



Control Number: 51023



Item Number: 255

Addendum StartPage: 0

Comments in Docket No. 51023

If you want to be a PROTESTOR only, please complete this form. Although public comments are not treated as evidence, they help inform the PUC and its staff of the public concerns and identify issues to be explored. The PUC welcomes such participation in its proceedings.

Mail this completed form and 10 copies to:

Public Utility Commission of Texas
Central Records
Attn: Filing Clerk
1701 N. Congress Ave.
P.O. Box 13326
Austin, TX 78711-3326

First Name: DeNette Last Name: Krawczynski

Phone Number: 210-325-8208 Fax Number: NA

Address, City, State: 25807 Dull Knife Tr. San Antonio, TX 78255

I am NOT requesting to intervene in this proceeding. As a PROTESTOR, I understand the following:

- I am NOT a party to this case;
- My comments are not considered evidence in this case; and
- I have no further obligation to participate in the proceeding.

Please check one of the following:

☒ I own property with a habitable structure located near one or more of the utility's proposed routes for a transmission line.

☐ One or more of the utility's proposed routes would cross my property.

☒ Other. Please describe and provide comments. You may attach a separate page, if necessary. I bought

my property and built my home for the beautiful
and scenic west view of the Texas hills. Yes, overtime
the view has changed, but we continue to have
an unobstructed west view. I am definitely against

Signature of person submitting comments:

DeNette Krawczynski

Date: 8-31-20

running segment 17 behind my property. The view would be destroyed and my value on my property/homestead would be terribly decreased. I am in favor of progress and helping those in need of better electrical service/power, but not at the sacrifice of my biggest investment, our home and land! There are other options available that can accomplish the CPS Energy goal of an additional substation. Segment 17 does not have to be run behind Serene and Scenic Hills thus ruining the view of 20 existing and occupied homesites. I suggest looking at other proposed options. Substation sites 6 or 7 could be selected. If 6 is chosen then segment 50 to 15 could intersect with segments 22, 21 and 20 followed by 36 to 31, 35 and 42. If substation 7 is chosen then segments 54 to 20, 21 and 36 would be run. Segment 17 does not have to be an option as it wouldn't be required or needed. Option BB seems best as it is the shortest route from substation 7 at 4.3 miles. As stated above, I do not want Segment 17 running behind my homestead or our peaceful and scenic neighborhood of Serene and Scenic Hills. I can support CPS Energy effort as long as Segment 17 is not utilized.