

Filing Receipt

Filing Date - 2024-11-22 02:30:39 PM

Control Number - 50065

Item Number - 127

SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-24-17515 PUC DOCKET NO. 50065

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF MELINDA L. JENSEN, WITNESS FOR DRIFTWOOD GOLF CLUB DEVELOPMENT INC.

Driftwood Golf Club Development Inc. ("Driftwood") files the attached Rebuttal Testimony of Melinda L. Jensen. Driftwood stipulates that this Rebuttal Testimony can be treated by all parties exactly as if the answers were filed under oath.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Winston P. Skinner

Winston P. Skinner State Bar No. 24079348 VINSON & ELKINS LLP 200 West 6th Street, Suite 2500 Austin, Texas 78701 Telephone: (512) 542-8427 wskinner@velaw.com

ATTORNEY FOR DRIFTWOOD

SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-24-17515 PUC DOCKET NO. 50065

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF MELINDA L. JENSEN, WITNESS FOR <u>DRIFTWOOD GOLF CLUB DEVELOPMENT, INC.</u>

TABLE OF CONTENTS

l.	POSITION AND QUALIFICATIONS			
П.				
111.	ENVIRONMENTAL AND LAND USE DATA TABLE			
IV.	CONCLUSION.			
	Exhibit MLJ-1	Resume of Melinda L. Jensen		
	Exhibit MLJ-2	Environmental and Land Use Data Table		

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF MELINDA L. JENSEN 1 2 1. POSITION AND OUALIFICATIONS 3 Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND ADDRESS: A. My name is Melinda L. Jensen. I am employed by AECOM Technical Services, 4 Inc. ("AECOM"), an engineering consulting firm. I hold the position of Senior 5 Environmental Program Manager. My business address is 13640 Briarwick 6 Drive, Suite 200, Austin, Texas 78729. 7 PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATIONS. 8 Q. 9 Α. Since 2001, when I was first employed as an environmental consultant, I have provided environmental planning and consulting services for electric transmission 10 line projects, transportation projects, land development projects, and other linear 11 12 projects, including a variety of pipelines. The first electric transmission line routing study in which I participated was for a 138 kilovolt ("kV") transmission 13 14 line in Texas in 2004. Since that time, I have managed numerous environmental impact analyses for electric transmission line projects requiring certificates of 15 16 convenience and necessity ("CCNs") as long as approximately 95 miles. I have also managed or provided technical review for dozens of environmental 17 18 assessment or environmental impact assessment documents. My educational and professional qualifications are more fully presented in Exhibit MLJ-1 attached 19 20 hereto. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY Q. 21 22 COMMISSION OF TEXAS ("COMMISSION")? Yes, I have submitted testimony in Commission Docket Nos. 37463, 39524, 23 Α. 24 42583, 47808, 48358, and 55172. 25 П. PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY? 26 Q. A. The purpose of my testimony is to introduce, describe and sponsor the 27 environmental and land use data table AECOM created at the request of 28 29 Driftwood Golf Club Development, Inc. ("Driftwood"), which is attached as Exhibit MLJ-2 to my testimony. This data table provides context and enables a 30 31 quantitative comparison between the existing segment alignment and the

proposed relocation of a 138 kV transmission line owned and operated by 1 2 Pedernales Electric Cooperative, Inc. ("PEC") on Driftwood's property in Hays County, Texas (the "Relocation"). The data table is based on the environmental 3 and land use data typically evaluated in CCN proceedings before the Commission. 4 Specifically, my testimony and attached data table provide quantifiable data 5 points in response to numerous statements in Complainants' direct testimonies 6 regarding issues such as the proximity of the transmission line to habitable 7 8 structures and property boundaries, parks and recreational areas (including the adjacent golf course), alleged environmental impacts, and the type of property 9 10 uses and vegetation levels crossed by the existing and proposed relocation (e.g., Mr. and Mrs. Crosson at page 12, lines 34-35; Mr. and Mrs. Askew at page 12, 11 12 lines 21-22 and page 15, lines 26-32; Mr. and Mrs. Griffin at page 9, line 5 and 13 page 10, lines 8-9; Mr. and Mrs. Johnson at page 7, lines 22-25 and page 10, lines 14 8-9; Mr. and Mrs. Lebo at page 11, lines 1-3; and Mr. Tulga and Ms. Fruitrell at page 13, lines 4-6). The facts and statements contained in my testimony and 15 16 attachments, which I am sponsoring and were prepared by me or under my direct supervision and control, are true and correct to the best of my knowledge. 17 18 111. ENVIRONMENTAL AND LAND USE DATA TABLE WHY DID AECOM PREPARE THE DATA TABLE? 19 Q.

20 A. Driftwood retained AECOM to prepare the data table in connection with the21 proposed Relocation.

22 Q. WHAT WERE AECOM'S RESPONSIBILITIES IN CREATING THE DATA23 TABLE?

A. Under my leadership and supervision, AECOM conducted desktop reviews of publicly accessible data and recent aerial photography in Geographic Information Systems (GIS) and a field reconnaissance survey of the property in question, and it gathered baseline data relating to both the existing segment of the PEC line and the Relocation on Driftwood's property. AECOM also obtained the property outlines of Driftwood and neighboring properties, delineated the proposed Relocation, and then compiled the environmental and land use data table.

31 Q. HOW DID YOU DEVELOP THE DATA TABLE?

A. Using publicly available data of the type normally used by environmental
 consultants in CCN cases to similar data tables, AECOM compiled data for the
 segment of PEC's transmission line crossing Driftwood's property through a
 comparison of the existing line's alignment and the proposed Relocation's
 alignment.

6 7

Q. HOW DID AECOM IDENTIFY ENVIRONMENTAL AND LAND USE FEATURES RELEVANT TO THE DATA TABLE?

8 Α, AECOM initiated a variety of data collection activities, including a review of: (1) 9 available local, state, and federal records; (2) recent aerial photography; and (3) publicly available GIS data, including various spatial datasets identifying 10 ecological, cultural, and infrastructure features relevant to compilation of the data 11 12 table. During the course of data collection activities, AECOM personnel also conducted a reconnaissance survey of Driftwood's property and the nearby area 13 14 on September 5, 2024, to confirm research findings and identify features that may not have been previously noted. AECOM considered a variety of information, 15 16 including: (1) results from a visual reconnaissance survey of the study area; (2) reviews of aerial photography; (3) findings of publicly available data collection 17 18 activities; (4) apparent property boundaries; (5) existing compatible corridors; and (6) locations of existing developments. 19

20 Q. HOW DID AECOM IDENTIFY HABITABLE STRUCTURES IN COMPILING 21 THE DATA TABLE?

A. AECOM reviewed and interpreted aerial photography to identify the location of habitable structures within 300 feet of the centerline of both the existing line and the Relocation, then verified those results during the reconnaissance survey, where practical. To account for photographic interpretation limitations such as shadows, tree canopies, and horizontal accuracy of the photography, AECOM identified all habitable structures within both 300 and 320 feet of the existing line and the proposed Relocation.

29Q.HOW DOES THE PROCESS AND INFORMATION USED TO CREATE THE30DATA TABLE COMPARE TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENTS YOU'VE OFTEN PERFORMED IN
 PRIOR CCN CASES?

3 Α. This data table is very similar to the ones found in environmental assessments and routing studies conducted by AECOM and other environmental consultants in 4 numerous CCN cases before the Commission. The primary difference is that this 5 data table considers the differences in alignment between the existing PEC line 6 and the proposed Relocation on Driftwood's property, rather than an overall 7 8 transmission line route between electrical endpoints. Because this case does not involve a proposed CCN amendment, AECOM did not send consultation letters to 9 government agencies or officials. 10

11 Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE RESULTS SHOWN IN THE DATA TABLE.

A. The two alternative alignments exhibit many similarities from an environmental
 and land use perspective. The main differences between the existing line segment
 and the Relocation relate to segment length, distance and percentage of line
 paralleling existing compatible corridors (including property boundaries),
 habitable structures within 300 feet of the segment centerline, and length crossing
 parks and recreational areas.

18

IV. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

- 19 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?
- 20 A. Yes, it does.

