

Control Number: 44837



Item Number: 243

Addendum StartPage: 0

## PUC DOCKET NO. 44837 RECEIVED SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-15-5258

2015 DEC -7 PM 2: 25

		PUBLIC UTILITY SCHMISSION  BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE
APPLICATION OF AEP TEXAS	§	BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE
CENTRAL COMPANY TO AMEND	8	
ITS CERTIFICATE OF	Š	
CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY	8	
FOR THE PROPOSED TULETA TO	8	OF
EULER TO COLETO CREEK	8	
DOUBLE-CIRCUIT 138KV	8	
TRANSMISSION LINE IN BEE AND	8	
GOLIAD COUNTIES, TEXAS	8 §	ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

## DIRECT TESTIMONY OF INTERVENORS JOSEPHINE W. RODGERS, ROBERT RODGERS, CHARLES RODGERS, AND MARY RODGERS

Intervenor JOSEPHINE W. RODGERS, ROBERT RODGERS, CHARLES RODGERS, AND MARY RODGERS (the "Rodgers Family") files this Direct Testimony, which is attached, and stipulates that this Direct Testimony can be treated by all parties as if the answers were filed under oath.

Respectfully submitted,

By: Josephine W. Nodgers JOSEPHINE W. RODGERS, for the Rodgers Family

Direct Testimony of JOSEPHINE W. RODGERS, ROBERT RODGERS, CHARLES RODGERS, AND MARY RODGERS
SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-15-5258
PUC DOCKET NO. 44837

243

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that a copy of this document will be served on all parties of record on December 7, 2015, in accordance with Public Utility Commission Procedural Rule 22.74.

OSEPHINE W. RODGERS, for the

Rodgers Family

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I.	INTRODUCTION	4
	PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF TESTIMONY	
III.	DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY AND THE LINE'S IMPACT	6
IV.	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	10

1

1

2 3	QUESTION: BRIEFLY DESCRIBE YOUR OCCUPATION, EDUCATIONAL AN WORK HISTORIES.	ΙĽ	
4	ANSWER: Josephine W Rodgers, MA, retired, Director of Language Programs, Schoo		
5	of Continuing Studies, Rice University,		
6	Robert W Rodgers, MS, Senior Engineer Highway Designer, Tennessee Dept. o		
7	Transportation	٠.	
8	Mary R Rodgers, CPA, Fidelity Investments		
9	Charles A Rodgers, Ph.D., Senior Alfalfa Breeder, Forage Genetics		
10			
11			
12 13	QUESTION: WHERE IS YOUR PROPERTY LOCATED?		
14	ANSWER: Goliad County on Highway 59 between Berclair and Goliad		
15 16 17			
18 19	QUESTION: HOW LONG HAVE YOU OWNED THE PROPERTY?		
20	ANSWER: My deceased husband's father bought the property in the 1930s. The lan	d	
21	has been in the family almost 85 years, for three generations, and it is our intention to		
22	keep it in our family for many more generations.		
23 24			
25			
26 27 28	QUESTION: ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH THIS AREA OF GOLIAD COUNTY TEXAS?	,	
29	ANSWER: Yes. I currently divide my time between my home on this property and	1	
30 31 32 33 34	my apartment in Houston	•	
35 36	II. PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF TESTIMONY		
37	QUESTION: WHAT IS THE PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?		

Direct Testimony of JOSEPHINE W. RODGERS, ROBERT RODGERS, CHARLES RODGERS, AND MARY RODGERS
SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-15-5258
PUC DOCKET NO. 44837

1		
2	ANSWER: The purpose of our testimony is to (a) describe our property, (b) describe	
3	the expected impact of the proposed transmission line on our property and (c) voice our	
4	opposition against POWER's Route EC 7 and AEP TCC's Route EC 8 and any route	
5	using Segment U and Segment HH.	
6		
7 8		
9		
10	III. DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY AND THE LINE'S IMPACT	
11 12		
13	QUESTION: ARE THERE ANY HABITABLE STRUCTURES ON THE	
14 15	PROPERTY?	
16	ANSWER: Yes I have a house and my son Charlie owns the adjoining horn	
17	ANSWER: Yes I have a house and my son Charlie owns the adjoining barn.	
18		
19	QUESTION: PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR PROPERTY'S TERRAIN AND ITS	
20 21	ECOLOGICAL OR BIOLOGICAL FEATURES.	
22	ANSWER: The land is rolling Gulf Coastal Prairie land. A portion of the property has	
23	terraces and is used for farming. The rest of the property is used as pasture land. The	
24	pasture land is managed to provide grazing for cattle on the property and some of the land	
25	is left in brush for wildlife habitat.	
26		
27 28		
29	QUESTION: PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR PROPERTY'S CURRENT USES.	
30		
31	ANSWER: The farms grow sorghum, wheat and at times corn or cotton.	
32	The pastures are used for grazing cattle.	
33		
34 35		
36		

