Control Number: 44211

Item Number: 7

Addendum StartPage: 0

House Bill (HB) 1600 and Senate Bill (SB) 567 83rd Legislature, Regular Session, transferred the functions relating to the economic regulation of water and sewer utilities from the TCEQ to the PUC effective September 1, 2014

44211

RECEIVED

2015 JAN 12 AM 7:47

PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
FILING CLERK

No. D-1-GV-10-001692

STATE OF TEXAS,	§	IN THE DISTRICT COURT
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
v.	§	
	§	TRAVIS COUNTY, TEXAS
MICHAEL L. O'NEILL, d/b/a FRONTIER PARK RESORT and MARINA,	§	
	§	
	§	
	§	
Defendant.	§	353rd JUDICIAL DISTRICT

Filed In The District Court of Travis County, Texas
11 DEC 20 PM 2:03

DEFAULT JUDGMENT AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION

ON THIS DAY the Court called the above-styled case for trial. Plaintiff, State of Texas, appeared by its attorney of record and announced ready for trial. Defendant, Michael L. O'Neill, an individual who does business as Frontier Park Resort and Marina, the owner and operator of a public water supply system (PWSS) and a wastewater treatment plant (WWTP), although having been duly and legally cited to appear and answer herein, failed to appear and answer, and wholly made default. The Court determined it had jurisdiction over the subject matter and the parties to this proceeding. Plaintiff moved for default judgment.

The Court, having considered the pleadings, evidence, and argument, is of the opinion that it has jurisdiction over the parties and subject matter of this case. Furthermore, the Court is of the opinion that the State is entitled to judgment against Defendant on the State's claim for injunctive relief, civil penalties, attorney's fees, unpaid administrative penalties, and court

EXHIBIT
tabbies
C

costs.

THE COURT FINDS:

1. The State filed its Original Petition and Application for Permanent Injunction on October 4, 2010.

2. Citation was issued on October 7, 2010.

3. Defendant was properly served with citation and a copy of the State's petition by personal service upon Defendant on October 13, 2010.

4. The completed citation was filed on December 7, 2010. The citation and proof of service have been on file with the clerk of the court at least ten days, excluding the day of filing and today as required by Rule 107 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure.

5. Defendant's last known mailing address is Michael O'Neill, RR 1, Box 1690, Hemphill, Sabine County, Texas 75948. The State has filed a Certificate of Defendant's Last Known Address with the records in this cause. *See* Exhibit A, Certificate of Defendant's Last Known Address.

6. Defendant is not a member of the United States military. The State has filed a Soldiers' and Sailors' Affidavit in the records of this cause. *See* Exhibit B, Soldiers' and Sailors' Affidavit.

7. The deadline for Defendant to file an answer was November 8, 2010. Defendant failed to file an answer, or any pleading constituting an answer, and has not entered an appearance in this cause. Therefore, Defendant has admitted all facts alleged in

the State's Original Petition and Application for Permanent Injunction.

8. The administrative and civil penalties sought in the State's Original Petition and Application for Permanent Injunction are liquidated and proven by a written instrument that allows the Court to calculate the penalties without the necessity of a hearing.

9. At all times relevant to the violations in this matter, Defendant owned and operated the a PWSS and WWTP located six miles east of Milam on Highway 21 at Toledo Bend Reservoir, Sabine County, Texas (the facility).

FURTHER THE COURT FINDS THAT:

10. The State is entitled to judgment for civil penalties against Defendant of not less than $50 nor more than $25,000 for each day that Defendant violated the Tex. Water Code, Tex. Health and Safety Code and Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) rules. Tex. Water Code. § 7.102. Each day of a continuing violation is a separate violation. *Id.* The State is only seeking civil penalties against Defendant for the statutory minimum of $50.00 per violation per day. The civil penalties for the violations are liquidated and proven by a written instrument that allows the Court to calculate the penalties without the necessity of a hearing.

Violation 1: Failure to Maintain Plant Operations Manual

11. The Court finds that the Defendant failed from February 13, 2007 to October 4, 2010 to compile and maintain a complete and up-to-date plant operations manual in violation of 30 TAC § 290.42(l) and TCEQ Order Docket No. 2007-0712-PWS-E, Ordering

Provision 2.f.i. Therefore, Defendant has violated 30 TAC § 290.42(l) and TCEQ Order Docket No. 2007-0712-PWS-E, Ordering Provision 2.f.i. for 1,328 days.

Violation 2: Failure to Maintain Chemical Monitoring Plan

12. The Court finds that the Defendant failed from February 13, 2007 to October 4, 2010 to prepare and maintain an up-to-date chemical and microbiological monitoring plan for the facility in violation of 30 TAC § 290.121(a) and TCEQ Order Docket No. 2007-0712-PWS-E, Ordering Provision 2.b.ii. Therefore, Defendant has violated 30 TAC § 290.121(a) and TCEQ Order Docket No. 2007-0712-PWS-E, Ordering Provision 2.b.ii. for 1,328 days.

Violation 3: Failure to Provide Meters

13. The Court finds that the Defendant failed from February 13, 2007 to October 4, 2010 to provide one meter at each residence in violation of 30 TAC § 290.44(d)(4) and TCEQ Order Docket No. 2007-0712-PWS-E, Ordering Provision 2.h.ii. Therefore, Defendant has violated 30 TAC § 290.44(d)(4) and TCEQ Order Docket No. 2007-0712-PWS-E, Ordering Provision 2.h.ii. for 1,328 days.

Violation 4: Failure to Dead-End Main Flushing Records

14. The Court finds that the Defendant failed from February 13, 2007 to October 4, 2010 to maintain records of the dates that dead-end mains were flushed for the facility and maintain those records for at least two years in violation of 30 TAC § 290.46(f)(3)(A)(iv) and TCEQ Order Docket No. 2007-0712-PWS-E, Ordering Provision 2.d.iii. Therefore, Defendant has violated 30 TAC § 290.46(f)(3)(A)(iv) and TCEQ Order Docket No. 2007-

0712-PWS-E, Ordering Provision 2.d.iii. for 1,328 days.

Violation 5: Failure to Maintain Distribution Map

15. The Court finds that the Defendant failed from February 13, 2007 to October 4, 2010 to compile and maintain an up-to-date distribution map in violation of 30 TAC § 290.46(n)(2) and TCEQ Order Docket No. 2007-0712-PWS-E, Ordering Provision 2.d.iv. Therefore, Defendant has violated 30 TAC § 290.46(n)(2) and TCEQ Order Docket No. 2007-0712-PWS-E, Ordering Provision 2.d.iv. for 1,328 days.

Violation 6: Failure to Install Well Sealing Block

16. The Court finds that the Defendant failed from February 13, 2007 to October 4, 2010 to install a proper sealing block around a well at the PWSS in violation of 30 TAC § 290.41(c)(3)(J) and TCEQ Order Docket No. 2007-0712-PWS-E, Ordering Provision 2.f.iii. Therefore, Defendant has violated 30 TAC § 290.41(c)(3)(J) and TCEQ Order Docket No. 2007-0712-PWS-E, Ordering Provision 2.f.iii. for 1,328 days.

Violation 7: Failure to Establish Backflow Prevention Program

17. The Court finds that the Defendant failed from February 13, 2007 to October 4, 2010 to establish an appropriate backflow prevention program for the facility in violation of 30 TAC § 290.44(h)(1) and TCEQ Order Docket No. 2007-0712-PWS-E, Ordering Provision 2.h.i. Therefore, Defendant has violated 30 TAC § 290.44(h)(1) and TCEQ Order Docket No. 2007-0712-PWS-E, Ordering Provision 2.h.i. for 1,328 days.

Violation 8: Failure to Record Chemicals Used

18. The Court finds that the Defendant failed from August 20, 2009 to October 4, 2010 to record the amount of chemicals used each week at the facility in violation of 30 TAC § 290.46(f)(3)(A)(i)(III). Therefore, Defendant has violated 30 TAC § 290.46(f)(3)(A)(i)(III) for 410 days.

