

Control Number: 45570



Item Number: 229

Addendum StartPage: 0

2016 JUL 12 PM 2:58

APPLICATION OF MONARCH

§

BEFORE THE STAFE OFFICE

UTILITIES I, L.P. TO CHANGE RATES

FILING CLOTK

FOR WATER AND SEWER SERVICE

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

MONARCH UTILITIES I, L.P.'S RESPONSES TO OFFICE OF PUBLIC UTILITY COUNSEL'S REDACTED SIXTH REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

To: Office of Public Utility Counsel, by and through its attorney of record, Christiaan Siano, Assistant Public Counsel, 1701 North Congress Avenue, Suite 9-180, P. O. Box 12397, Austin, Texas 78711-2397.

Monarch Utilities I, L.P. ("Monarch") files its Responses to the Office of Public Utility Counsel's ("OPUC") Redacted Sixth Requests for Information received July 1, 2016. This response is timely filed. This response may be treated by all parties as if it were filed under oath.

Respectfully submitted,

LLOYD, GOSSELINK, ROCHELLE & TOWNSEND, P.C.

816 Congress Avenue, Suite 1900

Austin, Texas 78701

Telephone:

(512) 322-5800

Facsimile:

(512) 472-0532

LÁMBETH TOWNSEND State Bar No. 20167500 ltownsend@lglawfirm.com

GEORGIA N. CRUMP State Bar No. 05185500 gcrump@lglawfirm.com

WILLIAM A. FAULK, III State Bar No. 24075674 cfaulk@lglawfirm.com

ATTORNEYS FOR MONARCH UTILITIES I, LP

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 12th day of July, 2016, a true and correct copy of the foregoing document has been hand-delivered., sent via facsimile, e-mail, or first class mail to all parties of record.

WILLIAM A FAULK III

MONARCH'S RESPONSES TO OPUC'S REDACTED SIXTH REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

OPUC RFI 6-3: Provide the total interest paid to Southwest for net balances of

advances from affiliates during the test year. Provide the effective

rate paid.

RESPONSE: No interest was paid to Southwest for advances from affiliates during the

test year.

Prepared by: Bruce Connolly

Sponsored by: Carmelitha Bordelon-Taylor

MONARCH'S RESPONSES TO OPUC'S REDACTED SIXTH REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

OPUC RFI 6-9:

Provide a detailed accounting for the \$31,050 decrease in salary and benefits shown on Confidential Errata Schedule II-D-9.1.f. Provide each component of this adjustment and why it decreased from the original decrease of \$37,598.

RESPONSE:

The \$31,050 decrease in salary and benefits shown on Confidential Errata Schedule II-D-9.1.f consists primarily of payroll taxes (\$28,040) included in column (h) and rows 26 to 33 (expenses by category section). These taxes have to be deducted to reconcile with the amounts above in rows 2 to 22 (payroll by account number excluding taxes). The reason for the \$6,548 change from the \$37,598 decrease in the original filing to \$31,050 in the errata is due to the correction of double counting overtime.

Prepared by:

Ed Taussig

Sponsored by:

Carmelitha Bordelon-Taylor

MONARCH'S RESPONSES TO OPUC'S REDACTED SIXTH REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

OPUC RFI 6-11: Confirm or deny that the amounts allocated to Monarch for the VP

Regulatory in the per books amounts shown on Confidential Errata Schedule II-D-9.1.f were based on the original allocation factors. If deny, provide the amount included in per books that is related to this

position.

RESPONSE: Confirmed, the amount allocated to Monarch for the VP Regulatory in the

"Per Book" (column g) amount shown on Confidential Errata Schedule II-D-9.1.f was based on the original allocation factors because the allocation adjustments to reflect the sale of Blue Mound and Midway were recorded

in the "K&M" (column h) amount.

Prepared by:

Ed Taussig

Sponsored by:

Carmelitha Bordelon-Taylor

MONARCH'S RESPONSES TO OPUC'S REDACTED SIXTH REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

OPUC RFI 6-12: Confirm or deny that the amounts allocated to Monarch for

temporary labor in the per books amounts on Confidential Errata Schedule II-D-9.1.f were based on the original allocation factors. If deny, provide the amount included in the per books that is related to

the temporary labor being removed.

