

Control Number: 49064



Item Number: 158

Addendum StartPage: 0

Stephanie D. Marsh
Senior Analyst– Regulatory Compliance
4001 N Rodney Parham Road
Little Rock, AR 72212
t: 501-748-7897 f:501-748-6583
e-mail: stephanie.d.marsh@windstream.com



November 15, 2019

Public Utility Commission of Texas Attn: Central Records 1701 N. Congress Ave. Austin, TX 78711-3326

RE: Control No. 49064

Texas Quarterly Quality of Service Report

Enclosed please find the original and 4 copies of the Quarterly Quality of Service Report for 3rd Quarter of 2019. For the following companies:

Texas Windstream, LLC. Windstream Communications Kerrville, LLC Windstream Sugar Land, LLC Windstream Communications Southwest

Please contact me at either (501) 748-7897 or <u>stephanie.d.marsh@windstream.com</u> should you have any questions on the enclosed filings.

Sincerely,

Stephanie D. Marsh

Enclosures

PART ONE: Texas Windstream
Texas Windstream: Missed Objectives Report

TELEPHONE SERVICE QUALITY REPORT

90%	98%	070/	
	90 /0	97%	98%
95%	95%	89%	94%
90%	99%	99%	100%
90%	100%	100%	100%
100%	100%	100%	100%
1%	0%	0%	0%
	90% 90% 100%	90% 99% 90% 100% 100% 100%	90% 99% 99% 90% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100%

ANSWER TIME	Obj.	Jul	Aug	Sep
Repair Service Answer Time in 20 Seconds	90%	82%	85%	87%

TROUBLE REPORTS	Obj.	Jul	Aug	Sep
	Objective 3.0 > 10,000 AL /			
Customer Trouble Reports per 100 Access Lines	6.0 < 10,000 AL	1.1	1.3	1.1
Out-of-Service Trouble Cleared Within 8 Working Hours	90%	74%	85%	87%
% Repeat Trouble Reports	22%	12%	11%	14%

Repair Service Answer Time in 20 Seconds - Description of Missed Surveillance Levels:

Exchange Name	Obj.	Jul	Aug	Sep
	<=20 seconds	82%	85%	87%

Explanation: Outages by our third party vendors caused delays in customer hold times during the third quarter.

Action: Continue to educate customers on the other options available to contact Windstream.

Percent of Primary Service Installations Worked within 5 days - Description of Missed Surveillance Levels:

Exchange Name	Obj.	Jul	Aug	Sep
Acton	95%	85%	86%	91%
Grandview	95%	83%	75%	100%

Explanation: Held orders were due to subdivision activities that required outside plant (OSP) builds

Action: Improve the timeliness of the outside plant builds to ensure the facilities are ready as homes are built.

Customer Trouble Reports per 100 Access Lines - Description of Missed Surveillance Levels:

Exchange Name	Obj.	Jul	Aug	Sep
	Objective 3.0 > 10,000 AL /		1	
Mosheim	6.0 < 10,000 AL	7.1	1.8	0.0

Explanation: Small exchange with remote switch side affected by Thunderstorms

Action: Upgrade batteries to provide backup during power outages and storm

Percent of Repeat Reports - Description of Missed Surveillance Levels:

Exchange Name	Obj.	Jul	Aug	Sep
Kopperl	22%	33%	0%	20%
Mosheim	22%	25%	0%	0%
Plains	22%	44%	33%	58%

Explanation: Exchange with remote switch side affected by Thunderstorm

Action: Upgrade batteries for backups during power outages and storm.

Percent of Out of Service Trouble Reports Cleared - Description of Missed Surveillance Levels:

Exchange Name	Obj.	Jul	Aug	Sep
Cressen	90%	67%	33%	33%
Hamshire	90%	25%	100%	80%
Plains	90%	27%	100%	100%

Explanation: Had trouble volumes that were weather related in these small exchanges

Action: Assigned techs from other areas to aid.

PART TWO: Windstream Kerrville Windstream Kerrville: Missed Objectives Report

TELEPHONE SERVICE QUALITY REPORT

SERVICE ORDERS	Obj.	Jul	Aug	Sep
Regular Service Installation Worked Within 5 Days	90%	97%	97%	94%
Primary Service Installation Worked Within 5 Days	95%	91%	91%	88%
Service Order Due Date Commitment Met	90%	100%	100%	99%
Service Order Installations Within 30 Days	90%	100%	99%	99%
Service Order Installations Within 90 Days	100%	100%	100%	100%
Held Re-grade Orders	1%	0%	0%	0%

ANSWER TIME	Obj.	Jul	Aug	Sep
Repair Service Answer Time in 20 Seconds	90%	82%	85%	87%

