




Control Number: 49272



Item Number: 50

Addendum StartPage: 0



DOCKET NO. 49272

APPLICATION OF YANCEY WATER	§	PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
SUPPLY CORPORATION TO AMEND	§	
A WATER CERTIFICATE OF	§	OF TEXAS
CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY IN	§	
MEDINA AND FRIO COUNTIES	§	

**ORDER NO. 15  
REQUIRING CLARIFICATION FROM THE PARTIES**

On July 8, 2020, Commission Staff and Yancey Water Supply Corporation filed a motion to admit evidence. On the same date, Commission Staff filed an amended final recommendation. In the motion to admit evidence, Commission Staff and Yancy WSC move for the admission of Commission Staff's final recommendation filed on June 3, 2020, but not the amended final recommendation filed on July 8, 2020.

Before admitting evidence, the ALJ has questions that need resolving. Is the July 8 amended recommendation intended to replace the June 3 recommendation? If so, should the former be admitted and the latter not? Moreover, the July 8 amended recommendation references a "corresponding map and certificate . . . included with this pleading," but no such documents are attached. By August 7, 2020, the parties must clarify what they want admitted into evidence and properly file any Commission Staff recommendation with all required attachments.

**Signed at Austin, Texas the 28th day of July 2020.**

PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF TEXAS

HUNTER BURKHALTER  
CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE



**DOCKET NO. 49272**

<b>APPLICATION OF YANCEY WATER</b>	<b>§</b>	<b>PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION</b>
<b>SUPPLY CORPORATION TO AMEND</b>	<b>§</b>	
<b>A WATER CERTIFICATE OF</b>	<b>§</b>	<b>OF TEXAS</b>
<b>CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY IN</b>	<b>§</b>	
<b>MEDINA AND FRIO COUNTIES</b>	<b>§</b>	

**ORDER NO. 15  
REQUIRING CLARIFICATION FROM THE PARTIES**

On July 8, 2020, Commission Staff and Yancey Water Supply Corporation filed a motion to admit evidence. On the same date, Commission Staff filed an amended final recommendation. In the motion to admit evidence, Commission Staff and Yancy WSC move for the admission of Commission Staff's final recommendation filed on June 3, 2020, but not the amended final recommendation filed on July 8, 2020.

Before admitting evidence, the ALJ has questions that need resolving. Is the July 8 amended recommendation intended to replace the June 3 recommendation? If so, should the former be admitted and the latter not? Moreover, the July 8 amended recommendation references a "corresponding map and certificate . . . included with this pleading," but no such documents are attached. By August 7, 2020, the parties must clarify what they want admitted into evidence and properly file any Commission Staff recommendation with all required attachments.

**Signed at Austin, Texas the 28th day of July 2020.**

**PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF TEXAS**

**HUNTER BURKHALTER  
CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE**