

Control Number: 51023



Item Number: 536

Addendum StartPage: 0

	UTY COMMISS	
	RECEIVED	
PUB	FEB 1 9 2021	
	BY	3)
	NG CLERK	

#### SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-21-0247 PUC DOCKET NO. 51023

§

\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$

§

APPLICATION OF THE CITY OF SAN	
ANTONIO ACTING BY AND THROUGH	
THE CITY PUBLIC SERVICE BOARD	
(CPS ENERGY) TO AMEND ITS	
CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE	
AND NECESSITY FOR THE PROPOSED	
SCENIC LOOP 138-KV TRANSMISSION	
LINE IN BEXAR COUNTY	

BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE

OF

#### **ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS**

### DIRECT TESTIMONY OF MICHAEL AND ROSALINDA SIVILLI ON BEHALF OF CLEARWATER RANCH POA

Intervenors, Michael & Rosalinda Sivilli on Behalf of Clearwater Ranch POA ("Clearwater

Ranch") files this Direct Testimony, which is attached. Clearwater Ranch stipulates that this Direct

Testimony can be treated by all parties as if the answers were filed under oath.

Respectfully submitted,

BRAUN & GRESHAM, PLLC

P.O. Box 1148 (Mailing) Dripping Springs, Texas 78620 14101 Hwy. 290 W., Bldg. 1100 (Physical) Austin, Texas 78737 512-894-5426 (telephone) 512-894-3405 (fax)

/s/Patrick L. Reznik Patrick L. Reznik State Bar No. 16806780 preznik@braungresham.com Carly Barton State Bar No. 24086063 cbarton@braungresham.com

### ATTORNEYS FOR CLEARWATER RANCH

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that a copy of this document will be served on all parties of record on February 19, 2021, in accordance with Public Utility Commission Procedural Rule 22.74.

/s/Patrick L. Reznik Patrick L. Reznik

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

l.	INTRODUCTION	.4
II.	PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF TESTIMONY	.5
III.	DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY AND THE LINE'S IMPACT	.6
IV.	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	10
	EXHIBIT A MAP OF PROPERTY	12

1	I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>
2 3	
4 5 6	QUESTION: PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND CURRENT ADDRESS FOR THE RECORD.
0 7 8 9	ANSWER: Michael & Rosalinda Sivilli, 25126 Clearwater Creek, San Antonio, TX 78255.
10 11 12	QUESTION: ARE YOU AN INTERVENOR IN SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-21-0247 AND PUC DOCKET NO. 51023 AND ON WHOSE BEHALF ARE YOU TESTIFYING?
13	ANSWER: Yes. We are testifying on behalf of ourselves, Michael & Rosalinda Sivilli and
14	Clearwater Ranch POA, which we fully support.
15 16	
17 18 19	QUESTION: HAVE YOU EVER TESTIFIED IN A PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF TEXAS ("PUC" OR "COMMISSION") PROCEEDING?
20 21 22	ANSWER: No.
23 24	QUESTION: BRIEFLY DESCRIBE YOUR OCCUPATION, EDUCATIONAL AND WORK HISTORIES.
25 26	ANSWER: Michael is a graduate of Park University, with a Bachelor's Degree in Computer
27	Science. He has been an employee of Forcepoint LLC for 6 years, working as a contractor for the
28	US Air Force at Lackland AFB, TX. He served in the US Air Force for 20 years in overseas
29	deployments, assignments all over the world, including the White House Communications
30	Agency. He retired as a Master Sergeant. Rosalinda is a retired US Air Force civil servant, having
31	served for 35 years in Program Management, Cyber Security management, and national-level
32	positions with the US Air Force, Headquarters DoD Investigator General's Office, and
33	Headquarters NASA in Washington, DC. She now performs the duties of babysitting grandma,
34	which is much more fun than her previous positions.
35 36 37 38	QUESTION: WHERE IS YOUR PROPERTY LOCATED?

