

Control Number: 46120



Item Number: 44

Addendum StartPage: 0

# SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-16-5823.WS PUC DOCKET NO. 46120

RECEIVED 7016 DEC 12 AM 9: 09)

| CITY OF MIDLOTHIAN'S NOTICE OF   | § | BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE CHMISSION. |
|----------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| INTENT TO SERVE AREA             | § | FILING CLERK                       |
| DECERTIFIED FROM MOUNTAIN        | § | OF                                 |
| PEAK SPECIAL UTILITY DISTRICT IN | § |                                    |
| ELLIS COUNTY                     | § | ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS            |

# SOAH ORDER NO. 5 MEMORIALIZING PREHEARING CONFERENCE; ESTABLISHING PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE; NOTICE OF PREHEARING CONFERENCE; NOTICE OF HEARING ON THE MERITS

On December 8, 2016, a prehearing conference convened with the City of Midlothian (City), Mountain Peak Special Utility District (Mountain Peak), and Staff (Staff) of the Public Utility Commission of Texas (Commission) in attendance.

### I. BURDEN OF PROOF

The Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) informed the parties that in his view the City bears the burden of persuasion in this matter, but that once the City had presented its positon the burden of going forward with the evidence shifted to Mountain Peak.<sup>1</sup> The ALJ also told the parties that he is cognizant of the fact that Mountain Peak has a vested interest in the determination of the property rendered valueless or useless as a result of the decertification and would take all necessary steps to ensure that Mountain Peak's rights were preserved.

#### II. PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE

The parties agreed that the following procedural schedule would govern this proceeding, and the ALJ adopted the following schedule:

44

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The ALJ is aware that on December 2, 2016, the Commission published a proposed rule stating that the former certificate holder (in this case, Mountain Peak) bears the burden of proof in cases such as these. As the rule is not yet adopted and is still subject to change, the ALJ declines to assign the burden of proof in a manner different than that stated above.

| Deadline          | Action Item                                    |
|-------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| January 17, 2017  | Direct Testimony of the City and Mountain      |
| ,                 | Péak                                           |
| January 23, 2017  | Objections to Direct Testimony of the City and |
| ,                 | Mountain Peak                                  |
| January 27, 2017  | Responses to Objections to Direct Testimony    |
|                   | of the City or Mountain Peak                   |
| January 27, 2017  | Staff Direct Testimony                         |
| February 3, 2017  | Objections to Staff Direct Testimony           |
| February 17, 2017 | Staff Response to Objections to Staff Direct   |
| ·                 | Testimony                                      |
| February 17, 2017 | Cross-rebuttal Testimony of the City and       |
|                   | Mountain Peak                                  |
| February 23, 2017 | Objections to Cross-rebuttal Testimony         |
| February 28, 2017 | Replies to Objections to Cross-rebuttal        |
| ,                 | Testimony                                      |
| March 3, 2017     | Prehearing Conference (if needed)              |
| March 3, 2017     | Statements of Position Due                     |
| March 7, 2017     | Hearing on the Merits                          |

## III. AGREED SERVICE PROCEDURES

The parties informed the ALJ that they had agreed that service could be effected through email in addition to the traditional manner of service. This was acceptable to the ALJ, who reminded the parties that service of orders by the ALJ can be effected through email if a request for email service is made in the manner indicated of the SOAH website at <a href="https://www.soah.texas.gov">www.soah.texas.gov</a>.

# IV. DISCOVERY LIMITATIONS

The parties agreed that once testimony is filed, the parties are limited to propounding no more than two sets of written requests for information, requests for admission, and requests for production of documents that, in total, require no more than 30 responses (including subparts). This limit does not include discovery served before the date of the December 8, 2016, prehearing conference.

# V. NOTICE OF PREHEARING CONFERENCE

The ALJ will convene a prehearing conference at 10:00 a.m., March 3, 2017, in a fourth floor hearing room at SOAH, located in the William P. Clements Building, 300 West 15th Street, Austin, Texas. The purpose of this prehearing conference will be to address any outstanding motions before the commencement of the hearing on the merits and any other matters raised in motions filed by 3:00 p.m. on March 2, 2017, and served on the ALJ by email delivered to his Administrative Assistant, Erin Hurley at erin.hurley@soah.texas.gov.

# VI. NOTICE OF HEARING ON THE MERITS

The hearing on the merits in this matter will convene at **9:00 a.m. on March 7, 2017**, at the SOAH hearing rooms located at the William P. Clements Office Building, 300 West 15th, Fourth Floor, Austin, Texas, and will continue until concluded. The hearing is currently scheduled to last for one day.

SIGNED December 9, 2015.

STEVEN D. ARNOLD

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW-JUDGE

STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS