



Filing Receipt

Received - 2021-11-10 02:34:33 PM
Control Number - 51023
ItemNumber - 937

November 9, 2021

Steven G Herrera 25130 Toutant Beauregard Boerne, Texas 78006

To The Public Utility Commission of Texas

Chairman Peter Lake Commissioner Lori Cobos Commissioner Will McAdams
Commissioner Jimmy Glotfelty

PUC Docket No. 51023; Application of CPS Energy to Amend Certificate of Convenience
and Necessity for the Scenic Loop 138 KV Transmission Line in Bexar County

Oral Arguments - Proposed Substation Site 7 (25130 Toutant Beauregard)

Dear Commissioners:

I was present at the last P.U.C. open meeting on October 28, 2021. As I mentioned in my oral arguments, Substation location 7 is in a flood plain and if approved by the P.U.C., it is to be constructed across the street from Serene and Scenic Hills Estates which was established in 1972. Our property owners include first responders, police officers, retired military, and blue collared workers. The properties in our neighborhood are 1-acre tracts as opposed to the 10-acre tracts located near Substation 6. Therefore, it will affect more people than if it was to be constructed near 10-acre properties and substation 6.

There is a proposed segment to be located at the entrance of our neighborhood and at the exit, with the 4–6-acre substation located in between these two points. Everyone in our neighborhood will be driving underneath these transmission lines or living near them and will be exposed to the electromagnetic fields on a daily basis. Building substation 7 so close to our homes will be detrimental to our health and our children.

It is important to consider that a new subdivision called Scenic Crest is being built next to Serene and Scenic Hills. Scenic Crest will only increase the number of habitable structures along Toutant Beauregard that this transmission line will affect. These are to be new habitable structures that CPS has not taken into account in their evaluation and calculations for the route Z2 or route Y transmission line.

I applaud Mr. Cleveland for having an open mind to review other routes that were initially proposed such as Route Y which he claimed will make everyone happy. Unfortunately, I wholeheartedly disagree with Mr. Cleveland as Route Y does absolutely nothing for our neighborhood, besides destroying it. That's like proposing Substation 7 to be build next to his home so that everyone else will be happy. It simply makes no sense.

Why did CPS not hold a second open meeting after Substation 7 was proposed? Why would the City of San Antonio through CPS Energy build a substation 200 feet from an Elementary School playground? I know that they have done so before, but should they have built it near a school in the first place? Why would they repeat this mistake? Why would CPS build Substation 7 in a flood plain that we all know will also increase the cost to build? Why would The City of San Antonio build substation 7 next to so many habitable structures and Serene and Scenic Hills Estates when there are other options?

Rustin Tawater from the P.U.C. supports and recommends Substation location 6 and Route P. This is the best option for Serene and Scenic Hills, NISD, and our Historic District. I continue to strongly oppose substation locations 1,2,3,4,5, and 7 as they all lead to the same direction. I pray that you please consider Substation location 6 and Route P and disregard Route Y and Z2.