1	ANSWER: Our property is located at 25126 Clearwater Creek, San Antonio, TX 78255. Lot 18A.
2	See Exhibit A.
3	
4 5 6	QUESTION: HOW LONG HAVE YOU OWNED THE PROPERTY?
0 7 8 9	ANSWER: We have owned the property for 3 years.
10 11	QUESTION: ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH THIS AREA OF BEXAR COUNTY, TEXAS?
12	ANSWER: Yes, Rosalinda was born and raised in San Antonio Texas, and Michael has lived in
13	San Antonio for more than 25 years.
14	
15 16 17	II. PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF TESTIMONY
18 19 20	QUESTION: WHAT IS THE PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?
21	ANSWER: The purpose of our testimony is to (a) describe our property; (b) describe the expected
22	impact of the proposed transmission line on our property; (c) voice our opposition against The City
23	of San Antonio, acting by and through the City Public Service Board ("CPS Energy"), using
24	Segments 25, 26a, 32, 37, 38, 39, and 43 and Routes F1, K, L, N1, P, Q1, R, 1 T1, U1, BB, and CC
25	which utilize these Segments; and (d) voice our support for Routes Z1 and AA1.
26 27 28 29 30 31	QUESTION: WHAT IS CLEARWATER RANCH POA'S CONCERNS ABOUT THE PROPOSED TRANSMISSION LINE USING CPS ENERGY'S SEGMENTS 25, 26a, 37, 38, 39 AND 43 AND ROUTES F1, K, L, N1, P, Q1, R1, T1, U1, BB, AND CC?
32	ANSWER: Clearwater Ranch is opposed to the construction of CPS Energy's transmission line
33	through our community because of the following: (1) a 138-kV transmission line will severely
34	depreciate the value of the Clearwater Ranch properties; (2) a 138-kV transmission line will
35	severely detract from the scenic beauty and aesthetic values of the Clearwater Ranch properties
36	and area; (3) a 138-kV transmission line would negatively impact community, economic, and
37	historical values and the character, ecology, and biology of Clearwater Ranch's properties and
38	area; (4) the Clearwater Ranch residents and visitors will have to drive under or near a 138-kV
	Direct Testimony of Michael & Rosalinda Sivilli on Behalf of Clearwater Ranch POA

transmission line on a regular basis; (5) for transmission lines crossing a Clearwater Ranch 1 property, they would be required to give third parties access to their properties, which limits the 2 3 privacy, control and safety over our properties; and (6) Clearwater Ranch has general concerns 4 about any buzzing of the 138 kV transmission line and general health and safety concerns associated with being in close proximity to a 138 kV transmission line; and, (7) we have gone to 5 great lengths to preserve native Texas Hill Country and have established guarantees to preserve 6 the natural native flora and fauna of the Texas Hill Country. A 138 kV transmission line would 7 8 utterly destroy the protections that we have established and destroy this portion of undeveloped 9 Hill Country. 10 11 **III. DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY AND THE LINE'S IMPACT** 12 13 14 **QUESTION: ARE THERE ANY HABITABLE STRUCTURES ON THE PROPERTY?** 15 16 ANSWER: Yes, our new home is the only habitable structure on the property. 17 18 19 PROPERTY'S TERRAIN ITS 20 QUESTION: PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR AND 21 ECOLOGICAL OR BIOLOGICAL FEATURES. 22 23 ANSWER: Our property is 11 acres, one of which is fully developed as residential property. We also have a barn on the developed area for storage, work and wildlife management. The remaining 24 10 acres is intentionally undeveloped in order to sustain the native plants and wildlife. The terrain 25 is hilly, and heavily wooded throughout most of the 11 acres with junipers/mountain cedar, oak 26 27 and other indigenous trees and underbrush, providing protection and habitats to local birds and wildlife. 28 29 30 **QUESTION: PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR PROPERTY'S CURRENT USES.** 31 32 33 ANSWER: Its use is primarily residential as our final home, but also serves as a wildlife refuge 34 for endangered species. As a residence, our daughters, who live nearby with our grandchildren,

- 35 love to come over to enjoy the beauty of the land. We also enjoy gardening, bird watching, and
- 36 the natural esthetics the property offers.

