



Control Number: 48745



Item Number: 45

Addendum StartPage: 0

DOCKET NO. 48745

COMPLIANCE FILING OF ENTERGY §
TEXAS, INC. IN RESPONSE TO §
ORDERING PARAGRAPH 6 OF THE §
FINAL ORDER IN DOCKET NO. 47416 §

RECEIVED
PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
OF TEXAS
15 APR 10 AM 10:27
PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
FILING CLERK

RESPONSE OF ENTERGY TEXAS, INC.
TO STAFF FOURTH REQUEST FOR INFORMATION:
STAFF 4: 1-14

Entergy Texas, Inc. ("Entergy Texas" or "the Company") files its Response to Staff Fourth Request for Information. The response to such request is attached and is numbered as in the request. An additional copy is available for inspection at the Company's office in Austin, Texas.

Entergy Texas believes the foregoing response is correct and complete as of the time of the response, but the Company will supplement, correct or complete the response if it becomes aware that the response is no longer true and complete, and the circumstance is such that failure to amend the answer is in substance misleading. The parties may treat this response as if it were filed under oath.

Respectfully submitted,

Wajiha Rizvi | *WR*
Wajiha Rizvi
Entergy Services, Inc.
919 Congress Avenue, Suite 701
Austin, Texas 78701
(512) 487-3962 telephone
(512) 487-3958 facsimile

Attachments: STAFF 4: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing Response of Entergy Texas, Inc. to Staff Fourth Request for Information has been sent by either hand delivery, email, facsimile, overnight delivery, or U.S. Mail to the party that initiated this request in this docket on this the 10th day of April 2019.

Wajiha Rizvi | *WR*
Wajiha Rizvi

ENTERGY TEXAS, INC.
PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF TEXAS
DOCKET NO. 48745

Response of: Entergy Texas, Inc.
to the Fourth Set of Data Requests
of Requesting Party: Commission Staff

Prepared By: John Gregory Fenn
Sponsoring Witness: TBD
Beginning Sequence No. WG40
Ending Sequence No. WG40

Question No.: STAFF 4-1

Part No.:

Addendum:

Question:

See response to Staff RFI 3-2. Please provide a proposed list of all the meter data ETI plans to provide to a competitive service provider (CSP) authorized by the customer to view his/her premise data.

Response:

At this time, interval values (energy consumption) are the only meter data that will be provided.

ENTERGY TEXAS, INC.
PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF TEXAS
DOCKET NO. 48745

Response of: Entergy Texas, Inc.
to the Fourth Set of Data Requests
of Requesting Party: Commission Staff

Prepared By: John Gregory Fenn
Sponsoring Witness: TBD
Beginning Sequence No. WG41
Ending Sequence No. WG41

Question No.: STAFF 4-2

Part No.:

Addendum:

For the questions listed below, please refer to Docket No. 47472, *Commission Staff's Petition to Determine Requirements for Smart Meter Texas*, Attachment 1 to the Final Order, SMT 2.0 Business Requirements.

Question:

Please refer to SMT 2.0 Business Requirements numbers 1-12 and 167-172, which relate to account management and administration. Please list and describe all of ETI's proposed requirements that relate to account management and administration. Please note all the similarities and dissimilarities to the above mentioned SMT 2.0 Business Requirements. If ETI believes that a particular SMT 2.0 Business Requirement is not applicable, please explain why.

Response:

See the tab labeled "1-12 and 167-172" in the attached Excel file titled "SMT – CEP Compare." Note that ETI was not a participant in the Smart Meter Texas proceedings and does not participate in ERCOT. Accordingly, ETI is relying on what it believes to be reasonable interpretations of the SMT Business Requirements in comparing those requirements with the CEP requirements.

ENTERGY TEXAS, INC.
PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF TEXAS
DOCKET NO. 48745

Response of: Entergy Texas, Inc.
to the Fourth Set of Data Requests
of Requesting Party: Commission Staff

Prepared By: John Gregory Fenn
Sponsoring Witness: TBD
Beginning Sequence No. WG42
Ending Sequence No. WG42

Question No.: STAFF 4-3

Part No.:

Addendum:

For the questions listed below, please refer to Docket No. 47472, *Commission Staff's Petition to Determine Requirements for Smart Meter Texas*, Attachment 1 to the Final Order, SMT 2.0 Business Requirements.

Question:

Please refer to SMT 2.0 Business Requirements numbers 13-14, which relate to ad hoc data queries and numbers 123-127 and 189, which relate to batch queries. Please list and describe all of ETI's proposed requirements that relate to ad hoc data queries and batch queries. Please note noting the similarities and dissimilarities to the above mentioned SMT 2.0 Business Requirements. If ETI believes that a particular SMT 2.0 Business Requirement is not applicable, please explain why.