Violation 9: Failure to Record Volume of Water Treated

19. The Court finds that the Defendant failed from August 20, 2009 to October 4, 2010 to record the volume of water treated each week at the facility in violation of 30 TAC § 290.46(f)(3)(A)(ii)(III). Therefore, Defendant has violated 30 TAC §290.46(f)(3)(A)(ii)(III) for 410 days.

Violation 10: Failure to Monitor Disinfectant Residual Concentration

20. The Court finds that the Defendant failed from August 20, 2009 to October 4, 2010 to monitor and record the disinfectant residual concentration in the distribution system at the facility at least once every seven days in violation of 30 TAC § 290.110(c)(4)(A) and 30 TAC § 290.46(f)(3)(B)(iii). Therefore, Defendant has violated 30 TAC § 290.110(c)(4)(A) and 30 TAC § 290.46(f)(3)(B)(iii) for 410 days.

Violation 11: Failure to Inspect Tanks

21. The Court finds that the Defendant failed from August 20, 2009 to October 4, 2010 to inspect the ground storage and pressure tanks at the facility annually in violation of 30 TAC § 290.46(m)(1). Therefore, Defendant has violated 30 TAC § 290.46(m)(1) for 410 days.

Violation 12: Failure to Have Licensed Water Works Operator

22. The Court finds that the Defendant failed from August 20, 2009 to October 4, 2010 to operate the facility under the direct supervision of an appropriately licensed water works operator in violation of 30 TAC § 290.46(e). Therefore, Defendant has violated 30 TAC § 290.46(e) for 410 days.

Violation 13: Failure to Calibrate Well Meter

23. The Court finds that the Defendant failed from August 20, 2009 to October 4, 2010 to calibrate the well meter at the facility at least once every three years in violation of 30 TAC § 290.46(s)(1) and 30 TAC § 290.41(c)(N)(3). Therefore, Defendant has violated 30 TAC § 290.46(s)(1) and 30 TAC § 290.41(c)(N)(3) for 410 days.

Violation 14: Failure to Check Disinfectant Residual Analyzer

24. The Court finds that the Defendant failed from August 20, 2009 to October 4, 2010 to check the accuracy of the disinfectant residual analyzer at least once every 30 days in violation of 30 TAC § 290.46(s)(2)(C)(i). Therefore, Defendant has violated 30 TAC § 290.46(s)(2)(C)(i) for 410 days.

Violation 15: Failure to Provide Proper Well Capacity

25. The Court finds that the Defendant failed from August 20, 2009 to October 4, 2010 to provide a well capacity of 0.6 gallons per minute (gpm) per connection in violation of 30 TAC § 290.45(b)(1)(B)(i) and 30 TAC § 290.45(c)(1)(B)(i). Therefore, Defendant has violated 30 TAC § 290.45(b)(1)(B)(i) and 30 TAC § 290.45(c)(1)(B)(i) for 410 days.

Violation 16: Failure to Provide Proper Tank Capacity

26. The Court finds that the Defendant failed from February 13, 2007 to October 4, 2010 to provide a pressure tank capacity of at least 20 gallons per community connection and 10 gallons per non-community connection in violation of 30 TAC § 290.45(c)(1)(B)(iv) and TCEQ Order Docket No. 2007-0712-PWS-E, Ordering Provision 2.h.iii. Therefore, Defendant has violated 30 TAC § 290.45(c)(1)(B)(iv) and TCEQ Order Docket No. 2007-0712-PWS-E, Ordering Provision 2.h.iii. for 1,328 days.

Violation 17: Failure to Provide an Inspection Port

27. The Court finds that the Defendant failed from August 20, 2009 to October 4, 2010 to equip the pressure tank with an inspection port in violation of 30 TAC § 290.43(d)(1). Therefore, Defendant has violated 30 TAC § 290.43(d)(1) for 410 days.

