



Control Number: 46333



Item Number: 121

Addendum StartPage: 0

OPEN MEETING COVER SHEET

RECEIVED

2017 MAR -2 PM 1:57

PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
FILING CLERK

MEETING DATE: March 9, 2017

DATE DELIVERED: March 2, 2017

AGENDA ITEM NO.: 4

CAPTION: Docket No. 46333; SOAH Docket No. 473-17-2285.WS - Application of Pk-Re Development Company, Inc. d/b/a/ Greenshores Utility Services and d/b/a Oak Shores Water System for Authority to Change Rates and Tariffs in Travis County

ACTION REQUESTED: Discussion and possible action with respect to Preliminary Order

Distribution List:

Commissioners' Office (8)
Lloyd, Brian
Whittington, Pam
Gleeson, Thomas
Phillips, Michael
Central Records
Rogas, Keith (2)
Pemberton, Margaret (5)
Journey, Stephen
Burch, Chris
Tietjen, Darryl (2)
Long, Mick (2)
Smyth, Scott (2)
Benter, Tammy (4)
Gonzales, Adriana (if rulemaking)

Donna L. Nelson
Chairman

Kenneth W. Anderson, Jr.
Commissioner

Brandy Marty Marquez
Commissioner

Brian H. Lloyd
Executive Director



Greg Abbott
Governor

Public Utility Commission of Texas

TO: Chairman Donna L. Nelson
Commissioner Kenneth W. Anderson, Jr.
Commissioner Brandy Marty Marquez

All Parties of Record

FROM: David Hrnccir, Commission Advising

RE: March 9, 2017 Open Meeting Agenda Item No. 4
Draft Preliminary Order, Docket No. 46333, SOAH Docket No. 473-17-
2285.WS – *Application of PK-RE Development Company, Inc., D/B/A
Greenshores Utility Services and D/B/A Oak Shores Water System for Authority
to Change Rates and Tariffs in Travis County*

DATE: March 2, 2017

Please find enclosed the draft preliminary order filed by Commission Advising in the above-referenced docket. The Commission will consider this draft preliminary order at the March 9, 2017 open meeting. Parties shall not file responses or comments addressing this draft preliminary order.

Any modifications to the draft preliminary order that are proposed by one or more Commissioners will be filed simultaneously prior to the consideration of the matter at the March 9, 2017 open meeting.

RECEIVED
2017 MAR -2 PM 1:57
PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
FILING CLERK

Q:\CADM\ORDERS\PRELIM\46000\46333 dpo memo.docx



Printed on recycled paper

An Equal Opportunity Employer

**PUC DOCKET NO. 46333
SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-17-2285.WS**

APPLICATION OF PK-RE	§	PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
DEVELOPMENT COMPANY, INC.,	§	
D/B/A GREENSHORES UTILITY	§	OF TEXAS
SERVICES AND D/B/A OAK SHORES	§	
WATER SYSTEM FOR AUTHORITY	§	
TO CHANGE RATES AND TARIFFS IN	§	
TRAVIS COUNTY	§	

DRAFT PRELIMINARY ORDER

PK-RE Development Company, Inc. filed an application to change its rates and tariffs in Travis County. This preliminary order identifies the issues that must be addressed in this proceeding.

On October 12, 2016, PK-RE filed its application for authority to change the rates and tariffs for areas served under water CCN 12407 and sewer CCN 20948. For both its water and sewer rates, PK-RE proposes to phase in the rate increases over three years based on a test year ending on December 31, 2015. For water, the phase-in would constitute a 20% increase the first year, another 5% increase the next year, and a 13.1% increase the last year; thus, there would be a 38.1% total increase over three years. For sewer, PK-RE requests a total increase of 67.53%, with a 20% increase the first year, another 5% increase the second year, and a 42.53% increase the last year. For both water and sewer, the utility has proposed the following effective dates: January 28, 2017 for phase I; January 28, 2018 for phase II; and January 28, 2019 for phase III.

On January 18, 2017, the Commission's administrative law judge found notice sufficient and the application administratively complete. The docket was referred to the State Office of Administrative Hearings on January 24, 2017.

PK-RE was directed, and Commission Staff and other interested persons were allowed, to file a list of issues to be addressed in the docket and also identify any issues not to be addressed and any threshold legal or policy issues that should be addressed by February 10, 2017. The following parties timely filed lists of issues: Commission Staff, intervenor Greenshores on Lake Austin Property Owners' Association, Inc. and intervenor Undine Development LLC. Undine

intervened and participated because there is a pending application for PK-RE to sell all of the water systems under CCN 12407 and the sewer systems under CCN 20948 to Undine.¹ PK-RE did not file a list of issues.

