	-15	-89	-6,443.60
	2/14/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	\$/MWh																			72.4	72.4	72.4	72.4	72.4	72.4		
	2/15/2021	FREEPORT	Purchase	MWh	-122	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-57																		-804	0.00
	2/15/2021	FREEPORT	Purchase	\$/MWh																										
	2/15/2021	LADWP	Purchase	MWh																		-68	-68	-68	-68	-68	-68		-408	-102,000.00
	2/15/2021	LADWP	Purchase	\$/MWh																		250	250	250	250	250	250			
	2/15/2021	LADWP	Purchase	MWh																								-75	-75	-35,625.00
	2/15/2021	LADWP	Purchase	\$/MWh																								475		
	2/15/2021	PAC	Purchase	MWh	-143	-69	-50																						-262	-29,770.00
	2/15/2021	PAC	Purchase	\$/MWh	100	130	130																							
	2/15/2021	PAC	Purchase	MWh												-30	-40	-40	-40	-40									-190	-42,750.00
	2/15/2021	PAC	Purchase	\$/MWh												225	225	225	225	225										
	2/15/2021	POWERX	Purchase	MWh							-50	-50																	-100	-30,000.00
	2/15/2021	POWERX	Purchase	\$/MWh							300	300																		
	2/15/2021	POWERX	Purchase	MWh																		-75	-75	-75	-75				-300	-97,875.00
	2/15/2021	POWERX	Purchase	\$/MWh																		255	350	350	350					
	2/15/2021	POWERX	Purchase	MWh																						-75	-50		-125	-43,750.00

2,750.00 PUC Docket No. 52195 FMI's 2nd, Q. No. FMI 2-7 7,875.00 Attachment 1 Page 3 of 6

SOAH Docket No. 473-21-2606 PUC Docket No. 52195

FMI's 2nd, Q. No. FMI 2-7

Attachment 1

Page 4 of 6

El Paso Electric Company February 2021

Account 555 - Energy Purchases

Date	Counterparty	Туре	Data	HE1	HE2	HE3	HE4	HE5	HE6	HE7	HE8	HE9	HE10	HE11	HE12	HE13	HE14	HE15	HE16	HE17	HE18	HE19	HE20	HE21	HE22	HE23	HE24	MW Total	Trade Value*
2/15/2021	POWERX	Purchase	\$/MWh																						350	350			
2/15/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	MWh	-25	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-1,750	-416,365.00
2/15/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	\$/MWh	68.8	169	169	169	169	169	169	271.6	5 271.6	5 271.6	271.6	271.6	271.6	271.6	271.6	271.6	271.6	271.6	271.6	271.6	271.6	271.6	271.6	169		
2/15/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	MWh	-50																								-50	-3,440.00
2/15/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	\$/MWh	68.8	169	169	169	169	169	169	271.6	5 271.6	5 271.6	271.6	271.6	271.6	271.6	271.6	271.6	271.6	271.6	271.6	271.6	271.6	271.6	271.6	169		
2/15/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	MWh	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	5 -75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-1,800	-419,805.00
2/15/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	\$/MWh	68.8	169	169	169	169	169	169	9 271.e	5 271.6	271.6	271.6	271.6	271.6	271.6	271.6	271.6	271.6	271.6	271.6	271.6	271.6	271.6	271.6	169		
2/15/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	MWh																-25									-25	-6,790.00
2/15/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	\$/IVIVVN	25															271.6									75	22.075.00
2/15/2021	TRANSALIA	Purchase	ivivvn c (na) n/h	-25						-50)																	-75	-23,875.00
2/15/2021		Purchase	S/IVIVVII NANA/b	275						540	,					25	20	40	75	50								220	60 500 00
2/15/2021	TRI-STATE	Purchase	¢/MM/h													25	-30	275	-75	-30								-220	-00,500.00
2/15/2021	TRI-STATE	Purchase	MM/b													275	275	275	275	275							-50	-50	-16 250 00
2/15/2021	TRI-STATE	Purchase	\$/MWh																								325	50	10,250.00
2/16/2021	AFPCO	Purchase	MWh																			-25					525	-25	-12 500 00
2/16/2021	AFPCO	Purchase	\$/MWh																			500						25	12,500.00
2/16/2021	LADWP	Purchase	MWh																			-75						-75	-22.500.00
2/16/2021	LADWP	Purchase	\$/MWh																			300							
2/16/2021	PAC	Purchase	MWh	-60																								-60	-18,000.00
2/16/2021	PAC	Purchase	\$/MWh	300																									,
2/16/2021	PAC	Purchase	MWh													-35	-85				-100							-220	-45,500.00
2/16/2021	PAC	Purchase	\$/MWh													150	150				275							-	
2/16/2021	PNM	Purchase	MWh																							-10		-10	-2,500.00
2/16/2021	PNM	Purchase	\$/MWh																							250			
2/16/2021	POWERX	Purchase	MWh		-30	-30	-60	-50																				-170	-34,000.00
2/16/2021	POWERX	Purchase	\$/MWh		200	200	200	200																					
2/16/2021	POWERX	Purchase	MWh						-75	-175	5 -90)																-340	-98,600.00
2/16/2021	POWERX	Purchase	\$/MWh						290	290	290)																	
2/16/2021	POWERX	Purchase	MWh												-35													-35	-8,750.00
2/16/2021	POWERX	Purchase	\$/MWh												250														
2/16/2021	POWERX	Purchase	MWh																				-75	-75	-19	-19		-188	-65,800.00
2/16/2021	POWERX	Purchase	\$/MWh																				350	350	350	350			
2/16/2021	SRP	Purchase	MWh						-30																			-30	-7,500.00
2/16/2021	SRP	Purchase	\$/MWh						250																				
2/16/2021	SRP	Purchase	MWh									-50)															-50	-15,000.00
2/16/2021	SRP	Purchase	\$/MWh									300)																
2/16/2021	SRP	Purchase	MWh																				-100	-100				-200	-60,000.00
2/16/2021	SRP	Purchase	\$/IVIWN																				300	300	50		0.5	125	10 500 00
2/16/2021	SRP	Purchase	1VI VV F1																						-50		-85	-135	-40,500.00
2/16/2021	SKP	Purchase	S/IVIVVII MANA/b																						300		500		16 500 00
2/16/2021	SRD	Purchase	\$/M/M/b																								300	-55	-10,500.00
2/16/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	M/M/b	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-1 800	-427 320 00
2/16/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	\$/MWh	169	169	169	169	169	169	169	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	5 271 6	2716	2716	271.6	271.6	271.6	271.6	271.6	271.6	271.6	271.6	271.6	271.6	271.6	271.6	169	1,000	427,320.00
2/16/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	MWh	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-1.800	-427.320.00
2/16/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	\$/MWh	169	169	169	169	169	169	169	271.6	5 271.6	5 271.6	271.6	271.6	271.6	271.6	271.6	271.6	271.6	271.6	271.6	271.6	271.6	271.6	271.6	169	_,	,
2/16/2021	TEP	Purchase	MWh							_ 54					2.5					-25								-25	-20,000.00
2/16/2021	TEP	Purchase	\$/MWh																	800									,
2/16/2021	TRI-STATE	Purchase	MWh															-85	-85	-85	-60	-20	-50					-385	-82,125.00
2/16/2021	TRI-STATE	Purchase	\$/MWh															175	175	175	275	300	300						
2/16/2021	TRI-STATE	Purchase	MWh																						-25	-25		-50	-15,000.00 –
2/16/2021	TRI-STATE	Purchase	\$/MWh																						300	300			3
2/17/2021	POWERX	Purchase	MWh							-25	5 -50) -e	5															-81	-44,400.00 🕢
2/17/2021	POWERX	Purchase	\$/MWh							550	550	525																	2nc
																													, p
																													- A -
																													a la c
																													ge hr
																													ane ≦
																													로 <u></u> 부
																													6 → √