Violation 18: Failure to Provide 2004 Consumer Confidence Report (CCR)

28. The Court finds that the Defendant failed from June 3, 2008 to October 4, 2010 to provide the 2004 CCR to customers and to submit the required Certificate of Delivery to the TCEQ in violation of 30 TAC §§ 290.271(b), 290.274(a) and 290.274(c), and TCEQ Order Docket No. 2007-0712-PWS-E, Ordering Provision 2.a, b and c. Therefore, Defendant has violated 30 TAC §§ 290.271(b), 290.274(a) and 290.274(c), and TCEQ Order Docket No. 2007-0712-PWS-E, Ordering Provision 2.a, b and c for 849 days.

Violation 19: Failure to Provide 2005 CCR

29. The Court finds that the Defendant failed from June 3, 2008 to October 4, 2010 to provide the 2005 CCR to customers and to submit the required Certificate of Delivery to

the TCEQ in violation of 30 TAC §§ 290.271(b), 290.274(a) and 290.274(c), and TCEQ Order Docket No. 2007-0712-PWS-E, Ordering Provision 2.a, b and c. Therefore, Defendant has violated 30 TAC §§ 290.271(b), 290.274(a) and 290.274(c), and TCEQ Order Docket No. 2007-0712-PWS-E, Ordering Provision 2.a, b and c for 849 days.

Violation 20: Failure to Provide 2006 CCR

30. The Court finds that the Defendant failed from June 3, 2008 to October 4, 2010 to provide the 2006 CCR to customers and to submit the required Certificate of Delivery to the TCEQ in violation of 30 TAC §§ 290.271(b), 290.274(a) and 290.274(c), and TCEQ Order Docket No. 2007-0712-PWS-E, Ordering Provision 2.a, b and c. Therefore, Defendant has violated 30 TAC §§ 290.271(b), 290.274(a) and 290.274(c), and TCEQ Order Docket No. 2007-0712-PWS-E, Ordering Provision 2.a, b and c for 849 days.

Violation 21: Failure to Provide 2007 CCR

31. The Court finds that the Defendant failed from October 15, 2009 to October 4, 2010 to provide the 2007 CCR to customers and to submit the required Certificate of Delivery to the TCEQ in violation of 30 TAC §§ 290.271(b), 290.274(a) and 290.274(c). Therefore, Defendant has violated 30 TAC §§ 290.271(b), 290.274(a) and 290.274(c) for 354 days.

Violation 22: Failure to Issue Boil Water Notice

32. The Court finds that the Defendant failed on January 12, 2010 to issue a proper boil water notice and notify the TCEQ of the issuance of the boil water notice in violation of 30 TAC § 290.46(q)(1). Therefore, Defendant violated 30 TAC § 290.46(q)(1) for one

day.

Violation 23: Failure to Issue Boil Water Notice

33. The Court finds that the Defendant failed from July 16, 2010 until July 23, 2010 to issue a proper boil water notice and notify the TCEQ of the issuance of the boil water notice in violation of 30 TAC § 290.46(q)(1). Therefore, Defendant violated 30 TAC § 290.46(q)(1) for eight days.

Violation 24: Failure to Submit Revised Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR)

34. The Court finds that the Defendant failed from February 13, 2007 to October 4, 2010 to submit a revised September 2006 DMR which reflected the correct pH data for that reporting period in violation of 30 TAC § 305.125 and TCEQ Order Docket No. 2007-0049-MLM-E, Ordering Provision 2.b.ii. Therefore, Defendant has violated 30 TAC § 305.125 and TCEQ Order Docket No. 2007-0049-MLM-E, Ordering Provision 2.b.ii. for 1,328 days.

Violation 25: Failure to Implement Procedures for the Lift Stations

35. The Court finds that the Defendant failed from February 13, 2007 to October 4, 2010 to develop and implement operational and maintenance procedures to prevent future discharges from the lift stations at the facility in violation of 30 TAC § 305.125(4) and TCEQ Order Docket No. 2007-0049-MLM-E, Ordering Provision 2.c. Therefore, Defendant has violated 30 TAC § 305.125(4) and TCEQ Order Docket No. 2007-0049-MLM-E, Ordering Provision 2.c. for 1,328 days.

