

Control Number: 45570



Item Number: 315

Addendum StartPage: 0

UC DOCKET NO. 45570 – M

1 2 3

4

5 6 RECEIVED

SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-16-2873.WS PUC DOCKET NO. 45570 2016 SEP -6 AM 11: 31

PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION FILING CLERK

APPLICATION OF MONARCH UTILÎTIES I, L.P. TO CHANGE RATES FOR WATER AND SEWER SERVICE

BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE

OF

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS



8 9

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

10 11 12

LEILA C. GUERRERO

13 14

WATER UTILITY REGULATION DIVISION

15

Direct Testimony of Leila C. Guerrero

Page | 1

	TUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF TEAS
	AUGUST 24, 2016
	WITH FIRST ERRATA
	TABLE OF CONTENTS
I.	PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATIONS 3
II.	PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF TESTIMONY4
III.	SUMMARY OF MONARCH UTILITIES I, L.P.'s REQUEST7
IV.	DEFINITIONS AND ATTACHMENTS 8
v.	SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS 12
VI.	ADJUSTMENTS TO THE REVENUE REQUIREMENT 12
VII.	FINAL RECOMMENDATION28
<u>ATT</u>	ACHMENTS:
LO	G-1 Resume
LO	G-2 Prior Written Testimonies
Er	rata-LG-3.W Revenue Requirement Worksheet - Water
<u>E</u> 1	rata-LG-3.S Revenue Requirement Worksheet – Sewer
<u>E</u> 1	rata-LG-4.W Operations & Maintenance Expenses and Taxes Worksheet - Water
$\underline{\mathbf{E}}_{1}$	rata-LG-4.S Operations & Maintenance Expenses and Taxes Worksheet - Sewer
<u>E</u> :	rata-LG-5.W Weighted Cost of Capital, Invested Capital, and Return - Water
E	rata-LG-5.S Weighted Cost of Capital, Invested Capital, and Return - Sewer
	rrata-LG-6.W Federal Income Tax Worksheet - Water
	rrata-LG-6.S Federal Income Tax Worksheet – Sewer
	rrata-LG-7.W Working Capital Allowance – Worksheet - Water
	rrata-LG- 7.S Working Capital Allowance – Worksheet - Sewer
Ē	rrata-LG- 8 Revenue Requirement – Staff Summary and Reconciliation
C	ONFIDENTIAL WORKPAPERS:
_	ON THE STATE OF CONTRACT OF CO
	WP-LG-1
	WP-LG-2
	WP-LG-3
	WP-LG-4
	WP-LG-5
	WP-LG-6
	WP-LG-7
	WP-LG-8
	WP-LG-9
	WP-LG-10

2			I. <u>PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATIONS</u>
3	Q.]	Please state your name and business address for the record.
4	A.]	My name is Leila C. Guerrero. My business address is 1701 N. Congress Avenue, Austin, Texas.
5	Q.		By whom are you currently employed and how long have you been employed there?
6	A.		I am employed by the Public Utility Commission of Texas (PUC or Commission) as a Financial Examiner
7			since September 1, 2014. Prior to the transfer of water and sewer rate regulation from the Texas Commission
8			on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) to the PUC, I was employed by the TCEQ from May 2006 to August 31,
9			2014, as an Auditor.
10	Q.		Please describe your current job responsibilities at PUC.
11	A.		My responsibilities include reviewing and processing contested and uncontested rate change applications,
12			sale, transfer or merger applications (STMs), application to obtain or amend certificates of convenience and
13			necessity (CCNs), and rate appeals filed with the PUC. For contested applications, my responsibilities
14			include testifying as an expert witness on accounting matters in rate-cases, financial and managerial aspects
15			of CCNs, STMs, and participating in the overall examination, review, and analysis of such applications. My
16			work also involves conducting regulatory audits of the books and records of both water and sewer retail
17			public utilities. Please see the copy of my resume attached to my pre-filed testimony as Attachment LG-1
18			for additional information on my background and qualifications and Attachment LG-2 for the list of my
19			previous testimonies.
20			
21	Q	<u></u> }.	Please briefly describe your educational background and your past professional experience.
22	A	٩.	I earned a Bachelor of Science degree in Business Administration with an accounting major from the Ortanez
23			University and a Master of Business Administration degree from the University of Santo Tomas in Manila,
24			Philippines. I am a licensed Certified Public Accountant (CPA) in the Philippines and a member of the
25			Philippine Institute of CPAs. I am current with my Continuing Professional Education (CPE) requirements
26			to maintain my CPA license. My continuing education courses include auditing, accounting, and various
27			income tax classes.
28			Prior to joining TCEQ, I was employed by the Texas Department of State Health Services in Austin, Texas,
	D	irec	et Testimony of Leila C. Guerrero Page 3 August 24, 2016

1		as a lead	d accountant in the accounting division. Furthermore, my work experience includes mo	re than 30
2		years in	various positions, both in technical and managerial level positions in accounting, aud	iting, cash
3		manager	ement, billing and collection, budgeting, financial analysis, and operations of financial inst	titutions. I
4		also pos	ssess hands-on experience in dealing with external auditors and CPA firms in the Philippi	nes as well
5		as in the	e United States.	
6	Q.	In the c	course of your employment with the TCEQ and the PUC, approximately how many r	ate related
7		applica	ations have been assigned to you?	
8	A.	I háve b	been assigned over 250 rate related applications including rate change requests and rate app	eals during
9		my emp	ployment with the TCEQ and the PUC.	
10			II. PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF TESTIMONY	
11	Q.	What is t	the purpose of your testimony?	
12	Α.	The pu	surpose of my testimony is to present the Commission Staff's (Staff) recommendation	n regarding
13		Monard	rch Utilities 1, L.P.'s ("Applicant" or "Monarch") revenue requirement or cost of service,	, for the test
14		year en	ending June 30, 2015, with respect to Monarch's rate change application for both water and	sewer. This
15		recomi	amendation pertains to the following issues from the preliminary order for this case:	
16		1.	Did Monarch comply with the instructions in the Commission's rate filing package	for Class A
17			water utilities?	
18		. 2.	Is Monarch's application administratively complete, as required by the Commission's	s procedural
19			rules?	
20		4.	What are the just and reasonable rates for Monarch that are sufficient, equitable, and	consistent in
21			application to each customer class and that are not unreasonably preferential, pr	ejudicial, or
22		·	discriminatory?	
23		5.	What revenue requirement will give the utility a reasonable opportunity to earn a reasonable opportunity	onable return
24			on its invested capital used and useful in providing retail service to the public in	excess of its
25		ď	reasonable and necessary operating expenses while preserving its financial integrity?	
26		7.	What is the reasonable and necessary cost of providing retail water service?	
27		8.	What adjustments, if any, should be made to Monarch's proposed test-year data?	
28		18.	What is Monarch's reasonable and necessary working capital allowance?	
	D.	rect Testim	nony of Leila C. Guerrero Page 4 Augus	et 24 2016

SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-16-2873.WS PUC DOCKET NO. 45570 – MONARCH UTILITIES I, L.P.

1 2	23.	Are Monarch's proposed pro forma rate-base and expense adjustments consistent with the requirements of 16 Tex. Admin. Code § 24.31 (16 TAC)?
3	24.	What are Monarch's reasonable and necessary operations and maintenance expenses?
4	25.	What are Monarch's reasonable and necessary administrative and general expenses?
5 6	26.	What is the reasonable and necessary amount for Monarch's advertising expense, contributions, and donations?
7 8 9 10	. 27.	If Monarch has a self-insurance plan approved by the Commission or other regulatory authority, what is the approved target amount for the reserve account, and is it appropriate to change that amount? What is the amount of any shortage or surplus for the reserve account for the approved plan, and what actions, if any, should be taken to return the reserve account to the approved target amount?
12 13	28.	What are Monarch's reasonable and necessary expenses, if any, for pension and other post-employment benefits?
14 15 16	29.	Are any expenses, including but not limited to, executive salaries, advertising expenses, legal expenses, penalties and interest on overdue taxes, criminal penalties or fines, and civil penalties or fines, unreasonable, unnecessary, or not in the public interest?
17 18	33.	What is the reasonable and necessary amount, if any, for Monarch's assessment and taxes other than federal income taxes?
19	34.	What is the reasonable and necessary amount for Monarch's federal income tax expense?
20 21	38.	Is Monarch seeking rates for both water and sewer service? If so, is the revenue requirement properly allocated between water and sewer services?
22	39.	What is the appropriate allocation of the revenue requirement among rate classes?
23	43.	Has Monarch made any payments to affiliates?
24 25		a. For affiliate transactions that affect the cost of service, are these transactions reasonable and necessary?
26 27 28		b. For all affiliated transactions affecting the cost of service, what are the costs to the affiliate(s) for each item or class of items in question, and is the price for each transaction no higher than prices charged by the supplying affiliate(s) to its other affiliate(s) or
29 30 31	45.	division(s) for the same item(s), or to unaffiliated person(s) or corporation(s)? If a refund or surcharge results from this proceeding, how and over what period of time do you recommend that should be made?
32	47. Direct Testin	What is the appropriate effective date of the rates fixed by the Commission in this proceeding? mony of Leila C. Guerrero Page 5 August 24, 2016

	1000	OCKET NO. 43570 - WOMARCH CHIEFIES I, L.I.
1		I will present the Staff's recommendation as to the total revenue requirement or cost of service including
2		operations and maintenance expenses, taxes, and the total invested capital (rate base), inclusive of working
3		capital allowance. As part of my testimony, I have incorporated adjustments recommended by Staff
4		witnesses Ms. Heidi Graham, Ms. Jolie Mathis, Ms. Emily Sears, and Ms. Debi Loockerman. I prepared or
5		supervised preparation of all the attachments in this testimony.
6	Q. 、	Please explain the scope of your participation in the present proceeding.
7	A.	My participation in this proceeding was conducted with respect to the requirements in Texas Water Code
8		Ann. §§ 13.131, 13.136, 13.182, 13.185, and 13.187 (TWC), and 16 TAC Chapter 24, and can be summarized
9		as follows:
10		1. I reviewed the application and information provided by the Applicant subsequent to its original
11		application filing and all supplemental filings and amendments to the application filed regarding
12		this matter;
13		2. I reviewed the Applicant's responses to requests for information (RFIs);
14		3. I reviewed the information filed by all parties as part of formal discovery and pre-filed testimonies
15		for this proceeding;
16		4. I am presenting Commission Staff's ("Staff") recommendation for the revenue requirement and total
17		invested capital necessary to provide continuous and adequate service to the current and future
18	ı	Monarch customers.
19		5. Together with Ms. Graham, Ms. Mathis, Ms. Sears, and Ms. Loockerman, I will present my
20		recommendation regarding the approval or denial of the application.
21	Q.	What standards did you apply during your review of this application?
22	A.	I performed my review in accordance with the utility rate-making standards established by TWC Chapter 13;
23.		16 TAC Chapter 24; the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners (NARUC) and the
24		American Water Works Manual of Water Supply Practices, Fifth Edition (herein referred to as AWWA M1
25		Manual). In addition, I am guided by the Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) and the
26		Generally Accepted Auditing Standards (GAAS). More specifically, because this application was filed by a
27		utility with more than 10,000 active water connections, I reviewed the application with respect to the criteria
28		in TWC § 13.187 for Class A utilities and 16 TAC §§ 24.22, 24.23, 24.24, 24.26, 24.28, 24.29, 24.31, and
	Dir	rect Testimony of Leila C. Guerrero Page 6 August 24, 2016

1		24.34.
2	Q.	What is the standard that you are using to make your determination concerning the reasonableness of
3		costs requested by Monarch in this proceeding?
4	A.	The standards that I am using are:
5		1) 16 TAC § 24.31(b) as effective when the application was filed, which states:
6		"Allowable expenses. Only those expenses that are reasonable and necessary to provide service to the
7		ratepayers may be included in allowable expenses. In computing the utility's allowable expenses,
8		only the utility's test year expenses as adjusted for known and measurable changes may be
9		considered."
10		2) TWC § 13.185 (e) which states: "Payment to affiliated interests for costs of any services, or any property,
11		right or thing, or for interest expense may not be allowed either as a capital cost or as expense except to the
12		extent that the regulatory agency finds that payment to be reasonable and necessary. A finding of
13		reasonableness and necessity must include specific statements setting forth the cost to the affiliate of each
14		item or class of items in question and a finding that the price to the utility is no higher than prices charged by
15		the supplying affiliate to its other affiliates or divisions for the same item or items, or to unaffiliated persons
16		or corporations."
17		III. SUMMARY OF MONARCH UTILITIES I, L.P.'S REQUEST
18	Q.	Please explain Monarch's request.
19	A.	On the original rate change application which was filed on February 29, 2016, Monarch
20		requested a total revenue requirement for both water and sewer of \$30,507,385.1 On June 2, 2016, Monarch
21		provided errata schedules and the adjusted revenue requirement or cost of service amounted to \$31,515,389,
22		for both water and sewer. ²
23	Q.	How much is the operations and maintenance expenses included in the total requested revenue
24		requirement or cost of service?
25	A.	The requested operations and maintenance expenses amounted to \$16,152,222 for water and \$2,559,451 for

Direct Testimony of Leila C. Guerrero

Page | 7

¹ Monarch Utilities I, L.P., Application for Rate Change (February 29, 2016) ("Application"), Schedule II-A-1 Cost of Service by Water and Sewer; see also WP-LG-1, bates p. 3.