1	
2 3 4 5	QUESTION: HAVE YOU OR YOUR FAMILY UNDERTAKEN ANY EFFORTS TO RESTORE THE LAND OR THE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY OF THE PROPERTY?
5 6	ANSWER: Yes, we've planted numerous trees, bushes, plants and grasses, and provide houses
7	and feeders for the many birds (including hummingbirds and native turkeys). The proposed line
8	threatens the sustainability of wildlife habitats, which the residents here have worked hard to
9	maintain. We also plan to raise small farm animals, and to build a greenhouse for fruits and
10	vegetables.
11 12 13	QUESTION: ARE THERE ANY WATER WELL SITES ON THE PROPERTY? IF SO,
14 15	DESCRIBE THE GENERAL LOCATION.
16 17 18	ANSWER: Yes, we have a well on the eastern side of the property.
19 20 21	QUESTION: ARE THERE ANY GAS WELLS ON THE PROPERTY? IF SO, DESCRIBE THE GENERAL LOCATION.
22 23 24	ANSWER: No, but we have an underground propane tank for the furnace and gas range.
25 26 27	QUESTION: ARE THERE ANY PIPELINE EASEMENTS ON THE PROPERTY? IF SO, DESCRIBE THE GENERAL LOCATION.
28	ANSWER: No, our water and propane lines are near the house, and run from the well site and
29	propane tank directly to the house. No lines protrude toward the edges of the property.
30 31	
32 33 34 35	QUESTION: PLEASE DESCRIBE ANY PLANNED FUTURE USES OF YOUR PROPERTY IF THOSE USES ARE DIFFERENT FROM THE CURRENT USES PREVIOUSLY DESCRIBED.
36	ANSWER: In addition to its current use as our primary home, we are in the planning stages of
37	building a second, smaller house for our daughter, who received a kidney transplant, and frequently
38	requires medical and childcare assistance.
39	

1	
2	QUESTION: DO ANY EXISTING TRANSMISSION OR DISTRIBUTION LINES CROSS
3	YOUR PROPERTY? IF SO, PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW AND WHERE THEY CROSS THE
4	PROPERTY.
5 6	ANSWER: No.
° 7	
8	
9	QUESTION: ARE THERE CURRENT PLANS FOR OTHER UTILITY FEATURES ON
10 11	YOUR PROPERTY? IF SO, PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW AND WHERE THEY CROSS THE PROPERTY.
12	
13	ANSWER: No.
14	
15 16	QUESTION: WOULD THE LINKS THAT MIGHT IMPACT YOUR PROPERTY RUN ALONG ANY BOUNDARY LINES OF YOUR PROPERTY?
17 18	ANSWER: Yes, proposed Segment 26a runs along the western-most edge of our property. This
19	line would destroy many trees and wildlife living in the 100-foot swath on each side of the line.
20	That line also crosses a creek, used by the local birds and wildlife. See Exhibit A.
21	
22	
23 24	QUESTION: HOW WOULD A 138-KV ELECTRIC TRANSMISSION LINE IMPACT YOUR PROPERTY AND ITS OPERATIONS?
24 25	roferit and its operations:
26	ANSWER: Segment 26a would require removal of all the trees along our western edge, destroying
27	the natural beauty that is so integral to the value and benefit of the property, and causing
28	environmental harm by destroying the habitat of the endangered birds and wildlife that reside on
29	the property. The value of the property will also be negatively impacted, since some studies state
30	that there are potential health risks associated with living in close proximity to high power lines.
31	If we were to sell in the future, potential buyers would be hesitant due to the appearance and
32	possible health impact.
33	
34	ALECTION WALLED VALL HAVE TO REALLARLY DRIVE UNDER THE
35 36	QUESTION: WOULD YOU HAVE TO REGULARLY DRIVE UNDER THE TRANSMISSION LINE IF INSTALLED ON YOUR PROPERTY.
37	

ANSWER: Not the lines on our property, but we would have to drive directly underneath Segment
 37 on our adjacent neighbor's property in order to go to and from our house.