SOAH Docket No. 473-21-2606

PUC Docket No. 52195 FMI's 2nd, Q. No. FMI 2-7

Attachment 1

Page 5 of 6

El Paso Electric Company February 2021

Account 555 - Energy Purchases

	counterparty	туре	Data	nc1	HEZ	HE3	HE4	HE5	HE6	HE7	HE8	HE9	HE10	HE11	HE12	HE13	HE14	HE15	HE16	HE17	HE18	HE19	HE20	HE21	HE22	HE23	HE24	MW Total	Trade Value*
2/17/2021	SRP	Purchase	MWh	-35																								-35	-8,750.00
2/17/2021	SRP	Purchase	\$/MWh	250																									
2/17/2021	SRP	Purchase	MWh	-65																								-65	-16,250.00
2/17/2021	SRP	Purchase	\$/MWh	250																									
2/17/2021	SRP	Purchase	MWh							-25																		-25	-8,750.00
2/17/2021	SRP	Purchase	\$/MWh							350																			
2/17/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	MWh	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-1 <i>,</i> 800	-626,910.75
2/17/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	\$/MWh	169	312.83	312.83	312.83	312.83	312.83	312.83	375	375	375	375	375	375	375	375	375	375	375	375	375	375	375	375	312.83		
2/17/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	MWh	-75																								-75	-12,675.00
2/17/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	\$/MWh	169	312.83	312.83	312.83	312.83	312.83	312.83	375	375	375	375	375	375	375	375	375	375	375	375	375	375	375	375	312.83		
2/17/2021	TRI-STATE	Purchase	MWh	-25																								-25	-6,250.00
2/17/2021	TRI-STATE	Purchase	\$/MWh	250																									
2/18/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	MWh	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-1,800	-421,833.00
2/18/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	\$/MWh	312.83	235.83	235.83	235.83	235.83	235.83	235.83	228.8	228.8	228.8	228.8	228.8	228.8	228.8	228.8	228.8	228.8	228.8	228.8	228.8	228.8	228.8	228.8	235.83		
2/19/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	MWh	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-1,800	-183,746.25
2/19/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	\$/MWh	235.83	95.16	95.16	95.16	95.16	95.16	95.16	96.75	96.75	96.75	96.75	96.75	96.75	96.75	96.75	96.75	96.75	96.75	96.75	96.75	96.75	96.75	96.75	95.16		
2/20/2021	PAC	Purchase	MWh							-25																		-25	-1,200.00
2/20/2021	PAC	Purchase	\$/MWh							48																			,
2/20/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	MWh	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-1,800	-173,196.00
2/20/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	\$/MWh	95.16	95.16	95.16	95.16	95.16	95.16	95.16	96.75	96.75	96.75	96.75	96.75	96.75	96.75	96.75	96.75	96.75	96.75	96.75	96.75	96.75	96.75	96.75	95.16	,	,
2/21/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	MWh	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-1.800	-76.740.75
2/21/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	\$/MWh	95.16	40.35	40.35	40.35	40.35	40.35	40.35	40.35	40.35	40.35	40.35	40.35	40.35	40.35	40.35	40.35	40.35	40.35	40.35	40.35	40.35	40.35	40.35	40.35	_,	
2/21/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	MWh		-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-1.725	-69.603.75
2/21/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	\$/MWh	95 16	40 35	40.35	40 35	40 35	40 35	40 35	40.35	40.35	40.35	40 35	40.35	40.35	40 35	40.35	40.35	40 35	40.35	40.35	40 35	40 35	40 35	40 35	40.35	_,,	
2/22/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	MWh	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-1.800	-80 610 00
2/22/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	\$/MWh	40.35	40.35	40.35	40.35	40.35	40.35	40.35	47	47	47	47	47	47	47	47	47	47	47	47	47	47	47	47	40.35	2,000	00,010,000
2/22/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	MWh	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-1 800	-80 610 00
2/22/2021	TENIASKA	Purchase	\$/M/M/b	40.35	40 35	40.35	40 35	40 35	40 35	40.35	47	47	47	47	47	47	47	47	47	47	47	47	47	47	47	47	40.35	1,000	00,010.00
2/23/2021	FREEPORT	Purchase	M\M/b	40.00	40.55	40.55	40.55	40.55	-105	-112	-110	-117	-118	-122	-123	-121	-121	-122	-124	-124	-118	-124	-125	-125	-125	-124	-125	-2 285	0.00
2/23/2021	FREEPORT	Purchase	\$/M/M/b						105	112	110	117	110	122	125	121	121	122	124	124	110	124	125	125	125	124	12.5	2,205	0.00
2/23/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	M\A/b	-75	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-25	-1 450	-45 152 25
2/22/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	¢/MM/b	40.25	20	20	20	20	20	20	20.72	20.72	20.72	20.72	20.72	20.72	20.72	20.72	20.72	20.72	20.72	20.72	20.72	20.72	20.72	20.72	20	1,450	45,152.25
2/23/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	S/IVIVVII	40.55	50	50	50	50	50	50	30.75	50.75	30.75	50.75	30.75	50.75	50.75	30.75	30.75	30.75	30.75	30.75	30.75	30.75	30.75	30.75	50	250	10 500 00
2/23/2021		Purchase	ć (MANA/h	40.25	-50	-50	-30	-50	-50	-50	20 72	20.72	20.72	20.72	20.72	20 72	20.72	20.72	20.72	20.72	20 72	20 72	20.72	20.72	20.72	20.72	-50	-350	-10,500.00
2/23/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	5/1VIVVII	40.33	30	30	30	30	30	30	30.73	30.75	30.75	30.75	30.75	30.75	30.75	30.73	30.75	30.75	30.75	30.75	30.75	30.75	30.75	30.75	30	1 800	EE 653 35
2/23/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	¢ (MANA/b	40.25	-75	-75	-75	-73	-75	-75	20 72	20.72	20 72	20.72	20 72	20 72	20 72	20.72	20.72	20.72	20 72	20 72	20.72	20 72	20 72	20 72	-75	-1,800	-55,652.25
2/23/2021	EDEEDORT	Purchase	S/IVIVII MANA/b	40.55	125	125	125	125	125	125	124	124	125	124	124	124	124	124	124	122	124	124	172	122	122	117	100	2 057	0.00
2/24/2021	FREEPORT	Purchase	ć (MANA/h	-124	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-124	-124	-125	-124	-124	-124	-124	-124	-124	-125	-124	-124	-125	-125	-125	-117	-109	-2,937	0.00
2/24/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	5/1VIVVII	25	FO	FO	50	FO	FO	FO	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	FO	1 575	44 515 00
2/24/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	C (5 4) 6 (5	-23	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	27.5	-75	-75	27.5	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	27.7	-75	27.5	27.7	-50	-1,575	-44,515.00
2/24/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	S/IVIVVII NANA/h	30	29.9	29.9	29.9	29.9	29.9	29.9	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	29.9	225	6 722 50
2/24/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	C (MANA/In	-50	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	77 75	77.75	77.75	27.75	77.75	77 75	77.75	77.75	77.75	27.75	27.75	77.75	77.75	77.75	77.75	77 75	-25	-225	-0,732.30
2/24/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	5/1VIVV11	30	29.9	29.9	29.9	29.9	29.9	29.9	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	21.15	29.9	1 000	51 247 50
2/24/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	ivivvn Č(sasti	-/5	-75	-75	-/5	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-/5	-1,800	-51,247.50
2/24/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	\$/IVIVVII	30	29.9	29.9	29.9	29.9	29.9	29.9	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	27.75	29.9	12.1	4 200 00
2/24/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	iviwn c (nanada											-20	-20	-19	-20	-20	-19	-16								-134	-4,200.90
2/24/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	\$/IVIVVN	44.0	40.4	404	40.4	105	10.4	405	40.4	400	446	31.35	31.35	31.35	31.35	31.35	31.35	31.35	447	447	110	440	446	447	440		0.00
2/25/2021	FREEPORT	Purchase	ivivvn	-116	-124	-124	-124	-125	-124	-125	-124	-120	-116	-117	-117	-117	-117	-117	-117	-117	-117	-117	-116	-116	-116	-117	-116	-2,856	0.00
2/25/2021	TENACKA	Purchase	Ş∕IVIWh Natada							70		76		76					76									4 -	42 244 50
2/25/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	WWh	-50	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-1,775	-43,241.50
2/25/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	\$/MWh	29.9	27.7	27.7	27.7	27.7	27.7	27.7	22.67	22.67	22.67	22.67	22.67	22.67	22.67	22.67	22.67	22.67	22.67	22.67	22.67	22.67	22.67	22.67	27.7		
2/25/2021	IENASKA	Purchase	MWh	-25																								-25	-/47.50
2/25/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	\$/MWh	29.9	27.7	27.7	27.7	27.7	27.7	27.7	22.67	22.67	22.67	22.67	22.67	22.67	22.67	22.67	22.67	22.67	22.67	22.67	22.67	22.67	22.67	22.67	27.7		꾸
2/25/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	MWh	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-1,800	-43,989.00 ≦
2/25/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	\$/MWh	29.9	27.7	27.7	27.7	27.7	27.7	27.7	22.67	22.67	22.67	22.67	22.67	22.67	22.67	22.67	22.67	22.67	22.67	22.67	22.67	22.67	22.67	22.67	27.7		S
2/25/2021	TEP	Purchase	MWh							-35																		-35	-1,400.00 jnd
																													ລ. No Atta Pa
																													ge chir
																													υ β β β β β β β β β β β β β β β β β β β
																													of nt 2