² Monarch's Errata No. 8 (June 2, 2016); see also WP-LG-2, bates p. 4.

sewer with a total of \$18,711,673 (\$16,152,222 + \$2,559,451). 1 2 Q. Does Monarch's requested revenue requirement include any affiliated costs? Yes. The revenue requirement requested by Monarch includes \$3,417,4914 of the Parent/SouthWest's 3 A. expenses and \$3,074,113⁵ of SWWC Utilities' shared costs in its test year ending June 30, 2015. A total of 4 \$6,491,604 (\$3,417,491 + \$3,074,113) is included in the miscellaneous expenses with O & M of \$18,711,673. 5 Did Monarch provide justification in its application regarding the reasonableness of the affiliated costs Q. 6 included in its rate change application? 7 Yes. In response to Staff RFI 5-4, which states, "Please provide an explanation as to why each cost/expense 8 A. 9 allocated to Monarch from Parent/SouthWest, SWWC Utilities, and/or any other affiliates are reasonable and necessary to provide service to the ratepayers...." The Applicant provided a list of benefits and services 10 11 Monarch receive from its affiliates. IV. **DEFINITIONS AND ATTACHMENTS** 12 13 Q. What is meant by the term revenue requirement? 14 A. Revenue requirement, or cost of service, is the annual total of dollars it takes to provide retail water or sewer service for a year. The cost of service is the same number, but expressed in terms of expenses. 16 TAC § 15 24.31(a) states that "Rates are based upon a utility's cost of rendering service. The two components of cost 16 of service are allowable expenses and return on invested capital." The revenue requirement may also be 17 expressed as the following equation for the revenue requirement for the utility method: 18 RR = E + D + T + R19 Where: 20 21 RR = Revenue Requirement E = Operations and Maintenance expenses 22 D = Depreciation Expense23 ³ Id., Errata – Schedule II-D-1.1; see also WP-LG-2, bates p. 13.

Direct Testimony of Leila C. Guerrero

Page | 8

⁴ Id., at p. 12, Errata – WP / IV-2, Adjusted Affiliate Expenses – Parent, Line No. 20 col. (g); see also WP-LG-2, bates p. 19.

⁵ Id., p. 13, Errata – WP / IV-2, Adjusted Affiliate Expenses – SWWC Utilities, Inc., Line No. 23 col. (g); see also WP-LG-2, bates p. 20.

⁶ Monarch's Amended Responses to Commission Staff's Fifth RFI, Staff 5-4 (June 7, 2016); see also Monarch's Amended Response to Staff's Second RFI, Staff 2-30 (June 7, 2016); see also WP-LG-3, bates pp. 26-30; and WP-LG-4, bates p. 42.

SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-16-2873.WS PUC DOCKET NO. 45570 – MONARCH UTILITIES I, L.P.

1 T = TaxesR = Return on Invested Capital 2 3 To avoid over-recovery, the revenue requirement is typically reduced by other revenues that pay for costs included in the cost of service prior to designing rates to collect the revenue requirement. Costs associated 4 5 with processing late payments and reconnections are included in the cost of service, making it appropriate to design rates using the revenue requirement less other revenues (late fees and reconnection fees) which 6 7 represent costs already paid for by the customers. 8 What period of time is the basis for a revenue requirement? Q. 9 In Texas, a utility method revenue requirement is determined by developing a cost of service based on a A. 10 historical test year. 16 TAC § 24.31(b) states that, "Only those expenses that are reasonable and necessary to provide service to the ratepayers may be included in allowable expenses. In computing a utility's allowable 11 expenses, only the utility's historical test year expenses as adjusted for known and measurable changes may 12 be considered." 13 What is the definition of "known and measurable"? 14 Q. 16 TAC § 24.3(33) defines known and measurable (K&M) as "Verifiable on the record as to amount and .15 A. certainty of effectuation. Reasonably certain to occur within 12 months of the end of the test year." 16 What is a test year? 17 Q. 16 TAC § 24.3(52) defines a test year as, "The most recent 12-month period, beginning on the first day of a 18 A. calendar or fiscal year, for which operating data for a retail public utility are available." 19 20 What test year have you used to review Monarch's revenue requirement? Q. I used the Applicant's proposed test year of July 1, 2014, through June 30, 2015, as provided in the rate 21 A. 22 change application.⁷ Was this pre-filed testimony prepared by you as a result of your review and examination mentioned 23 Q. previously? 24 Yes, it was. 25 A. 26

Direct Testimony of Leila C. Guerrero

⁷ Application at 2.

SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-16-2873.WS PUC DOCKET NO. 45570 – MONARCH UTILITIES I, L.P.

1	Q.	Have you prepared any schedules showing your analysis of the application?
2 .	A.	Yes, I have prepared the following schedules:
3		• Attachment LG-1, my resume;
4		• Attachment LG-2, a list of my prior pre-filed testimonies;
5 .		• Attachment LG-3.W and LG-3. SErrata-Attachment LG-3.W and LG-3.S, my recommended revenue
6		requirement worksheet for water and sewer respectively;
7		• Attachment LG-4.W and LG-4.S, Errata-Attachment LG-4.W and LG-4.S, my recommended
8		operations & maintenance expenses and taxes worksheet for water and sewer, respectively;
9		• Attachment LG-5.W and LG-5.S, Errata-Attachment LG-5.W and LG-5.S, Staff's calculation of
10		weighted average, invested capital, and return for water and sewer, respectively;
11	•	•Attachment L.G6.W and L.G6.S, Errata-Attachment L.G6.W and L.G6.S, Staff's calculation of federal
12		income tax worksheet for water and sewer, respectively;
13	•	•Attachment LG-7.W and LG-7.S, Errata-Attachment LG-7.W and LG-7.S, pertaining to my working
14		capital allowance worksheet, for water and sewer, respectively;
15	,	• Attachment L.G-8, Errata-Attachment L.G-8, Staff's Summary and Reconciliation of Monarch's Revenue
16		Requirement.
17	Q	Did you prepare your work-papers to support your testimony?
18	A	Yes, I did. I included the following documents, which were either provided by Monarch in response to the
19	•	RFIs or already in PUC's possession, and are referenced in support of my revenue requirement adjustments.
20		My Confidential Workpapers are as follows:
21		• WP-LG-1, List of employees who received salaries, bonuses, allowances, benefits, and other remuneration
22		that are included in the cost of service;
23		• WP-LG-2, List of withdrawn/terminated employees who received salaries (related to WP-LG-1);
24		• WP-LG-3, List of withdrawn/terminated employees who received employee benefits (related to WP-LG-
25		1);
26		• WP-LG-4, Staff's analysis of salaries, benefits, and payroll taxes of withdrawn employees;
27		• WP-LG-5, Staff's analysis of disallowed salaries, benefits, merit increase and full headcount;
28	;	• WP-LG-6, Staff's summary of recommended reduction to salaries, benefits and payroll taxes;
]	Direct Testimony of Leila C. Guerrero Page 10 August 24, 2016

	1		• WP-LG-7, Copy of Monarch's response to RFI, Staff 5-1 (3-Factors 2015);
	2		• WP-LG-8, Staff's calculation of cost allocation factor for SouthWest;
	3		• WP-LG-9, Monarch's responses to Commission Staff's Seventh Request for Information, RFI 7-5 (May 2,
	4		2016);
	5		• WP-LG-10, Monarch's confidential attachment to Staff RFI 2-27.a (April 12, 2016).
	6	Q.	Please explain what is presented on Attachment LG-3.W and LG-3.S.
	7	A.	Attachment LG-3.W and LG-3.S column (c) itemizes the test year revenue requirement as presented in
	8		Monarch's rate application. Column (d) represents adjustments recommended by the Commission Staff to
	9		Monarch's requested revenue requirement for its test year. Column (e) itemizes the Staff recommended
	10		revenue requirement for the test year, for water and sewer respectively.
	11	Q.	Please explain what is presented on Attachment LG-4.W and LG-4.S Errata- Attachment LG-4.W and
	12		<u>L.G-4.S</u> .
	13		Attachment LG-4.W and LG-4.S Errata-Attachment LG-4.W and LG-4.S show a detailed breakdown of
į	14		Staff's adjustments to operations and maintenance expense, other taxes, and federal income taxes, for water
	15		and sewer respectively.
	16	Q.	Please explain what is presented on Attachment LG-5.W and LG-5.S.Errata-Attachment LG-5.W and
	17		<u>LG-5.S</u>
	18		Attachment LG-5.W and LG5.S-Errata-Attachment LG-5.W and LG-5.S show details in the calculation of
1	19		Monarch's weighted cost of capital, invested capital and return, for water and sewer respectively;
Ì	20	Q.	Please explain what is presented on Attachment LG-6.W and LG-6.S. Errata-Attachment LG-6.W and
	21		<u>LG-6.S</u>
,	22.		Attachment LG-6.W and LG-6.Serrata-Attachment LG-6.W and LG-6.S show details in the calculation of
	23		Monarch's federal income taxes, for water and sewer, respectively;
	24	Q.	Please explain what is presented on Attachment LG-7.W and LG-7.S. Errata- Attachment LG-7.W and
	25		<u>LG-7.S</u>
	26		Attachment LG-7.W and LG-7.S Errata-Attachment LG-7.W and LG-7.S show details in staff's calculation
	27		of working capital allowance for Monarch, for water and sewer, respectively.
	28	ı	

PUC DOCKET NO. 45570 - MONARCH UTILITIES I, L.P. v. SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS

Λ	Dloggo gum	mariza Staff's	recommendations
O.	Piease sum	marize Stair's	recommendations

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

18

22

- Please refer to Attachment LG-3.W and Attachment LG-3.S. Errata-Attachment LG-3.W and LG-3.S These A. attachments present the summary of Staff's recommended revenue requirement for the Applicant, for water and sewer, respectively. Attachment LG-3.W-Errata - Attachment LG-3.Wshows that Staff recommends a total revenue requirement amount of \$19,355,831\$20,298,032 for water, which is a decrease by (\$6,566,635)(\$5,624,434) to the Applicant's requested revenue requirement for water.
 - On the other hand, Attachment LG-3.8 Errata Attachment LG-3.S shows that Staff recommends a total revenue requirement amount of \$3,251,669\\$3,366,186 for sewer, which is a decrease by (\$941,510)(\$826,993) to the Applicant's requested revenue requirement for sewer.
- Please explain the adjustments you recommend to the Applicant's revenue requirement. 11 Q.
- I recommend adjustments in several categories. In Section VI, I recommend adjustments to the O&M A. expenses, related to salaries and wages, employee pension and benefits, bad debts expense, and miscellaneous expenses for both the SouthWest and SWWC allocated costs. I recommend adjustments to some of the taxes other than income taxes specifically on payroll taxes and the impacts of all adjustments on working capital 16 allowance. I also recommend adjustment to the Applicant's requested federal income tax expense as a result 17 other adjustments flowing through to the tax calculation. I will discuss each of these categories in detail.

VI. ADJUSTMENTS TO THE REVENUE REQUIREMENT

- As a result of your examination of Monarch's rate change application and additional information 19 Q. 20 submitted by the Applicant, have you proposed any adjustments to Monarch's requested revenue requirement? 21
- Yes, please refer to Attachment LG-8Errata-Attachment LG-8 for a detailed breakdown of my A. adjustments to Monarch's revenue requirement or cost of service. I used Monarch's rate change application. 23 work papers, schedules, and the general ledger (GL) for the test period ending June 30, 2015, as a baseline 24 for calculating the revenue requirement. I prepared my adjustments based on Monarch's responses to 25 discovery, documents gathered in response to RFIs, and other information provided by Monarch. I will 26 address my recommended adjustments to Monarch's revenue requirement individually, as follows: 27

PUC DOCKET NO. 45570 - MONARCH UTILITIES I, L.P. 1 Salaries and Wages Please describe the Applicant's request for salaries and wages. 2 O. Monarch requested \$2,987,754 for salaries and wages during the test year plus \$230,435 net adjustments for 3 A. the known and measurable period, or a total of \$3,218,1898 (\$2,987,754 + \$230,435) for both water and 4 sewer. The Applicant's adjustments during the known and measurable period is a result of the sale of Blue 5 Mound and Midway systems, and includes "increase due to merit and additional headcount."9 6 Do the requested salaries and wages include salaries of employees who have withdrawn from the 7 Q. company during the test year? 8 Yes. The salaries and wages includes salaries of employees who have withdrawn during the test year. This A. was verified through RFI Staff 2-25, which states, "Please provide list of all employees who received salaries, 10 bonuses, allowances, benefits.... that were included in the cost of service." In response to Staff's RFI, the 11 Applicant provided a list of active and withdrawn employees as part of "Confidential Attachment Staff 2-12 25.a".11 The list consists of 83 employees which includes 58 active and 25 withdrawn employees. Based on 13 that list, I calculated that the total salaries of withdrawn employees included in the cost of service amounted 14 to \$344,605.12 Therefore, I recommend that the requested salaries and wages will be reduced by \$344,605. 15 Do you have additional adjustments for the salaries and wages? 16 · Q. Yes. I recommend disallowance of \$159,769 to the Applicant's known and measurable adjustment on 17 A. 18 salaries and wages of \$244,72913 and recommend to allow only \$84,960 for merit increase, which is a net reduction to the proposed merit increase/salaries and wages by \$159.769 (\$244.729 - \$84.960). 19 In response to Staff RFI 7-5, the Applicant provided information (filed confidential) on the increase in salaries 20 and wages "due to merit, additional headcount, and salary survey updates." Table 1 shows a breakdown of 21

⁸ Monarch's Errata No. 8, p. 9, Line No. 1 (June 2, 2016); see also WP-LG-2, bates p. 16.

the Applicant's proposed merit increases.