3 4

7

5 QUESTION: DESCRIBE THE AESTHETIC IMPACT TO YOUR PROPERTY IF CPS 6 ENERGY BUILDS A 138-KV TRANSMISSION LINE ON YOUR PROPERTY.

8 ANSWER: The primary reasons we bought and built in this community was for the beauty of the 9 land, the privacy, the peace and quiet, and the incredible views. I spent 20 years in the military in stressful jobs and deployments, and paid hundreds of thousands of dollars for this property 10 11 specifically for those reasons, and for close access to medical facilities and employment. Having transmission lines through these properties, like the ones proposed, will all but negate the reasons 12 why all the people of this community have worked so hard to maintain its pristine beauty. If line 13 14 26 were to be carved out along our property line, it would create a massive, grotesque scar on this formerly undisturbed landscape that will never be recovered. 15

- 16
- 17

18 QUESTION: IF THE TRANSMISSION LINE IS BUILT ON YOUR PROPERTY, DO YOU
 19 HAVE ANY CONCERNS ABOUT CPS ENERGY HAVING ACCESS TO YOUR PROPERTY?
 20

ANSWER: Yes -- this is privately owned land that we bought because we expected to have privacy and peace of mind. We care about the safety and security of our home, and do not like people we don't know having unfettered access to our property. This would also negatively affect the value of the land and the property in general.

- 25
- 26

QUESTION: IF THE TRANSMISSION LINE IS BUILT ON YOUR PROPERTY, DO YOUHAVE ANY OTHER CONCERNS?

29

ANSWER: We have general safety and health concerns for living around transmission lines and EMF issues. Because potential buyers may be aware of medical studies available on the Internet arguing, correctly or not, a connection between high-powered transmission lines causing health problems and possible cancer, we are concerned about a negative stigma being attached to our property and the possible devaluation of our property value. In addition, the buzzing sounds emanating from high-voltage transmission lines would be bothersome for people and wildlife
living near them. With the encroaching development of subdivisions all around us, this absolutely
impacts the native habitats for the wildlife here. This area acts as a sanctuary for these animals,
and installing these lines will likely be dangerous for them.

- 5
- 6
- 7 8

## QUESTION: IS THERE ANYTHING ELSE YOU WOULD LIKE THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE AND THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION TO CONSIDER IN THIS PROCEEDING?

9 10

23

24 25

11 ANSWER: Yes: Having lived along Scenic Loop for over 15 years, we are witnessing destruction 12 through development of all the formerly untouched properties in this area. Please consider that 13 undeveloped areas are becoming more and more rare in and around San Antonio, and in particular, 14 along Scenic Loop. This little community has managed to keep a beautiful terrain nearly pristine 15 at a very high cost to all the residents here, who maintain all our own infrastructure. We love this 16 area for the beauty and sanctuary it provides us, and to the lush wildlife we live alongside. We 17 provide shelter, feed and water to these animals voluntarily because we genuinely care for them, 18 and know how important it is to conserve the balance of the natural environment. We love San Antonio, and want to live here; this is one of the last areas with large properties where these birds 19 20 and animals can roam and live freely. Lastly, this is not just for us; it is for our kids and our extended families to enjoy. 21 22

## IV. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

26 QUESTION: HOW WOULD YOU SUMMARIZE YOUR POSITION IN THIS PROCEEDING 27 REGARDING CPS ENERGY'S PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE ROUTES F1, K, L, N1, P, O1, 28 29 R1, T1, U1, BB, AND CC AND CPS ENERGY'S PREFERRED ROUTES Z1 AND AA1? 30 ANSWER: We oppose CPS Energy's Alternative Routes F1, K, L, N1, P, Q1, R1, T1, U1, BB, 31 32 and CC and any Route using Segments 25, 26a, 32, 37, 38, 39, and 43. We support CPS Energy's 33 Routes Z1 and AA1 as the route(s) that best meet(s) the overall community values and PURA § 37.056(c)(4) and P.U.C. SUBST. R. 25.101(b)(3)(B). 34

- 1 2 3 QUESTION: DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
- 4 5 ANSWER: Yes.