SOAH Docket No. 473-21-2606

PUC Docket No. 52195 FMI's 2nd, Q. No. FMI 2-7

Attachment 1

Page 6 of 6

El Paso Electric Company February 2021

Account 555 - Energy Purchases

Date	Counterparty	Туре	Data	HE1	HE2	HE3	HE4	HE5	HE6	HE7	HE8	HE9	HE10	HE11	HE12	HE13	HE14	HE15	HE16	HE17	HE18	HE19	HE20	HE21	HE22	HE23	HE24	MW Total	Trade Value*
2/25/2021	TEP	Purchase	\$/MWh							40																			
2/26/2021	FREEPORT	Purchase	MWh	-116	-116	-117	-122	-125	-124	-124	-124	-124	-124	-124	-124	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-124	-124	-124	-124	-124	-2,959	0.00
2/26/2021	FREEPORT	Purchase	\$/MWh																										
2/26/2021	POWERX	Purchase	MWh													-75	-75	-75	-45	-30								-300	-1,500.00
2/26/2021	POWERX	Purchase	\$/MWh													5	5	5	5	5									
2/26/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	MWh	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-1,800	-43,824.00
2/26/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	\$/MWh	27.7	27.7	27.7	27.7	27.7	27.7	27.7	22.67	22.67	22.67	22.67	22.67	22.67	22.67	22.67	22.67	22.67	22.67	22.67	22.67	22.67	22.67	22.67	27.7		
2/26/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	MWh	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-1,800	-43,824.00
2/26/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	\$/MWh	27.7	27.7	27.7	27.7	27.7	27.7	27.7	22.67	22.67	22.67	22.67	22.67	22.67	22.67	22.67	22.67	22.67	22.67	22.67	22.67	22.67	22.67	22.67	27.7		
2/26/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	MWh																							-20	-20	-40	-1,151.40
2/26/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	\$/MWh																							26.27	31.3		
2/26/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	MWh											-30	-40													-70	-1,838.90
2/26/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	\$/MWh											26.27	26.27														
2/27/2021	FREEPORT	Purchase	MWh	-124	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-125	-124	-124	-2,997	0.00
2/27/2021	FREEPORT	Purchase	\$/MWh																										
2/27/2021	MACQUARIE	Purchase	MWh		-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50																	-50	-350	-5,250.00
2/27/2021	MACQUARIE	Purchase	\$/MWh		15	15	15	15	15	15																	15		
2/27/2021	MACQUARIE	Purchase	MWh								-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50		-800	-12,000.00
2/27/2021	MACQUARIE	Purchase	\$/MWh								15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15			
2/27/2021	MACQUARIE	Purchase	MWh										-25	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-25								-350	-5,250.00
2/27/2021	MACQUARIE	Purchase	\$/MWh										15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15									
2/27/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	MWh	-75	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-1,225	-24,135.50
2/27/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	\$/MWh	27.7	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	18.98	18.98	18.98	18.98	18.98	18.98	18.98	18.98	18.98	18.98	18.98	18.98	18.98	18.98	18.98	18.98	19.64		
2/27/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	MWh		-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-575	-11,029.00
2/27/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	\$/MWh	27.7	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	18.98	18.98	18.98	18.98	18.98	18.98	18.98	18.98	18.98	18.98	18.98	18.98	18.98	18.98	18.98	18.98	19.64		
2/27/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	MWh	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-1,800	-35,164.50
2/27/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	\$/MWh	27.7	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	18.98	18.98	18.98	18.98	18.98	18.98	18.98	18.98	18.98	18.98	18.98	18.98	18.98	18.98	18.98	18.98	19.64		
2/27/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	MWh	-23																								-23	-719.90
2/27/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	\$/MWh	31.3																									
2/27/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	MWh		-15	-15	-15	-15	-15	-15	-15	-15	-15	-15	-15	-15	-15	-15	-15	-15	-15	-15	-15	-15	-15	-15	-15	-345	-7,859.40
2/27/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	\$/MWh		23.24	23.24	23.24	23.24	23.24	23.24	22.58	22.58	22.58	22.58	22.58	22.58	22.58	22.58	22.58	22.58	22.58	22.58	22.58	22.58	22.58	22.58	23.24		
2/28/2021	FREEPORT	Purchase	MWh	-123	-124	-124	-124	-124	-124	-124	-124	-124	-125	-124	-124	-125	-124	-124	-124	-124	-125	-124	-124	-124	-124	-124	-124	-2,978	0.00
2/28/2021	FREEPORT	Purchase	\$/MWh																										
2/28/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	MWh	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-50	-1,200	-23,568.00
2/28/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	\$/MWh	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64		
2/28/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	MWh	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-25	-600	-11,784.00
2/28/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	\$/MWh	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64		
2/28/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	MWh	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-1,800	-35,352.00
2/28/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	\$/MWh	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64	19.64		
2/28/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	MWh	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-75	-1,800	-41,832.00
2/28/2021	TENASKA	Purchase	\$/MWh	23.24	23.24	23.24	23.24	23.24	23.24	23.24	23.24	23.24	23.24	23.24	23.24	23.24	23.24	23.24	23.24	23.24	23.24	23.24	23.24	23.24	23.24	23.24	23.24		

SOAH Docket No. 473-21-2606 PUC Docket No. 52195 FMI's 2nd, Q. No. FMI 2-7 Attachment 2 Page 1 of 1