Violation 26: Failure to Hire Licensed Operator

36. The Court finds that the Defendant failed from February 13, 2007 to October 4, 2010 to hire a licensed wastewater operator and/or complete training and licensing requirements to become a licensed wastewater operator in violation of 30 TAC § 30.331(b), Tex. Water Code §§ 26.0301 and 37.003, and TCEQ Order Docket No. 2007-0049-MLM-E, Ordering Provision 2.d. Therefore, Defendant has violated 30 TAC § 30.331(b), Tex. Water Code §§ 26.0301 and 37.003, and TCEQ Order Docket No. 2007-0049-MLM-E, Ordering Provision 2.d. for 1,328 days.

Violation 27: Failure to Submit Certification of Limitations and Monitoring

37. The Court finds that the Defendant failed from February 13, 2007 to October 4, 2010 to submit written certification of compliance with the effluent limitations and monitoring requirement specified in Permit No. WQ00114015001 in violation TCEQ Order Docket No. 2007-0049-MLM-E, Ordering Provision 2.e. Therefore, Defendant has violated Permit No. WQ00114015001 and TCEQ Order Docket No. 2007-0049-MLM-E, Ordering Provision 2.e. for 1,328 days.

Violation 28: Failure to Adjust Feed Rate of Chlorine

38. The Court finds that the Defendant failed from December 11, 2008 to October 4, 2010 to adjust the feed rate of chlorine tablets to ensure that the total chlorine residual is maintained between 1.0 and 4.0 mg/l in violation of 30 TAC § 305.125(1) and Permit No. WQ00114015001. Therefore, Defendant has violated 30 TAC § 305.125(1) and Permit No. WQ00114015001 for 617 days.

Violation 29: Failure to Properly Document Effluent Flow Rate Collection

39. The Court finds that the Defendant failed from December 11, 2008 to October 4, 2010 to record the time and identity of the individual who read the effluent flow rate, collected the sample and conducted the analyses for the effluent total chlorine for the months of September, October and November 2008 in violation of 30 TAC § 319.7(a) and Permit No. WQ00114015001. Therefore, Defendant has violated 30 TAC § 319.7(a) and Permit No. WQ00114015001 for 617 days.

Violation 30: Failure to Provide Duplex Pumping Capability

40. The Court finds that the Defendant failed from December 11, 2008 to October 4, 2010 to provide duplex pumping capability at the collection lift station in violation of 30 TAC § 305.125(5) and Permit No. WQ00114015001. Therefore, Defendant has violated 30 TAC § 305.125(5) and Permit No. WQ00114015001 for 617 days.

Violation 31: Failure to Provide High Water Alarm Systems

41. The Court finds that the Defendant failed from December 11, 2008 to October 4, 2010 to provide high water alarm systems at the collection system lift station and the influent lift station in violation of 30 TAC § 305.125(5) and Permit No. WQ00114015001. Therefore, Defendant has violated 30 TAC § 305.125(5) and Permit No. WQ00114015001 for 617 days.

Violation 32: Failure to Provide High Water Alarm Systems

42. The Court finds that the Defendant failed from December 11, 2008 to October 4, 2010 to provide provide noncompliance notification for effluent that deviates from the

permit limitation greater than 40% in violation of 30 TAC § 305.125(1) and Permit No. WQ0011401500l. Therefore, Defendant has violated 30 TAC § 305.125(1) and Permit No. WQ00114015001 for 617 days.

Violation 33: Failure to Pay Administrative Penalties

43. The Court finds that the Defendant failed to pay $55,921.00 in administrative penalties ordered to be paid by TCEQ Order No. 2007-0712-PWS-E ($6,670.00 ordered administrative penalties), TCEQ Order No. 2008-1103-PWS-E ($716.00 ordered administrative penalties), and TCEQ Order No. 2007-0449-MLM-E ($48,535.00 ordered administrative penalties).