1 2 3 4	QUESTION: HAVE YOU OR YOUR FAMILY UNDERTAKEN ANY EFFORTS TO RESTORE THE LAND OR THE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY OF THE PROPERTY?
5 6 7	ANSWER: Yes. During the years that my husband, Tom Rodgers (who died in 2011), and I owned the land we made every effort to control erosion and brush, thus preserving its best possible productivity. My husband won the South West Conservation District
8	Award for land management in Goliad County in 1995. My father-in-law, Bill Rodgers.
9 10	won the Wildlife Conservation Award for the Karnes – Goliad Soil Conservation
11	Districts of America in 1960. Our family values the land as a place where we have put down roots, a place where we have formed strong bonds. We have worked in conjunction
12	with Natural Resources and Conservation Services to build terraces on our farms.
13	improve our tanks, and reduce brush. We have spent a great deal of money to comply
14 15	with NRCS advice to protect the topsoil and decrease erosion from the farmland.
16	
17	QUESTION: ARE THERE ANY WATER WELL SITES ON THE PROPERTY? IF
18	SO, DESCRIBE THE GENERAL LOCATION.
19	ANIONIED W. 1
20 21	ANSWER: We have one well to service the headquarters. Two other wells are on the property for cattle operations. One well is near the highway and the other is near the
22	center of the property. We also have three tanks on the property to provide water for
23	cattle on the property.
24	
25 26	
27	QUESTION: ARE THERE ANY GAS WELLS ON THE PROPERTY? IF SO,
28	DESCRIBE THE GENERAL LOCATION.
29	
30	ANGWED
31	ANSWER: Yes. The well is not currently active.
32	
33	
34	
35	QUESTION: ARE THERE ANY PIPELINE EASEMENTS ON THE PROPERTY? IF
36	SO, DESCRIBE THE GENERAL LOCATION.
37	
38	ANSWER: No
39	
40 41	
41	

QUESTION: PLEASE DESCRIBE ANY PLANNED FUTURE USES OF YOUR 1 PROPERTY IF THOSE USES ARE DIFFERENT FROM THE CURRENT USES 2 3 PREVIOUSLY DESCRIBED. 4 5 ANSWER: No 6 7 8 9 QUESTION: PLEASE DESCRIBE THE CURRENT AND PLANNED FUTURE USES OF YOUR PROPERTY AND HOW THOSE USES CONTRIBUTE TO THE 10 11 COMMUNITY VALUES OF GOLIAD COUNTY, TEXAS? 12 ANSWER: The property is currently used for farming and cattle. We do not plan to 13 14 change the land's use. Cattle operations and farming are the traditional use for rural land in the area. As Houston and San Antonio have grown, land in this area is being 15 16 subdivided and sold as ranchettes for weekend use. While this has brought new opportunities we feel it is important for some land to provide opportunities for families 17 who have lived in the area for generations to be able to continue to make a living the way 18 19 they have for generations. By using our land in this traditional manner we provide 20 opportunity to the farmer and cattle man who lease from us to continue their established 21 form of making a living. 22 23 24 25 QUESTION: DO ANY EXISTING TRANSMISSION OR DISTRIBUTION LINES CROSS YOUR PROPERTY? IF SO, PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW AND WHERE 26 27 THEY CROSS THE PROPERTY. 28 29 ANSWER: No 30 31 32 QUESTION: ARE THERE CURRENT PLANS FOR OTHER UTILITY FEATURES 33 ON YOUR PROPERTY? IF SO, PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW AND WHERE THEY 34 CROSS THE PROPERTY. 35 36 37 ANSWER: No