Response:

Please see the attachment to Staff 4-2, tab "13-14, 123-127 and 189" in the attached Excel file titled "SMT – CEP Compare." Note that ETI was not a participant in the Smart Meter Texas proceedings and does not participate in ERCOT. Accordingly, ETI is relying on what it believes to be reasonable interpretations of the SMT Business Requirements in comparing those requirements with the CEP requirements.

ENTERGY TEXAS, INC.
PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF TEXAS
DOCKET NO. 48745

Response of: Entergy Texas, Inc.
to the Fourth Set of Data Requests
of Requesting Party: Commission Staff

Prepared By: John Gregory Fenn
Sponsoring Witness: TBD
Beginning Sequence No. WG43
Ending Sequence No. WG43

Question No.: STAFF 4-4

Part No.:

Addendum:

For the questions listed below, please refer to Docket No. 47472, *Commission Staff's Petition to Determine Requirements for Smart Meter Texas*, Attachment 1 to the Final Order, SMT 2.0 Business Requirements.

Question:

Please refer to SMT 2.0 Business Requirements numbers 15-50, 144-152 and 190, which relate to customer data management. Please list and describe all of ETI's proposed requirements that relate to customer data management. Please note the similarities and dissimilarities to the above mentioned SMT 2.0 Business Requirements. If ETI believes that a particular SMT 2.0 Business Requirement is not applicable, please explain why.

Response:

Please see the attachment to Staff 4-2, tab "15-50, 144-152 and 190" in the attached Excel file titled "SMT – CEP Compare." Note that ETI was not a participant in the Smart Meter Texas proceedings and does not participate in ERCOT. Accordingly, ETI is relying on what it believes to be reasonable interpretations of the SMT Business Requirements in comparing those requirements with the CEP requirements.

ENTERGY TEXAS, INC.
PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF TEXAS
DOCKET NO. 48745

Response of: Entergy Texas, Inc.
to the Fourth Set of Data Requests
of Requesting Party: Commission Staff

Prepared By: John Gregory Fenn
Sponsoring Witness: TBD
Beginning Sequence No. WG44
Ending Sequence No. WG44

Question No.: STAFF 4-5

Part No.:

Addendum:

For the questions listed below, please refer to Docket No. 47472, *Commission Staff's Petition to Determine Requirements for Smart Meter Texas*, Attachment 1 to the Final Order, SMT 2.0 Business Requirements.

Question:

Please refer to SMT 2.0 Business Requirements numbers 51-65, which relate to web portal performance. Please list and describe all of ETI's proposed requirements that relate to web portal performance. Please note the similarities and dissimilarities to the above mentioned SMT 2.0 Business Requirements. If ETI believes that a particular SMT 2.0 Business Requirement is not applicable, please explain why.

Response:

Please see the attachment to Staff 4-2, tab "51-65" in the attached Excel file titled "SMT – CEP Compare." Note that ETI was not a participant in the Smart Meter Texas proceedings and does not participate in ERCOT. Accordingly, ETI is relying on what it believes to be reasonable interpretations of the SMT Business Requirements in comparing those requirements with the CEP requirements.

ENTERGY TEXAS, INC.
PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF TEXAS
DOCKET NO. 48745

Response of: Entergy Texas, Inc.
to the Fourth Set of Data Requests
of Requesting Party: Commission Staff

Prepared By: John Gregory Fenn
Sponsoring Witness: TBD
Beginning Sequence No. WG45
Ending Sequence No. WG45

Question No.: STAFF 4-6

Part No.:

Addendum:

For the questions listed below, please refer to Docket No. 47472, *Commission Staff's Petition to Determine Requirements for Smart Meter Texas*, Attachment 1 to the Final Order, SMT 2.0 Business Requirements.

Question:

Please refer to SMT 2.0 Business Requirements numbers 66-72, which relate to reporting. Please list and describe all of ETI's proposed requirements that relate to reporting. Please note the similarities and dissimilarities to the above mentioned SMT 2.0 Business Requirements. If ETI believes that a particular SMT 2.0 Business Requirement is not applicable to ETI, please explain why.

Response:

Please see the attachment to Staff 4-2, tab "66-72" in the attached Excel file titled "SMT – CEP Compare." Note that ETI was not a participant in the Smart Meter Texas proceedings and does not participate in ERCOT. Accordingly, ETI is relying on what it believes to be reasonable interpretations of the SMT Business Requirements in comparing those requirements with the CEP requirements.

ENTERGY TEXAS, INC.
PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF TEXAS
DOCKET NO. 48745

Response of: Entergy Texas, Inc.
to the Fourth Set of Data Requests
of Requesting Party: Commission Staff

Prepared By: John Gregory Fenn
Sponsoring Witness: TBD
Beginning Sequence No. WG46
Ending Sequence No. WG46

Question No.: STAFF 4-7

Part No.:

Addendum:

For the questions listed below, please refer to Docket No. 47472, *Commission Staff's Petition to Determine Requirements for Smart Meter Texas*, Attachment 1 to the Final Order, SMT 2.0 Business Requirements.

Question:

Please refer to SMT 2.0 Business Requirements numbers 73-111 and 176-187, which relate to CSP data access and registration. Please list and describe all of ETI's proposed requirements that relate to CSP data access and registration. Please note the similarities and dissimilarities to the above mentioned SMT 2.0 Business Requirements. If ETI believes that a particular SMT 2.0 Business Requirement is not applicable, please explain why.

Response:

Please see the attachment to Staff 4-2, tab "73-111 and 176-187" in the attached Excel file titled "SMT – CEP Compare." Note that ETI was not a participant in the Smart Meter Texas proceedings and does not participate in ERCOT. Accordingly, ETI is relying on what it believes to be reasonable interpretations of the SMT Business Requirements in comparing those requirements with the CEP requirements.

ENTERGY TEXAS, INC.
PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF TEXAS
DOCKET NO. 48745

Response of: Entergy Texas, Inc.
to the Fourth Set of Data Requests
of Requesting Party: Commission Staff

Prepared By: John Gregory Fenn
Sponsoring Witness: TBD
Beginning Sequence No. TH20
Ending Sequence No. TH20

Question No.: STAFF 4-8

Part No.:

Addendum:

For the questions listed below, please refer to Docket No. 47472, *Commission Staff's Petition to Determine Requirements for Smart Meter Texas*, Attachment 1 to the Final Order, SMT 2.0 Business Requirements.

Question:

Please refer to SMT 2.0 Business Requirements numbers 153-158, which relate to general solutions. Please list and describe all of ETI's proposed requirements that relate to general solutions. Please note the similarities and dissimilarities to the above mentioned SMT 2.0 Business Requirements. If ETI believes that a particular SMT 2.0 Business Requirement is not applicable, please explain why.

Response:

Please see the attachment to Staff 4-2, tab "153-158" in the attached Excel file titled "SMT – CEP Compare." Note that ETI was not a participant in the Smart Meter Texas proceedings and does not participate in ERCOT. Accordingly, ETI is relying on what it believes to be reasonable interpretations of the SMT Business Requirements in comparing those requirements with the CEP requirements.

ENTERGY TEXAS, INC.
PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF TEXAS
DOCKET NO. 48745

Response of: Entergy Texas, Inc.
to the Fourth Set of Data Requests
of Requesting Party: Commission Staff

Prepared By: John Gregory Fenn
Sponsoring Witness: TBD
Beginning Sequence No. TH21
Ending Sequence No. TH21

Question No.: STAFF 4-9

Part No.:

Addendum:

For the questions listed below, please refer to Docket No. 47472, *Commission Staff's Petition to Determine Requirements for Smart Meter Texas*, Attachment 1 to the Final Order, SMT 2.0 Business Requirements.

Question:

Please refer to SMT 2.0 Business Requirements numbers 159-166, which relate to help functionality. Please list and describe all of ETI's proposed requirements that relate to help functionality noting the similarities and disimilarities to the SMT 2.0 Business Requirements. If a particular SMT 2.0 Business Requirement is not applicable to ETI, please explain why it is not applicable.

Response:

Please see the attachment to Staff 4-2, tab "159-166" in the attached Excel file titled "SMT – CEP Compare." Note that ETI was not a participant in the Smart Meter Texas proceedings and does not participate in ERCOT. Accordingly, ETI is relying on what it believes to be reasonable interpretations of the SMT Business Requirements in comparing those requirements with the CEP requirements.

ENTERGY TEXAS, INC.
PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF TEXAS
DOCKET NO. 48745

Response of: Entergy Texas, Inc.
to the Fourth Set of Data Requests
of Requesting Party: Commission Staff

Prepared By: John Gregory Fenn
Sponsoring Witness: TBD
Beginning Sequence No. TH22
Ending Sequence No. TH22

Question No.: STAFF 4-10

Part No.:

Addendum:

For the questions listed below, please refer to Docket No. 47472, *Commission Staff's Petition to Determine Requirements for Smart Meter Texas*, Attachment 1 to the Final Order, SMT 2.0 Business Requirements.

