

Control Number: 45624



Item Number: 281

Addendum StartPage: 0

SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-16-275 CEIVED PUC DOCKET NO. 45624

2016 APR 27 PM 3: 56

| APPLICATION OF THE CITY OF | § | BEFORE:THE: STATE:OFFICE |
|-------------------------------|---|--------------------------|
| GARLAND TO AMEND A | § | DHOS WILLIAM TO THE |
| CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE | § | |
| AND NECESSITY FOR THE | § | OF |
| PROPOSED RUSK TO PANOLA | § | O. |
| DOUBLE CIRCUIT 345-KV | § | |
| TRANSMISSION LINE IN RUSK AND | § | ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS |
| PANOLA COUNTIES, TEXAS | § | ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS |

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

JAMES STUART JAMES

APRIL 27, 2016



SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-16-2751 PUC DOCKET NO. 45624

| APPLICATION OF THE CITY OF | § | BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE |
|-------------------------------|---|--|
| GARLAND TO AMEND A | § | |
| CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE | § | |
| AND NECESSITY FOR THE | § | OF |
| PROPOSED RUSK TO PANOLA | § | . |
| DOUBLE CIRCUIT 345-KV | § | |
| TRANSMISSION LINE IN RUSK AND | § | ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS |
| PANOLA COUNTIES. TEXAS | Ş | ADMINIOTICATION TO THE MANAGEMENT OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR |

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JAMES STUART JAMES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| l. | QUALIFICATIONS AND EXPERIENCE | 3 |
|------|---|---|
| П. | PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY | 4 |
| 111. | WHY THE LINE SHOULD NOT CROSS MY PROPERTY | 4 |
| IV. | A ROUTE THAT PROTECTS COMMUNITY VALUES | 7 |
| V. | A REASONABLE CONDITION THAT SHOULD APPLY | 8 |
| VI. | CONCLUSION | 8 |

SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-16-2751 PUC DOCKET NO. 45624

| APPLICATION OF THE CITY OF GARLAND TO AMEND A | 9 | BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE |
|--|--------|-------------------------|
| CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY FOR THE | § & | |
| PROPOSED RUSK TO PANOLA | Š | OF |
| DOUBLE CIRCUIT 345-KV | § | |
| TRANSMISSION LINE IN RUSK AND PANOLA COUNTIES, TEXAS | § 8 | ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS |
| TANGLA GOOM TIEG, TEXTO | 3 | |

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

1 I. QUALIFICATIONS AND EXPERIENCE

- 2 Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND ADDRESS.
- 3 A. My name is James Stuart James.
- 4 My address is:
- 5 3021 Wyatt St.
- 6 Bay City, TX 77414
- 7 Q. HAVE YOU EVER PROVIDED TESTIMONY BEFORE THE PUBLIC
- 8 UTILITY COMMISSION OF TEXAS BEFORE?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. WOULD YOUR PROPERTY BE AFFECTED BY ONE OR MORE OF
- 11 THE SEGMENTS USED TO MAKE ONE OR MORE OF THE
- 12 PROPOSED ROUTES FOR THE CITY OF GARLAND'S APPLICATION?
- 13 IF SO, PLEASE IDENTIFY THE SEGMENTS THAT WOULD AFFECT
- 14 YOUR LAND.
- 15 A. My property is adversely affected by Segment 32.

| 1 | Q. | WHAT MATERIALS HAVE YOU REVIEWED FOR YOUR |
|----|----|---|
| 2 | | PREPARATION OF THIS TESTIMONY? |
| 3 | A. | I have reviewed the statutory routing criteria and the routing criteria set out |
| 4 | | in the Commission's rule provided to me by my attorney. |
| 5 | Q. | IS THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN YOUR TESTIMONY TRUE AND |
| 6 | | CORRECT TO THE BEST OF YOUR INFORMATION? |
| 7 | A. | Yes. |
| 8 | | II. PURPOSE OF THE TESTIMONY |
| 9 | Q. | What is the purpose of your testimony? |
| 10 | A. | The purpose of my testimony is to show that the facts demonstrate why it |
| 11 | | would be contrary to the routing criteria set out in the statute and |
| 12 | | Commission rules for the proposed transmission line to be routed on my |
| 13 | | property. I also discuss a that is being discussed among landowners that I |
| 14 | | believe most Intervenors would support and that would comply with |
| 15 | | Panola County community values. |
| 16 | | III. WHY THE LINE SHOULD NOT CROSS MY PROPERTY |
| 17 | Q. | PLEASE DESCRIBE THE BACKGROUND OF THE ACQUISITION OF |
| 18 | | YOUR PROPERTY AND HOW IT IS UTILIZED. |
| 19 | A. | I purchased the land over the phone from Dr. Neugent after his mother |
| 20 | | Mrs. Margery Neugent was murdered by Bernie Tiedy, as made into the |
| 21 | | movie "Bernie". My beautiful farm is located east of FM Rd 699 between |
| 22 | | Carthage and Old Center. It is bordered on the east by the Sabine River. |
| 23 | | The north boundary is PR 831 and the south boundary is CR 437. The |

land is an income producing timber farm. Since purchasing the property in 1998 I have improved the property by planting a combination of oak hardwood and loblolly pine. I have a professional forester consult with me on the planting, care and production of the timber. It also serves as a wildlife habitat. I expressly planted 30% hardwood oak, even though it produces less income, for wildlife habitat. The routing of the transmission line on my property would destroy my intended purpose in buying the property and adversely affect the beauty of my home and its safety by the close proximity of the transmission line.