I. Issues to be Addressed

The Commission must provide to the administrative law judge (ALJ) a list of issues or areas to be addressed in any proceeding referred to the State Office of Administrative Hearings (SOAH).² After reviewing the pleadings submitted by the parties, the Commission identifies the following issues that must be addressed in this docket:

1. What is the appropriate methodology to determine just and reasonable rates in this proceeding?
2. What are the just and reasonable rates for the utility that are sufficient, equitable, and consistent in application to each customer class and that are not unreasonably preferential, prejudicial, or discriminatory? TWC § 13.182, 13.1871(o); 16 TAC § 24.28(d).
3. What revenue requirement will give the utility a reasonable opportunity to earn a reasonable return on its invested capital used and useful in providing service to the public in excess of its reasonable and necessary operating expenses while preserving the utility's financial integrity? TWC § 13.183(a)(1)-(2); 16 TAC § 24.32(a).
 - a. Is the revenue requirement properly calculated?
 - b. What is causing the increase in the requested revenue requirement?
 - c. If the increase is caused by the installation of new facilities, on what dates were the facilities installed?
 - d. What is the basis for the three different revenue requirements on water schedule I-1 and sewer schedule I-1?
4. Are the utility's proposed revisions to its tariffs and rate schedules appropriate?
5. What is the reasonable and necessary cost of providing service? 16 TAC § 24.31.

¹ *Application of PK-RE Development Co., Inc. d/b/a Oak Shores Water System and Undine Development, LLC for Sale, Transfer, or Merger of Facilities and Certificate Rights in Travis County*, Docket No. 46150 (pending).

² Tex. Gov't Code Ann. § 2003.049(e) (West 2016).

6. What adjustments, if any, should be made to the utility's proposed test-year data?
TWC § 13.185(d)(1); 16 TAC § 24.31(b), (c)(5).
7. What is the appropriate debt-to-equity capital structure of the utility?
8. What is the appropriate weighted cost of capital (also called the overall rate of return), including return on equity and cost of debt for the utility? 16 TAC § 24.31(c)(1).
9. What are the reasonable and necessary components of the utility's invested capital?
16 TAC § 24.31(c)(2).
10. What is the amount for an allowance for funds used during construction, if any, that is being transferred to invested capital in this proceeding? If such amounts are being transferred, for what facilities and at what rate did the allowance for funds used during construction accrue?
11. What is the original cost of the property used and useful in providing water service to the public at the time the property was dedicated to public use? TWC § 13.185(b); 16 TAC § 24.31(c)(2)(A)-(B). What is the amount, if any, of accumulated depreciation on such property?
12. Is the utility seeking inclusion of construction work in progress? If so, what is the amount sought and for what facilities? Additionally, has the utility proven that the inclusion is necessary to the financial integrity of the utility and that major projects under construction have been efficiently and prudently planned and managed? TWC § 13.185(b); 16 TAC § 24.31(c)(4).
13. Does the utility have any debt? If so, what is the cost of that debt?
14. What is the reasonable and necessary working capital allowance for the utility?
16 TAC § 24.31(c)(2)(C).
15. Does the utility have any water or sewer property that was acquired from an affiliate or a developer before September 1, 1976? If so, has such property been included by the utility in its rate base, and has it been included in all ratemaking formulas at the actual cost of the property rather than the price set between the entities? TWC § 13.185(i).
16. Has the utility acquired any water property from an affiliate? If so, do the payments for that property meet the requirements of TWC § 13.185(e)?

17. Has the utility financed any of its plant with developer contributions? TWC § 13.185(j), 16 TAC § 24.31(b)(1)(B). What is the amount, if any, of accumulated depreciation on that property?
18. Has the utility included any customer contributions or donations in invested capital? TWC § 13.185(j), 16 TAC § 24.31(c)(2)(B)(v).
19. What are the utility's reasonable and necessary operations and maintenance expenses? 16 TAC § 24.31(b)(1)(A).
20. What are the utility's reasonable and necessary administrative and general expenses?
21. What is the reasonable and necessary amount for the utility's advertising expense, contributions, and donations? 16 TAC § 24.31(b)(1)(F).
22. Are any expenses, including but not limited to, executive salaries, advertising expenses, rate-case expenses, legal expenses, penalties and interest on overdue taxes, criminal penalties or fines, and civil penalties or fines, unreasonable, unnecessary, or not in the public interest? TWC § 13.185(h)(3); 16 TAC § 24.31(b)(2)(I).
23. If the utility has a self-insurance plan approved by the Commission or other regulatory authority, what is the approved target amount for the reserve account, and is it appropriate to charge that amount? What is the amount of any shortage or surplus for the reserve account, and what actions, if any, should be taken to return the reserve account to the approved target amount?
24. What are the utility's reasonable and necessary expenses, if any, for pension and other post-employment benefits?
25. Has the utility made any payments to affiliates?
 - a. For affiliate transactions that affect the cost of service, are these transactions reasonable and necessary? TWC § 13.185(e).
 - b. For all affiliated transactions affecting the cost of service, what are the costs to the affiliate of each item or class of items in question, and is the price for each transaction no higher than prices charged by the supplying affiliate to its other affiliates or divisions for the same item or items, or to unaffiliated persons or corporations? TWC § 13.185(e).

