

Control Number: 47897



Item Number: 122

Addendum StartPage: 0

PUC DOCKET NO. 47897 SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-18-3008.WS

2018 MAY 30 AM 9: 30

FULL CARACTER MISSION

FOREST GLEN UTILITY COMPANY'S FIRST REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION TO CECIL PERKINS

The following is the response of Cecil Perkins, 196 Misty Dawn, Castroville, TX 78009

Request for Admission No. 1-1: Admit that state law requires the PUC to fix FGU's sewer rates at a level that will allow FGU to earn a reasonable return on its invested capital.

RESPONSE: I am not aware of state law that requires the PUC to fix FCU sewer rate at a level that will allow FGU to earn a reasonable rate of return on its invested capital. I do not admit.

Request for Admission No. 1-2: Admit that under state law, a reasonable return on FGU's invested capital is over and above its reasonable and necessary operating expenses.

RESPONSE: I have no knowledge of state law regarding reasonable return on FGU's invested capital or its operating expenses. I do not admit.

Request for Admission No. 1-3: Admit that under the state law, FGU is allowed to charge a sewer rate that preserves it financial integrity.

RESPONSE: I have no knowledge of state law that allows FGU to charge a sewer rate that preserves its financial integrity. I do not admit.

Request for Admission No. 1-4: Admit that under state law, FGU may collect additional revenue from its customers to provide funds for capital improvements necessary to provide facilities capable of providing adequate and continuous utility service.

RESPONSE: I have no knowledge of state law that allows FGU may collect additional revenue from its customers to provide funds for capital improvements. I do not admit.

Request for Admission No. 1-5: Admit that you have no evidence that FGU'S operating expenses for the test year are either unreasonable or unnecessary.

RESPONSE: I have no knowledge of FGU's operating expenses for the test year. Therefore, I do not admit.

Request for Admission No. 1-6: Admit that you have no evidence that FGU's cost of service is either unreasonable or unnecessary.

RESPONSE: I admit that the proposed sewer rate increase of 86%, almost doubling the current cost of service, is unreasonable.

Request for Admission No. 1-7: Admit that you have no evidence that the rate of return used in the FGU Application is either unreasonable or unnecessary.

RESPONSE: I have no knowledge of the rate of return used in FGU application. Therefore, I do not admit.

Request for Admission No. 1-8: Admit that you have no evidence that FGU has failed to provide accurate accounting to support the collection of additional revenues for capital improvement projects.

RESPONSE: I have no knowledge of the accounting provided by FGU to support the collection of additional revenues. I do not admit.

Request for Admission No. 1-9: Admit that new homeowners are moving into the Potranco Ranch Subdivision.

RESPONSE: I admit that new occupiers have moved into Potranco Ranch Subdivision. I assume they are the homeowners.

Request for Admission No. 1-10: Admit that new homeowners have moved into the Potranco Ranch Subdivision since you purchased your property.

RESPONSE: I admit new occupiers have moved into the Potranco Ranch Subdivision. I assume they are homeowners.

Request for Admission No. 1-11: Admit that as an authorized retail pub utility, FGC must provide adequate and continuous sewer service to all its wastewater customers with the boundary its Certificate of Convenience No. 21070.

RESPONSE: According to the PUC of TX, I admit to understanding that Certificate of Convenience and Necessity (CNN) holders are required provide continuous and adequate service to the area within its CCN boundary.

Request for Admission No. 1-12: Admit that in order to serve the increasing customers in the Potranco Ranch Subdivision, FGU must expand its wastewater treatment plant ("WWTP").

RESPONSE: I am unaware of the capacity of the FGU's WWTP. Therefore, I do not admit.

Request for Admission No. 1-13: Admit that FGU has filed an application for a major amendment with the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("TCEQ") to accommodate a

higher volume of wastewater (generate by more customers) at its WWTP (see Application to Amend and Renew TPDES Permit No WQ0015030001).

RESPONSE: I have no knowledge of FGU filing an application with Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) to accommodate higher volumes of wastewater at its WWTP. Therefore, I do not admit.

Request for Admission No. 1-14: Admit that public notice of FGU's filing of its application for a major amendment with the TCEQ was published in the Hondo Anvil Herald on March 22, 2018.

RESPONSE: I am not aware of public notice of FGU's filing of its application for a major amendment with the TCEQ being published in the Hondo Anvil Herald. I do not admit.

Request for Admission No. 1-15. Admit that it is state policy that utilities like FGU should be maintained so they are financially stable and technically sound.

RESPONSE: I am not aware of state policy that utilities like FGU should be maintained so they are financially stable. I do not admit.

Request for Admission No. 1-16: Admit that you have no experience owning or operating a retail public utility providing wastewater services to the public in Texas.

RESPONSE: I admit that I do not have experience as an owner or operator of a retail public utility providing wastewater services in Texas.

Request for Admission No. 1-17: Admit that you have never filed an application with the PUC or predecessor agency seeking to increase utility rates.

RESPONSE: I admit that I have never filed an application with the PUC or predecessor agency seeking to increase utility rates.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION

Request for Production No. 1-1: If you denied Request for Admission No. 1-5 that you have no evidence that FGU's operating expenses for the test year are either unreasonable or unnecessary, please produce the responsive documents relating to FGU's operating expenses that support your position.

RESPONSE: I do not have copies FGU's operating expenses. No response.

Request for Production No. 1-2: If you denied Request for Admission No. 1-6 that you have no evidence that FGU's cost of service is either unreasonable or unnecessary, please produce the responsive documents relating to FGU's cost of service that support your position.

RESPONSE: See FGU's Proposal Rate Change document (NOTICE OF PROPOSED RATE CHANGE PURSUANT TO TEX. WATER CODE § 13.1871).

Request for Production No. 1-3: If you denied Request for Admission No 1-7 that you have no evidence that FGU's rate of return is either unreasonable or unnecessary, please produce the responsive documents relating to FGU's rate of return that support you position.

RESPONSE: I did not deny admission of 1-7. I have no knowledge of the rate of return used in FGU's application.

Request for Production No. 1-4: If you denied Request for Admission No. 1-8 that you have no evidence that FGU's accounting is either unreasonable or unnecessary, please produce the responsive documents relating to FGU's accounting support of capital improvement projects that support your position.

RESPONSE: Request for Admission 1-8 and Request for Production 1-4 are not the same.

REQUESTS DISCLOSURES UNDER RULE 194

(a) the correct names of the parties to the lawsuit:

RESPONSE: I am unaware of a lawsuit.

- (b) the name, address, and telephone number of any potential parties;
- RESPONSE: Fleming D. Mitchell, 163 Sunrise Hill, Castroville, TX 210-887-6045 Van Johnson, 112 Sunrise Hill, Castroville, TX 210-364-8317 Cecil Perkins, 196 Misty Dawn, Castroville, TX 210-951-9212 Dennis M. Brown, 285 Barden Parkway, Castroville, TX 210-859-9343
 - (c) the legal theories and, in general the factual bases of the responding party's claims or defenses.

RESPONSE: FGU's Proposal Rate Change document (NOTICE OF PROPOSED RATE CHANGE PURSUANT TO TEX. WATER CODE § 13.1871).

(d) The name, address, and telephone number of persons having knowledge of relevant facts, and a brief statement of each identified person's connection with the case;

RESPONSE: Same as response to (b) for persons of knowledge. However, there are more residents as alluded to FGU's response to PUC second request for information, as 223 connections were made as of 3/31/18 (per FGU's response to request for information). Seventy-nine protests were submitted to PUC over the present rate increase from \$35.00 to \$65.00.

- (e) for any testifying expert: N/A
- (1) the expert's name, address, and telephone number: N/A
- (2) the subject matter on which the expert will testify: N/A
- (3) the general substance of the expert's mental impressions and opinions and a brief summary of the basis for them, or if the expert is not retained by, employed by, or otherwise subject to the control of the responding party, documents reflecting such information: N/A
- (4) If the expert is retained by, employed by, or otherwise subject to the control of the responding party: N/A
- (A) all documents, tangible things, reports, models, or data compilations that have been provided to, reviewed by, or prepared by or for the expert in anticipation of the expert's testimony; and
- (B) the expert's current resume and bibliography;

RESPONSE: N/A

(f) any settlement agreements described in TRCP 192.3(g);

RESPONSE: N/A

(g) any indemnity and insuring agreements described in Rule 192.3(g);

RESPONSE: N/A

(i) Any witness statements describe in TRCP 192.3(h).

RESPONSE: N/A