Direct Testimony of Leila C. Guerrero

22

23

Page | 13

⁹ Monarch's Errata No. 7, pp. 48-50 (May 23, 2016); see also WP-LG-5, bates pp. 58-60.

¹⁰ Commission Staff's Second RFI, Staff 2-25 (March 31, 2016); see also WP-LG-6, bates p. 95.

¹¹ Monarch's Responses to Staff's Second RFI, Confidential Attachments Staff 2-25.a and Staff 2-40 (April 12, 2016); see also Confidential WP-LG-1 and Confidential WP-LG-3.

¹² Id.; see also Confidential WP-LG-2.

¹³ Monarch's Responses to Commission Staff's Seventh RFI, Staff 7-5, filed confidential (May 2, 2016); see also Confidential WP-LG-9, and WP-LG-5, bates p. 60.

Table 1 - Breakdown of Merit Increases

		Amount
Open HC during Test Year: Salaries/Wages/OT		\$ 146,453
Merit Increase - Full Headcount		144,523
Salary Survey Updates		29,956
Total	,	\$ 320,832
Less: Temporary Labor Costs		(76,103)
Total Known and Measurable - Schedule II-D-1	.2(SH)	\$ 244,72914

2

The attached Confidential list, 15 shows a total of 140 employee positions and the corresponding new rates per pay period. I calculated my recommended salary increase, by subtracting the total old rate of \$272,307.66 (sum of old rates of 140 employees per pay period) from the total new rate of \$280,476.87 (sum of new rates 5 for 140 employees per pay period), which equals to \$8,169.21 (\$280,476.87 - \$272,307.66). I calculated the 6 total annual increase, by multiplying the difference of \$8,169.21 by 26 (total number of pay periods per year), which equals to \$212,399.46 (\$ 8,169.21 x 26). Then I calculated the average salary increase per employee by dividing \$212,399.46 by 140 (total number of employee positions on the list) and multiplied by 56¹⁶ (actual number of employees for Monarch as of June 30, 2016) which equal to \$84,960 10 (\$212,399.46/140 = \$1,517.14 x 56). Therefore, I recommend disallowance of \$159,769 for merit increases, 11 full head count, and salary survey updates. Please see Table 2 for my calculation of recommended disallowed 12 merit increase: 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

¹⁴ *Id*.

¹⁵ Id.

¹⁶ Monarch's Responses to Staff's Fifteenth RFI (July 25, 2016), Staff 15-1; see also WP-LG-7, bates pp. 103-109.

Table 2 - Calculation of Disallowed Merit Increases

Detailed Item Accounts	Amount
Total salaries of 140 employee positions on the list –	
new rates	\$280,476.87
Less: Total salaries of 140 employee positions on the list - old rates	<u>\$272,307.66</u>
Salary increase per pay period	\$ 8,169.21
Multiply by 26 pay periods per year	<u>x 26</u>
Total salary increase of 140 employee positions per year	\$212,399.46
Divided by 140 (to get the average increase/employee/year)	/ 140
Average increase per employee per year	\$ 1,517.14
Multiply by 56 Monarch's employees	<u>x 56</u>
Total recommended increase per year	\$84,960
Less: Applicant's known and measurable merit increase	<u>\$244,729</u>
Staff recommended disallowance for merit increase	(\$159,769)

2

- 3 My succeeding discussions confirms the actual head count of Monarch's employees for the test year and the
- 4 known and measurable period.
- 5 Q. How many full time employees did Monarch have as of June 30, 2015, and June 30, 2016?
- 6 A. Monarch had 58 full time employees as of June 30, 2015, and 56 as of June 30, 2016.¹⁷

7

- Are the 58 and 56 employees, respectively, the "direct employees" that work for Monarch? If not, how
 many are direct employees that work for Monarch and how many employees work for more than one
 affiliated company?
- 11 A. Table 3 shows the breakdown of employees that work for Monarch.

12

Table 3 - Breakdown of Monarch's Employees

Monarch's Employees	As of June 30, 2015	As of June 30, 2016
Employees that provide services to Monarch	58	56
Minus employees that work for more than one		
affiliated company	<u>15</u>	<u>12</u>
Monarch's direct employees	43	. 44

13

14

15

17 *Id*.

Direct Testimony of Leila C. Guerrero

Page | 15

B. Employee Pension and Benefits

2 Q. Please describe the Applicant's request for employee pension and benefits.

- 3 A. Monarch requested \$869,414 for employee pension and benefits during the test year plus \$36,487 for the
- known and measurable period, or a total of \$905,901¹⁸ (\$869,414 + \$36,487) for water and sewer,
- 5 respectively.
- 6 Q. How much is the total employee pension and benefits for the 25 withdrawn employees which was
- 7 included in the cost of service in this rate filing package?
- 8 A. A total of \$193,802 employee pension and benefits is included in the cost of service for the 25 withdrawn
- 9 employees. 19 Confidential WP-LG-3 shows the list of withdrawn employees and the corresponding pension
- and benefits. Table 4 shows a detailed breakdown of my recommended reduction to employee benefits for
- the 25 withdrawn employees.

12 13

1

Table 4 - Breakdown of Employee Benefits of Withdrawn Employees

Employee Benefits	Amount
Annual 401K	\$ 14,118
Annual Medical/Dental	\$129,200
Annual Employee Benefits - Others	\$ 11,629
Annual Uniforms	\$ 15,180
Workman's Compensation	\$ 8,495
Annual Training	\$ 15,180
Total Employees Benefits for Withdrawn Employees	\$ 193,802

14

15 Q. Do you have other adjustments for the employee pensions and benefits?

16 A. Yes. I recommend that the increase in employee pensions and benefits "due to merit, additional headcount,
17 and salary survey" be reduced by \$21,892. I used similar calculation as I did for the salaries and wages
18 adjustment on the merit increases. I divided the proposed \$36,487²⁰ increase in employee benefits by 140²¹

Direct Testimony of Leila C. Guerrero

Page | 16

¹⁸ Monarch's Errata No. 8, p. 9, Line No. 2 (June 2, 2016); see also WP-LG-2, bates p. 16.

¹⁹ Monarch's Responses to Staff's Second RFI, Confidential Attachment Staff 2-25.a (April 12, 2016); see also Confidential WP-LG-3.

²⁰ Monarch's Errata No. 7, p. 50 (May 23, 2016); see also WP-LG-5, bates p. 60, Line No. 3 col. (e).

²¹ Monarch's Responses to Commission Staff Seventh RFI, Staff 7-5, Confidential (May 2, 2016); see also Confidential WP-LG-9.

- (number of employees with increase), which is equal to \$260.62 increase per employee, then multiply that
 number by 56 (number of employees for Monarch as of June 30, 2016), equals \$14,595 (\$260.62 x 56).

 Therefore, I recommend disallowance of \$21,892 (\$36,487 14,595) from the proposed increase in employee pension and benefits:
- 5 C. Payroll Taxes.
- 6 Q. What is the effect on the payroll taxes of your recommended adjustment to salaries and wages?
- A. As a result of my recommended adjustment to Monarch's salaries and wages, payroll taxes will be reduced by \$44,693. Table 5 shows a breakdown of my recommended adjustment for payroll taxes.²² Please see Confidential WP-LG-4, LG-5, and LG-6 for more details.

Table 5 - Breakdown of Recommended Adjustment to Payroll Taxes

Payroll Taxes	Amount
Social Security (FICA)	\$31,272
Medicare	\$ 7,313
Federal Unemployment Tax Act (FUTA)	\$ 1,174
State Unemployment Tax Act (SUTA)	<u>\$ 4,934</u>
Recommended reduction to payroll taxes	· \$44,693

10 -

- D. Bad Debts.
- 13 Q. Please describe the Applicant's request for bad debts expense.
- 14 A. Monarch's cost of service includes bad debts expense amounting to \$333,023.²³ This amount consists of \$334,142 during the test year and a negative adjustment of \$1,119 for the known and measurable period with a net amount of \$333,023 (\$334,142 \$1,119) for both water and sewer.
- 17 Q. Does the bad debts expense requested in the application includes amount allocable to Blue Mound and
 18 Midway?
- Yes. The amount of \$18,870 is allocable to Blue Mound and \$4,783 for the shared accounts, with a total of \$23,653 included in the bad debts expense in the application. This was verified through Staff RFI 12-1, which states, "Reference Errata Schedule II-A-1....., how much is the total amounts due to or allocable

²² Confidential WP-LG-4, LG-5, and LG-6.

²³ Monarch's Errata No. 8, p. 9, Line No. 19 (June 2, 2016); see also WP-LG-2, bates p. 16, Line No. 19 col (m).

Direct Testimony of Leila C. Guerrero

- to Blue Mound and Midway?"²⁴ Because Blue Mound and Midway have been sold and should not be included in future costs of service, I recommend that bad debts expense be reduced by \$23,653\$18,870 for both water and sewer.

 4 Q. What is Monarch's internal policy in calculating allowance for doubtful accounts (or allowance for probable losses) and writing off bad debts on accounts receivables from customers?
- 6 A. "Monarch reserves according to these percentages of Accounts Receivables that are past due: 25% of 31-60

 7 day balance + 50% of 61-90 day balance + 100% of > 90 day balance..."²⁵
- In addition, the Applicant explained that, "After the final billing dunning process, if a balance remains unpaid
 on a customer's account, then an automatic write-off will occur and the balance will be charged to allowance
 for doubtful accounts..."²⁶
- 11 E. Miscellaneous Expense.

17

- 12 Q. Please explain the Applicant's request for miscellaneous expense.
- A. Monarch's requested O&M expense in the amount of \$18,711,672,²⁷ which includes miscellaneous expense of \$6,788,311. Table 6 shows the break-down of Monarch's requested miscellaneous expense.
- 15 E.1 "Miscellaneous Expense Affiliate SWWC, Utilities, Inc."

Table 6 - Break-down of Miscellaneous Expense

Miscellaneous Expense	Water	Sewer	Total
Miscellaneous Expense - Others	\$ 220,666 ²⁸	\$ 76,040 ²⁹	\$ 296,706
Miscellaneous Expense -Affiliates:			
Parent / SouthWest	\$2,939,042	\$ 478,449	\$3,417,491 ³⁰
SWWC Utilities, Inc.	<u>\$2,578,432</u>	<u>\$ 495,681</u>	\$3,074,11331
Total	\$5,738,140	\$1,050,170	\$6,788,310

Direct Testimony of Leila C. Guerrero

Page | 18

²⁴ Monarch's Responses to Commission Staff's Twelfth RFI 12-1 (July 19, 2016); *see also* WP-LG-8, bates p. 118.

²⁵ Monarch's Responses to Commission Staff's Second RFI, Staff 2-39 (April 12, 2016); see also WP-LG-9, bates p. 123.

²⁶ Monarch's Responses to Commission Staff's Twelfth RFI, Staff 12-2 (July 19, 2016); see also WP-LG-8, bates p. 114.

²⁷ Monarch's Errata No. 8, p. 5 (June 2, 2016); see also WP-LG-2, bates p.12, Line No. 62 col. (g).

²⁸ *Id. see also* WP-LG-2 at p. 10, Line No. 22 col. (d).

²⁹ *Id.*, at Line No. 43 col. (d).

³⁰ *Id.*, at 12; see also WP-LG-2, bates p. 19, Line No. 20 col. (g).

³¹ *Id.*, at 13; see also WP-LG-2, bates p. 20, Line No. 23 col. (g).

Q. What comprises the "Miscellaneous Expense – Affiliate – SWWC Utilities, Inc." ("SWWC")?

- A. The "Miscellaneous Expense Affiliate SWWC Utilities, Inc." is comprised of salaries and wages,

 employee benefits, payroll taxes, and other expenses incurred by SWWC in its operations of all Texas

 Utilities ("TXU").³² The proposed expense is an affiliate transactions which must meet the requirements of

 TWC § 13.185(e). The SWWC's expenses are shared and allocated to the different utilities in Texas. The
- TXU costs are allocated based on meter equivalents factor calculated using prior year end "active
- 7 connections...."33

13

14

8 Q. What period is the basis of SWWC's allocated costs?

9 A. The allocated costs from SWWC is based on expenses incurred during the test year, from July 2014 to June 2015.

11 Q. What is the break-down of SWWC's allocated costs to Monarch?

12 A. Table 7 shows the breakdown of SWWC's costs allocated for Monarch, as claimed by Monarch.

Table 7 - Applicant's Break-down of SWWC costs allocated for Monarch

SHARED COSTS	
TXU Management Fee (\$5,522,384 x 54.37% ³⁴)	\$3,002,758
East Shared Services (from Monarch)	(\$ 104,476)
West Shared Services (\$2,889,041 x 16.47% ³⁵)	\$ <u>475,793</u>
Total	\$3,374,075 ³⁶

15 Q. What is the "East Shared Services" cost?

16 A. The "East Shared Services" pertains to costs incurred by Monarch which include costs associated with
17 rendering service to other TXUs. Monarch receives credit for those costs rendered to other TXUs. My
18 calculation on Table 8 shows that Monarch receives a total of \$198,885 credit for services rendered to the
19 affiliated companies, an increase by \$94,409 (\$198,885 - \$104,476) credit for Monarch compared to the
20 Applicant's calculation. My succeeding discussions show the result of my review of the TXU shared costs

Direct Testimony of Leila C. Guerrero

³² Id.; see also WP-LG-2, bates p. 20.