- 10 Q. WHAT, IF ANY, ADVERSE IMPACT WOULD THE USE OF THE
 11 SEGMENT AFFECTING YOUR LAND HAVE ON HISTORICAL AND
 12 AESTHETIC VALUES AND ENVIRONMENTAL INTEGRITY?
- 13 A. The transmission line would cut diagonally across my property. By cutting
 14 close to my pristine log home, it disturbs the tranquility and beauty of the
 15 area. It would also cross my driveway diminishing the aesthetic of the
 16 property. It is also believed that there are American Indian archaeological
 17 sites on the property. American Indian arrowheads have been found.
- 18 Q. ARE THERE ANY NEARBY RECREATIONAL OR PARK AREAS THAT

 19 WOULD BE AFFECTED IF THE ROUTE USING THE SEGMENT

 20 IMPACTING YOUR PROPERTY IS USED?
- 21 A. Yes. My property is on the Sabine River where fishermen and boats are 22 often found. It is not uncommon to find a group using my riverbank to fish.

- 1 Q. HAS THE PROPOSED TRANSMISSION LINE BEEN ROUTED ON
- 2 YOUR PROPERTY SO AS TO MODERATE ANY IMPACT TO YOU AND
- **YOUR FAMILY?**
- 4 A. No, it has not. It simply cuts diagonally through my property. It does not
- 5 parallel property lines, roads, highways, or other comparable right-of-way.
- 6 Q. DOES THE SEGMENT ON YOUR PROPERTY PARALLEL AN
- 7 EXISTING TRANSMISSION LINE? IF IT DOES, HOW MANY
- 8 TRANSMISSION LINES ALREADY CROSS YOUR PROPERTY?
- 9 WOULD THE NEW LINES BE CLOSER TO A HABITABLE
- 10 STRUCTURE THAN ANY EXISTING TRANSMISSION LINES ON YOUR
- 11 **PROPERTY?**
- 12 A. There are no existing transmission lines close to the property. The route
- destroys additional habitat and aesthetics in the county.
- 14 Q. IS THE ROUTING OF THE TRANSMISSION LINE ON YOUR
- 15 **PROPERTY WITHIN 500 FEET OF A HABITABLE STRUCTURE?**
- 16 A. Yes, the beautiful log home is much closer than 500 feet to the centerline
- of the right-of-way.
- 18 Q. ARE THERE ANY HEALTH ISSUES THAT COULD BE ADVERSELY
- 19 AFFECTED IF THE TRANSMISSION LINE IS ROUTED ACROSS YOUR
- 20 **PROPERTY? IF SO, PLEASE EXPLAIN.**
- 21 A. As a timber producer, I have a man lift to trim trees. It would be very
- dangerous to have it operating so close to a high voltage power line.

| 1 | Q. | IN YOUR OPINION, DOES THE ROUTING OF THE TRANSMISSION |
|----|----|---|
| 2 | | LINE ON YOUR PROPERTY CONFORM WITH COMMUNITY VALUES? |
| 3 | | IF NOT, PLEASE EXPLAIN WHY IT DOES NOT. |
| 4 | A. | No it does not. Garland has not attempted to work with any landowner to |
| 5 | | minimize the impact of the line on the property. It has not followed the |
| 6 | | routing criteria set out in the statute or the Commission's rules. |
| 7 | Q. | WOULD THE ROUTING OF THE TRANSMISSION LINE ON YOUR |
| 8 | | PROPERTY ADVERSELY AFFECT YOUR BUSINESS OR OTHER |
| 9 | | INCOME PRODUCING ACTIVITIES? IF SO, PLEASE EXPLAIN |
| 10 | A. | Yes, the farm is an income producing timber farm. It will adversely affect |
| 11 | | the income from timber production. Timber almost ready for harvesting |
| 12 | | will be destroyed. |
| 13 | | IV. A ROUTE THAT PROTECTS COMMUNITY VALUES |
| 14 | Q. | WHAT ROUTE, IN YOUR OPINION, BEST SUPPORT COMMUNITY |
| 15 | | VALUES? |
| 16 | A. | A route comprised of segments 1, 7, 9, 13, 23, 24, 28, 31, 34, 41, and 43. |
| 17 | | It appears from City of Garland's map that there are only six Intervenors |
| 18 | | that are on those segments. It seems they have only three habitable |
| 19 | | structures that are within 500 feet of the transmission line. I also strongly |
| 20 | | recommend that the utility be required to route the transmission line on the |
| 21 | | Intervenors property so that it would have minimal impact on their property |
| | | |

1 V. A REASONABLE CONDITION THAT SHOULD APPLY

- 2 Q. ARE THERE REASONABLE CONDITIONS THAT SHOULD BE
- 3 INCLUDED IN THE ORDER IN THIS PROCEEDING THAT WOULD BE
- 4 IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST?
- 5 Α. Yes. City of Garland said at the technical conference held in Carthage, 6 Texas on April 20, 2016 that no progress had been made to construct the 7 transmission line to Mississippi and Alabama from the DC tie because of 8 financial reasons. I urge the Commission to condition the condemnation 9 and purchase of transmission easement for the City of Garland's proposed 10 Rusk to Panola 345 kV transmission project until Southern Cross provides 11 sufficient evidence to this Commission that sufficient financing and state 12 authority has been obtained to construct the transmission line to 13 Mississippi and Alabama. Panola landowners should not have their land 14 taken if in fact the transmission line proposed to be built to Mississippi and 15 Alabama is never built. Without the proposed transmission line in SERC 16 being built there will be no Southern Cross Project. It is reasonable and in 17 the public interest to ensure that landowner property is not condemmed if 18 the project will never come to fruition.

19 VI. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

- 20 Q. DOES THIS COMPLETE YOUR TESTIMONY?
- 21 A. Yes, it does.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was served on all parties in compliance with the Judge's Order No. 3 on the 27th day of April, 2016.