



## Filing Receipt

**Received - 2023-03-14 03:29:52 PM**

**Control Number - 50788**

**ItemNumber - 329**

---

---

**BEFORE THE**  
**STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE**  
**HEARINGS**

---

**RATEPAYERS APPEAL OF THE DECISION BY WINDERMERE OAKS**  
**WATER SUPPLY CORPORATION TO CHANGE WATER AND SEWER**  
**RATES**

---

---

**SOAH ORDER NO. 30**  
**RULING ON MOTIONS TO STRIKE, COMPEL, AND FOR OTHER**  
**REQUESTED RELIEF**

On February 28, 2023, Ratepayers filed a Motion to Preclude Admission or Consideration of Evidence or, Alternatively, to Compel a Complete Response to Ratepayers Amended RFI 6-9, relating to budgeted cost data used by Windermere Oaks Water Supply Corporation (Windermere) in formulating its rates. On March 3, 2023, Ratepayers filed motions to strike the supplemental rebuttal testimony of Windermere witnesses Mike Nelson and Joe Gimenez, arguing that the witnesses are unqualified to offer expert testimony, and that the testimony is irrelevant and otherwise inadmissible. Windermere timely filed responses to those

motions. For the reasons set out in those responses, Ratepayers' motions described above are **DENIED**.

On March 7, 2023, Ratepayers filed a Request for Relief in Connection with Windermere's Failure to Comply with Discovery Concerning Rate Methodology, Revenue Requirement, Rate Calculation and Cost Data. No response was filed as of the date of this order. The Administrative Law Judge concludes that Ratepayers have not identified any applicable authority for imposing the broad relief requested in that motion. Accordingly, the motion is **DENIED**.

**Signed March 14, 2023**

ALJ Signature(s):

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "D. Wiseman", is written over a horizontal line.

Daniel Wiseman,

Administrative Law Judge