³³ Monarch's Errata No. 7, pp. 151-155 (May 23, 2016); see also WP-LG-5, bates pp. 69-73.

³⁴ Monarch's Amended Attachment Response to OPUC's RFI 2-14.b, bates p. 75 (June 6, 2016); see also WP-LG-10, bates p. 126.

³⁵ *Id*.

³⁶ *Id*.

1		calculation in more detail.
2	Q.	Did you review the calculation made by the Applicant on TXU's allocated costs to Monarch? If so,
3	,	please explain your findings.
4	A.	Yes, I did. My review of the cost allocation shows that, for the TXUG Management Fee; for "2014/2015
5		average allocation", Monarch received 46.94% allocated cost for water and 7.43% for sewer or a total of
6		54.37%. The Applicant allocated \$3,002,758 for Monarch (\$5,522,384 x 54.37%), from the total TXUG
7		Management fee of \$5,522,384 incurred for the test year. My calculation shows that \$3,002,520 of the TXUG
8		Management Fee should be allocated to Monarch (\$5,522,384 x 54.37%).
9		For the "East Shared Services", my review of the allocation schedule shows that Tenkiller Utilities
10	•	(Oklahoma) ("Tenkiller") received 1.77% of Monarch's cost for water and 1.58% for sewer, with a total of
11	,	3.35%. However, the Applicant's calculation showed that only \$90,602.02 or 1.62% of Monarch's "East
12		Shared Services" costs was allocated to Tenkiller (\$5,602,378 x 1.62%). In addition, the cost allocation data
13		showed SWWC Utilities, Inc. received 0.20% of Monarch's cost, but the Applicant calculated 0.25% of
14		Monarch's "East Shared Services".
15		For the "West Shared Services" or (Austin Shared Services), the Applicant calculated that Monarch received
16		\$475,792.96 or 16.47% of the "West Shared Services" costs (\$2,889,041 x 16.47%). My calculation shows
17		that Monarch should receive 17%, 15.55% for water and 1.45% for sewer for the "West Shared Services"
18	•	Please see Table 8 for my calculation of TXU's allocated costs for Monarch and my recommended
19		adjustment.
20		
21		
22	-	
23		
24	. ,	
25		
26	5	
27	7	
28	3	

Table 8 - Staff's Calculation of SWWC's Allocated Costs for Monarch

SHARED COSTS (W=water, S=sewer)	Sub-Totals	Total Amount
TXU Management Fee ³⁷ [46.94% (W) + 7.43% (S) = 54.37% x $$5,522,384 = $3,002,520$]		\$3,002,520
East Shared Services allocated to: (from Monarch)		
Tenkiller Utilities ³⁸ [1.77% (W) + 1.58% (S) = 3.35% x \$5,602,378 = \$187,680]	(\$187,680)	
SWWC (0.20% x \$5,602,378 = \$11,205)	(\$ 11,205)	(\$ 198,885)
West Shared Services ³⁹ [15.55% (W) + 1.45% (S) = 17% x $$2,889,041 = $491,137$]		\$ 491,137
Total costs allocated for Monarch		\$3,294,772
Less:		
Pro-forma Adjustments	(\$300,244) ⁴⁰	
K & M Adjustments	(\$110,622)41	(\$410,866)
Staff calculation – Monarch's share of SWWC's Costs		\$2,883,906
Less: Amount allocated for Monarch per application		$(\$3,074,113)^{42}$
Staff recommended adjustment to reduce SWWC's allocated cost for Monarch		(\$190,207)

2

6

1

Based on my calculation, I recommend that "Miscellaneous Expense - Affiliate - SWWC Utilities, Inc." be

4 reduced by a total of \$190,207 for water and sewer, and that the resulting allocated expense of \$2,883,906

5 meets the requirements of TWC § 13.185(e).

E.2 "Miscellaneous Expense – Affiliate – Parent/SouthWest"

7 Q. What comprises the "Miscellaneous Expense - Affiliate - Parent/SouthWest"?

A. The "Miscellaneous Expense – Affiliate – Parent/SouthWest" is comprised of expenses like salaries and wages, employee benefits, payroll taxes, general and administrative expenses, and all other expenses incurred by the parent company, SouthWest Water Company ("Parent" or "SouthWest").⁴³ The "Miscellaneous Expense - Affiliated Expense – SouthWest" shows a total amount of \$11,999,618⁴⁴ and Monarch received an allocated share of 29.03%, which equals to \$3,483,489 (\$11,999,618 x 29.03%).⁴⁵ The Applicant reduced

³⁷ *Id*.

 $^{^{38}}$ *Id*.

³⁹ Id.

⁴⁰ Monarch's Errata No. 8, p.13 (June 2, 2016); see also WP-LG-2, bates p. 20.

⁴¹ Id

⁴² *Id*.

⁴³ *Id.*, at 12; *see also* WP-LG-2, bates p. 19.

⁴⁴ *Id.*, at Line No. 17 col. (g).

⁴⁵ *Id.*, at Line Nos. 17-19 col. (g).

this amount by \$65,998⁴⁶ as a result of the sale of Blue Mound and Midway, which gave Monarch a net allocated share of \$3,417,491 (\$3,483,489 - \$65,998)⁴⁷ of SouthWest's total expenses. 2 The Applicant described the indirect corporate services that SouthWest allocates to affiliates as, "Broad 3 categories of costs being amortized are Executive, Legal, Information Technology, Finance, Human Resources, and Facilities."48 Where did you derive the 29.03% cost allocated rate for Monarch? Q: The 29.03% cost allocated rate for Monarch was derived from the "Cost Allocation Manual" ("Manual") submitted with the original rate change application.⁴⁹ However, on May 23, 2016, the Applicant reduced the 8 allocated rate for Monarch from 29.03% to 28.48%⁵⁰ to reflect the corrections made to the original 9 application, to exclude the Blue Mound and Midway accounts. Consequently, on June 2, 2016, the Applicant 10 filed "Errata No. 8"51 which intended to revise some of the schedules filed on May 23, 2016, with "Errata 11 No. 7".52 The Errata No. 8 includes "Errata - WP/IV-2 Adjusted Affiliate Expenses - Parent, Updated 12 6/2/2016,"53 which the Applicant, revised again the cost allocation for Monarch's share of SouthWest's 13 expenses. At this time, the Applicant reverted back to the former cost allocated rate of 29.03% for Monarch 14 and made an adjustment for the sale of Blue Mound and Midway, instead.⁵⁴ 15 16 On the revised calculation on June 2, 2016, Monarch deducted the allocated rate of 29.03% from 28.48% which equals (0.55%). 55 The total SouthWest's original expenses of \$11,999,618 was multiplied by (0.55%) 17 which equals (\$65,998) [\$11,999,618 x (0.55%)]. The amount of (\$65,998) was then deducted from 18 \$3,483,489, which gives Monarch an allocated share of \$3,417,491 (\$3,483,489 - \$65,998) from SouthWest's 19 20 expense. Table 9 shows the Applicant's calculation for the most recent amount requested for "Miscellaneous

Expense - Affiliate Expense - Parent/SouthWest". The data on Table 9 is an excerpt from "Errata-WP/IV-

⁴⁶ *Id.*, at Line No. 19 col. (g).

⁴⁷ *Id.*, at Line No. 20 col. (g).

⁴⁸ Monarch's Amended Responses to Staff's Second RFI, 2-30, p. 5 (June 7, 2016); and Robert Kelly Direct, pp. 23-27 (February 29, 2016); see also WP-LG-4, bates p. 42 and WP-LG-2, bates p. 18.

⁴⁹ Application at Vol 4 of 5, pp. 996-1005 (February 29, 2016); see also WP-LG-11, bates pp. 132-141.

⁵⁰ Monarch's Errata 7 at 150 (May 23, 2016); see also WP-LG-5, bates p. 68.

⁵¹ Monarch's Errata No. 8 (June 2, 2016); see also WP-LG-2, bates pp. 8-20.

⁵² Monarch's Errata No. 7 (May 23, 2016).

⁵³ Monarch's Errata No. 8 at 12 (June 2, 2016); see also WP-LG-2, bates p. 19.

⁵⁴ *Id.*. Line No. 19 col (b).

⁵⁵ Id.

1 2 Adjusted Affiliate Expenses – Parent, Updated 6/2/2016."56

Table 9 - Miscellaneous Expense Affiliate- Parent/SouthWest

Account Items	Amount
Adjusted Total Expenses Parent/SouthWest	\$11,999,618
Multiply by cost allocated rate for Monarch	29.03%
Amount Allocated from Parent to Monarch	\$3,483,489
Adjust allocation rate for sales of Blue Mound and Midway	
$28.48\% - 29.03\% = (0.55\%) \times \$3,483,489$	\$(65,998)
Total Parent Expenses Allocated for Monarch (6/2/2016)	\$3,417,491 ⁵⁷

3

2

- 4 Q. Please describe the Applicant's Corporate Cost Allocation Methodology for SouthWest.
- 5 A. The cost allocation for SouthWest is based on a 3-factor allocation methodology as approved by the
- 6 California Public Utilities Commission ("CPUC") in Decision 14-12-038⁵⁸ The three factors used were
- 7 direct operating expense, payroll, and end of year gross plant, recorded 2012.59
- 8 Q. The allocation factor used by the Applicant was based on the information "recorded 2012." Did Staff
- 9 request the most current information?
- 10 A. Yes. Staff requested the most current information as of the test year, which is the 2015 data, through Staff
- 11 RFI No. 5-12, which states: "Please provide the 2015 Corporate Allocation Factor (CAF) for
- Parent/SouthWest...."60
- 13 Q. What was the Applicant's response to Staff RFI No. 5-12?
- 14 A. The Applicant's response was, "See Schedule IV-4-1 for Cost Allocation Manual which includes the 2015
- 15 cost allocation factors and calculations for Parent/SouthWest."61
- 16 Q. What is "Schedule IV-4-1" referred to by the Applicant in response to Staff RFI No. 5-12?
- 17 A. The "Schedule IV-4-1" being referred to by the Applicant in response to Staff RFI No. 5-12 is the "SWWC
- 18 Cost Allocation Manual."

⁵⁶ *Id*.

⁵⁷ *Id.*, Line 20 col (g).

⁵⁸ Application at Vol 4 of 5, bates p. 1000 (February 29, 2016); see also WP-LG-11, bates p. 136.

⁵⁹ *Id*.

⁶⁰ Commission Staff's Fifth RFI, Staff 5-12 (April 11, 2016); see also WP-LG-12, bates p. 151.

⁶¹ Monarch's Responses to Staff's Fifth RFI, Staff 5-12 (April 22, 2016); see also WP-LG-13, bates p. 157.

1		
2	Q.	Did the Applicant use the 2015 information current for the test year in calculating the revised allocation
3		factor in "Schedule IV-4-1" in Staff RFI No. 5-12?
4	A.	No. The Applicant revised the "Corporate Cost Allocation" only to exclude Blue Mound and Midway from
5		Monarch's data. All other data used (direct operating expense, payroll, and end of year gross plant) for the
6		other affiliates were exactly the same data "recorded 2012".62
7	Q.	In your review and calculation of the corporate cost allocation, did you use the same "3-factor
8		allocation methodology" used by the Applicant?
9	A.	Yes. I did.
10	Q.	Did you also use Monarch's information recorded 2012? If not, what did you use?
11	Α.	No, I did not use the information recorded 2012. I used the most current information recorded in 2015
12		because, although the allocation factors are acceptable, if one uses the 2012 data, the result will not meet the
13		requirements of TWC § 13.185(e) for affiliated transactions. Using the 2012 costs for allocation purposes
14		results in charges to Monarch that are higher than prices charged to other affiliates or divisions because the
15		allocation factors do not match the current data.

- 16 Q. Why did you use information recorded 2015, instead of the recorded 2012 as approved by the

 California Public Utility Commission (CPUC)?
- A. Monarch's application is based on a test year ending June 30, 2015, and known measurable period ending

 June 30, 2016. In my opinion, the data recorded 2015 is more appropriate and accurate to use for this

 proceeding, instead of the information recorded 2012 because the test year is different and more current

 information is now available. Although I used the 2015 information in my calculation, I applied the same 3
 factor allocation methodology as approved by CPUC.
- Q. Do you have the data for direct operating expenses, payroll, and end of year gross plant for the years 24 2013, 2014, and 2015?
- 25 A. Yes, I do.

⁶² Monarch's Errata 7 at bates p. 150 (May 23, 2016); see also WP-LG-5, bates p. 68.

SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-16-2873.WS

PUC DOCKET NO. 45570 - MONARCH UTILITIES I, L.P.

- 1 Q. Did you get this data from the original application?
- 2 A. No. The data for direct operating expénses, payroll, and end of year gross plant for years 2013, 2014, and
- 3 2015, were not included in the original application. However, this data was requested in RFI Staff 5-1.63
- 4 Q. Did the Applicant provide the information you requested in RFI Staff 5-1?
- 5 A. Yes. In response to RFI Staff 5-1, the Applicant provided the staff's requested information for the years
- 6 2013, 2014, and 2015 (filed confidential).⁶⁴ Using the information obtained from the Applicant's response
- 7 to Staff's RFI No. 5-1, I calculated the cost allocation factors for SouthWest using the 3-factor allocation
- 8 methodology. 65 I used the direct operating expense, payroll, and end of year gross plant, recorded 2015.
- 9 Q. Did the Applicant include the 2015 3-factor data for Blue Mound and Midway in their response to RFI
- 10 Staff 5-1?
- 11 A. Yes. The Applicant's response to RFI Staff 5-1 (Confidential) includes 3-factor data for Blue Mound and
- 12 Midway.
- 13 Q. In your calculation of the cost allocation factor for SouthWest, did you use the same "3-factor data for
- 2015" as provided by the Applicant in response to RFI Staff 5-1?
- 15 A. Yes, I used the same 3-factor data for 2015. However, for purposes of calculating an accurate cost allocation
- factor, I excluded the data for the Blue Mound and Midway because these two utilities were sold in 2015.
- 17 Confidential WP-LG-8 shows staff's calculation for the 2015 cost allocation factor for SouthWest. 66
- 18 Q. In your calculation, what is the cost allocation rate for Monarch using the 3-factor data for 2015?
- 19 A. In my calculation using the 2015 data and excluding Blue Mound and Midway, the cost allocation rate for
- 20 Monarch will be reduced from 29.03% to 22.6%, a reduction of 6.43% (29.03% 22.60%).
- 21 Q. What dollar amount results from using your recommended cost allocation rate of 22.6% for Monarch?
- 22 A. The 22.6% cost allocation rate for Monarch is equivalent to \$2,661,515 of SouthWest's total expenses.
- 23 Q. What is the basis of your calculation for the \$2,661,515 cost allocation for Monarch?
- 24 A. I used the total SouthWest's expenses of \$11,776,616 from May 23, 2016 "Errata -WP/IV-2 Adjusted

Direct Testimony of Leila C. Guerrero

⁶³ Commission Staff's Fifth RFI, Staff 5-1 (April 11, 2016); see also WP-LG-12, bates p..149.

⁶⁴ Monarch's Supplemental Response to Staff's Fifth RFI, Confidential Attachment Staff 5-1 (April 29, 2016); *see also* Confidential WP-LG-7.

⁶⁵ Confidential WP-LG-8.

⁶⁶ Id.

Affiliate Expenses – Parent" $(\$11,776,616 \times 22.6\% = \$2,661,515)$.

Q. Why did you use the \$11,776,616 as the basis in calculating the cost allocation rate for Monarch?

A. I used \$11,776,616 as my basis in calculating the cost allocation rate for Monarch in this proceeding because
this amount does not include Blue Mound and Midway, so I opine that this is more appropriate amount to
use as my basis. Furthermore, my calculations provide an affiliate expense that is no higher than prices
charged by the supplying affiliate to its other affiliates or divisions for the same item or items, as required by

7 TWC § 13.185(e).

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

8 Q. What is the result of your own calculation of the Miscellaneous Expense – Affiliate - Parent?

A. My calculation will reduce the requested "Miscellaneous Expense – Affiliate – Parent/SouthWest" by \$755,976 (\$3,417,491 - \$2,661,515) for Monarch's share in the allocated costs. I divided this adjustment between water and sewer using the 86%:14%68 allocation factor of the Applicant for water and sewer, respectively, my recommended adjustment will reduce the Miscellaneous Expense – Affiliated Expense – SouthWest by \$650,139 and \$105,837, for water and sewer, respectively. Table 10 shows my calculation of Staff's recommended adjustment for SouthWest's allocated cost for Monarch.

Table 10 - Staff's Calculation of SouthWest's Allocated Cost for Monarch

Account Items	Amount
Total Parent Expenses	\$11,776,616
Multiply by Staff's cost allocated rate	22.6%
Staff's Amount Allocated from Parent to Monarch	\$2,661,515
Less:	
Amount Requested in the Application	\$3,417,491
Staff's Recommended Reduction to Miscellaneous Expense - Affiliate -	
Parent/SouthWest_	(\$ 755,976)

16

17

F. Working Capital Allowance.

18 Q. Please describe the Applicant's request for working capital allowance.

Monarch requested \$473,393 for working cash allowance, \$427,304 and \$46,089, for water and sewer, respectively.

⁶⁷ Monarch's Errata No. 7 at 258 (May 23, 2016); see also WP-LG-5, bates p. 85.

⁶⁸ Id., at 84 and 239; see also WP-LG-5, bates pp. 63 and 80.

A.

Q. Please describe your adjustment to the working capital allowance calculation included in the rate base.

My adjustment to working capital allowance uses the Applicant's methodology and changes the total for the inclusion of Staff's recommended disallowances to the Applicant's request. I included the impacts of Staff's recommended O & M adjustments, taxes other than income taxes adjustments, and the federal income tax adjustment. However, pursuant to 16 TAC § 24.31(c)(2)(C)(iii)(IV)(-a-), which states, "The lead-lag study will use the cash method; all non-cash items, including but not limited to depreciation, amortization, deferred taxes, prepaid items, and return (including interest on long-term debt and dividends on preferred stock), will not be considered." Therefore, I excluded interest expense in my calculation. The impacts of Staff's adjustments to working capital allowance are shown on Attachment LG-7.W for water and Attachment LG-7.S for sewer. My recommended amount will decrease the working capital allowance and rate base by (\$47,180) and (\$8,267), for water and sewer, respectively.

G. Other Revenues (Miscellaneous Fees).

The Applicant posted miscellaneous or other revenues of (\$1,096,220.21) for water and (\$202,294.87) for sewer.⁶⁹ This account consists of customer penalties, connect fees, late charges, return check fees, tap fees, and other income. This amount is a reduction to the revenue requirement. Staff agrees with the Applicant and I recommend (\$1,096,220) for water and (\$202,295) for sewer for due to "Other Revenues" be deducted from the revenue requirement.

H. Federal Income Taxes.

The Applicant calculated a total of \$2,358,492⁷⁰ (\$2,033,015 for water and \$325,476 for sewer) for federal income tax and requested the same amount for federal income taxes.⁷¹ Based on Staff's adjustments to Monarch's O & M, depreciation, and other expenses, I calculated the federal income tax amount by multiplying the taxable income the corresponding tax rate of 34%. Attachment LG-4.W and LG-4.S,Errata - Attachment LG-4.W and LG-4.S Schedule 1(c) and Attachment LG-6.W and LG-6.S Errata - Attachment LG-6.W and LG-6.S show a detailed Staff calculation of the utility's federal income taxes. The recommended amount for federal income tax amount equals \$954,622\$954,662 (\$2,033,015 -

⁶⁹ Id., at 249; see also WP-LG-5, bates p. 81.

⁷⁰ *Id.*, at 76-77; *see also* WP-LG-5, bates pp. 61-62.

Monarch's Errata No. 8, p. 4 (June 2, 2016); see also WP-LG-2, bates p. 11.

PUC DOCKET NO. 45570 - MONARCH UTILITIES I, L.P. \$1,078,393\$1,078,353) for water and \$187,663\$187,571 (\$325,476 - \$137,813\$137,905) for sewer, which is a decrease by \$1,078,393 and \$137,813\$1,078,353 and \$137,905, for water and sewer, respectively. Return on Invested Capital. 3 I. Monarch calculated a total of \$6,918,242 (\$5,963,512 for water +\$954,730 for sewer)⁷² for return on invested capital. I recommend to reduce the amount by a total of (\$3,204,384), [(\$2,859,793) + (\$3,204,552), [(\$2,859,664) + (\$344,888)] for water and sewer, respectively. Staff calculated the return by adding the net plant plus the working cash allowance, other prepayments, materials and supplies inventory, and deducting other rate base items like customers deposits, contributions in aid of construction, and customer advances for construction. Staff then multiplied that total by the weighted average cost of capital calculated 9. by Ms. Sears' rate of return of 7.47%, for both water and sewer. Attachment LG-5.W and Attachment 10 LG-5.S Errata - Attachment LG-5.W and Errata Attachment LG-5.S show the calculation of the return 11 12 on invested capital for water and sewer, respectively, in greater detail. 13 J. Rate-Case Expense. The issue of rate-case expenses is severed from this case and will be addressed in Docket No. 46216. Mr. 14 Andrew Novak is assigned as the subject matter expert for the rate-case expenses for this proceeding. 15 Therefore, I will not discuss rate-case expense is my testimony. 16 17 VII. FINAL RECOMMENDATION How much is the revenue requirement requested by Monarch? 18 Q. 19 Based on the rate change application, Monarch requested a total revenue requirement of \$31,515,389. Staff A. 20 reduced this amount by (\$101,228) for unaccounted / no reference Other Expense Items and other revenues/miscellaneous income of (\$1,298,515), for both water and sewer.⁷³ Therefore, the net revenue 21 22 requirement requested by Monarch amounts to \$30,115,645. Please see Attachment LG-8 Errata Attachment LG-8 for the Staff's summary and reconciliation of the revenue requirement. 23

⁷² Monarch's Errata No. 7, pp. 27-29 (May 23, 2016); Monarch's Errata No. 8, p. 4 (June 2, 2016); *see also* WP-LG-5, bates pp. 55-57 and WP-LG-2, bates p. 4.

24

25

Direct Testimony of Leila C. Guerrero

⁷³ Attachment LG-8

SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-16-2873.WS PUC DOCKET NO. 45570 – MONARCH UTILITIES I, L.P.

- 1 Q. How much is the total Staff adjustments made to Monarch's requested revenue requirement?
- 2 A. Staff made a total negative adjustment of (\$7,508,145), [(\$6,566,635),(\$7,503,641),(\$6,562,402)] for water
- and (\$941,510)(\$941,239) for sewer], which reduced the total revenue requirement to
- 4 \$22,607,500\$23,664,218. (Please see Attachment LG-3.W, LG-3.S and Attachment LG-8 Errata-
- 5 <u>Attachment LG-3.W, Errata-Attachment LG-3.S and Errata-Attachment LG-8</u> for more details).
- 6 Q. What is your final recommended revenue requirement?
- 7 A. Based on Staff's combined adjustments to the Applicant's requested revenue requirement or cost of service,
- 8 I calculated a net revenue requirement of \$22,607,500\$23,664,218 (\$19,355,831\$20,298,031 for water and
- 9 \$3,251,669\$3,366,187 for sewer). This amount will be used by Ms. Heidi Graham for preparing the
- 10 Commission Staff's recommended rates. Attachment LG-3.W and LG-3.S, Errata-Attachment LG-3.W and
- 11 <u>LG-3.S</u> column (e) provides a more detailed breakdown of Staff revenue requirement calculation.
- 12 Q. Does this conclude your pre-filed testimony?
- 13 A. Yes; however, I reserve the right to supplement this testimony during the course of the hearing and as new
- information or additional evidence is presented.

ATTACHMENT LG-1

RESUME

Monarch Utilities I, L.P.
Application for Water and Sewer Rate/Tariff Change
SOAH Docket No. 473-16-2873.WS
PUC Docket No. 45570

Attachment LG-1 PUC Docket No. 45570 SOAH Docket No. 473-16-2873.WS

LEILA C. GUERRERO

Professional Experience

Financial Examiner - September 1, 2014 to present - Water Utility Regulation Division Public Utility Commission, Austin, Texas

- Review retail water and sewer utility rate change applications, utility cost of service information, and process applications through final action dates to
 ensure rates are just and reasonable. Conduct special utility audits as directed;
- Participate in utility case certificates of convenience and necessity (CCN) or rates settlement negotiations, prepare and provide testimony for evidentiary hearings, including discussing the outcome of audits prepared on utility books and records in relation to rate case proceedings for contested applications/cost of service appeals, CCNs, and sale, transfer, mergers (STMs);
- Review, audit, analyze, and prepare comprehensive reports of complex husiness plans and/or financial, managerial, and technical capacity information for
 public water systems and retail public water or sewer utilities submitted for review;
- Provide assistance for retail public water or sewer utilities in developing business plans; financial, managerial, and technical information; and in following
 the strategies set forth in the plans. Prepare analyses and written testimony on financial, accounting, and cost-recovery issues in rate proceedings and
 other cases before the Commission;
- Serve as Expert Witness, present testimony, defend position under cross-examination, and work closely with attorneys and other professional staff in the
 pre-hearing and post-hearing stages of contested cases;
- Conduct investigations and audits related to compliance with Commission rules and the determination of reasonable levels of expenses and revenues.

Assigned Cases - TCEO and PUC

Number of Rates Review Completed	= 250
Number of Hearings, Negotiations, and Agenda Attended	= 180
Number of Administrative Rate Reviewed Applications	= 675
Number of CCN and STM Applications Completed	= 145
Number of Contested Rates, CCN and STM Applications	= 165

Auditor - May 2006 to August 31, 2014 - Financial Review, Water Supply Division,

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ), Austin, Texas

- Conducted on-site and desk audits for rate cases, record-keeping evaluations, & special audits / projects; this includes reviewing clients' financial statements, general ledgers, books, records, invoices and other supporting documents;
- Reviewed, analyzed and processed utility retail rate applications, certificate of convenience and necessity (CCN), & sale/transfer/ or merger (STM) related
 applications to provide recommendations which are supported by applicants' information and financial data;
- Reviewed contested and uncontested water/s/wer CCN's & STM's related applications and prepared detailed and comprehensive recommendations based on professional judgment in compliance with the applicable rules and regulations;
- Performed administrative review of rate related applications to include the analysis of financial information and notices to determine if any additional information is needed. Attended formal or informal hearings & settlements and served as "Expert Witness", as appropriate.
- Prepared and presented verbal and written testimonies for evidentiary hearings, and contested agenda to help the Commissioners in making decisions.
- Provided written and verbal customer service on rates, STM's, CCN's and other utility related matters;
- . In-charge of the Team in the absence of supervisor, as assigned. Trancel assisted new auditors, as needed. Assisted with special projects as assigned.

