



Control Number: 46556



Item Number: 46

Addendum StartPage: 0

2018 JAN 19 PM 3:26

OPEN MEETING COVER SHEET

MEETING DATE: January 25, 2018

DATE DELIVERED: January 18, 2018

AGENDA ITEM NO.: 17

CAPTION: Docket No. 46556; SOAH Docket No. 473-18-1394.WS - Application of Riverside Wastewater Treatment Plant for Authority to Change Rates

ACTION REQUESTED: Discussion and possible action with respect to Preliminary Order

Distribution List:
Commissioners' Office (8)
Central Records
Journey, Stephen

46

DeAnn T. Walker
Chairman

Brandy Marty Marquez
Commissioner

Arthur C. D'Andrea
Commissioner

Brian H. Lloyd
Executive Director



Greg Abbott
Governor

2018 JAN 18 PM 3:26
PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
CLERK

Public Utility Commission of Texas

TO: Chairman DeAnn T. Walker
Commissioner Brandy Marty Marquez
Commissioner Arthur C. D'Andrea

All Parties of Record

FROM: Hannah Turner *HT*
Commission Advising

RE: *Application of Riverside Wastewater Treatment Plant for Authority to Change Rates*, Docket No. 46556, SOAH Docket No. 473-18-1394.WS, Draft Preliminary Order, January 25, 2018 Open Meeting, Item No. 17.

DATE: January 18, 2018

Please find enclosed the draft preliminary order filed by Commission Advising in the above-referenced docket. The Commission will consider this draft preliminary order at the January 25, 2018 open meeting. Parties shall not file responses or comments addressing this draft preliminary order.

Any modifications to the draft preliminary order that are proposed by one or more Commissioners will be filed simultaneously prior to the consideration of the matter at the January 25, 2018 open meeting.

W2013
q:\cadm\orders\prelim\46000\46556 dpo memo.docx



PUC DOCKET NO. 46556
SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-18-1394.WS

APPLICATION OF RIVERSIDE	§	PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT	§	
FOR AUTHORITY TO CHANGE	§	OF TEXAS
RATES	§	

DRAFT PRELIMINARY ORDER

Riverside Wastewater Treatment Plant filed an application for a rate increase for sewer service under certificate of convenience and necessity number 20740. Riverside is a wastewater utility with 126 unmetered connections in Brazos County, Texas.¹ This preliminary order identifies the issues that must be addressed in this docket.

Riverside filed its original application on November 9, 2016. On December 12, 2016, the Commission's administrative law judge (ALJ) issued an order finding Riverside's application deficient.² Riverside supplemented its application multiple times, and on August 2, 2017, the Commission's ALJ issued an order deeming the application administratively complete.³ The Commission ALJ also suspended the effective date of Riverside's application for no more than 265 days from the proposed effective date of August 29, 2017.⁴

Riverside's original application requested approval of an annual revenue-requirement increase of \$149,901 for sewer service.⁵ In a revised application filed on February 1, 2017, Riverside requested a revenue-requirement increase of \$133,601.⁶ This is the same revenue-requirement increase provided in Riverside's revised application filed on May 22, 2017.⁷

¹ Revised Application at 8-9, Schedules I-3 and I-4 (Feb. 1, 2017).

² Order No. 2 Finding Application Remains Deficient and Incomplete (Dec. 12, 2016).

³ Order No. 8 Deeming Application and Notice Sufficient and Suspending Effective Date (Aug. 2, 2017).

⁴ *Id.*

⁵ Original Application at 1 (Nov. 9, 2016.)

⁶ Revised Application at 1 (Feb. 1, 2017).

⁷ Second Revised Application, Attachment 1 (May 22, 2017).

However, in its customer notice filed on July 27, 2017, Riverside lists its requested annual revenue increase as \$58,212.⁸

In the customer notice filed on July 27, 2017, Riverside provided a billing comparison that shows that under its proposed rate increase, the fixed monthly rate for sewer service would increase from \$20.20 to \$52.00.⁹ The reconnect fee for non-payment would decrease from \$30.00 to \$25.00.¹⁰

Riverside proposed August 29, 2017 as the effective date for the new rates.¹¹ Because protests from 16 ratepayers have been filed in this docket, representing more than 10% of Riverside's 126 customers, Commission Staff recommended that the Commission refer the matter to the State Office of Administrative Hearings for an evidentiary hearing under 16 Texas Administrative Code (TAC) § 24.28(c).¹² Commission Staff also recommended suspension of the effective date of the rate change, and the Commission ALJ suspended the effective date for no more than 265 days from the proposed effective date of August 29, 2017.¹³

On December 12, 2017, the Commission referred this proceeding to the State Office of Administrative Hearings. Riverside was directed and Commission Staff and other interested persons were permitted to file a list of issues to be addressed in the docket by January 5, 2018. Commission Staff timely filed a proposed list of issues.