El Paso Electric Company February 2021 Incremental Cost

Date	Hour	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
2/01/2021	MST	19.93	15.74	17.88	20.20	20.33	20.85	20.13	20.42	20.96	19.95	15.45	15.43	15.44	15.44	15.45	15.45	15.45	19.43	18.13	17.86	15.46	15.45	19.40	19.43
2/02/2021	MST	19.46	19.54	19.47	15.46	15.46	21.03	15.42	17.86	15.45	15.42	15.47	15.44	15.47	15.49	20.64	17.78	17.15	20.05	19.16	18.17	16.52	15.51	18.04	15.51
2/03/2021	MST	15.50	15.49	15.49	15.49	15.49	18.06	15.52	15.50	15.48	15.48	16.11	16.10	16.10	16.09	16.11	16.09	16.12	19.51	19.79	19.05	16.60	16.12	16.11	16.10
2/04/2021	MST	16.09	16.05	16.09	16.10	16.05	16.09	16.11	16.09	16.05	16.06	16.16	16.18	16.17	16.20	16.21	16.20	16.20	19.94	20.86	16.24	16.23	16.21	16.20	16.19
2/05/2021	MST	16.20	16.20	16.20	16.20	16.21	16.24	20.70	16.22	16.21	15.88	15.83	15.86	15.86	15.85	15.86	15.86	15.89	19.33	20.35	20.05	15.91	15.88	15.87	15.85
2/06/2021	MST	15.83	15.84	15.84	15.84	15.85	15.86	15.86	15.84	15.85	15.84	15.84	15.84	15.83	15.83	15.84	15.83	15.86	15.90	16.87	16.80	15.90	15.86	15.87	15.85
2/07/2021	MST	15.87	15.86	15.87	15.85	15.86	15.88	15.88	15.83	15.84	15.85	15.86	15.87	15.86	15.86	15.84	15.83	15.87	15.89	15.90	25.20	26.65	18.41	15.89	15.86
2/08/2021	MST	15.88	15.87	15.86	15.87	15.89	15.90	25.74	15.89	15.87	15.88	15.88	15.85	15.84	15.84	15.87	15.89	15.90	20.79	19.38	17.98	16.57	15.90	15.88	15.88
2/09/2021	MST	15.89	15.89	15.88	15.88	25.03	15.90	19.98	15.89	15.85	15.87	15.87	15.85	15.86	15.86	15.86	15.85	15.88	20.01	20.59	15.90	20.53	15.90	15.90	20.11
2/10/2021	MST	15.90	20.02	15.90	15.90	15.90	20.33	20.37	15.91	15.88	15.88	17.17	21.42	17.17	17.18	17.18	17.19	21.95	23.55	23.76	24.44	23.20	21.87	17.18	17.19
2/11/2021	MST	17.18	17.17	17.17	21.57	21.42	21.59	19.45	17.16	17.18	17.18	16.34	16.35	16.34	16.36	16.35	20.70	22.19	23.25	23.27	22.50	22.10	21.16	21.14	20.75
2/12/2021	MST	21.60	16.36	20.85	20.90	16.36	21.30	21.84	20.66	20.96	21.00	21.54	22.46	22.68	21.80	22.09	16.57	21.60	24.17	24.74	23.46	21.81	21.00	16.57	16.57
2/13/2021	MST	16.56	16.56	16.56	16.56	16.55	16.56	16.57	16.53	16.56	16.55	16.57	16.56	21.24	23.23	23.84	22.97	15.82	16.43	16.89	16.71	16.47	16.64	16.80	16.50
2/14/2021	MST	16.36	16.15	16.22	16.33	16.36	16.40	14.95	16.14	11.66	11.66	11.66	11.66	11.56	11.22	11.46	10.87	10.85	16.52	10.83	10.80	10.81	10.80	10.80	10.80
2/15/2021	MST	10.81	10.81	10.81	10.82	10.83	10.83	10.82	10.83	10.86	10.87	10.87	10.85	10.83	10.84	10.83	10.80	10.41	10.85	10.87	10.84	10.83	10.81	10.80	10.82
2/16/2021	MST	10.83	10.82	10.82	10.82	10.82	10.82	10.82	10.85	10.88	10.88	10.86	10.85	10.81	12.67	14.78	13.43	12.34	14.84	12.98	12.07	12.16	12.12	11.14	10.01
2/17/2021	MST	10.01	10.01	10.01	10.01	10.01	10.01	10.83	10.01	10.01	10.01	10.01	10.01	10.01	10.01	10.01	10.01	10.01	11.16	12.52	12.43	12.00	10.01	10.01	10.01
2/18/2021	MST	10.01	10.01	10.01	10.01	10.01	10.01	12.32	10.46	10.01	10.55	11.55	13.20	11.67	10.01	10.01	11.95	10.01	11.96	12.95	12.98	12.55	11.02	11.87	10.35
2/19/2021	MST	12.34	12.15	12.01	10.86	10.01	11.99	13.16	10.99	10.01	13.61	13.26	12.74	12.74	12.74	14.12	14.39	12.00	13.98	13.34	13.43	13.22	12.51	10.41	12.00
2/20/2021	MST	12.00	14.06	14.10	14.07	13.92	14.00	14.19	13.73	13.58	18.24	18.23	18.06	18.13	18.29	14.28	14.28	14.28	14.30	14.29	14.29	14.27	14.27	14.28	14.27
2/21/2021	MST	14.28	14.29	14.29	14.28	14.29	14.30	14.30	14.29	14.28	14.27	14.28	14.28	14.27	14.27	14.29	14.31	14.27	14.28	14.30	14.30	14.30	14.29	14.28	14.30
2/22/2021	MST	14.29	14.29	14.29	14.29	14.30	14.29	14.32	14.31	20.02	16.15	16.38	16.76	17.26	16.14	15.90	15.40	15.02	16.73	15.89	15.90	15.49	14.75	14.32	14.86
2/23/2021	MST	14.68	15.90	16.04	16.36	15.26	16.77	15.05	14.39	15.26	20.72	21.61	20.89	22.95	23.61	22.98	23.10	22.20	22.67	23.81	23.56	23.57	22.19	20.97	21.50
2/24/2021	MST	21.23	23.85	23.15	23.60	24.24	27.11	24.52	23.51	21.45	21.31	21.64	22.86	23.09	22.80	23.95	24.27	23.36	23.58	24.29	23.88	22.89	22.18	22.77	24.02
2/25/2021	MST	24.07	23.06	22.64	22.82	23.35	24.38	23.88	23.65	22.58	22.44	22.65	23.47	23.17	22.01	22.73	23.27	22.74	22.21	23.53	23.57	23.02	21.56	23.45	23.01
2/26/2021	MST	23.02	22.36	22.72	22.47	23.27	22.34	22.31	22.61	22.86	23.42	22.69	27.17	24.35	24.17	22.99	23.64	24.71	23.41	24.11	24.29	23.47	22.61	22.12	21.99
2/27/2021	MST	20.90	21.29	21.04	21.06	21.32	21.96	20.22	21.22	22.67	22.23	22.14	22.90	23.54	24.65	24.56	27.55	23.65	22.49	23.22	23.66	23.22	22.50	23.58	23.44
2/28/2021	MST	22.07	22.33	22.15	22.24	22.32	22.68	22.29	20.65	21.93	21.66	21.64	21.84	21.70	22.04	22.84	23.70	21.37	23.26	24.62	24.72	24.34	23.38	22.11	21.24

SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-21-2606 PUC DOCKET NO. 52195

APPLICATION OF EL PASO	§	BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE
ELECTRIC COMPANY TO CHANGE	§	OF
RATES	§	ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY'S RESPONSE TO FREEPORT-MCMORAN, INC'S SECOND REQUEST FOR INFORMATION QUESTION NOS. FMI 2-1 THROUGH FMI 2-19

<u>FMI 2-8</u>:

Referring to EPE's Response to CEP 6-11, please provide Attachment 1 in Excel format with all formulas and links intact.

RESPONSE:

Per the discussion with counsel for Freeport McMoRan, Inc., El Paso Electric Company is referencing CEP 6-12 in this response. CEP 6-12, Attachment 1, contains all formulas and links intact. There is no additional information responsive to this request.

Preparer:	Pedro Vega	Title:	Senior Accountant – Power Generation
Sponsor:	J Kyle Olson	Title:	Manager – Power Generation Engineering

SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-21-2606 PUC DOCKET NO. 52195

APPLICATION OF EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY TO CHANGE RATES BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY'S RESPONSE TO FREEPORT-MCMORAN, INC'S SECOND REQUEST FOR INFORMATION QUESTION NOS. FMI 2-1 THROUGH FMI 2-19

§ § §

<u>FMI 2-9</u>:

Referring to EPE's Response to CEP 7-15, please provide a detailed description of the changes in "some of the life and net salvage parameters" by FERC account. Please explain why the changes were made.

<u>RESPONSE</u>:

Service life and net salvage estimates are based on analyses that are conducted as of a specific point in time and the expectations of the future. The Depreciation Study in this case, for example, was conducted as of December 31, 2019. The analysis of historic company data that is part of the study is a significant basis for the service life and net salvage estimates that are proposed. As time passes, the factors that impact service life and net salvage analyses will change. For example, plant balances or age of assets will increase, retirement activity will change, in some cases significantly, and cost of removal and gross salvage could change as a relationship of retirements. On a normal basis these changes accumulate, and in some cases, significant changes can occur such as the planned retirement of particular types of assets or the addition of a generating facility. The result is that service life and net salvage analyses performed at subsequent points in time typically yield different results. As indicated in the Depreciation Study for this case (on page IV-2), the results of the study can be considered "reasonable for a period of three to five years."

The estimates proposed in the Depreciation Study conducted as of December 31, 2014 and the updated study for select facilities as of December 31, 2016, as compared to those proposed in the instant case are provided in the attached schedule. For many accounts, the proposed parameters are the same as those previously proposed. The differences that do occur between estimates are often relatively small net salvage percentages or slightly different type curve and/or average service life combinations. Slight changes are anticipated given the amount of elapsed time between studies and the change in plant activity that has occurred.