RESPONSE: Confirmed, the amount allocated to Monarch for the temporary labor in

the "Per Book" (column g) amount shown on Confidential Errata Schedule II-D-9.1.f was based on the original allocation factors because the allocation adjustments to reflect the sale of Blue Mound and Midway were

recorded in the "K&M" (column h) amount.

Prepared by:

Ed Taussig

Sponsored by:

Carmelitha Bordelon-Taylor

MONARCH'S RESPONSES TO OPUC'S REDACTED SIXTH REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

OPUC RFI 6-13: Provide the detailed computation of the corporate allocation factor

shown on Confidential Errata WP II-D-9.1.g.4. Include each of the three factor amounts that were used for developing this corporate allocation factor and how each was amended for the Blue Mound and

Midway sales.

RESPONSE: See Attachment OPUC 6-13 for the detailed computation of the corporate

allocation factor shown on Confidential Errata WP II-D-9.1.g.4 including

the original and amended calculations.

Prepared by: Ed Taussig

Sponsored by: Carmelitha Bordelon-Taylor

Attachment OPUC RFI 6-13 PUC Docket No. 45570

SUBURBAN WATER SYSTEMS 3 FACTOR ALLOCATION PER D.14-12-038

<u>ပ</u> **(**p) (a)

ਉ

(e) (f) (g) (h) COMPUTATION OF ALLOCATION PERCENT

 \odot

9

₹

Έ

€

						Per Settlement Agreement with CPUC - ORA	t Agreement	with CPUC	- ORA	
Line		Direct Operating Expense	g Expense	Payroll	_	End of Year Gross Plant	oss Plant			
Number	Company	Recorded 2012	2012	Recorded 2012	2012	Recorded 2012	2012	Total	\vdash	Average
		Amount, \$	Percent	Amount, \$	Percent	Amount, \$	Percent	Percent		(Percent)
	ALL OCATION FACTORS									
12.	Suburban Water Systems	34,410,816	48.3%	7,749,136	48.7%	207,656,138	42.8%	139.7%		46.57%
	Texas Utilities									
13.	Monarch Utilities	18,815,862	26.4%	5,364,056	33.7%	131,109,018	27.0%	87.1%	<u> </u>	29.03%
74.	Windermere	4,181,215	5.9%	448,906	2.8%	44,439,171	9.2%	17.8%		5.95%
15.	Hornsby Bend	2,418,215	3.4%	213,338	1.3%	27,047,652	5.6%	10.3%		3.43%
16.	Diamond	892,938	1.3%	318,840	2.0%	3,811,625	0.8%	4.0%		1.35%
17.	Water Services Inc	964,327	1.4%	202,263	1.3%	8,302,072	1.7%	4.3%		1.44%
18	Huntington	32,215	0.0%	5,809	0.0%	635,367	0.1%	0.2%		0.07%
19.	Inverness	156,921	0.2%	28,765	0.2%	1,774,758	0.4%	0.8%		0.26%
20.	Mid-Tex	3,396	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	%0.0	0.0%		0.00%
21.	SW Utilities	17,111	0.0%	4,657	0.0%	90,881	%0:0	0.1%		0.02%
22.	Tenkiller	263,600	0.4%	95,943	0.6%	1,046,325	0.2%	1.2%		0.40%
23.	Metro - Continued Operations	697,271	1.0%	13,461	0.1%	4,526,076	%6:0	2.0%		%99.0
	Southeast Utilities									
24.	Riverview Wastewater	1,995,458	2.8%	135,611	0.9%	31,580,266	6.5%	10.2%		3.38%
25.	North Shelby & Other	4,472,893	6.3%	878,667	5.5%	22,257,237	4.6%	16.4%		5.46%
26.	North County Water	201,819	0.3%	16,255	0.1%	1,337,439	0.3%	0.7%		0.22%
27.	11 Contracts (per Rebuttal)	1,793,205	2.5%	433,696	2.7%			5.2%		1.75%
28.		71,317,262	100.0%	15,909,402	100.0%	485,614,028	100.0%	300.0%		100.0%

PUC Docket No. 45570
Attachment OPUC RFI 6-13
Parent Company Allocation exclusive of Blue Mound and Midway