TROUBLE REPORTS	Obj.	Jul	Aug	Sep
	Objective 3.0 > 10,000 AL /			
Customer Trouble Reports per 100 Access Lines	6.0 < 10,000 AL	0.8	1.0	0.6
Out-of-Service Trouble Cleared Within 8 Working Hours	90%	92%	93%	89%
% Repeat Trouble Reports	22%	10%	4%	13%

Repair Service Answer Time in 20 Seconds - Description of Missed Surveillance Levels:

Exchange Name	Obj.	Jul	Aug	Sep
	<=20 seconds	82%	85%	87%

Explanation: Outages by our third party vendors caused delays in customer hold times during the third quarter.

Action: Continue to educate customers on the other options available to contact Windstream.

Percent of Primary Service Installations Worked within 5 days - Description of Missed Surveillance Levels:

Exchange Name	Obj.	Jul	Aug	Sep
Kerrville	95%	91%	92%	90%

Explanation: There was an issue with Service Orders not flagged for customer requested due date.

Action: This is being reviewed by IT and Customer Care Internal Groups.

PART THREE: Windstream Sugarland

Windstream Sugarland: Missed Objectives Report

TELEPHONE SERVICE QUALITY REPORT

SERVICE ORDERS	Obj.	Jul	Aug	Sep
Regular Service Installation Worked Within 5 Days	90%	92%	96%	93%
Primary Service Installation Worked Within 5 Days	95%	84%	91%	93%
Service Order Due Date Commitment Met	90%	100%	99%	99%
Service Order Installations Within 30 Days	90%	100%	100%	100%
Service Order Installations Within 90 Days	100%	100%	100%	100%
Held Re-grade Orders	1%	0%	0%	0%

ANSWER TIME	Obj.	Jul	Aug	Sep
Repair Service Answer Time in 20 Seconds	90%	82%	85%	87%

TROUBLE REPORTS	Obj.	Jul	Aug	Sep
	Objective 3.0 > 10,000 AL /			
Customer Trouble Reports per 100 Access Lines	6.0 < 10,000 AL	0.8	0.9	1.0
Out-of–Service Trouble Cleared Within 8 Working Hours	90%	82%	94%	90%
% Repeat Trouble Reports	22%	11%	13%	11%

Repair Service Answer Time in 20 Seconds - Description of Missed Surveillance Levels:

Exchange Name	Obj.	Jul	Aug	Sep
	<=20 seconds	82%	85%	87%

Explanation: Outages by our third party vendors caused delays in customer hold times during the third quarter.

Action: Continue to educate customers on the other options available to contact Windstream.

Percent of Primary Service Installations Worked within 5 days - Description of Missed Surveillance Levels:

Exchange Name	Obj.	Jul	Aug	Sep
Old Ocean	95%	89%	83%	89%
Sugar Land	95%	82%	93%	95%
Waterwood	95%	70%	80%	83%

Explanation: Heavy Service Order activities and orders not being flagged for customer requested due date

Action: Added additional headcount and orders not flagged will be reviewed by IT and customer care internal group

Percent of Out of Service Trouble Reports Cleared - Description of Missed Surveillance Levels:

Exchange Name	Obj.	Jul	Aug	Sep
Sugar Land	90%	80%	93%	93%

Explanation: This was due to cut cables by the county and heavier workload	

Action:	Have added additional headcount and cut cables repaired	

PART FOUR: Windstream COMMUNICATIONS SW

Windstream Communications SW: Missed Objectives Report

TELEPHONE SERVICE QUALITY REPORT

SERVICE ORDERS	Obj.	Jul	Aug	Sep
Regular Service Installation Worked Within 5 Days	90%	93%	96%	95%
Primary Service Installation Worked Within 5 Days	95%	84%	90%	91%
Service Order Due Date Commitment Met	90%	99%	99%	99%
Service Order Installations Within 30 Days	90%	100%	100%	100%
Service Order Installations Within 90 Days	100%	100%	100%	100%
Held Re-grade Orders	1%	0%	0%	0%

Obj.	Jul	Aug	Sep
90%	82%	85%	87%
		,	,9

TROUBLE REPORTS	Obj.	Jul	Aug	Sep
	Objective 3.0 > 10,000 AL /			
Customer Trouble Reports per 100 Access Lines	6.0 < 10,000 AL	2.0	1.6	1.6
Out-of–Service Trouble Cleared Within 8 Working Hours	90%	89%	88%	86%
% Repeat Trouble Reports	22%	17%	16%	15%

Repair Service Answer Time in 20 Seconds - Description of Missed Surveillance Levels:

Exchange Name	Obj.	Jul	Aug	Sep
	<=20 seconds	82%	85%	87%

Explanation: Outages by our third party vendors caused delays in customer hold times during the third quarter.