Preparer:	John J. Spanos	Title:	President, Gannett Fleming Valuation and Rate Consultants, LLC
Sponsor:	John J. Spanos	Title:	President, Gannett Fleming Valuation and Rate Consultants, LLC

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY

COMPARISON OF PROPOSED SURVIVOR CURVE AND NET SALVAGE PERCENT FROM CURRENT AND MOST RECENTLY FILED DEPRECIATION STUDIES

Page 1 o	of 3

		2019 STUDY ESTIMATES		MOST RECENTLY FILED ESTIMA			
	DEPRECIABLE GROUP	SURVIVOR CURVE		NET SALVAGE PERCENT	SURVIVOR CURVE	_	NET SALVAGE PERCENT
STEAM							
311.00		100 82	*	(0)	100 82 5	*	(5)
		100-R3	*	(9)	100-52.5	*	(5)
		100-R3	*	(9)	100-32.5	*	(5)
		100-R3	*	(9)	100-52.5	*	(5)
	NEWMAN LINIT 1	100-R3	*	(6)	100-82.5	*	(5)
	NEWMAN UNIT 2	100-R3	*	(6)	100-82.5	*	(5)
	NEWMAN UNIT 3	100-R3	*	(6)	100-S2.5	*	(5)
	NEWMAN UNIT 4	100-R3	*	(6)	100-S2.5	*	(5)
	NEWMAN UNIT 5	100-R3	*	(6)	100-S2.5	*	(5)
	NEWMAN COMMON	100-R3	*	(6)	100-S2.5	*	(5)
312.00	BOILER PLANT EQUIPMENT						
	RIO GRANDE UNIT 6	70-R4	*	(9)	80-R4	*	(10)
	RIO GRANDE UNIT 7	70-R4	*	(9)	80-R4	*	(10)
	RIO GRANDE UNIT 8	70-R4	*	(9)	80-R4	*	(10)
	RIO GRANDE COMMON	70-R4	*	(9)	80-R4	*	(10)
	NEWMAN UNIT 1	70-R4	*	(6)	80-R4	*	(10)
	NEWMAN UNIT 2	70-R4	*	(6)	80-R4	*	(10)
	NEWMAN UNIT 3	70-R4	*	(6)	80-R4	*	(10)
	NEWMAN UNIT 4	70-R4	*	(6)	80-R4	*	(10)
	NEWMAN UNIT 5	70-R4	*	(6)	80-R4	*	(10)
	NEWMAN COMMON	70-R4	*	(6)	80-R4	*	(10)
313.00	ENGINES AND ENGINE-DRIVEN GENERATORS						
	NEWMAN UNIT 1	55-R2.5	*	0	50-R3	*	(10)
	NEWMAN UNIT 4	55-R2.5	*	0	50-R3	*	(10)
	NEWMAN UNIT 5	55-R2.5	*	0	50-R3	*	(10)
	TOTAL ACCOUNT 313						
314.00	TURBOGENERATOR UNITS						
	RIO GRANDE UNIT 6	75-R2.5	*	(9)	75-S3	*	(5)
	RIO GRANDE UNIT 7	75-R2.5	*	(9)	75-S3	*	(5)
	RIO GRANDE UNIT 8	75-R2.5	*	(9)	75-S3	*	(5)
	NEWMAN UNIT 1	75-R2.5	*	(6)	75-S3	*	(5)
	NEWMAN UNIT 2	75-R2.5	*	(6)	75-S3	*	(5)
	NEWMAN UNIT 3	75-R2.5	*	(6)	75-S3	*	(5)
	NEWMAN UNIT 4	75-R2.5	*	(6)	75-S3	*	(5)
	NEWMAN UNIT 5	75-R2.5	*	(6)	75-S3	*	(5)
	NEWMAN COMMON	75-R2.5	*	(6)	75-S3	*	(5)
315.00	ACCESSORY ELECTRIC EQUIPMENT						
	RIO GRANDE UNIT 6	65-S4	*	(9)	65-R4	*	0
	RIO GRANDE UNIT 7	65-S4	*	(9)	65-R4	*	0
	RIO GRANDE UNIT 8	65-S4	*	(9)	65-R4	*	0
	NEWMAN UNIT 1	65-S4	*	(6)	65-R4	*	0
	NEWMAN UNIT 2	65-S4	*	(6)	65-R4	*	0
	NEWMAN UNIT 3	65-S4	*	(6)	65-R4	*	0
	NEWMAN UNIT 4	65-S4	*	(6)	65-R4	*	0
	NEWMAN UNIT 5	65-S4	*	(6)	65-R4	*	0
	NEWMAN COMMON	65-S4	*	(6)	65-R4	*	0
316.00	MISCELLANEOUS POWER PLANT EQUIPMENT	70.00.5					
	RIO GRANDE UNIT 6	70-S2.5	*	(9)	65-R3		0
	RIO GRANDE UNIT 7	70-S2.5	Ĵ	(9)	65-R3	*	0
	RIO GRANDE UNIT 8	/0-S2.5	*	(9)	65-R3	*	U Q
	RIO GRANDE COMMON	70-S2.5	*	(9)	65-R3	*	0
	NEVVMAN UNIT 1	70-S2.5	*	(6)	65-R3	*	0
	NEWMAN UNIT 2	70-S2.5	*	(6)	65-R3	*	0
	NEWMAN UNIT 3	70-S2.5	*	(6)	65-R3	*	0
	NEWMAN UNIT 4	70-S2.5	*	(6)	65-R3	*	0
	NEWMAN UNIT 5	70-S2.5	*	(6)	65-R3	*	0
	NEWMAN ZERO LIQUID DISCHARGE	70-S2.5	*	(6)	65-R3	*	0
	NEWMAN COMMON	70-S2.5	*	(6)	65-R3	*	0

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY

SOAH Docket No. 473-21-2606 PUC Docket No. 52195 FMI's 1st, Q. No. FMI 1-21 Attachment 1 ENT Page 2 of 3

COMPARISON OF PROPOSED SURVIVOR CURVE AND NET SALVAGE PERCENT FROM CURRENT AND MOST RECENTLY FILED DEPRECIATION STUDIES

	2019 STUD	2019 STUDY ESTIMATES		FILED ESTIMATES
	SURVIVOR	NET SALVAGE	SURVIVOR	NET SALVAGE
DEPRECIABLE GROUP	CURVE	PERCENT	CURVE	PERCENT
GAS TURBINE PLANT				
341.00 STRUCTURES AND IMPROVEMENTS				
COPPER POWER STATION	60-R4	* (8)	60-S2.5 *	0
RIO GRANDE UNIT 9	60-R4	* (6)	60-S2.5 *	0
MONTANA POWER STATION UNIT 1	60-R4	* (6)	60-S2.5 *	(5)
MONTANA POWER STATION UNIT 2	60-R4	* (6)	60-S2.5 *	(5)
MONTANA POWER STATION UNIT 3	60-R4	* (6)	60-S2.5 *	(5)
MONTANA POWER STATION UNIT 4	60-R4	* (6)	60-S2.5 *	(5)
MONTANA POWER STATION COMMON	60-R4	* (7)	60-S2.5 *	(5)
SOLAR FACILITIES	35-S2	* 0	50-R3 *	0 0
342.00 FUEL HOLDERS				
COPPER POWER STATION	50-R4	* (8)	45-R4 *	0
RIO GRANDE UNIT 9	50-R4	* (6)	45-R4 *	0
MONTANA POWER STATION COMMON	50-R4	* (7)	45-R4 *	0
343.00 PRIME MOVERS				
RIO GRANDE UNIT 9	40-S1	* (6)	40-S1 *	0
MONTANA POWER STATION UNIT 1	40-S1	* (6)	40-S0.5 *	(5)
MONTANA POWER STATION UNIT 2	40-S1	* (6)	40-S0.5 *	(5)
MONTANA POWER STATION UNIT 3	40-S1	* (6)	40-S0.5 *	(5)
MONTANA POWER STATION UNIT 4	40-S1	* (6)	40-80.5 *	(5)
MONTANA POWER STATION COMMON	40-S1	* (7)	40-S0.5 *	(5)
344.00 GENERATORS				
COPPER POWER STATION	45-S3	* (8)	40-R3 *	0
RIO GRANDE UNIT 9	45-S3	* (6)	40-R3 *	0
MONTANA POWER STATION UNIT 1	45-S3	* (6)	45-R3 *	(5)
MONTANA POWER STATION UNIT 2	45-53	* (6)	45-R3 *	(5)
MONTANA POWER STATION UNIT 3	45-83	* (6)	45-R3 *	(5)
	45-83	* (6)	45-R3 *	(5)
	45-00	* (7)	30 52 5 *	(3)
SOLAR FACILITIES	25-82.5	* 0	30-32.5	0
	15-91 5	* (8)	15-915 *	0
	45-51.5	* (6)	45-51.5	0
MONTANA DOWED STATION UNIT 1	45-51.5	(0) * (6)	45-51.5	
	45-51.5	(0) * (6)	45-62.5	(3)
MONTANA POWER STATION UNIT 2	45-51.5	(0)	45-R2.5	(3)
MONTANA POWER STATION UNIT 3	45-51.5	(6)	45-R2.5	(3)
MONTANA POWER STATION UNIT 4	45-S1.5	(6)	45-R2.5	(3)
MONTANA POWER STATION COMMON	45-S1.5	* (7)	45-R2.5 *	(3)
SOLAR FACILITIES	25-S2.5	* 0	40-R2.5 *	0
346.00 MISCELLANEOUS POWER PLANT EQUIPMENT			17.00	_
COPPER POWER STATION	50-R4	* (8)	45-S3 *	0
RIO GRANDE UNIT 9	50-R4	* (6)	45-S3 *	0
MONTANA POWER STATION UNIT 1	50-R4	* (6)	45-S3 *	(3)
MONTANA POWER STATION UNIT 2	50-R4	* (6)	45-S3 *	(3)
MONTANA POWER STATION UNIT 3	50-R4	* (6)	45-S3 *	(3)
MONTANA POWER STATION UNIT 4	50-R4	* (6)	45-S3 *	(3)
MONTANA POWER STATION COMMON	50-R4	* (7)	45-S3 *	(2)
TRANSMISSION PLANT				
350.10 LAND RIGHTS	80-R3	0	75-R3	0
350.10 LAND RIGHTS - ISLETA	SQUARE	* 0		
352.00 STRUCTURES AND IMPROVEMENTS	75-R4	(5)	65-R4	(5)
353.00 STATION EQUIPMENT	50-R4	(5)	48-R4	(2)
354.00 STEEL TOWERS AND FIXTURES	75-R4	(10)	70-R4	(10)
355.00 WOOD AND STEEL POLES	55-63	(20)	50-83	(25)
	60-R5	(15)	60-R5	(10)
359.00 ROADS AND TRAILS	70_83	0	65-P3	0
	10-110	~	00-110	~

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY

SOAH Docket No. 473-21-2606 PUC Docket No. 52195 FMI's 1st, Q. No. FMI 1-21 Attachment 1 ENT Page 3 of 3

COMPARISON OF PROPOSED SURVIVOR CURVE AND NET SALVAGE PERCENT FROM CURRENT AND MOST RECENTLY FILED DEPRECIATION STUDIES

		2019 STUDY ESTIMATES		MOST RECENTLY	MOST RECENTLY FILED ESTIMATES		
		SURVIVOR	NET SALVAG	E SURVIVOR	NET SALVAGE		
	DEPRECIABLE GROUP	CURVE	PERCENT	CURVE	PERCENT		
DISTRIE	BUTION PLANT						
360.10	LAND RIGHTS	70-R4	0	70-R4	0		
361.00	STRUCTURES AND IMPROVEMENTS	70-R3	(5)	65-R3	(5)		
362.00	STATION EQUIPMENT	65-R2	(5)	60-R2	(2)		
364.00	POLES, TOWERS AND FIXTURES	45-R3	(30)	45-R3	(20)		
365.00	OVERHEAD CONDUCTORS AND DEVICES	48-R2.5	(15)	48-R2.5	(15)		
366.00	UNDERGROUND CONDUIT	65-R4	(5)	57-R4	(10)		
367.00	UNDERGROUND CONDUCTORS AND DEVICES	41-S2	(20)	40-R3	(15)		
368.00	LINE TRANSFORMERS	52-R3	(15)	55-R3	(5)		
369.00	SERVICES	65-S3	(15)	60-S3	(15)		
370.00	METERS	35-R2.5	(15)	31-R2	(10)		
371.00	INSTALLATIONS ON CUSTOMERS' PREMISES	35-R2	(15)	36-R2	(15)		
373.00	STREET LIGHTING AND SIGNAL SYSTEMS	55-R3	(20)	50-R3	(15)		
GENER	AL PLANT						
390.00	STRUCTURES AND IMPROVEMENTS						
	SYSTEMS OPERATIONS BUILDING	80-R2.5	* 0	80-R2.5 *	° 0		
	STANTON TOWER	80-R2.5	* 0	80-R2.5 *	° 0		
	EASTSIDE OPERATIONS CENTER	80-R2.5	* 0	80-R2.5 *	° 0		
	OTHER STRUCTURES	40-S0.5	0	40-S0.5	0		
391.00	OFFICE FURNITURE AND EQUIPMENT	20-SQ	0	20-SQ	0		
393.00	STORES EQUIPMENT	25-SQ	0	25-SQ	0		
394.00	TOOLS, SHOP AND GARAGE EQUIPMENT	25-SQ	0	25-SQ	0		
395.00	LABORATORY EQUIPMENT	15-SQ	0	15-SQ	0		
396.00	POWER OPERATED EQUIPMENT	21-R2.5	15	22-R2.5	5		
397.00	COMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT	15-SQ	0	15-SQ	0		
398.00	MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT	15-SQ	0	15-SQ	0		

* INTERIM SURVIVOR CURVES USED. EACH LOCATION HAS A UNIQUE PROBABLE RETIREMENT DATE.
APPLICATION OF EL PASO§BEFORE THE STATE OFFICEELECTRIC COMPANY TO CHANGE§OFRATES§ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY'S RESPONSE TO FREEPORT-MCMORAN, INC'S SECOND REQUEST FOR INFORMATION QUESTION NOS. FMI 2-1 THROUGH FMI 2-19

FMI 2-10:

Referring to EPE's Response to CEP 9-17, please explain in detail why EPE changed its allocation of peaking generation facilities and production O&M expenses.

RESPONSE:

Please see El Paso Electric Company's responses to UTEP 1-2, FMI 1-17, and FMI 2-16.

Preparer:	Adrian Hernandez	Title:	Senior Rate Analyst – Rates
Sponsor:	Adrian Hernandez	Title:	Senior Rate Analyst – Rates

APPLICATION OF EL PASO	§	BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE
ELECTRIC COMPANY TO CHANGE	§	OF
RATES	§	ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY'S RESPONSE TO FREEPORT-MCMORAN, INC'S SECOND REQUEST FOR INFORMATION QUESTION NOS. FMI 2-1 THROUGH FMI 2-19

FMI 2-11:

Referring to EPE's Response to VS 1-9, please provide Attachment 1 in Excel format with all formulas and links intact.

RESPONSE:

El Paso Electric Company's ("EPE") Response to VS 1-9, Attachment 1, was provided in Excel format with all formulas and links intact and filed as such in EPE's Response to Vinton Steel's First Set of Requests for Information.

Several of the hardcoded values are from documents filed in the dockets indicated in the footnotes. Specifically, these values are in columns labeled:

- DN 46831, Base and Fuel
- DN 49148, Base
- DN 49395, Base
- DN 51348, Base

None of these hardcoded values are subject to change as they are presented in VS 1-9, Attachment 1, as filed in those now closed dockets.

Preparer:	Manuel Carrasco	Title:	Manager – Rate Research
Sponsor:	Manuel Carrasco	Title:	Manager – Rate Research

APPLICATION OF EL PASO	ş	BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE
ELECTRIC COMPANY TO CHANGE	§	OF
RATES	§	ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY'S RESPONSE TO FREEPORT-MCMORAN, INC'S SECOND REQUEST FOR INFORMATION QUESTION NOS. FMI 2-1 THROUGH FMI 2-19

<u>FMI 2-12</u>:

Referring to the sheet "Rate Class Allocation" within EPE's Regulatory Case Working Model, explain each of the following items and how they are related to either production plant or peaking plant:

- a. 303000-COPP-MISC INTANG PLT: PLANT.
- b. 303000-NWJT-MISC INTANG PLT: PLANT.
- c. 303000-NWU5-MISC INTANG PLT: PLANT.
- d. 920000-COPP-A&G SALARIES.
- e. 920000-COPP-A&G SALARIES-LABOR.
- f. 920000-MPSCO-A&G SALARIES-LABOR.
- g. 920000-MPSU1-A&G SALARIES-LABOR.
- h. 920000-MPSU2-A&G SALARIES-LABOR.
- i. 920000-MPSU3-A&G SALARIES-LABOR.
- j. 920000-MPSU4-A&G SALARIES-LABOR.
- k. 921000-COPP-OFFICE SUPPLIES & EXP.
- 1. 921000-MPSCO-OFFICE SUPPLIES & EXP.
- m. 921000-MPSU1-OFFICE SUPPLIES & EXP.
- n. 921000-MPSU2-OFFICE SUPPLIES & EXP.
- o. 921000-MPSU3-OFFICE SUPPLIES & EXP.
- p. 921000-MPSU4-OFFICE SUPPLIES & EXP.
- q. 925000-COPP-INJURIES AND DAMAGES.
- r. 925000-MPSCO-INJURIES AND DAMAGES.
- s. 925000-MPSJT-INJURIES AND DAMAGES.
- t. 925000-MPSU1-INJURIES AND DAMAGES.
- u. 925000-MPSU2-INJURIES AND DAMAGES.

SOAH Docket No. 473-21-2606 PUC Docket No. 52195 FMI's 2nd, Q. No. FMI 2-12 Page 2 of 3

- v. 925000-MPSU3-INJURIES AND DAMAGES.
- w. 925000-MPSU4-INJURIES AND DAMAGES.
- x. 925000-RGU9-INJURIES AND DAMAGES.
- y. 926000-COPP-PENSIONS & BEN.
- z. 926000-MPSCO-PENSIONS & BEN.
- aa. 926000-MPSJT-PENSIONS & BEN.
- bb. 926000-MPSU1-PENSIONS & BEN.
- cc. 926000-MPSU2-PENSIONS & BEN.
- dd. 926000-MPSU3-PENSIONS & BEN.
- ee. 926000-MPSU4-PENSIONS & BEN.
- ff. 926000-RGU9-PENSIONS & BEN.
- gg. 931000-MPSCO-RENTS.
- hh. 935000-MPSCO-MAINT OF GEN PLT.
- ii. 935000-MPSCO-MAINT OF GEN PLT-LABOR.

RESPONSE:

The regulatory accounts ("reg accounts") listed above were created based on information recorded in El Paso Electric Company's ("EPE") accounting system (refer to Adrian Hernandez's direct testimony page 5, lines 21 through 24). Except for b. and c. relating to the Newman Plant, refer to Adrian Hernandez's direct testimony (page 11, lines 6 through 8) for the generating facilities that EPE identifies as peaking units. Based on the reg account descriptions listed above, EPE determined the following:

- a. Related to Copper.
- b. Related to Newman joint costs.
- c. Related to Newman Unit 5.
- d. Related to Copper.
- e. Related to Copper.
- f. Related to Montana Power Station common costs.
- g. Related to Montana Power Station Unit 1.
- h. Related to Montana Power Station Unit 2.
- i. Related to Montana Power Station Unit 3.
- j. Related to Montana Power Station Unit 4.
- k. Related to Copper.

SOAH Docket No. 473-21-2606 PUC Docket No. 52195 FMI's 2nd, Q. No. FMI 2-12 Page 3 of 3

- 1. Related to Montana Power Station common costs.
- m. Related to Montana Power Station Unit 1.
- n. Related to Montana Power Station Unit 2.
- o. Related to Montana Power Station Unit 3.
- p. Related to Montana Power Station Unit 4.
- q. Related to Copper.
- r. Related to Montana Power Station common costs.
- s. Related to Montana Power Station joint costs.
- t. Related to Montana Power Station Unit 1.
- u. Related to Montana Power Station Unit 2.
- v. Related to Montana Power Station Unit 3.
- w. Related to Montana Power Station Unit 4.
- x. Related to Rio Grande Unit 9.
- y. Related to Copper.
- z. Related to Montana Power Station common costs.
- aa. Related to Montana Power Station joint costs.
- bb. Related to Montana Power Station Unit 1.
- cc. Related to Montana Power Station Unit 2.
- dd. Related to Montana Power Station Unit 3.
- ee. Related to Montana Power Station Unit 4.
- ff. Related to Rio Grande Unit 9.
- gg. Related to Montana Power Station common costs.
- hh. Related to Montana Power Station common costs.
- ii. Related to Montana Power Station common costs.

Preparer:	Adrian Hernandez	Title:	Senior Rate Analyst – Rates
Sponsor:	Adrian Hernandez	Title:	Senior Rate Analyst – Rates

APPLICATION OF EL PASO	§	BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE
ELECTRIC COMPANY TO CHANGE	§	OF
RATES	§	ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY'S RESPONSE TO FREEPORT-MCMORAN, INC'S SECOND REQUEST FOR INFORMATION QUESTION NOS. FMI 2-1 THROUGH FMI 2-19

FMI 2-13:

Explain how EPE determined the portion of Administration and General expenses that are related to transmission and distribution.

<u>RESPONSE</u>:

El Paso Electric Company ("EPE") assigns allocators to Administration and General ("A&G") expenses based on the regulatory account ("Reg Account") descriptions. Therefore, EPE assigned distribution or transmission allocators to the Reg Accounts listed below:

Reg Account	Allocator
920000-TRANS-A&G SALARIES	TRANLABOR
920000-TRANS-A&G SALARIES-LABOR	TRANLABOR
921000-TRANS-OFFICE SUPPLIES & EXP	TRANLABOR
925000-DISTR-INJURIES AND DAMAGES	DISTLABOR
925000-TRANS-INJURIES AND DAMAGES	TRANLABOR
926000-DISTR-PENSIONS & BEN	DISTLABOR
926000-TRANS-PENSIONS & BEN	TRANLABOR
931000-TRANS-RENTS	TRANLABOR
935000-TRANS-MAINT OF GEN PLT	TRANLABOR
935000-TRANS-MAINT OF GEN PLT-LABOR	TRANLABOR

The remaining A&G accounts are allocated to non-transmission and distribution functions, or they are not directly related to a function. Therefore, they are allocated with the LABOR allocator, which is a dynamic allocator that spreads the costs based on the allocation of the functional payroll operation and maintenance accounts (see EPE witness Adrian Hernandez's direct testimony page 11, line 31, through page 12, line 9).

Preparer:	Adrian Hernandez	Title:	Senior Rate Analyst – Rates
Sponsor:	Adrian Hernandez	Title:	Senior Rate Analyst – Rates

APPLICATION OF EL PASO	§	BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE
ELECTRIC COMPANY TO CHANGE	§	OF
RATES	§	ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY'S RESPONSE TO FREEPORT-MCMORAN, INC'S SECOND REQUEST FOR INFORMATION QUESTION NOS. FMI 2-1 THROUGH FMI 2-19

<u>FMI 2-14</u>:

Referring to the sheet "Rate Class Allocation" within EPE's Regulatory Case Working Model, explain the specific nature each of the expenses listed below.

- a. 555000 ADJ ONLY-NON RECON TX.
- b. 555000-PROD-PURCHASED POWER.
- c. 555000-TEXAS-PURCHASED POWER.

RESPONSE:

Refer to Workpaper A-3, Adjustment No. 2.

- a. This is an adjustment account that is used for assigning the non-reconcilable purchased power costs that are not recoverable through El Paso Electric Company's ("EPE") fuel factor (refer to Workpaper A-3, Adjustment No. 2).
- b. This account is used to allocate purchased power expenses between jurisdictions.
- c. This account is used for purchased power expenses related to Texas such as non-firm purchased power from Texas distributed generation customers and the Texas share of Newman Solar purchased power.

Note that the reconcilable fuel and purchased power expenses are offset with fuel revenues in the cost of service and are not a part of EPE's proposed base rates.