I. Issues to be Addressed

The Commission must provide to the administrative law judge a list of issues or areas to be addressed in any proceeding referred to the State Office of Administrative Hearings (SOAH).¹⁴ After reviewing the pleadings submitted by the parties, the Commission identifies the following issues that must be addressed in this docket:

⁸ Response Letter and Updated Rate Schedules, Attachment 1 (Jul. 27, 2017).

⁹ *Id.*

¹⁰ *Id.*

¹¹ *Id.*

¹² Commission Staff's Request for a Hearing (Dec. 1, 2017).

¹³ Order No. 8 Deeming Application and Notice Sufficient and Suspending Effective Date (Aug. 2, 2017).

¹⁴ Tex. Gov't Code Ann. § 2003.049(e) (West 2016).

1. What is the appropriate methodology to determine just and reasonable rates in this proceeding?
2. What are the just and reasonable rates for the utility that are sufficient, equitable, and consistent in application to each customer class and that are not unreasonably preferential, prejudicial, or discriminatory? TWC § 13.182, 13.1871(o); 16 TAC § 24.28(d).
3. What revenue requirement will give the utility a reasonable opportunity to earn a reasonable return on its invested capital used and useful in providing service to the public in excess of its reasonable and necessary operating expenses while preserving the utility's financial integrity? TWC § 13.183(a)(1)-(2); 16 TAC § 24.32(a).
4. Are the utility's proposed revisions to its tariffs and rate schedules appropriate?
5. What is the reasonable and necessary cost of providing service? 16 TAC § 24.31.
6. What adjustments, if any, should be made to the utility's proposed test-year data? TWC § 13.185(d)(1); 16 TAC § 24.31(b), (c)(5).
7. What is the appropriate debt-to-equity capital structure of the utility?
8. What is the appropriate weighted cost of capital (also called the overall rate of return), including return on equity and cost of debt for the utility? 16 TAC § 24.31(c)(1).
9. What are the reasonable and necessary components of the utility's invested capital? 16 TAC § 24.31(c)(2).
10. What is the amount for an allowance for funds used during construction, if any, that is being transferred to invested capital in this proceeding? If such amounts are being transferred, for what facilities and at what rate did the allowance for funds used during construction accrue?
11. What is the original cost of the property used and useful in providing water service to the public at the time the property was dedicated to public use? TWC § 13.185(b); 16 TAC § 24.31(c)(2)(A)-(B). What is the amount, if any, of accumulated depreciation on such property?
12. Is the utility seeking inclusion of construction work in progress? If so, what is the amount sought and for what facilities? Additionally, has the utility proven that the inclusion is

necessary to the financial integrity of the utility and that major projects under construction have been efficiently and prudently planned and managed? TWC § 13.185(b); 16 TAC § 24.31(c)(4).

13. Does the utility have any debt? If so, what is the cost of that debt?
14. What is the reasonable and necessary working capital allowance for the utility?
16 TAC § 24.31(c)(2)(C).
15. Does the utility have any sewer property that was acquired from an affiliate or a developer before September 1, 1976? If so, has such property been included by the utility in its rate base, and has it been included in all ratemaking formulas at the actual cost of the property rather than the price set between the entities? TWC § 13.185(i).
16. Has the utility acquired any sewer property from an affiliate? If so, do the payments for that property meet the requirements of TWC § 13.185(e)?
17. Has the utility financed any of its plant with developer contributions? TWC § 13.185(j), 16 TAC § 24.31(b)(1)(B). What is the amount, if any, of accumulated depreciation on that property?
18. Has the utility included any customer contributions or donations in invested capital?
TWC § 13.185(j), 16 TAC § 24.31(c)(2)(B)(v).
19. What are the utility's reasonable and necessary operations and maintenance expenses?
16 TAC § 24.31(b)(1)(A).
20. What are the utility's reasonable and necessary administrative and general expenses?
21. What is the reasonable and necessary amount for the utility's advertising expense, contributions, and donations? 16 TAC § 24.31(b)(1)(F).
22. Are any expenses, including but not limited to, executive salaries, advertising expenses, rate-case expenses, legal expenses, penalties and interest on overdue taxes, criminal penalties or fines, and civil penalties or fines, unreasonable, unnecessary, or not in the public interest? TWC § 13.185(h)(3); 16 TAC § 24.31(b)(2)(I).

23. If the utility has a self-insurance plan approved by the Commission or other regulatory authority, what is the approved target amount for the reserve account, and is it appropriate to charge that amount? What is the amount of any shortage or surplus for the reserve account, and what actions, if any, should be taken to return the reserve account to the approved target amount?
24. What are the utility's reasonable and necessary expenses, if any, for pension and other post-employment benefits?
25. Has the utility made any payments to affiliates?
 - a. For affiliate transactions that affect the cost of service, are these transactions reasonable and necessary? TWC § 13.185(e).
 - b. For all affiliated transactions affecting the cost of service, what are the costs to the affiliate of each item or class of items in question, and is the price for each transaction no higher than prices charged by the supplying affiliate to its other affiliates or divisions for the same item or items, or to unaffiliated persons or corporations? TWC § 13.185(e).
26. What is the reasonable and necessary depreciation expense? For each class of property, what are the proper and adequate depreciation rates (including service lives and salvage values) and methods of depreciation? TWC § 13.185(j); 16 TAC § 24.31(b)(1)(B).
27. Are any tax savings derived from liberalized depreciation and amortization, investment tax credits, or similar methods? If so, are such tax savings apportioned equitably between customers and the utility, and are the interests of present and future customers equitably balanced?
28. What is the reasonable and necessary amount, if any, for assessment and taxes other than federal income taxes? 16 TAC § 24.31(b)(1)(C).
29. What is the reasonable and necessary amount for the utility's federal-income-tax expense? 16 TAC § 24.31(b)(1)(D); TWC § 13.185(f).
 - a. Is the utility a member of an affiliated group that is eligible to file a consolidated income-tax return? TWC § 13.185(f).

- b. If so, have income taxes been computed as though a consolidated return had been filed and the utility had realized its fair share of the savings resulting from the consolidated return?
TWC § 13.185(f).
 - c. If not, has the utility demonstrated that it was reasonable not to consolidate returns?
TWC § 13.185(f).
30. What is the reasonable and necessary amount of the utility's accumulated reserve for deferred federal income taxes, unamortized investment tax credits, contingency reserves, property insurance reserves, contributions in aid of construction, customer deposits, and other sources of cost-free capital? What other items should be deducted from the utility's rate base?
31. What is the reasonable and necessary amount for municipal franchise fees, if any, to be included in rates?
32. What regulatory assets are appropriately included in the utility's rate base? If such assets are included in rate base, what is the appropriate treatment of such assets?
33. Is the utility seeking rates for both water and sewer service? If so, is each component of cost of service (each allowable expense and all return on invested capital) properly allocated between water and sewer services?
34. What is the appropriate allocation of costs and revenues among the utility's rate classes?
35. What is the appropriate rate design for each rate class? 16 TAC § 24.32.
36. Should the utility use the current number of connections as of the date of the application as opposed to using the number of test-year-end connections in designing rates?
37. What are the utility's expenses incurred in this rate proceeding that are just, reasonable, necessary, and in the public interest? 16 TAC § 24.33. Does that amount include any prospective rate-case expenses to be incurred after the Commission's final order? Should the utility be able to recover its reasonable and necessary rate-case expenses from ratepayers? If so, how should such expenses, if any, be recovered by the utility?
38. If a refund or surcharge results from this proceeding, how and over what period of time should that be made? TWC § 13.1871.

39. Has the utility met the requirements for a request for interim relief in the form of interim rates? If so, what are the appropriate levels of the interim rates? 16 TAC § 24.29.

40. What is the appropriate effective date of the rates fixed by the Commission in this proceeding? TWC § 13.1871.

This list of issues is not intended to be exhaustive. The parties and the ALJ are free to raise and address any issues relevant in this docket that they deem necessary, subject to any limitations imposed by the ALJ, or by the Commission in future orders issued in this docket. The Commission may identify and provide to the ALJ in the future any additional issues or areas that must be addressed, as permitted under Tex. Gov't Code Ann. § 2003.049(e).

II. Effect of Preliminary Order

This order is preliminary in nature and is entered without prejudice to any party expressing views contrary to this order before the SOAH ALJ at hearing. The SOAH ALJ, upon his or her own motion or upon the motion of any party, may deviate from this order when circumstances dictate that it is reasonable to do so. Any ruling by the SOAH ALJ that deviates from this order may be appealed to the Commission. The Commission will not address whether this order should be modified except upon its own motion or the appeal of a SOAH ALJ's order. Furthermore, this order is not subject to motions for rehearing or reconsideration.

Signed at Austin, Texas the _____ day of January 2018.

PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF TEXAS

DEANN T. WALKER, CHAIRMAN

BRANDY MARTY MARQUEZ, COMMISSIONER

ARTHUR C. D'ANDREA, COMMISSIONER