Lead / Accountant - Accounting Division - April 2005 - May 2006 Texas Department of State Health Services (DSHS) - Austin, Texas

- Managed seven (7) team members. Served as technical lead on fiscal matters and work status, which involved overseeing, advising, and directing the
 payment distribution activities of DSHS and ensured that all business processes and procedures were being followed.
- Oversaw and coordinated with the Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts (CPA) on direct deposit cancellations, debit requests, and release requests for federal fund held warrants. Approved disbursement vouchers and warrant cancellations;
- · Managed / oversaw the distribution of payroll checks for DSHS employees in the Central Office and all State Hospitals in Texas
- Coordinated with Budget Division, other DSHS departments, and other state or federal agencies, including the CPA;
- Ensured compliance with federal and state rules regulations and agency operating procedures. Reviewed, analyzed, interpreted accounting data and transactions for accuracy and efficiency. Prepared financial reports for the division;
- · Reviewed encumbrances, analyzed, and approved adjusting entries, refund of revenues, and refund of expenditures

AFP Savings & Loan Assn., Inc. - September 1983 to April 2003 - Manila, Philippines

VP/Manager - Billing and Collection Division (December 2001 - April 2003)

- Oversaw the daily operations and activities of the billing and collection division. Work involved establishing goals and objectives, developed guidelines, policies, and procedures; developed schedules, priorities, and standards for achieving established goals; coordinated and evaluated the division's activities; monitored compliance with company rules, policies and procedures;
- Supervised / managed 80 (eighty) employees and directed the billing & collection activities of the company all over the country. Insured that all staff adhered to all company rules, police: & procedures;
- Finsured that all loans granted were billed and all receivables were collected in a timely manner; maintained at least 98% collection efficiency target and 100% billing efficiency:
- Established and maintained good business relationship and represented the company to outside agencies like the finance and computer centers of all the branches of service of the Armed Forces and the Philippine National Police and other financial institutions on beling and collection matters.
- · Prepared annual budget, plans and programs for the division. Presented reports to the management and the Board of Trustees in conference

VP/Branch Manager - Operations Department (March 1995 - December 2001)

- Managed the largest branch office of the company and generated loan production with an average of P3.5 billion yearly. Managed and maintained the branch's overall efficiency, productivity and profitability.
- Oversaw the daily operations and activities of the branch. Work involved establishing goals and objectives, developed guidelines, policies, and procedures, developed schedules, priorities, and standards for achieving established goals; coordinated and evaluated the branch's activities, monitored compliance with company rules, policies and procedures.
- Supervised / managed 90 (ninety) staff and ensured that all company guidelines, policies & procedures were adhered to by the employees
- Administered, directed, and accomplished the branch's objectives by managing the efficiency of the branch operations activities like eash operations, membership, lending, customer relations, accounting, including the branch's marketing functions. Ensured that quality service were provided to all customers/clients. Approved loans and withdrawal transactions. Principal check signatory of the branch;
- Prepared annual budget, plans and programs for the branch, and presented reports to all officers and the Board of Trustees during the quarterly and annual conferences.
- Conducted fectures / orientation seminars to new employees particularly on the "Products and Services" of the company.

AVP/Manager - Cash Management Division (March 1992 - March 1995)

- Supervised, managed, and directed 25 (twenty-five) staff and ensured that all company rules, guidelines, policies & procedures were adhered to by the employees. Oversaw the daily operations and activities of the Cash Management Division;
- Directed the investments and eash operations activities of the company. Principal check signatory of the company. Coordinated, maintained good rapport, and served as the company's representative to all depository banks of the company and other financial institutions.
- . Supervised the daily each requirements of all branches and made sure that funds were sent to their respective depository banks in a timely manner;
- Prepared daily investment reports for the management and prepared recommendation as to which bank the excess funds were deposited;
- Evaluated company's depository banks; conducted review and analysis on the viability, liquidity and stability of all depository banks of the company and prepared recommendation to the top management;
- Performed other duties and projects as required by the SVP, or the General Manager/President.

Chief Accountant - Comptrollership Department (September 1983 - March 1992)

- Provided oversight, direction, and oversaw the overall function of the general accounting Comptrollership Department and ensured compliance with Cenerally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP); supervised staff (accountants, bookkeepers, and accounting clerks) of at least 15 (fifteen). Ensured that all accounting staff adhered to accounting rules and regulations, management rules, policies and procedures. Provided trainings and day-to-day guidance to accountants and other accounting staff; served as mentor to new accountants:
- Managed and maintained the company's general ledgers and consolidated trial balance of the branch offices of the company all over the country; reviewed and consolidated financial reports of all branch offices;
- Responsible in the preparation of financial statements, management reports periodic reports to the Central Bank of the Philippines (Central Bank), Bureau of Internal Revenue (BIR), Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) and other governmental agencies;
- Reviewed accounting transactions and approved eash disbursement vouchers;
- Monitored budget, cash flows, and capital expenditures of the company,
- Reviewed and approved cash/bank reconciliation statements; oversaw reconciliation of fixed asset accounts, accounts receivables, accounts payables, and other accounts of the company. Performed account analysis and analysis of financial data;
- Prepared, reviewed, and finalized monthly & year-end adjusting entries, journals entries, accruals, and amortization of prepaid expenses and deferred charges. Prepared annual capital expenditures and expense budget for the division;
- Provided assistance to the external auditors and the Central Bank auditors in conducting their audits;
- Served as a member of the Ad-hoc Audit Committee and prepared financial reports for the committee;
- Performed other duties and projects as required by the SVP Comptroller and the GM / President.

Other Positions held;

Accountant - February - September 1983
Consumer Finance Corporation, Manila, Philippines

Staff Auditor — July 1982 – February 1983 T. D. Ligutan & Co., CPA's, Manila, Philippines

Education:

- NARUC Utility Rate School, San Diego California, May 2008
- Master of Business Administration (MBA), 1995
 University of Santo Tomas, Manila, Philippines
- Bachelor of Science in Business Administration (BSBA), 1981 Major in Accounting (Graduated Cum Laude) Ortanez University, Manila, Philippines

Certification:

Certified Public Accountant - Philippines, 1982

Professional Organizations / Affiliations:

- Philippine Institute of Certified Public Accountants (PICPA)
- ASEAN Federation of Accountants (AFA)

ATTACHMENT LG-2

PRIOR PRE-FILED TESTIMONIES

Monarch Utilities I, L.P.
Application for Water and Sewer Rate/Tariff Change
SOAH Docket No. 473-16-2873.WS
PUC Docket No. 45570

Attachment LG-2 PUC Docket No. 45570 SOAH Docket No. 473-16-2873.WS

LEILA C. GUERRERO PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF TEXAS (PUC) LIST OF PREVIOUS TESTIMONIES

Testimonies written/filed with TCEQ and PUC

SOAH Docket No. 582-09-2069; TCEQ Docket No. 2008-1811-UCR

Application of Southern Water Corporation for Water and Sewer Rate/Tariff Change
Appeal of Southern Water Corporation from the Ratemaking Action of the City of Houston
Testimony on Cost of Service and Revenue Requirement filed November 6, 2009

SOAH Docket No. 582-09-3549; TCEQ Docket No. 2009-0372-UCR

Application of Wiedenfeld Water Works, Inc. for Water Rate/Tariff Change Testimony on Cost of Service and Revenue Requirement filed April 2, 2010

SOAH Docket No. 582-10-3419; TCEQ Docket No. 2010-0292-UCR

Application of Woodland Hills Water Company for Water Rate/Tariff Change
Testimony on Cost of Service and Revenue Requirement filed September 17, 2010

SOAH Docket No. 582-13-2405; TCEQ Docket No. 2012-2707-UCR

Application of Wiedenfeld Water Works, Inc. for Water Rate/Tariff Change
Testimony on Cost of Service and Revenue Requirement filed on January 21, 2014

SOAH Docket No. 473-15-0371; PUC Docket No. 42924

Application of Crystal Springs Water Co., Inc. to Amend Water CCN
Testimony on Financial and Managerial Analysis filed February 25, 2015

SOAH Docket No. 473-14-5139.WS; PUC Docket No. 42862

SOAH Docket No. 473-15-1710.WS; PUC Docket No. 43720

Appeal from Outside City Customers of the Town of Woodloch for Water and Sewer Rates
Appeal from Outside City Customers of the Town of Woodloch for Rate-Case Expense Surcharge
Testimony on Rate-Case Expense filed May 5, 2015

SOAH Docket No. 473-14-5143.WS; PUC Docket No. 42861

Application of the City of Georgetown and Chisholm Trail Special Utility District for Sale, Transfer or Merger Testimony on Financial and Managerial Analysis filed June 5, 2015

SOAH Docket No. 473-15-4944.WS; PUC Docket No. 44236

Application of Custom Water Company, LLC for Water Rate/Tariff Change
Testimony on Cost of Service and Revenue Requirement filed October 23, 2015

SOAH Docket No. 473-15-4435.WS; PUC Docket No. 43242

Application of Wiedenfeld Water Works, Inc. for Water Rate/Tariff Change
Testimony on Cost of Service and Revenue Requirement filed November 20, 2015

SOAH Docket No. 473-16-2094.WS; PUC Docket No. 43076

Application of Consumers Water, Inc. for Water Rate/Tariff Change
Testimony on Cost of Service and Revenue Requirement filed July 26, 2016

SOAH Docket No. 473-16-2873.WS; PUC Docket No. 45570

Application of Monarch Utilities I, L.P. for Water and Sewer Rate/Tariff Change Testimony on Cost of Service and Revenue Requirement filed August 24, 2016

ERRATA-ATTACHMENT LG-3.W

REVENUE REQUIREMENT - Water

Monarch Utilities I, L.P.
Application for Water and Sewer Rate/Tariff Change
SOAH Docket No. 473-16-2873.WS
PUC Docket No. 45570

PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF TEXAS
Utility Name:

Docket Number:

Monarch Utilities I. L.P. 45570 - Water-ER

1ersion. 20070403 9:21 AM 2 Sep-16

Preliminary - Subject To Change

SCHEDULE I - REVENUE REOUREMENT

Errata-Attachment LG-3.W

			1000	THE PLANT	CTAFF
	TEST PERIOD	COMPANY	COMPANY	Javie	Director.
-	ALL COMMENTS	TS.H.	TEST VEAR	ADJUST	TEST YEAR
	アガスこの対けると	1000			(P) + (v) - (v)
	(3)	.	(c)=(a)+(b)	(D)	(n)_(c)_(a)
	(2)	(030 0314)	\$16 157 096 I	(\$1.442.857)	\$14,709,239
Operations and Maintenance	\$16,310,354	(007,0014)	0.0.751,016	(C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	050 007 13
Operations and international control of the control	1 2 co co 2 co 2 co 2 co 2 co 2 co 2 co	(\$41 925)	\$2,831,951	(\$1,145,092)	21,000,000
Depreciation and Amortization	0/0/0/0	; ; ; ;	C080 580	(\$18.436)	\$942,144
Other Taxes	\$862,742	[])	2000000	C 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
Culci Laves	高いないというない	120011015	\$2.033.015	(\$1,078,553)	700,+065
[Federal Income Taxes	がない あいかい あいかい		06.003.613	(52 850 664)	\$3 103 848
	いいというないなどではなっています	715,5963,512	21,500,50	(100:700:40)	
Return		101 410 48	151 150	(\$6.562.402)	\$21,398,752
Daylor Regularement	\$20,046,972	701,714,102	3-1,101,101	, (() () () ()	0.3
Nevellue avequitentent		(8937.968)	(8637.968)	\$937,968	- F
Theoretical Depreciation Adjustment	as a second	(の) (ない) (の)	CACC CC F F F		151 (00.720)
Other Person Tone Decon late fee Fire	(\$250)\ \(\alpha\) \(\alpha\)	7.7.(\$1,096,220)[100.00.00.00.00]	. (51,1000)		
Other Revenues - Laps, Mecon, Jaic 100, Ele-	1000 700	1926 CLOPA Server	1888 8881	,	(\$1,100,720)
Total Reduction in Revenue Requirement	(201,070,120)	(001,2164)	(200)	100 00 101	CEU 300 UCS.
6 4	\$18 950 752	\$6,971,714	\$25,922,400	(140,44,450,00)	\$50.57.05¢
Base Kate Kevenue					•

ERRATA-ATTACHMENT LG-3.S

REVENUE REQUIREMENT - Sewer

PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF TEXAS Utility Name:

Docket Number:

Monarch Utilities I. L.P. 45570 - Sewer-ER

version: 20070403

Preliminary - Subject To Change

9:21 AM 2-Sep-16

SCHEDULE I - REVENUE REQUIREMENT

Errata-Attachment LG-3.S

	1				CTAFF
	TEST PERIOD	COMPANY	COMPANY	SIAFE	Transfer of the state of the st
-	VIVE CONTRACTOR	TSITION	TEST YEAR	ADJUST	TEST YEAK
,	PER COMPANY	100 m	(t)=(b)+(b)	(g	(e)=(c)+(d)
•	(a)	(a)	(m) (m)	1000 0100	62 317 312
	62 733 007	(5173.431)	\$2,559,576	(27,47,704)	210,110,24
Operations and Maintenance	100,007,20	(1099 (10)	4555 836	(\$209.925)	\$345,911
Description and Amortization	\$388,490	(000,20g)	200,000	, to 0	2107 045
Depreciation and canonication	802 134	890 003	\$114,102	(20.757)	C+0'/OIA
Other Taxes	101,000		ALA SCCO	(500 2213)	\$187.571
Towns T.		\$325,470	0/4:0700	(And the first	0.00
rederal income taxes	-	6054 730	\$954.730	(\$344,888)	\$609,842
Return		00111000	000	10041 330)	43 568 481
	C3 414 637	\$1,095,083	84,509,720	1867,1466)	10: 100:10
Revenue Kequirement	1001	17761113/	(345 4113)	\$114.246	- 20
Theoretical Depreciation Adjustment		(017.11.C)	(2000)		1500 5000
	1 100 5050	•	(\$505,295)		(3202,272)
Other Revenues - Taps, Recon, late Iee, Etc.	(077,2076)		11100	2117 246	11500 60037
T The 1 The Demonstration	(\$202.295)	(\$114,246)	(3316.341)	017,4114	(2000)
I ofal Reduction in revenue requirement	0,000	£000 627	64 103 179	(\$826.993)	\$3,366,186
Race Rate Revenue	\$3,212,342	100,006	211102110		
Description and and an arrangement				•	

ERRATA-ATTACHMENT LG-4.W OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE EXPENSES AND TAXES WORKSHEET - Water

Preliminary - Subject To Change

Utility Name:

Monarch Utilities I, L.P.