EXHIBIT MLJ-1 Resume of Melinda L. Jensen



Melinda L. Jensen Associate Vice President / Senior Environmental Program Manager

Years of experience with AECOM 24

Education

M.S., Zoology, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, Texas 2000 B.S., Wildlife Management, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, Texas, 1997

Professional affiliations

Women's Transportation Seminar, Heart of Texas Chapter 2011-2012 President Leadership Austin Emerge Program, Class of 2007 Training 2005/Advanced Jurisdictional Hydrology Wetland Training Institute 2002/Management Training, Richard Chinn Environmental Training, Inc. 2002/Basic Wetland Delineation, Wetland Training Institute 2002/Endangered Species Identification and Survey Methodology, Center for Marine and Wetland Studies 2003/Applied Fluvial Geomorphology, Rosgen Training Registrations/Certifications 2002 Certificate of Training Fundamentals of NEPA and Environmental Documentation

Professional History

Ms. Melinda Jensen has approximately 26 years of professional experience including serving as Program Manager for multi-year planning and environmental services task-order based contracts for utility providers, state agencies, and private entities. Services performed under these contracts have included linear corridor Environmental Impact Statements (EISs), Environmental Assessments (EAs), and Routing Studies across the state of Texas. As an experienced manager, she is adept at building effective teams that produce high-quality work and deliverables. Her technical experience includes serving as Project Manager and Expert Witness for transmission line routing studies and electric transmission EAs in accordance with applicable Texas Laws and Public Utility Commission of Texas (PUCT) rules. She has also provided support for Certificate of Convenience and Necessity (CCN) Applications and technical support for PUCT proceedings and administrative hearings. Ms. Jensen also has expertise in natural resources impact analyses and planning, including an EA/Habitat Conservation Plan (HCP) for inclusion in a U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) Section 10(a) permit application for Oncor Electric Delivery (Oncor). She has conducted numerous Biological Assessments and has experience in coordinating projects with federal, state, and municipal officials as well as environmental review agencies such as the USFWS, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE). and Texas Parks and Wildlife Department on the impacts to environmental resources.

Selected Project Experience

Utilities

Project Manager, Oncor Redland Switch – Lufkin Switch 345 kV Transmission Line EA, Angelina County, TX.

Ms. Jensen served as Project Manager and Expert Witness for the completion of an EA and CCN Application for a proposed 345 kV transmission line between the existing Oncor Redland Switch Station located approximately 3.8 miles northeast of Lufkin, Texas and south of Kurth Lake, to the existing Oncor Lufkin Switch Station located roughly 0.6 mile northwest of Lufkin, Texas and west of U.S. Highway 69. Components of the project included mapping existing and future environmental constraints, identifying alternative routes, public meeting organization and participation, EA preparation, and identifying and evaluating the impacts of the alternative routes. The alternative routes

1

Melinda L. Jensen Associate Vice President / Senior Environmental Program Manager

were developed in accordance with the criteria established in PUCT and Texas Utilities Codes rules and regulations. Ms. Jensen provided assistance with the preparation of the client's CCN Application as well as direct and testimony. The CCN Application was filed in July 2023 followed by the PUCT issuing a Notice of Approval for the project on December 1, 2023.

Quality Control Manager, Oncor Nacogdoches SE Switch – Redland Switch 345 kV Transmission Line EA, Nacogdoches and Angelina Counties, TX.

Ms. Jensen assisted with the completion of the EA and led Quality Assurance/Quality Control efforts for the proposed 345 kV transmission line between the existing Nacogdoches SE Switching Station and Redland Switching Station. Components of the project included mapping existing and future environmental constraints along the selected route, public meeting organization and participation, EA preparation, and identifying and evaluating the impacts of the proposed route in accordance with PUCT and Texas Utilities Code rules and regulations. The CCN Application was filed in January 2023 followed by the PUCT issuing a Notice of Approval for the project on April 5, 2023.

Project Manager, Lower Colorado River Authority Transmission Services Corporation (LCRA TSC) Cooks Point Transmission Line Project EA/Routing Study and CCN Application Support, Burleson County, TX.

Ms. Jensen served as Project Manager for the completion of an EA and CCN Application for the Cooks Point Transmission Project to be constructed between two alternative existing substations (the Bluebonnet Electric Cooperative's existing Lyle Wolz and Lyons Substations) and four alternative substation locations within a 314-square mile study area. Services include an EA/Routing Study, public involvement, data collection and analysis, and constraints mapping in support of the submittal of LCRA TSC's CCN Application to the PUCT. The study area for this project contained potential habitat for the USFWS federally endangered Houston toad and Navasota Ladies'-Tresses. To better evaluate the study area for potential impacts to these species, AECOM performed a Habitat Suitability Analysis in GIS per current USFWS guidance. All services were performed in accordance with §37.056(c)(4)(A)-(D) of the Texas Utilities Code, PUCT Procedural Rule 22.52(a)(4), PUCT Substantive Rule 25.101(b)(3)(B), and the PUCT's policy of prudent avoidance. The CCN Application was filed with the PUCT in May 2018, after which Ms. Jensen served as an Expert Witness providing direct and rebuttal testimony, and oral testimony at the Hearing on the Merits in January 2019.

