

Control Number: 45624



Item Number: 266

Addendum StartPage: 0

# SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-16-2751 RECEIVED PUC DOCKET NO. 45624

2016 APR 27 PH 3: 43

APPLICATION OF THE CITY OF	§	BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE
GARLAND TO AMEND A	§	DE ONE PUBLIC CITY CITY
CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE	§	
AND NECESSITY FOR THE	§	OF
PROPOSED RUSK TO PANOLA	§	<b>O</b> I
DOUBLE CIRCUIT 345-KV	§	
TRANSMISSION LINE IN RUSK AND	§	ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
PANOLA COUNTIES, TEXAS	§	ADMINIS INATIVE TRANINGO

### DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

#### **CRAIG GIBBS**

#### 1 Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND ADDRESS.

- 2 A. My name is Craig Gibbs.
- 3 My address is:

¢

- 4 4515 US Hwy 59 South
- 5 Tenaha, Texas 75974

### 6 Q. DO YOU OPPOSE THE ROUTING OF THE RUSK TO PANOLA 345 KV

## 7 TRANSMISSION LINE BEING ON YOUR PROPERTY?

Yes, I do oppose the transmission line crossing my property on Segment 8 Α. 29. The proposal goes against PUC criteria of prudent avoidance and 9 paralleling property lines. The proposal splits my property in half and 10 greatly devalues it as well. My home would be approximately 200' from 11 the edge of the proposed ROW. We already have a line about 200' from 12 Combining these lines would pose EMF potential health our home. 13 hazards. The proposed route on Segment 45 goes approximately over 14 the top of her existing home. Not acceptable at all. 15

266

# Q. IS THERE A ROUTE YOU SUPPORT AS BEING IN ACCORDANCE WITH COMMUNITY VALUES?

3 Α. Yes, there was discussion of a route at a meeting held in Carthage that that I support. It includes Segments 1, 7, 9, 13, 23, 24, 28, 31, 34, 41, and 4 5 43. There were very few Intervenors that would be affected and the line would be over only three habitable structures. It was also a route where 6 7 one of the Intervenors preferred that the line to be routed rather than two other Segments where the line would cross her property. I believe most if 8 9 not all, the other affected Intervenors could have concerns addressed if 10 Garland attempted to do so. For instance, most people prefer the line 11 follow their property line, rather than bisect their land.

12 Q. DO YOU BELIEVE THE ROUTE YOU DESCRIBE WOULD BE IN

# 13 KEEPING WITH COMMUNITY VALUES?

- 14 A. Yes, as based on my discussion above.
- 15 Q. DOES THIS COMPLETE YOUR TESTIMONY?
- 16 A. Yes, it does.

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was served on all parties in compliance with the Judge's Order No. 3 on the 27<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2016.

p Campbe