26. What is the reasonable and necessary depreciation expense? For each class of property, what are the proper and adequate depreciation rates (including service lives and salvage values) and methods of depreciation? TWC § 13.185(j); 16 TAC § 24.31(b)(1)(B).
27. Are any tax savings derived from liberalized depreciation and amortization, investment tax credits, or similar methods? If so, are such tax savings apportioned equitably between customers and the utility, and are the interests of present and future customers equitably balanced?
28. What is the reasonable and necessary amount, if any, for assessment and taxes other than federal income taxes? 16 TAC § 24.31(b)(1)(C).
29. What is the reasonable and necessary amount for the utility's federal income tax expense? 16 TAC § 24.31(b)(1)(D); TWC § 13.185(f).
 - a. Is the utility a member of an affiliated group that is eligible to file a consolidated income tax return? TWC § 13.185(f).
 - b. If so, have income taxes been computed as though a consolidated return had been filed and the utility had realized its fair share of the savings resulting from the consolidated return? TWC § 13.185(f).
 - c. If not, has the utility demonstrated that it was reasonable not to consolidate returns? TWC § 13.185(f).
30. What is the reasonable and necessary amount of the utility's accumulated reserve for deferred federal income taxes, unamortized investment tax credits, contingency reserves, property insurance reserves, contributions in aid of construction, customer deposits, and other sources of cost-free capital? What other items should be deducted from the utility's rate base?
31. What is the reasonable and necessary amount for municipal franchise fees, if any, to be included in rates?
32. What regulatory assets are appropriately included in the utility's rate base? If such assets are included in rate base, what is the appropriate treatment of such assets?

33. Is the utility seeking rates for both water and sewer service? If so, is each component of cost of service (each allowable expense and all return on invested capital) properly allocated between water and sewer services?
34. What is the appropriate allocation of costs and revenues among the utility's rate classes?
35. What is the appropriate rate design for each rate class? 16 TAC § 24.32.
36. Should the utility use the current number of connections as of the date of the application as opposed to using the number of test-year-end connections in designing rates?
37. What are the utility's expenses incurred in this rate proceeding that are just, reasonable, necessary, and in the public interest? 16 TAC § 24.33. Does that amount include any anticipated expenses to appeal this docket that are just, reasonable, necessary, and in the public interest? Should the utility be able to recover its reasonable and necessary rate-case expenses from ratepayers? If so, how should such expenses, if any, be recovered by the utility?
38. Is it reasonable and appropriate, as the utility has proposed, to phase in new water and sewer rates over three years?
 - a. What is the basis for phasing in the rates?
 - b. What is the basis for the percentage increases in each phase?
 - c. Does 16 TAC § 24.34(b) regarding phased and multi-step rate changes apply? If so, does the utility's application comply with its provisions?
39. If a refund or surcharge results from this proceeding, how and over what period of time should that be made? TWC § 13.1871.
40. Has the utility met the requirements for a request for interim relief in the form of interim rates? If so, what are the appropriate levels of the interim rates? 16 TAC § 24.29.
41. What is the appropriate effective date of the rates fixed by the Commission in this proceeding? TWC § 13.1871.

This list of issues is not intended to be exhaustive. The parties and the ALJ are free to raise and address any issues relevant in this docket that they deem necessary, subject to any limitations imposed by the ALJ or by the Commission in future orders issued in this docket. The Commission

may identify and provide to the ALJ in the future any additional issues or areas that must be addressed, as permitted under Tex. Gov't Code Ann. § 2003.049(e).

II. Effect of Preliminary Order

This order is preliminary in nature and is entered without prejudice to any party expressing views contrary to this order before the SOAH ALJ at hearing. The SOAH ALJ, upon his or her own motion or upon the motion of any party, may deviate from this order when circumstances dictate that it is reasonable to do so. Any ruling by the SOAH ALJ that deviates from this order may be appealed to the Commission. The Commission will not address whether this order should be modified except upon its own motion or the appeal of a SOAH ALJ's order. Furthermore, this order is not subject to motions for rehearing or reconsideration.

Signed at Austin, Texas the _____ day of March 2017.

PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF TEXAS

DONNA L. NELSON, CHAIRMAN

KENNETH W. ANDERSON, JR., COMMISSIONER

BRANDY MARTY MARQUEZ, COMMISSIONER