




Control Number: 51023



Item Number: 147

Addendum StartPage: 0

PUC DOCKET NO. 51023



APPLICATION OF THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO TO AMEND ITS CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY FOR THE SCENIC LOOP 138-KV TRANS. LINE IN BEXAR COUNTY, TX



PUBLIC UTILITY
COMMISSION OF TEXAS

James Galusha's FIRST SUPPLEMENT TO HIS MOTION TO INTERVENE AND RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO BEXAR RANCH L.P.'S MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION, etc.

Comes now James Galusha, Intervenor in the above styled and number proceeding respectfully submitting his First Supplement to his Motion to Intervene and Response In Opposition to Bexar Ranch L.P.'s Motion For Clarification, etc. and for cause would show as follows:

1. Intervenor incorporates by reference PATRICK CLEVELAND'S MOTION IN OPPOSITION TO BEXAR RANCH, L.P.'S "MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION OF INTERVENOR STANDING AND TO SHOW CAUSE" as if set forth fully herein.

2. Intervenor owns property within the Anaqua Springs Ranch subdivision. Intervenor is a member of the Anaqua Springs Ranch Homeowner's Association (ASRHOA). One or more of the proposed routes crosses property owned by ASRHOA and will consist of a "taking" of said property or some part thereof in the event it is constructed. Intervenor therefore owns an interest in property directly affected by the proposed routes. Intervenor thus has a justifiable interest in the taking of said property. Even so, Intervenor respectfully notes that the right to intervene does not require so much as a justifiable interest, but merely an "interest in the application ...". Tex. Util. Code §37.054

3. In addition to the above, Intervenor owns improved property in Anaqua Springs subdivision that is in close proximity to one of the proposed routes or route segments, and/or is directly affected by at least three of the possible alternatives due to the "blanket" effect of boxing in ASR with possible alternatives. Thus, Intervenor owns property that will be directly affected by the outcome of this proceeding.

4. Intervenor respectfully points out that all of the above information is

147

contained, even if by inference, in the form Motion previously filed and is at least equal to the grounds given for standing by Bexar Ranch, L.P.'s own Motion to Intervene. In addition, in its Motion for Clarification, Bexar Ranch gave no substantive reason why Intervenor's lack standing *nor even why their standing should be questioned*. Overall its motivation for even filing the Motion remains unclear.

PRAYER

For the reasons given, Intervenor respectfully requests that Bexar Ranch, L.P.'s Motion for Clarification Of Intervenor's Standing And To Show Cause be denied or dismissed and/or alternatively that Intervenor's Motion to Intervene as Supplemented be GRANTED.


Respectfully submitted,



James Galusha
11503 Toponga
(805) 431-4690
Galusha.jim@gmail.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify I served the foregoing on all parties of record by email this 31st day of August, 2020


James Galusha