Project Manager, Oncor Electric Delivery (Oncor) and Brazos Electric Power Cooperative (Brazos Electric) Cogdell – Clairemont 138 kV Transmission Line Environmental

Assessment/Routing Study and CCN Application Support, Kent and Scurry Counties, TX. Ms. Jensen managed the EA and Routing Study for the proposed Cogdell – Clairemont 138 kV Transmission Line Project in Kent and Scurry Counties, TX. Components of the project included mapping existing and future environmental constraints, identifying alternative routes, public meeting organization and participation, EA preparation, and identifying and evaluating the impacts of the alternative routes. The alternative routes were developed in accordance with the criteria established in all PUCT and Texas Utilities Codes rules and regulations. Ms. Jensen provided assistance with the preparation of the client's CCN Application as well as direct and rebuttal testimony. Ms. Jensen participated as an Expert Witness at the Hearing on the Merits for the proposed project in July 2018.

Project Manager, Brazos Electric Clairemont – Salt Creek 138 kV Transmission Line Route Study and Environmental Report / CCN Application, Kent County, TX.

Ms. Jensen served as Project Manager for the completion of an Environmental Report/Routing Study for a new 138 kV transmission line connecting the existing Brazos Electric Clairemont Substation and the proposed Salt Creek Switch in Kent County, TX. The Environmental Report/Routing Study was prepared in accordance with U.S. Department of Agriculture Rural Utilities Service (RUS) and PUCT requirements including RUS Environmental Policies and Procedures (7 Code of Federal Regulations

2

EXHIBIT MLJ-1 Page 3 of 5

Melinda L. Jensen Associate Vice President / Senior Environmental Program Manager

[CFR] 1794), NEPA, §37.056(c)(4)(A)-(D) of the Public Utility Regulatory Act (PURA), PUCT Procedural Rules §22.52(a)(4), and PUCT Substantive Rule §25.101. Ms. Jensen also prepared the CCN Application and associated attachments for PUCT filing in January 2018.

Project Manager, American Electric Power (AEP) Solstice - Roserock POI 138 kV Electrical Transmission Line EA and CCN Application Support, Pecos County, TX.

Ms. Jensen served as Project Manager for the completion of an EA and CCN Application for the Solstice - Roserock POI 138 kV transmission line constructed between two proposed stations, Solstice and Linterna, a distance of approximately 4.5 miles. Services included an EA, data collection and analysis, and constraints mapping in support of the submittal of AEP's CCN Application to the PUCT. The services were performed in accordance with §37.056(c)(4)(A)-(D) of the Texas Utilities Code, PUCT Procedural Rule 22.52(a)(4), PUCT Substantive Rule 25.101(b)(3)(B), and the PUCT's policy of prudent avoidance. The CCN Application was filed in May 2017 with the PUCT.

Deputy Project Manager, Cross Texas Transmission (CTT) Limestone – Gibbons Creek 345 kV Electrical Transmission Line Routing Study and Environmental Assessment, Brazos, Freestone, Grimes, Leon, Limestone, Madison, and Robertson Counties, TX.

Ms. Jensen assisted in the completion of an EA/Routing Study for the proposed Limestone – Gibbons Creek 345 kV Transmission Line Project, a new 345 kV double-circuit transmission line connecting the existing Centerpoint Energy Limestone Substation in Limestone County to the existing Texas Municipal Power Agency Gibbons Creek Substation in Grimes County. The proposed transmission line was approximately 80 miles in length and constructed within an approximately 130-foot ROW. Components of the project included delineating a study area, identifying and mapping existing and future environmental constraints, identifying preliminary alternative routes, managing public involvement open-house meetings, and identifying and evaluating the impacts of the alternative routes. Consideration was given to existing corridors, land use, habitable structures, potential areas of protected species habitat, potential cultural resources, and community perspectives. The alternative routes were developed in accordance with the criteria established in §37.056(c)(4)(A)-(D) of the Texas Utilities Code, PUCT Procedural Rule 22.52(a)(4), PUCT Substantive Rule 25.101(b)(3)(B), and the PUCT's policy of prudent avoidance. Ms. Jensen provided services in preparation for the client's CCN application filing and Request for Information management, as well as managed landowner communications for the project including a project hotline and email.

