

Control Number: 51023



Item Number: 724

Addendum StartPage: 0

SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-21-0247 PUC DOCKET NO. 51023

2021 APR - 6 AMIT: 56 APPLICATION OF THE CITY OF SAN § BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE FUEL DOCK ANTONIO ACTING BY AND § THROUGH THE CITY PUBLIC SERVICE BOARD (CPS ENERGY) TO **OF** AMEND ITS CERTIFICATE CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY FOR THE PROPOSED SCENIC LOOP 138-KV TRANSMISSION LINE IN **BEXAR COUNTY** § ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

FIRST ERRATA TO THE REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF MARK TURNBOUGH, PHD ON BEHALF OF BEXAR RANCH, L.P.

BEXAR RANCH, L.P. submits the attached First Errata to the Rebuttal Testimony of Mark Turnbough, PhD on Behalf of Bexar Ranch, L.P. A redlined and clean version of page 13 is attached. Page 46 should now be blank or deleted.

Respectfully submitted, SPIVEY VALENCIANO, PLLC McAllister Plaza – Suite 130 9601 McAllister Freeway San Antonio, Texas 78216 Telephone: (210) 787-4654 Facsimile: (210) 201-8178

By: /s/ Soledad M. Valenciano
James K. Spivey,
ikspivey@svtxlaw.com
State Bar No. 00794680
Soledad M. Valenciano,
State Bar No. 24056463
svalenciano@svtxlaw.com

ATTORNEYS BEXAR RANCH, L.P.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the foregoing document has been filed in the records of Docket 51023 on this 6th day of April, 2021.

/s/ Soledad M. Valenciano Soledad M. Valenciano

(21)

statement that Segment 54 should not be used. The reason I disagree is that most of the
characteristics Mr. Anderson assigns to Segment 54, actually make it a very useful corridor
for bundling infrastructure that is necessary to support rapid subdivision and commercial
development in that area. By placing infrastructure necessary to support the growth in that
community, on Segment 54 and on subsequent Segments on Toutant Beauregard it is
possible to keep other nearby areas from being utilized for infrastructure placement that
are to date relatively unaffected.

Secondly, Mr. Anderson contends that no routes that run close to the elementary school (McAndrew Elementary School) should be approved. With respect to the proximity to Route Z-1 to the McAndrew Elementary School, <u>using measurements provided in CPS discovery responses (Documents 379 and 432)</u>, it should be noted that the centerline of the ROW is approximately 320 feet to the closest edge of the school building. The distance between the ROW to the nearest edge of the playground is 335 feet. The distance from the ROW to the nearest edge of the soccer field / ballfield is approximately 280 feet.

The McAndrew Elementary School site is not atypical of tracts dedicated for or donated to governmental entities in which new housing subdivisions are being developed. It is not uncommon for developers to provide land for schools that is less useful for the primary intended purpose (building houses) of the subdivision. In this instance, the school property is adjacent to a drainage easement, a wastewater treatment plant, and a floodplain.

By way of comparison, I looked at locations of other schools within the Northside Independent School District (NISD) using the NISD website and selected Google Earth images. See **Exhibit Rebuttal MT-1** for photographs and maps for each of the schools referenced below. For each school shown, there is an aerial photograph, a ground level

statement that Segment 54 should not be used. The reason I disagree is that most of the
characteristics Mr. Anderson assigns to Segment 54, actually make it a very useful corridor
for bundling infrastructure that is necessary to support rapid subdivision and commercia
development in that area. By placing infrastructure necessary to support the growth in that
community, on Segment 54 and on subsequent Segments on Toutant Beauregard it is
possible to keep other nearby areas from being utilized for infrastructure placement that
are to date relatively unaffected.

Secondly, Mr. Anderson contends that no routes that run close to the elementary school (McAndrew Elementary School) should be approved. With respect to the proximity to Route Z-1 to the McAndrew Elementary School, using measurements provided in CPS discovery responses (Documents 379 and 432), it should be noted that the centerline of the ROW is approximately 320 feet to the closest edge of the school building. The distance between the ROW to the nearest edge of the playground is 335 feet. The distance from the ROW to the nearest edge of the soccer field / ballfield is approximately 280 feet.

The McAndrew Elementary School site is not atypical of tracts dedicated for or donated to governmental entities in which new housing subdivisions are being developed. It is not uncommon for developers to provide land for schools that is less useful for the primary intended purpose (building houses) of the subdivision. In this instance, the school property is adjacent to a drainage easement, a wastewater treatment plant, and a floodplain.

By way of comparison, I looked at locations of other schools within the Northside Independent School District (NISD) using the NISD website and selected Google Earth images. See **Exhibit Rebuttal MT-1** for photographs and maps for each of the schools referenced below. For each school shown, there is an aerial photograph, a ground level

(Deleted)