Question:

Please refer to SMT 2.0 Business Requirements numbers 173-175, which relate to security management. Please list and describe all of ETI's proposed requirements that relate to security management noting the similarities and dissimilarities to the SMT 2.0 Business Requirements. If a particular SMT 2.0 Business Requirement is not applicable to ETI, please explain why it is not applicable.

Response:

Please see the attachment to Staff 4-2, tab "173-175" in the attached Excel file titled "SMT – CEP Compare." Note that ETI was not a participant in the Smart Meter Texas proceedings and does not participate in ERCOT. Accordingly, ETI is relying on what it believes to be reasonable interpretations of the SMT Business Requirements in comparing those requirements with the CEP requirements.

ENTERGY TEXAS, INC.
PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF TEXAS
DOCKET NO. 48745

Response of: Entergy Texas, Inc.
to the Fourth Set of Data Requests
of Requesting Party: Commission Staff

Prepared By: John Gregory Fenn
Sponsoring Witness: TBD
Beginning Sequence No. TH23
Ending Sequence No. TH23

Question No.: STAFF 4-11

Part No.:

Addendum:

For the questions listed below, please refer to Docket No. 47472, *Commission Staff's Petition to Determine Requirements for Smart Meter Texas*, Attachment 1 to the Final Order, SMT 2.0 Business Requirements.

Question:

Please list and describe all of ETI's proposed requirements for Home Area Network functionality.

Response:

Please see the Company's response to Staff 1-1. ETI identified SMT 2.0 Business Requirements 144 and 188 as applicable to a Home Area Network (HAN). Those requirements appear to eliminate the requirement for HAN functionality. At this time ETI intends to implement the HAN functionality described in its Response to Staff 1-1.

ENTERGY TEXAS, INC.
PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF TEXAS
DOCKET NO. 48745

Response of: Entergy Texas, Inc.
to the Fourth Set of Data Requests
of Requesting Party: Commission Staff

Prepared By: John Gregory Fenn
Sponsoring Witness: TBD
Beginning Sequence No. TH24
Ending Sequence No. TH24

Question No.: STAFF 4-12

Part No.:

Addendum:

For the questions listed below, please refer to Docket No. 47472, *Commission Staff's Petition to Determine Requirements for Smart Meter Texas*, Attachment 1 to the Final Order, SMT 2.0 Business Requirements.

Question:

Please provide all of ETI's proposed terms and conditions for its Customer Engagement Portal (CEP).

Response:

ETI's terms and conditions for its CEP are still under development. The Company will provide the terms and conditions once completed.

ENTERGY TEXAS, INC.
PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF TEXAS
DOCKET NO. 48745

Response of: Entergy Texas, Inc.
to the Fourth Set of Data Requests
of Requesting Party: Commission Staff

Prepared By: John Gregory Fenn
Sponsoring Witness: TBD
Beginning Sequence No. TH25
Ending Sequence No. TH25

Question No.: STAFF 4-13

Part No.:

Addendum:

For the questions listed below, please refer to Docket No. 47472, *Commission Staff's Petition to Determine Requirements for Smart Meter Texas*, Attachment 1 to the Final Order, SMT 2.0 Business Requirements.

Question:

Please describe how ETI will detect CSP "red-flag" behavior on its CEP. What action(s) does ETI plan to take once suspicious activity is confirmed?

Response:

The Company is developing its methodology for detection and response regarding CSP "red-flag" behavior. This information will be provided once developed.

ENTERGY TEXAS, INC.
PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF TEXAS
DOCKET NO. 48745

Response of: Entergy Texas, Inc.
to the Fourth Set of Data Requests
of Requesting Party: Commission Staff

Prepared By: John Gregory Fenn
Sponsoring Witness: TBD
Beginning Sequence No. TH26
Ending Sequence No. TH26

Question No.: STAFF 4-14

Part No.:

Addendum:

For the questions listed below, please refer to Docket No. 47472, *Commission Staff's Petition to Determine Requirements for Smart Meter Texas*, Attachment 1 to the Final Order, SMT 2.0 Business Requirements.

Question:

Please describe the process for a customer to renew a data-sharing relationship with a CSP after the customer authorization expires.

Response:

The Company plans to use the same process as the initial sign up process discussed in the Company's response to Staff 2-1, Addendum 1.

CD ATTACHED

TO VIEW PLEASE CONTACT
CENTRAL RECORDS
512-936-7180