Project Manager, Oncor Electric Delivery, Permian Basin – Culberson 138 kV Transmission Line EA and Routing Study, Culberson, Loving, Reeves, Ward, and Winkler Counties, TX.

Ms. Jensen managed the EA/Routing Study for the proposed Permian Basin - Culberson 138 kV transmission line in Culberson, Loving, Reeves, Ward, and Winkler Counties, Texas. Components of the project included mapping existing and future environmental constraints, identifying alternative routes, public meeting organization and participation, EA preparation, and identifying and evaluating the impacts of the alternative and preferred routes. The alternative routes were developed in accordance with the criteria established in all PUCT and Texas Utilities Codes rules and regulations. Ms. Jensen provided assistance with the preparation of Oncor's CCN application and served as an Expert Witness providing testimony and testifying at the Hearing on the Merits for the project in January 2015.

Project Manager, Oncor Electric Delivery, Oklaunion – Fisher Road 345 kV Transmission Line EA and Routing Study, Wichita County, TX.

Ms. Jensen managed the EA and Routing Study for the relocation of a segment of the existing Oklaunion – Fisher Road 345 kV transmission line north of Sheppard Air Force Base in Wichita County, Texas. Components of the project included mapping existing and future environmental constraints, identifying alternative routes, public meeting organization and participation, EA

EXHIBIT MLJ-1 Page 4 of 5

Melinda L. Jensen Associate Vice President / Senior Environmental Program Manager

preparation, and identifying and evaluating the impacts of the alternative and preferred routes. The alternative routes were developed in accordance with the criteria established in all PUCT and Texas Utilities Codes rules and regulations. Ms. Jensen provided assistance with the preparation of the client's CCN application filing as well as written Expert Witness testimony.

Project Manager, Oncor Electric Delivery, CREZ Newton - Killeen, 345 kV Transmission Line EA and Routing Study, Bell, Burnet, and Lampasas Counties, TX.

The Newton to Killeen 345 kV transmission line project consisted of a new double-circuit 345kV electric transmission line constructed between the new Newton 345 kV switching station in Lampasas County and the existing Killeen switching station in Bell County. This transmission line was approximately 20 miles in length and constructed to contain a 345 kV transmission line supported by double-circuit 345 kV steel lattice structures. Ms. Jense managed the EA/Routing Study, public outreach activities, data acquisition, and alternative route delineation in support of the submittal of Oncor's CCN application to the PUCT. Ms. Jensen also served as an Expert Witness and provided written testimony as well as live testimony at the Hearing on the Merits in January 2010. A key component for this project was the assessment of habitat for the federally endangered goldencheeked warbler and black-capped vireo. Potential habitat for these species was identified within the study area using color-infrared photography, USGS topographic maps, and NRCS soils datasets. For the warbler in particular, known areas of habitat and areas of dense tree cover containing the preferable mix of mature juniper and deciduous species were identified as "probable" habitat, whereas areas with either lower tree densities or lacking in deciduous species were identified as "potential" habitat, Following PUCT's approval of the route, Ms. Jensen managed the completion of USFWSprotocol presence/absence surveys of the PUCT-selected route and coordinated the results of the surveys with the USFWS in accordance with Oncor's Service Area-Wide Habitat Conservation Plan.

Deputy Project Manager, Oncor Electric Delivery, CREZ Brown - Newton, 345 kV Transmission Line EA and Routing Study, Brown, Mills, Lampasas, McCulloch, and San Saba Counties, TX. Ms. Jensen assisted with the management of the EA/Routing Study for an over 80-mile, double-circuit transmission line across numerous counties in central TX. Components of the project included mapping existing and future environmental constraints, identifying alternative routes, public meeting organization and participation, EA/Routing Study preparation, and identifying and evaluating the impacts of the alternative and preferred routes. The alternative routes were developed in accordance with the criteria established in all PUCT and Texas Utilities Codes rules and regulations. Ms. Jensen provided assistance with the preparation of the client's CCN application filing as well as responses to numerous Requests for Information.