Docket Number:

Test Period:

45570 - Water-ERRATA(6 2 2016)

From: 2. 7/1/2014 a 2.54 To: . 6/30/2015

version: 20070403

9:21 AM 2-Sep-16

SCHFDULE I(a) - OPFRATIONS & MAINTENANCE

Errata-Attachment LG-4.W

	TEST PERIOD PER COMPANY	COMPANY ADJUST	COMPANY TEST YEAR	STAFF ADJUST	STAFF TEST YEAR
SALARIES AND WAGES - EMPLOYEES	(a)	(b)	(c)=(a)+(b)	(d)	(e)=(c)+(d)
	2,599,117	196,268	2,795,385	(433,761)	2,361,624
EMPLOYEE PENSIONS & BENEFITS	748,047	31,379	779,426	(185,497)	593,929
PURCHASED WATER	2,200,251	⁷ (129,534)	2,070,717	,	2,070,717
PURCHASED POWER	875,266	[· · · (55,203).	820,063		820,063
CHEMICALS	423,846	, **· (15,419)	408,427	Fr Charles	408,427
MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES	1,485,273	(30,855)	1,454,418	A	1,454,418
CONTRACT SERVICES - LEGAL	27,597	(23,708)	3,889		3,889
CONTRACT SERVICES - TESTING	. 174,650	··√··: (4,770)	169,880	- 1 -	169,880
CONTRACT SERVICES - OTHER	718,848	(10,468)		·	708,380
PROFESSIONAL SERVICES	S (5 . 4. 3 . 0	ع يجيب ُ ((تار	. 0	regions to	0
TRANSPORTATION EXPENSES	774,020	\$ 175 ·	774,020		774,020
EMPLOYEE LABOR	7 0	و الماري أو الورادة -	(0	1.0	. 0
BAD DEBT EXPENSE	287,519	(1,119)	286,400	(9,882)	276,518
RENTAL - BLDG/REAL PROPERTY	129,194	(78,175)	51,019	1 pm; 2	51,019
RENTAL - EQUIPMENT	36,507	Page of a light lay	36,507	F 5 %	36,507
INSURANCE	55,598	Hongling (the gran	55,598	# - 107 (g)	55,598
REGULATORY EXPENSE (RATE CASE)	~	Section Sections	0	1	0
REGULATORY EXPENSE - OTHERS	1. 2.611 第 40	洲型磁气。 在	0	- C-	0
MISCELLANEOUS EXP - OTHERS	220,666		220,666	y	220,666
MISCELLANEOUS EXP- AFFILIATES	5,553,955	(36,654)	5,517,301	(813,717	
TOTAL	16,310,354	(158,258)	16,152,096	(1,442,857	

SCHEDULE 1(b) - OTHER TAXES

	TEST YEAR PER COMPANY (a)	COMPANY ADJUST (b)	COMPANY TEST YEAR (c)=(a)+(b)	STAFF ADJUST (d)	STAFF TEST YEAR (e)=(c)+(d)
AD VALOREM TAXES	497,084	ر (21 ₄ 328)	475,756	- 1g, , , ,	475,756
PAYROLL TAXES	241,134	24,542	265,676	(38,436)	,
OTHER TAXES-MISC	124,524	114,624	239,148	(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	239,148
NON-REVENUE RELATED	862,742	117,838	980,580	(38,436)	
TWC ASSESSMENT .	in the office of the	The supplied in	0	12 July 12	0
REVENUE RELATED TAXES	0	0	0		0
TOTAL OTHER TAXES	862,742	117,838	980,580	(38,436)	942,144

SCHEDULE I(c) - FEDERAL INCOME TAXES

REVENUE REQUIREMENT	21,398,752
LESS	
OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE	-14,709,239
DEPRECIATION AND AMORTIZATION	-1,688,859
OTHER TAXES	-942,144
INTEREST EXPENSE	-1,250,681
TAXABLE INCOME	2,807,830
TAXES @ FACTOR:	0
St B-TOTAL	954,662
LESS:	
SURTAX EXEMPTION ·	0
FEDERAL INCOME TAXES	954,662

ERRATA-ATTACHMENT LG-4.S OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE EXPENSES AND TAXES WORKSHEET - Sewer

Preliminary - Subject To Change

Utility Name:

Monarch Utilities I, L.P.

Docket Number:

45570 - Sewer-FRRATA(6 2 2016)

- '

version 20070403

Test Period:

From: 7/1/2014 ** **

To: 6/30/2015

9-21 AM 2-Scp-16

SCHEDULE I(a) - OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE

Errata-Attachment LG-4.S

	TEST PERIOD	COMPANY	COMPANY	STAFF	STAFF:
	PER COMPANY	ADJUST	TEST YEAR	ADJUST	TEST YEAR
	(a)	(b)	(c)=(a)+(b)	(b)	(e)=(c)+(d)
SALARIES AND WAGES I-MPLOYEES	\$382,486	\$34,797	\$417,283	(\$70,613)	\$346,670
EMPLOYFE PENSIONS & BENEFITS -	S121,451	\$5,108	\$126,559	× 4 (\$30,197)	\$96,362
PURCHASED WASTEWATER TREATMEN	1 16 1 % \$261,760	(\$179,141)	\$82,619	1-5mm 17.	\$82,619
PURCHASED POWER .	作用でいる \$147,915	୍ର 😿 😭 (\$43):	- \$147,872	7 V + 1 →	\$147,872
CHEMICALS	\$56,660	**** (\$1,226)	\$55,434	それは はい	\$55,434
MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES	\$219,964	(\$3,305)	\$216,659	2. 5 5 44.	\$216,659
CONTRACT SERVICES - LEGAL	\$8,799	(\$8,713)	~ \$86	Service and Array	\$86
CONTRACT SERVICES - TESTING	1350576 11 \$62,299		\$62,299	San	\$62,299
CONTRACT SERVICES - OTHER	1.54.2 \$102,682	\$525	\$103,207	1.0	\$103,207
PROFESSIONAL SERVICES	50 \$0 S	ang segarah m	\$0	a track was	\$0
TRANSPORTATION EXPENSES	\$125,945	346 Bus -	\$125,945	Vik A.	\$125,945
SLUDGE REMOVAL EXPENSE .	\$101,204	Mark John T.A.	\$101,204	Jun 4 1/2 1/2	\$101,204
BAD DEBT EXPENSE	. 1990 A. \$46,623	2 . prog 3			
RENTAL-BLDG REAL PROPERTY	321,032	85.8 (S12,726)	\$8,306	Jan 1988	\$8,306
RENTAL - EQUIPMENT	\$5,617	3. 47 - 11 - 48	\$5,617		\$5,617
INSURANCE	\$9,520	(2)	\$9,520		\$9,520
REGULATORY EXPENSE (RATE CASE)	\$100 SO:	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	\$0	produce apply	\$0
REGULATORY EXPENSE - OTHERS	17. 34等5·554 . \$0	athogoth Assign	. \$0	1880 STAGE BY	\$0
MISCELLANEOUS EXP OTHERS	\$76,040	13. P. L. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$76,040	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	\$76,040
MISCELLANEOUS EXP -AFFILIATES	₩ 1 ½ %/ \$983,010	· 종 후 (\$3,707)	\$974,303	£ (\$132,466)	
. TOTAL	\$2,733,007	(\$173,431	\$2,559,576	(\$242,264)	

SCHEDULE I(b) - OTHER TAXES

	TEST YEAR PER COMPANY (a)	COMPANY ADJUST (b)	COMPANY TEST YEAR (c)=(a)+(b)	STAFF ADJUST (d)	STAFF; TEST YEAR (e)=(c)+(d)
AD VALOREM TAXES	\$39,307	(\$1,687)	\$37,620	复打 自动电位	\$37,620
PAYROLL TAXES	\$39,254	\$3,995	\$43,249	(\$6,257)	\$36,992
OTHER TAXES-MISC	514,573	\$18,660	\$33,233	国际联系编员	\$33,233
NON-REVENUE RELATED	\$93,134	\$20,968	\$114,102	(\$6,257)	
TWC ASSESSMENT	Service Control	2000年, 1000年, 1000年	50		50
REVENUE RELATED TAXES	\$0	50	50	274 35,479	
TOTAL OTHER TAXES	\$93,134	\$20,968	\$114,102	(\$6,257)	\$107,845

SCHEDULE I(e) - FEDERAL INCOME TAXES

REVENUE REQUIREMENT	\$3,568,481
LESS:	
OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE	-\$2,317,312
DEPRECIATION AND AMORTIZATION	-\$345,911
OTHER TAXES	-\$107,845
INTEREST EXPENSE	-\$245,733
TAXABLE INCOME	\$551,681
TAXES @ FACTOR :	S0
SLB-TOTAL	\$187,571
LESS:	
SURTAX EXEMPTION ·	50
FEDERAL INCOME TAXES	\$187,571

OM and Taxes

ERRATA-ATTACHMENT LG-5.W WEIGHTED COST OF CAPITAL, INVESTED CAPITAL, AND RETURN - Water

Preliminary - Subject To Change

Utility Name:

Monarch Utilities I, L.P.

Docket Number:

45570 - Water-ERRATA(6 2 2016)

version 20070403

9:21 AM : 2-Sep-16

SCHEDULE I(d) - WEIGHTED COST OF CAPITAL

Errata-Attachment LG-5.W

PAYEE	PRINCIPAL AS OF	INTEREST RATE	PERCENTAGE	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
CONTRACT AND	The second second	186952	0.00%	0.00%
		产级条约约	. 0.00%	0.00%
	对于"不是不是 "		0.00%	0.00%
		1. 图 2. 图	0.00%	0.00%
			0.00%	0.00%
		第15年16日本	0.00%	0.00%
Total Debt	\$25,350,684	* 3.	` '	6,45%

Hypothetical

				rrypoructical	·
Total Debt		\$25,350,684	6.36%	47.37%	. 3.01%
EQUITY	, _	\$53,516,723	₹. 8.48°°	52,63%	4.46%
TOTAL	**	\$78,867,407	を できない とう	100,00%	· 7.47%

SCHEDULE I(e) - INVESTED CAPITAL & RETURN

	COMPANY AMOUNT (a)	STAFF ADJUST (b)=(c)-(a)	STAFF AMOUNT (c)
PLANT IN SERVICE	116,290,690	(43,591,649)	72,697,041
ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION	. 3 43,672 , 447	(16,585,736)	27,086,711
NET PLANT	72,618,243	(27,036,261)	45,581,982
WORKING CASH ALLOWANCE	427,304	(27,1951	400,009
OTHER PREPAYMENTS	52,369	_	52,369
MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES	299,601	` ;	299,601
CUSTOMERS DEPOSITS	(547,859)		(547,859)
CONTRIBUTIONS IN AID OF CONSTRUCTION	(3,744.812)		(3,744,812)
CUSTOMER ADVANCES FOR CONSTRUCTION	(490,442)		(490,442)
TOTAL INVESTED CAPITAL	68,614,404	(27,063,556)	41,550,848
RATE OF RETURN	8.69%	-1.22%	7.47%
RETURN	5,963,512	-2,859,664	3,103,848

ERRATA-ATTACHMENT LG-5.S WEIGHTED COST OF CAPITAL, INVESTED CAPITAL, AND RETURN - Sewer

Preliminary - Subject To Change

Utility Name:

Monarch Utilities I, L.P.