Action: Continue to educate customers on the other options available to contact Windstream.

Percent of Regular Service Installations Worked within 5 days - Description of Missed Surveillance Levels:

Exchange Name	Obj.	Jul	Aug	Sep
Baird	90%	73%	79%	65%
Clyde	90%	85%	88%	77%
Merkel	90%	75%	81%	76%

Explanation: Increased service order activity for fiber to the premise location prior to fiber build been complete.

Action: Process issue being corrected in 2020.

Percent of Primary Service Installations Worked within 5 days - Description of Missed Surveillance Levels:

Exchange Name	Obj.	Jul	Aug	Sep
Andrews	95%	91%	86%	92%
Brownfield	95%	83%	90%	89%
Lamesa	95%	88%	88%	93%
Baird	95%	57%	64%	33%
Clyde	95%	65%	74%	71%
Merkel	95%	33%	67%	43%
Daingerfield	95%	92%	80%	88%
Marietta	95%	60%	83%	67%
Blooming Grove	95%	50%	57%	50%
Elkhart	95%	71%	78%	100%
Fairfield	95%	87%	93%	93%
Bedias	95%	71%	75%	67%
Broaddus	95%	75%	83%	0%
Burkeville	95%	67%	100%	100%
Colmesneil	95%	67%	100%	88%
Hemphill	95%	93%	100%	73%
Newton	95%	85%	92%	100%
Hurlwood	95%	83%	100%	100%
New Boston	95%	93%	96%	92%
Simms	95%	71%	80%	100%
Pecos	95%	13%	93%	100%
Texarkana	95%	84%	91%	89%
Crockett	95%	49%	90%	89%
Grapeland	95%	67%	92%	82%
Lovelady	95%	83%	89%	100%
Trinity	95%	55%	74%	85%

Explanation: Service order scheduled more than 5 days out based on technician time allocated to service order activity

Action: Improve the management service order system to allow the orders to be booked and worked within 5 days

Customer Trouble Reports per 100 Access Lines - Description of Missed Surveillance Levels:

Exchange Name	Obj.	Jul	Aug	Sep
	Objective 3.0 > 10,000 AL /			
Lakeview	6.0 < 10,000 AL	9.8	3.7	12.2
	Objective 3.0 > 10,000 AL /			
Petersburg	6.0 < 10,000 AL	6.3	3.7	7.5

Explanation: Small exchanges with weather related outside plant failures.

Action: Scheduling a rehab crew to come in and do maintenance.

Percent of Repeat Reports - Description of Missed Surveillance Levels:

Exchange Name	Obj.	Jul	Aug	Sep
Lakeview	22%	63%	0%	30%
Brownfield	22%	26%	17%	23%
Ropesville	22%	43%	30%	73%
Tahoka	22%	25%	33%	27%
Putnam	22%	100%	0%	0%
Stratford	22%	33%	0%	0%
Rosebud	22%	31%	7%	13%
Amherst	22%	23%	30%	33%
Anton	22%	46%	20%	0%
Sundown	22%	33%	25%	0%
Avery	22%	32%	28%	38%
Deport	22%	28%	20%	17%
Negley	22%	32%	64%	17%
Grapeland	22%	23%	18%	7%
Pennington	22%	31%	40%	44%

Explanation: Thunderstorms in these areas and county road crews damaging cables

Action: Cables damaged by county crews been repaired.

Percent of Out of Service Trouble Reports Cleared - Description of Missed Surveillance Levels:

Exchange Name	Obj.	Jul	Aug	Sep
Andrews	90%	79%	86%	80%
Daingerfield	90%	81%	83%	92%
Linden	90%	84%	88%	100%
Whitney	90%	89%	52%	86%
Lott	90%	67%	67%	0%
North Zulch	90%	86%	75%	100%
Glen Rose	90%	89%	82%	75%
Burkeville	90%	87%	77%	89%
Gary	90%	86%	100%	100%
Huntington	90%	67%	77%	95%
Milam	90%	75%	84%	90%
Hooks	90%	60%	40%	100%
Maude	90%	89%	75%	100%
New Boston	90%	86%	89%	100%
Fabens	90%	82%	92%	100%
Pecos	90%	71%	77%	83%
Van Horn	90%	79%	82%	77%
Austonio	90%	33%	50%	100%

Explanation: Thunderstorms and power outages caused remote switch failures and damaged outside plant

Action: Rehab crews assigned to work in targeted exchanges and a review of remote switch location for battery replacement