Project Manager, Oncor Electric Delivery EA/HCP for USFWS Section 10 Permit Application-Copperas Cove, TX.

Ms. Jensen managed the preparation of an EA/HCP for inclusion in a USFWS Section 10(a) permit application for the proposed 16-mile, TXU Copperas Cove 138 kV Transmission Line in Bell and Coryell Counties. Field work included habitat assessments and presence/absence surveys to determine the likelihood that the endangered golden-cheeked warbler and black-capped vireo would appear in the area. The permit application was completed in November 2006 and a Section 10(a) permit received from the USFWS in January 2007. Following receipt of the permit, Ms. Jensen managed annual reporting requirements, which summarized on-site environmental monitoring services, per the requirements stipulated in the approved HCP.

4

EXHIBIT MLJ-1 Page 5 of 5

Melinda L. Jensen Associate Vice President / Senior Environmental Program Manager

Other Linear Infrastructure

Environmental Documentation Lead, Capital Metropolitan Transportation Authority (Capital Metro) Orange Line EIS, Travis County, TX.

Capital Metro's Orange Line project is a high-profile, high-capacity transit corridor consisting of conceptual engineering and NEPA support / EIS preparation to support the identification of the preferred alignment, transitway type, and mode. Phase One of the project was focused on a detailed Alternatives Analysis (AA) to reach a Locally Preferred Alternative. As a part of the AA, Ms. Jensen led the Planning and Environmental Linkages (PEL) Study which was a transportation planning study linking planning information directly or by reference into NEPA, and included the completion of a comprehensive Existing Conditions Report. Following completion of the PEL Study, Ms. Jensen conducted preliminary agency coordination efforts to gain early agency buy-in on this important project and prepared an Environmental Methodology Report approved by the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) and anticipated cooperating agencies. Phase Two of the project included the completion of the EIS, for which Ms. Jensen managed an environmental team consisting of over 50 technical experts and discipline leads. The Draft EIS was completed and submitted to the FTA in June 2022.

Natural Resources Team Leader, Texas Central Railway High Speed Rail EIS, Federal Railroad Administration (FRA)/Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT), TX. Ms. Jensen served as the Natural Resources Team Leader for the proposed high speed rail project connecting Dallas to Houston, TX. The project was implemented under NEPA by the lead federal agency FRA and co-lead federal agency TxDOT. Ms. Jensen oversaw the completion of all tasks required for the EIS pertaining to natural resources (ecological, soils/geology, water, air quality, and climate change), including coordination with natural resource agencies for potential impacts to threatened/endangered species within the study area, the Houston toad, large-fruited sand verbena, and Navasota Ladies'-Tresses. FRA issued its Rule of Particular Applicability and Record of Decision establishing federal safety standards under which Texas Central Railroad will operate the high-speed train and giving environmental clearance for the selected alignment from Dallas to Houston on September 10, 2020.

Program Manager, Statewide NEPA Environmental Documentation Services, TxDOT-ENV, TX. Under this effort, Ms. Jensen managed the completion of various NEPA documents required for transportation improvement projects across the State of Texas for the TxDOT-ENV. Under Ms. Jensen's management, AECOM was also designated by TxDOT-ENV as a lead QA/QC consultant. For that effort, Ms. Jensen managed the review of numerous EAs and EISs for TxDOT and completed a detailed comment/response process for each review. The QA/QC process was completed by a team of subject matter experts who reviewed each document for consistency with current local, state, and federal regulations, as well as current TxDOT standards. Ms. Jensen also participated in QA/QC meetings with TxDOT, Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), and NEPA document consultant to discuss review comments and assist TxDOT and FHWA with comment resolution. EXHIBIT MLJ-2 Environmental and Land Use Data Table

EXHIBIT MLJ-2 Page 1 of 1

ENVIRONMENTAL AND LAND USE DATA: PEC TRANSMISSION LINE SEGMENT EVALUATION DRIFTWOOD GOLF CLUB DEVELOPMENT, INC. - PUCT DOCKET NO. 50065 NOVEMBER 19, 2024

