

# Filing Receipt

Received - 2022-01-28 12:37:38 PM Control Number - 51224 ItemNumber - 130 COMPLAINT OF JOHN BLALOCK AGAINST MERCY WATER SUPPLY CORPORATION

PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF TEXAS

## LETTER TO THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

## TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

This letter is to inform the Public Utility Commission of Texas of actions being taken by Mercy Water Supply Corporation, that they claim are in line with the final order filed on the 19<sup>th</sup> day of November of 2021. This order was appealed by myself, John Blalock, on the 22<sup>nd</sup> day of December of 2021. On the 2<sup>nd</sup> day of December of 2021, a memo was filed by the Public Utility Commission of Texas stating that none of the Commissioners voted to add it for a rehearing. On the 3<sup>rd</sup> day of December of 2021, I filed a letter and Notice of Claim explaining I asked filed a Notice of Appeal, not a Motion for Rehearing. Since then, there has been no communication from the Public Utility Commission of Texas, except from Mr. Justin Adkins that he received the letter from the 3<sup>rd</sup> of December.

At this time, I am still waiting on the Public Utility Commission of Texas to contact me concerning my appeal being submitted to the proper court for the appeal. I am also awaiting a call from the Attorney General's office to discuss my claims against the Public Utility Commission of Texas, by extension the State. So, at this time, I am under the impression that the Public Utility Commission of Texas is not properly following protocol in any situation.

I am also sending this letter as I have attempted to include Mr. Adkins in the emails sent to Mercy Water Supply Corporation's attorneys, so that the Public Utility Commission of Texas was informed. I then received emails stating that Mr. Adkins' email could not receive emails from the email that has been used for the entirety of this case. PDF's of the emails will be submitted with this letter.

## RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED BY,

/s/ John Blalock

Cell: (281) 659-5774

Email: allieblalock97@gmail.com

Address: 1611 Bowen Loop, Cleveland, Texas 77328



# **Disconnection Notice from Mercy Water Supply Corporation**

#### Allie Blalock <allieblalock97@gmail.com>

Wed, Jan 26, 2022 at 1:38 PM

To: John Carlton <john@carltonlawaustin.com>, Grayson McDaniel <grayson@carltonlawaustin.com>, "Adkins, Justin" <justin.adkins@puc.texas.gov>, "Bechter, Phillip W." <pbechter@vorys.com>, "Hand, Kathryn" <khand@vorys.com>

All individuals being sent this email are connected to either the case before the Public Utility Commission or the civil case the Blalock Household has against Mercy Water Supply Corporation. And, as the same wording would have been used, it would have been needless to send more than one email, as all details are not considered private between any entities or persons:

Our household just received a notice of disconnection from Mercy. As my father appealed the order filed on 11/19/2021 under the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure and not as a motion for rehearing under the Commission Rules, it cannot be enacted until the appeal is turned over to the proper court from the Public Utility Commission. And, as the Commission has not properly followed procedure in following the Texas Rules of Appeals, if Mercy continues with the disconnection, despite these facts, the disconnection will be added to the civil case against them. If the Public Utility Commission allows it, it will be added to the list of examples for the violations of Due Process mentioned in the letter filed on 12/03/2021 and in my father's original petition he plans to file with the Federal Courts.



# **Disconnection Notice from Mercy Water Supply Corporation**

#### Allie Blalock <allieblalock97@gmail.com>

Fri, Jan 28, 2022 at 11:48 AM

To: John Carlton <john@carltonlawaustin.com>, Grayson McDaniel <grayson@carltonlawaustin.com>, "Adkins, Justin" <justin.adkins@puc.texas.gov>, "Bechter, Phillip W." <pbechter@vorys.com>, "Hand, Kathryn" <khand@vorys.com>

As it has been over 24 hours, we understand the following: (1) Mercy intends to continue with the disconnection despite the final order being appealed; (2) The Public Utility Commission of Texas will condone this disconnection despite the appeal process not being complete per the Texas Rules of Appeals; (3) All parties understand the consequences if this path is followed to its conclusion.

At this time, my father, John Blalock, is willing to work with Mercy to pay the fees needed. But, at this time, Mercy owes him \$2475.09 USD. That amount is calculated by the following equation:  $f(x)=i(1.15^{t})$ . i=initial amount, which is the initial \$200 USD owed when Mercy claims they canceled Reba lvey's membership. 15% compounded interest (please note, the compounded interest could be higher[30%+] and my father is trying to be reasonable and resolve this issue as soon as possible for all parties). t=time in months, which has been 17.733333 months rounded to 18 months as it has been 17 months and 22 days since Mercy claims they canceled the membership.

If any party has any comments, concerns, or questions, please feel free to reply to this email. And, if it is possible, please respond to this email, marking that you have received both emails, and understand fully what is stated in both. [Quoted text hidden]