Preparer:	Adrian Hernandez	Title:	Senior Rate Analyst – Rates
Sponsor:	Adrian Hernandez Jennifer Borden	Title:	Senior Rate Analyst – Rates Director – Regulatory Accounting

APPLICATION OF EL PASO	§	BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE
ELECTRIC COMPANY TO CHANGE	§	OF
RATES	§	ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY'S RESPONSE TO FREEPORT-MCMORAN, INC'S SECOND REQUEST FOR INFORMATION QUESTION NOS. FMI 2-1 THROUGH FMI 2-19

<u>FMI 2-15</u>:

Referring to the sheet "Rate Class Allocation" within EPE's Regulatory Case Working Model, identify the specific generating units associated with Other Production Plant.

RESPONSE:

Refer to the direct testimony of Adrian Hernandez (page 9, lines 10 through 19) for the list of El Paso Electric Company owned solar facilities included in Other Production Plant.

Refer to the direct testimony of Adrian Hernandez (page 11, lines 2 through 8) for the list of peaking generation facilities included in Other Production Plant.

Preparer:	Adrian Hernandez	Title:	Senior Rate Analyst – Rates
Sponsor:	Adrian Hernandez	Title:	Senior Rate Analyst – Rates

APPLICATION OF EL PASO	§	BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE
ELECTRIC COMPANY TO CHANGE	§	OF
RATES	§	ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY'S RESPONSE TO FREEPORT-MCMORAN, INC'S SECOND REQUEST FOR INFORMATION QUESTION NOS. FMI 2-1 THROUGH FMI 2-19

FMI 2-16:

State the rationale for classifying the expenses charged to each of the following FERC Accounts to Energy and whether the Public Utility Commission of Texas has previously approved said classification in a prior litigated rate case:

- a. 502.
- b. 505.
- c. 513.
- d. 514.
- e. 519.
- f. 520.
- g. 523.
- h. 530.
- i. 531.
- j. 532.

RESPONSE:

El Paso Electric Company ("EPE") uses cost causation when classifying production operation and maintenance ("O&M") expenses as either energy or demand. Refer to Attachment 1 provided in EPE's response to FMI 1-17 for the classification of each account listed above from the NARUC Cost Allocation Manual. EPE's recent rate cases have been "black box" settlements and the Commission has not specifically approved EPE's classification rationale.

Preparer:	Adrian Hernandez	Title:	Senior Rate Analyst – Rates
Sponsor:	Adrian Hernandez	Title:	Senior Rate Analyst – Rates

APPLICATION OF EL PASO§BEFORE THE STATE OFFICEELECTRIC COMPANY TO CHANGE§OFRATES§ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY'S RESPONSE TO FREEPORT-MCMORAN, INC'S SECOND REQUEST FOR INFORMATION QUESTION NOS. FMI 2-1 THROUGH FMI 2-19

FMI 2-17:

Provide workpapers showing the derivation of the MAJ ACCT-REPS allocation factors.

RESPONSE:

The MAJ_ACCT_REPS allocation factor is determined using the annual customer count of all non-residential rate classes. See line 29 in errata Schedule P-7 (filed on October 1, 2021, and also filed as CEP 12-23, Attachment 1).

Preparer:	Adrian Hernandez	Title:	Senior Rate Analyst – Rates
Sponsor:	Adrian Hernandez	Title:	Senior Rate Analyst – Rates

APPLICATION OF EL PASO	§	BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE
ELECTRIC COMPANY TO CHANGE	§	OF
RATES	§	ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY'S RESPONSE TO FREEPORT-MCMORAN, INC'S SECOND REQUEST FOR INFORMATION QUESTION NOS. FMI 2-1 THROUGH FMI 2-19

FMI 2-18:

State the specific roles and responsibilities of the personnel that provide Major Account Representative services and how EPE determined the expenses associated with Major Account Representatives.

RESPONSE:

Please refer to El Paso Electric Company's response to OPUC 7-2.

Preparer:	Walter	Guerrero
-----------	--------	----------

Title: Supervisor - Commercial Services

Sponsor: James Schichtl

Title: Vice President – Regulatory and Governmental Affairs

APPLICATION OF EL PASO	§	BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE
ELECTRIC COMPANY TO CHANGE	§	OF
RATES	§	ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY'S RESPONSE TO FREEPORT-MCMORAN, INC'S SECOND REQUEST FOR INFORMATION QUESTION NOS. FMI 2-1 THROUGH FMI 2-19

<u>FMI 2-19</u>:

State whether all of EPE's generating units and the generation acquired through purchased power agreements are controlled and dispatched as an integrated system. If that is not the case, explain how EPE controls and dispatches each generating resource.

RESPONSE:

El Paso Electric Company ("EPE") does perform its dispatch as a total system for all of the generation resources owned by EPE and those contracted via Purchased Power Agreement. It should be noted that Palo Verde nuclear generation is must take when operating.

Preparer:	Omar Gallegos	Title:	Sr. Director – Resource Planning Management
Sponsor:	David C. Hawkins	Title:	Vice President – Strategy & Sustainability

The following files are not convertible:

	FMI	02-01_Attachment 01 2019-
Chaparral.x1sx		00 01 Attackment 00 0010 Hatak alam
	EMI	02-01_Attachment 02_2019-Hatch.xisx
Holloman vlev	ГЫТ	02-01_Actachillent 03 2019-
norrowdh.vrsv	FMT	02-01 Attachment 04 2019-Las
Cruces.xlsx		
	FMI	02-01 Attachment 05 2019-Macho.xlsx
	FMI	02-01 Attachment 06 2019-
Montana.xlsx		_
	FMI	02-01_Attachment 07 2019-
Newman.xlsx		
	ΕΜΤ	02-01_Attachment 08 2019-
Roadfullief.xlsx	FMT	02-01 Attachment 09 2020-
Chaparral.xlsx	LHT	02 01_Accachinent 09 2020
onaparrar mron	FMI	02-01 Attachment 10 2020-Hatch.xlsx
	FMI	02-01 Attachment 11 2020-
Holloman.xlsx		_
	FMI	02-01_Attachment 12 2020-Las
Cruces.xlsx		
	FMI	02-01_Attachment 13 2020-Macho.xlsx
Montono vilav	ΡΜΤ	02-01_Attachment 14 2020-
Montana.xisx	гмт	02-01 Attachmont 15 2020-
Newman.xlsx	L M T	02 01_Accachinent 13 2020
	FMI	02-01 Attachment 16 2020-
Roadrunner.xlsx		—
	FMI	02-01_Attachment 17 Projected
Outputs.xlsx		
	FMI	02-02_Attachment 1.xlsx
	FMI	02-03_Attachment_06 .xlsx
	FMI	02-04 Attachment I.XISX
	ГМТ ГМТ	02-05 Attachment $03 - GM125.XISX$
	FMT	02-05 Attachment $05 - GM130.xlsx$
	FMI	02-05 Attachment 06 - GM133.xlsx
	FMI	02-05 Attachment 09 - GN209.xlsx
	FMI	02-05 Attachment 10 - GN210.xlsx
	FMI	02-05_Attachment 11 - GN211.xlsx
	FMI	02-05_Attachment 12 - GN217.xlsx
	FMI	02-05_Attachment 13 - GN218.xlsx
	FMI	02-05 Attachment 14 - GN219.xlsx
	EMI	02-05_Attachment 15 - GN221.XISX
	FMT	02-05 Attachment 17 - GN228.xlsx
	FMI	02-05 Attachment 18 - GN232.xlsx
	FMI	02-05 Attachment 19 - GN238.xlsx
	FMI	02-05_Attachment 20 - GN263.xlsx
	FMI	02-05_Attachment 21 - GN264.xlsx
	FMI	02-05_Attachment 22 - GR165.xlsx
	FMI	02-05_Attachment 23 - GR174.xlsx

	FMI	02-05_Attachment 24 - GR175.xlsx
	FMI	02-05_Attachment 25 - GR176.xlsx
	FMI	02-05_Attachment 26 - GR177.xlsx
	FMI	02-05_Attachment 27 - GR180.xlsx
	FMI	02-07 Attachment 1 - February 2021
Purchases Detail.xlsx		
	FMI	02-07_Attachment 2 - February 2021
Incremental Cost.xlsx		
	FMI	02-09_Attachment.xlsx

Please see the ZIP file for this Filing on the PUC Interchange in order to access these files.

Contact centralrecords@puc.texas.gov if you have any questions.