		Existing Transmission Line	Proposed Transmission Line
	DESCRIPTION	Segment	Segment
1	Length of centerline (ft)	1,872	2,518
2	Length of centerline (mi)	0.35	0.48
3	Length of centerline parallel and adjacent to existing transmission lines	0	0
4	Length of centerline parallel and adjacent to existing public roads/highways	0	0
5	Length of centerline parallel and adjacent to existing pipelines	0	0
6	Length of centerline parallel and adjacent to railroads	D	0
7	Length of centerline parallel to apparent property boundaries	0	2,518
8	Total length of centerline parallel to existing corridors (including apparent property boundaries)	0	2,518
9a	Number of habitable structures' within 300 ft of the centerline	0	5
9b	Number of habitable structures within 320 ft of the centerline	0	8
10	Length of centerline across parks/recreational areas	365	0
11	Number of additional parks or recreational areas within 1,000 ft of the centerline	0	0
12	Length of centerline through commercial/industrial areas	0	0
13	Length of centerline across agricultural pastureland/rangeland	0	0
14	Length of centerline across agricultural cropland/orchards/timber production	0	0
15	Length of centerline across agricultural land with mobile irrigation systems	0	0
16	Length of centerline across upland forest	0	0
17	Length of centerline across riparian woodland	0	0
18	Length of centerline across emergent wetlands	0	0
19	Length of centerline across forested/shrub wetlands	0	0
20	Number of streams crossed by the centerline	0	0
21	Length of centerline parallel to rivers, creeks, and streams (within 100 ft)	0	0
22	Number of known rare/unique plant locations within the ROW	0	0
23	Length of centerline through potential endangered or threatened species habitat?	0	0
24	Number of recorded cultural resource sites crossed by the centerline	0	0
25	Number of additional recorded cultural resource sites within 1,000 ft of the centerline	0	0
26	Length of centerline across areas of high prehistoric and historic archaeological site potential	235	255
27	Number of airstrips with runways greater than 3,200 ft within 20,000 ft of the centerline	1	1
28	Number of airstrips with runways equal to or less than 3,200 ft within 10,000 ft of the centerline	0	0
29	Number of heliports within 5,000 ft of the centerline	0	0
30	Length of centerline across open water (reservoirs, lakes, and ponds)	0	0
31	Number of commercial AM radio transmitters within 10,000 ft of centerline	D	0
32	Number of FM radio transmitters, microwave relay stations, and other electronic installations within 2,000 ft of th	0	0
33	Number of U.S. or State Highways crossed by the centerline	0	0
34	Number of FM roads, county roads, or other street crossed by the centerline	0	0
35	Length of centerline within foreground visual zone of park/recreational areas (1/2 mile unobstructed)	1,872	2,518
36	Length of centerline within foreground visual zone of State and U.S. Highways (1/2 mile unobstructed)	0	0

Habitable structures include but are not limited to single-family and multi-family dwellings and related structures, mobile homes, apartment buildings, commercial structures, industrial structures, business structures, churches, hospitals, nursing homes, and schools, or other structures normally inhabited by humans or intended to be inhabited by humans on a daily or regular basis.

² Defined as parks and recreational areas owned by a governmental body or an organized group, club, or church (the Driftwood Golf and Ranch Club).

° Defined as USFWS critical habitat.

⁴ Recorded cultural resources sites are defined as those sites recognized and recorded by the THC and TARL.

Note: Length measurements are in feet unless otherwise noted. Direction and distance measurements calculated from the closest corner of the habitable structure to the centerline.

DATA SOURCES

AECOM Field Reconnaissance, September 2024

Esri & TomTom Parks and Recreation Areas, 2023

Federal Aviation Administration Runways, 2024

Federal Communications Commission Communication Towers, 2024

Homeland Infrastructure Foundation-Level Data Electric Transmission Lines, 2022

Railroad Commission of Texas Oil & Gas Pipelines, 2024

Regrid Building Footprints, 2022

Regrid Parcels, 2024

Texas Archeological Research Laboratory Archeological Sites, 2024

Texas Department of Transportation Hybrid Potential Archeological Liability Map, 2015

Texas Department of Transportation Railroads, 2024

Texas Department of Transportation Roadways, 2024

Texas Historical Commission Cultural Resource Sites, 2024

Texas Parks and Wildlife Department Ecological Mapping Systems of Texas, 2014

Texas Parks and Wildlife Department Texas Natural Diversity Database, 2024

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Critical Habitat, 2024

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory, 2024

U.S. Geological Survey National Hydrography Dataset, 2023

Google Earth Imagery, April 2023

MAXAR Imagery, June 2024