	Parent (a)	Parent Company Allocation exclusive of Blue Mound and Mildway (a) (b) (c) (d) (e) (f)	ue Mound and M (e)	lidway (f)	(6)	Ξ	Θ	0	8	€	(u) (u)	© ((d)	(b)	Ξ	(s)	€	
	SUBU 3 FAC	SUBURBAN WATER SYSTEMS 3 FACTOR ALLOCATION PER D.14-12-038	38															
			COMPUTA	TION OF ALI	COMPUTATION OF ALLOCATION PERCENT		AGO CHES AND		, ,	2								
				-			er settlement	Agreemer	֓֞֞֞֜֞֞֜֞֜֞֜֞֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֡֓֓֡֓֡֓֓֓֓֡֓֡֓֡֡֡֡֓֡֓֡֡֡֡֓֡֡֡֡	2								
	Number	er Company	Uirect Operating Expense Recorded 2012	g Expense 2012	Payroll Recorded 2012	2012	End of Year Gross Plant Recorded 2012	oss Plant	<u> </u>	Total	Average							
			Amount, \$	Percent	Amount, \$	Percent	Amount, \$	Percent	8	Percent (P	(Percent)							
		ALLOCATION FACTORS																
	12.	Suburban Water Systems	34,410,816	48.9%	7,749,136	48.8%	48.8% 207,656,138	43.1%	72	140.8%	46.92%							
													;	YE 2012 SAP		(Saf&Wage)		
		Texas Utilities								ž 76	New excl Blue/Mid	Variance	Old Incl Blue/Mid	_	Direct Opex	Payroll	Gross Plant	Ĕ
	13	•	17,909,846	25.4%	5,341,734	33.6%	127,033,317	26.4%		85.4%	28.48%	(0.55	13		615,524			2,852,969
	<u>4</u>	Windermere	4,181,215	5.9%	448,906	2.8%	44,439,171	9.5%	•	18.0%	6.00%			Midway	290,492	5,320		1,222,732
	15.		2,418,215	3.4%	213,338	1.3%	27,047,652	5.6%	•	10.4%	3.46%				906,016		•	4,075,701
	16.	Diamond	892,938	1.3%	318,840	2.0%	3,811,625	0.8%		4.1%	1.36%							
	17.		964,327	1.4%	202,263	1.3%	8,302,072	1.7%		4.4%	1.46%							
9	18	Huntington	32,215	0.0%	5,809	0.0%	635,367	0.1%		0.2%	0.07%							
)	<u>6</u>		156,921	0.2%	28,765	0.2%	1,774,758	0.4%		0.8%	0.26%							
	8		3,396	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%		0.0%	0.00%							
	21.	SW Utilities	17,111	%0.0	4,657	0.0%	90,881	0.0%		0.1%	0.02%							
	22.	Tenkiller	263,600	0.4%	95,943	0.6%	1,046,325	0.2%		1.2%	0.40%							
	23	Metro - Continued Operations	697,271	1.0%	13,461	0.1%	4,526,076	0.9%		2.0%	0.67%							
		Southeast Utilities																
	24	Riverview Wastewater	1,995,458	2.8%	135,611	0.9%	31,580,266	6.6%	•	10.2%	3.42%							
	22	North Shelby & Other	4,472,893	6.4%	878,667	5.5%	22,257,237	4.6%	•	16.5%	5.50%							
	9	North County Water	201,819	0.3%	16,255	0.1%	1,337,439	0.3%		0.7%	0.22%							
	27.	11 Contracts (per Rebuttal)	1,793,205	2.5%	433,696	2.7%			١	5.3%	1.76%							
	28.		70,411,246	100.0%	15,887,080	100.0%	100.0% 481,538,327	100.0%	స	300.0%	100.0%							

MONARCH'S RESPONSES TO OPUC'S REDACTED SIXTH REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

OPUC RFI 6-15: Reference Amended Response to OPUC 2-12. Confirm or deny that

Account 675 includes \$XXX,XXX.XX in incentive compensation that has not been removed from Account 675. If deny, provide a detailed explanation of where these STI payments are included in the filing.

RESPONSE: Confirmed.

Prepared by: Ed Taussig

Sponsored by: Carmelitha Bordelon-Taylor