1 2 3	QUESTION: WOULD THE LINKS THAT MIGHT IMPACT YOUR PROPERT RUN ALONG ANY BOUNDARY LINES OF YOUR PROPERTY?			
4	ANSWER: Yes. The link will run along the ROW line of US 59 and along the property			
5	line that separates our property and from our cousins' land.			
6				
7 8	OUESTION: HOW WOULD A 129 VV ELECTRIC TRANSMISSION UND A CO			
9	t and the second			
10	ANGWED THE 1' 11 A 1 A 1 A 1 A 1 A 1 A 1 A 1 A 1 A			
11	ANSWER: The line will cut across the larger farm field on our property.			
12 13				
14 15 16	QUESTION: WOULD YOU HAVE TO REGULARLY DRIVE UNDER THE TRANSMISSION LINE IF INSTALLED ON YOUR PROPERTY.			
17	ANSWER: Yes. The line will go over our connection to US 59. My son, Robert, has			
18	talked to the AEP representative and they have adjusted the proposed route of the line			
19	twice so that if the line must come across our property it stays well away from our house			
20	on the property.			
21 22 23				
24 25 26	QUESTION: DESCRIBE THE AESTHETIC IMPACT TO YOUR PROPERTY IF AEP BUILDS A 138 KV TRANSMISSION LINE ON YOUR PROPERTY.			
27	ANSWER: The towers and line will impact our view to the south and west of the			
28	property.			
29 30 31				
32 33 34	QUESTION: IF THE TRANSMISSION LINE IS BUILT ON YOUR PROPERTY, DO YOU HAVE ANY CONCERNS ABOUT AEP HAVING ACCESS TO YOUR PROPERTY?			
35				
36	ANSWER: Yes. We do not want the terraces on the farmland to be damaged. The farmer			
37	will need to be compensated for damage to crops from any maintenance work done on			
38	the line. We also worry that workers will forget to close gates and allow cattle onto the			
	Direct Testimony of JOSEPHINE W. RODGERS, ROBERT RODGERS, CHARLES RODGERS, AND MARY RODGERS SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-15-5258			

PUC DOCKET NO. 44837

1	highway. At times we use aerial applications via crop dusters (fixed wing aircraft) to our		
2	farmland. Herbicides, Insecticides, Crop Growth Regulators, and Harvest Aides are		
3	routinely applied to these crops. Having wires suspended across the fields, guy wires,		
4	and/or towers within or near the fields certainly present a safety issue. There have been		
5	deaths in the last decade regarding crop dusters and towers, and 14 deaths from crop		
6	dusters hitting power lines (http://www.agaviation.org).		
7			
8			
9			
10 11	QUESTION: IF THE TRANSMISSION LINE IS BUILT ON YOUR PROPERTY, DO		
12	YOU HAVE ANY OTHER CONCERNS?		
13 14	ANSWED. Vog Fodorol and State I amprove mine DEL (D. 1111 1 D. 1111 1 D. 1111 1		
15	ANSWER: Yes. Federal and State Laws require REIs (Restricted Entry Intervals) after pesticides are applied to cropland. This would require your workers not to enter the land		
16	for anywhere from 4-48 hours after certain pesticide applications, or wear certain PPE		
17	(personal protective equipment), whether application was made by air or ground.		
18			
19			
20			
21	QUESTION: IS THERE ANYTHING ELSE YOU WOULD LIKE THE		
22 23	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE AND THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION TO CONSIDER IN THIS PROCEEDING?		
24	CONSIDER IN THIS PROCEEDING!		
25	ANSWER: No.		
26			
27			
28	IV. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS		
29 30	QUESTION: HOW WOULD YOU SUMMARIZE YOUR POSITION IN THIS		
31	PROCEEDING REGARDING AEP'S RECOMMENDED ROUTE AND THE		
32 33	PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE ROUTES?		
34	ANSWER: We oppose a POWER's Route EC 7 and AEP TCC's Route EC 8 and any		
35	route using Segment U and Segment HH. We support a northern route like Route 1 as		
	The state of the s		

1	the route that	best meets the overall community values and PURA § 37.056(c)(4) and
2	P.U.C. SUBS	Γ. R. 25.101(b)(3)(B).
3 4 5		
6 7	QUESTION:	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
8	ANSWER:	Yes.

Direct Testimony of JOSEPHINE W. RODGERS, ROBERT RODGERS, CHARLES RODGERS, AND MARY RODGERS
SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-15-5258
PUC DOCKET NO. 44837