Docket Number:

45570 - Sewer-ERRATA(6/2/2016)

version: 20070403 9:21 AM

2-Sep-16

SCHEDULE I(d) - WEIGHTED COST OF CAPITAL

Errata-Attachment LG-5.S

PAYEE	PRINCIPAL AS OF	INTEREST RATE	PÉRCENTAGE	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
			0.00%	0.00%
			0.00%	0.00%
	Ì	ĺ	0.00%	0.00%
		1.	0.00%	0.00%
		[0.00%	0.00%
			0.00%	0.00%
Total Debt	\$25,350,684			6.45%

TOTAL	\$78,867,407		100.00%	7.47%
EQUITY	\$53,516,723	8.48%	52.63%	4.46%
Total Debt	\$25,350,684	6.36%	47.37%	3.01%

SCHEDULE I(e) - INVESTED CAPITAL & RETURN

	COMPANY AMOUNT (a)	STAFF ADJUST (b)=(c)-(a)	STAFF AMOUNT (c)
PLANT IN SERVICE	20,359,143	(5.469 383)	14,889,755
ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION	7,191,114	(1.643.733)	
NET PLANT	13,168,029	(4,831,960)	
WORKING CASH ALLOWANCE and OTHER PREPAYMENTS	46,089	(8.518)	37,571
OTHER PREPAYMENTS	5,830		5,830
MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES	52,507		52,507
CUSTOMERS DEPOSITS	(28,242)		(28.242)
CONTRIBUTIONS IN AID OF CONSTRUCTION	(1.163.057)		(1,163,057)
CUSTOMER ADVANCES FOR CONSTRUCTION	(76 .7 9 5)		(76,795)
TOTAL INVESTED CAPITAL	12,004,361	(3,84 0 ,478)	8,163,883
RATE OF RETURN	7.95%	0.48%	7.470°6
RETURN	954,730	- ` 44, 8 8 8	609,842

ERRATA-ATTACHMENT LG-6.W FEDERAL INCOME TAX WORKSHEET - Water

Preliminary - Subject To Change

Utility Name:

Monarch Utilities I, L.P.

Docket Number:

45570 - Water-ERR

version: 20070403 9:21 AM

Errata-Attachment LG-6.W

2-Sep-16

RETURN

3,103,848

INTEREST

1,250,681 (TOTAL INVESTED CAPITAL * WHIGHTED AVERAGE & FIDERL)

TAXABLE INCOME

1,853,167

TAX CALCULATIONS FYE 07-01-87

RATE "	BRACKET	SURTAX
15°,	0 - 50,000	,
. 25%	50,001 - 75,000	5,000
34° a	75,001 - 100,000	11,750
390 0	100,001 - 335,000	16,750
34%	335,001	

USE THE FOLLOWING RULE TO DETERMINE THE APPROPRIATE TAX BRACKLT:

IF TAXABLE INCOME (RETURN - INTEREST) IS:

0 - 42,500 USI: 15%

42,501 - 61,250 USE 25%

61,251 - 77,750 USE 34%

77,751 - 221,100 USE 39%

221,101 OR OVER USE 34%

INCOME RANGE	IF TAXABLE INCOME IS	THE TAX RATE IS	THE TAX INCLUDING THE SURTAX EXEMPTION IS	AND THE SURTAX EXEMPTION IS
0 - 42,500	0	, 0.00°o	0	0
 42,501 - 61,250	0	0.00%	0	0 .
61,251 - 77,750	0	0.0000	0	0
 77,751 - 221,100	0	0.00° o	0	0
221,100 -	1,853,167	34.00%	954,662	. 0
 Total	1.853.167	34.00%	954.662	0

Tax Grossed-Up = ((1/1 - .34)) x 34% 1/.66 x 34% = 51.5152%

ERRATA-ATTACHMENT LG-6.S FEDERAL INCOME TAX WORKSHEET - Sewer

Preliminary - Subject To Change

Utility Name:

Monarch Utilities I, L.P.

Docket Number:

45570 - Sewer-ERR -

version: 20070403

Errata-Attachment LG-6.S

9·21 AM 2-Sep-16

RETURN

009,842

INTEREST

245,733 (FOTAL INVESTED CAPITAL! WEIGHTED AVERAGE LEDERLE

TAXABLE INCOME

364,109

TAX CALCULATIONS FYE 07-01-87

RATE	BRACKET	SURTAX
· · · 15° o .	0 - 50,000	
25° n.	50,001 - 75,000	5,000
. 34°,0	75,001 - 100,000	11,750
39° o	100,001 - 335,000	· 16,750
34° o	. 335,001 -	

USE THE FOLLOWING RULE TO DETERMINE THE APPROPRIATE TAX BRACK).TIF. TAXABLE INCOME (RETURN - INTEREST) IS:

0 - 42,500 USE 15%

42,501 - 61,250 USE 25%

61,251 - 77,750 USE 34%

77,751 - 221,100 USE 39%

221,101 OR OVER USE 34%

	INCOME RÅNGE	IF TAXABLE INCOME IS	THE TAX RATE IS	THE TAX INCLUDING THE SURTAX EXEMPTION IS	AND THE SURTAX EXEMPTION IS
	. 0 - 42,500	. 0	0.00%	' , 0	0
	42,501 - 61,250	. 0	0.000%	0	0
	61,251'- 77,750	0	ە 0.000	0 ,	0
'	77,751 - 221,100	0	0.00%	. 0	. 0
	-221,100 -	364,109	34.00° o	187,571	0 .
,	Total	364.109	34.00%	187.571	A

Tax Grossed-Up = ((1/1-.34)) x 34% 1/.66 x 34% = 51.5152%

ERRATA-ATTACHMENT LG-7.W WORKING CAPITAL ALLOWANCE WORKSHEET - Water

Errata - Attachment LG-7.W

Monarch Utilities I, L.P. PUC Docket No. 45570

SOAH Docket No. 473-16-2873.WS						r
Working Cash Allowance - Water	Average Days Lag	PER APPLICATION Test Year Do Expense	<u>FION</u> Dollar-Days <u>Lag</u>	ST Average Days Lag	STAFF CALCULATION Test Year Dolls Expense	ATION Dollar-Days <u>Lag</u>
Purchased Water Materials and Supplies Purchased Power Interest Contract Services Taxes Other than Income Transportation Expense Chemicals Pension and Benefits Other Income Taxes Salaries and Wages (ref Errata - Schedule II-B-7 h(W))	33.63 1.26 51.73 36.00 53.78 77.07 13.30 30.50 31.50 13.00	2,096,476.90 1,448,300.35 836,702.16 1,142,685.46 872,832.65 862,485.00 592,787.55 417,140.94 713,688.36 61,602.13 2,516,859.18	70,504,518.15 1,824,858.44 43,282,602.74 41,136,676.56 46,940,939.92 66,471,718.95 7,884,074.42 12,722,798 67 12,722,798 67 15,672,596.39 10,390,786.67 19,373,869.89 368,924,610.11	33.63 1.26 51.73 36.00 53.78 77.07 13.30 30.50 30.50 31.50 13.00	2,070,717.00 1,454,418.00 820,063.00 823,620.00 774,020.00 408,427.00 593,929.00 340,681.53 61.602.13 2,361,624.00	69,638,212.71 1,832,566.68 42,421,858.99 0.00 47,441,973.22 63,476,393.40 10,294,466.00 12,457,023.50 13,042,680.84 10,390,786.67 19,373,869.89 30,701,112.00 321,070,943.89
Sched II-B-7 Revenue Lag Days		44,10	368,922,910.90		44 10	,
Expense Lag Days		31.00			30.31	
Net Expense Lag Days Working Cash (Exp x Net Exp Lag Days/365) Per Errata-Schedule- II-B-7.a-g		13 10 427,176.36 427,304.00			400,008.80	
Staff Adjustment					(27,295)	

ERRATA-ATTACHMENT LG-7.S WORKING CAPITAL ALLOWANCE WORKSHEET - Sewer

Errata - Attachment LG-7.S

Monarch Utilities I, L.P. PUC Docket No. 45570

PUC Docket No. 455/u						
SOAH Docket No. 473-10-28/3. WS		•	,			
Wolning Casal Allowance Commen	Pel	PER APPLICATION	ION	ST	STAFF CALCULATION	TION Peller Days
	Average	Test Year	Dollar-Days	Average	Test Year	Dellar-Days
	Days Lag	Expense	Lag	Days Lag	Expense	
Purchased Water		,	, c	05.02	00 659 911	6.608.099.50
Materials and Supplies	30.50	220,025.63	6,710,781.72	00.00	00 220 27	6 023 718 08
Burchased Dower	46.89	148,095.91	6.944,217.22	46.89	147,872.00	90.917,656,0
l'ulciascu i owei	36 00	217,957.93	7,846,485.48	36.00	0.00	0.00
Interest	29.80	174.907.89	5,212,255.12	29.80	165,592.00	4,934,641.60
Contract Services	213.50	57,608.46	12,299,406.21	213.50	50,930.00	10,873,555.00
Taxes Other than Income	1591	109,612.52	1,743,935.19	15.91	125,945.00	2,003,784.95
I ransportation Expense	05 12	55,479.73	1,747,611.50	31.50	55,434.00	1,746,171.00
Chemicals	30.50	131,669 21	4,015,910 91	30.50	96,362.00	2,939,041.00
Pension and Benefits	16.11	99 843 40	1,608,477.17	16.11	99,843.40	1,608,477.17
Other	1101	11 207 87	3 584 630.12	314.50	11,397.87	3,584,630.12
Income Taxes	05.4.5	70.772,11	5,00,050	13.00	346.670.00	4,506,710.00
Salaries and Wages	13.00	425,034.57	10 101,001,0	02.12	82 619 41	2.585.987.53
Purchased WW Treatment	31.30	82,619.41	2,585,98,655	מר זר	101 202 66	2 684 871 67
Shidae Removal	3641	101,203.56	3,684,821.62	36 41	101,203,50	2,004,021.02
		1,833,456.49	63,483,974.39		1,500,528.24	52,009,637.57
Revenue Lag Days		438			43 8	
Expense Lag Days	34.63	346			34.66	
Net Expense Lag Days	6 33	5.6			9 14	
Working Cash (Exp x Net Exp Lag Days 365) Per Errata-Schedule- II-B-7.a-g		46,213.15 46,08 9.00			37,571.23 (46.089)	
Staff Adjustment					(8,518)	

ERRATA-ATTACHMENT LG-8 STAFF'S SUMMARY OF ADJUSTMENTS TO REVENUE REQUIREMENT

P.	,
Monarch Utilities I, L	PUC Docket No. 4557

SOAH Docket No. 473-16-2873.WS

ERRATA - Attachment LG-8

Test Year Ending June 30, 2015.

Staff Summary and Revenciliation - Revenue Require	equirement Reference	Total	Water	Sewer
Applicant's Requested Revenue Requirement Operations and Maintenance Depreciation and Amortization Other Expenses Taxes Other than Federal Income Taxes Federal Income Taxes, Utility Operating Income State Income Taxes, Utility Operating Income Return on Rate Base Other Expense Items Total Unadjusted Cost of Service Less: Other Revenue Items - Credit Theoretical Depreciation Adjustment Adjusted Revenue Requirement per Applicant	II-D-1 II-E II-E-4 II-E-2 II-E-3 II-E-3 II-B no reference II-E-5 II(W) / III(S) Errata Schedule II-A-1-6/2/2016	\$18,711,672 \$3,462,372 (\$7,4,5%) \$956,863 \$2,358,491 \$137,819 \$6,918,242 \$101,228 \$32,572,102 (\$4,5%) \$32,572,102 \$4,5%) \$31,512,214) \$31,515,388 \$31,515,388	\$16.152.096 \$2.873,876 (\$41\?!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!	\$2,559,576 \$588,496 (\$32,66!) \$94,808 \$325,476 \$19,295 \$954,730 (\$11,49!) \$4,498,231 \$0 \$11,246 \$11,246 \$11,246
Less: Other Expense Items Other Revenues / Miscellaneous Income Net Requested Revenue Requirement	Monarch's Errata WP/II G-1.h	<u>\$30,115,645</u>	(\$1,096,220) \$25,922,465	\$4,193,180

WP-LG-4/LG5 Confidential WP-LG-4/LG5 Confidential Monarch's Response to Staff RF1 12-1 Table 10 of LG-DT Table 8 of LG-DT Errata-Attachment LG-4.W and LG-4.S WP-LG-4/LG5 Confidential Errata-Attachments LG-3.W and LG-3.S Errata-Attachments LG-3.W and LG-3.S Errata-Attachments LG-3.W and LG-3.S	II(W) ! III(S) Errata-Attachment LG-3 W
Less: Staff Adjustments Salaries and Wages Employee pensions and benefits Bad Debts Expense Miscellaneous Expense - SouthWest Miscellaneous Expense - SWWC Sub-Total Payroll Taxes Depreciation Federal Income Taxes Return on Rate Base	Staff's Total Adjustments Theoretical Depreciation Adjustment Staff's Total Adjustments with Theoretical Deprn.

(\$3%,197) (\$86.88)

(\$433.761)

(\$504,374)

(\$5115,694) (\$18,870) (\$755,976) 1702.0812)

(\$185,497) (\$9,882)(\$650.139) 15163,5781 (\$1,442,857) (\$38,436)

(\$105.837)1,526,6291 (\$242,264)(\$6.257) (\$209,925) (\$137,905)

(\$1,685,121)

(\$44,693) (\$1,353,017) (\$1,216,258)

344,888 18941,2391

(\$1,143,192)

(\$1,078,353) (\$2,859,664)

(56,562,402) \$937,968

(\$7,503,641) 153.204 5521

Staff's Revenue Requirement

\$3,366,187

(\$826,993) \$114,246

(\$5,624,434)

(\$6,451,427)

\$1,052,214

LG-3.W. and LG-3 S

523,664,218

\$20,298,031