ATTORNEY'S FEES

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS THAT:

44. The State is entitled to recover from Defendant its reasonable attorney's fees, investigative costs, and court costs incurred in prosecuting this matter. Tex. Water Code § 7.108; Tex. Gov't Code § 402.006(c).

45. As evidenced by the State's affidavit, admitted by the Court, and filed with the records in this cause, the State's reasonable and necessary attorney's fees in this case are $6,413.75. *See* Exhibit C, Affidavit for Attorney's Fees.

46. As evidenced by the State's affidavit, admitted by the Court, and filed with the records in this cause, the State's reasonable and necessary attorney's fees in the event that proceedings to collect this judgment become necessary are $2,000.00. *See* Exhibit C, Affidavit for Attorney's Fees.

47. As evidenced by the State's affidavit, admitted by the Court, and filed with the records of this cause, the State's reasonable and necessary attorney's fees in the event of an appeal by the Defendant to the Court of Appeals are $5,000.00. *See* Exhibit C, Affidavit for Attorney's Fees.

48. As evidenced by the State's affidavit, admitted by the Court, and filed with the records of this cause, the State's reasonable and necessary attorney's fees in the event of an appeal by the Defendant to the Texas Supreme Court for preparation of a petition for review are $8,000.00. *See* Exhibit C, Affidavit for Attorney's Fees.

49. As evidenced by the State's affidavit, admitted by the Court, and filed with the records of this cause, the State's reasonable and necessary attorney's fees in the event that a petition for review by the Texas Supreme Court is grated are $15,000.00. *See* Exhibit C, Affidavit for Attorney's Fees.

FURTHER THE COURT FINDS THAT:

OTHER

50. The Court finds that the civil penalties assessed herein are payable to and for the benefit of a government unit and are not compensation for actual pecuniary loss, and, therefore, are not dischargeable under federal bankruptcy laws.

51. The Court further finds that the applicable judgment interest rate for the date of this Default Judgment as published by the Texas Office of Consumer Credit in accordance with §304.003 of the Texas Finance Code is five percent.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT:

52. Immediately upon the date the Defendant receives this Default Judgment, Defendant, his agents, employees, and all persons in active concert or participation with him are hereby **permanently enjoined** as follows: Defendant shall ensure that the WWTP and PWSS are operated in compliance with all the provisions of the Tex. Health & Safety Code, the Tex. Water Code, TCEQ rules promulgated under the Tex. Health & Safety Code and the Tex. Water Code, and TCEQ issued permits.

53. Within thirty (30) days after the date Defendant receives this Default Judgment, Defendant, his agents, employees, and all persons in active concert or participation with him are hereby **permanently enjoined** as follows:

A. Defendant shall compile and maintain a complete and up-to-date plant operations manual in accordance with 30 TAC § 290.42(l) and TCEQ Order Docket No. 2007-0712-PWS-E.

B. Defendant shall prepare and maintain an up-to-date chemical and microbiological monitoring plan in accordance with 30 TAC § 290.121(a) and TCEQ Order Docket No. 2007-0712-PWS-E.

C. Defendant shall provide one meter per residence in accordance with 30 TAC § 290.44(d)(4) and TCEQ Order Docket No. 2007-0712-PWS-E.

D. Defendant shall maintain records of the dates that dead-end mains were flushed and maintain records of those dates for at least 2 years in accordance with 30 TAC § 290.46(f)(3)(A)(iv) and TCEQ Order Docket No. 2007-0712-PWS-E.

E. Defendant shall compile and maintain an up-to-date distribution map in accordance with 30 TAC § 290.46(n)(2) and TCEQ Order Docket No. 2007-0712-PWS-E.

F. Defendant shall install a proper sealing block around all wells at the PWSS in accordance with 30 TAC § 290.41(c)(3)(J) and TCEQ Order Docket No. 2007-0712-PWS-E.

G. Defendant shall establish an appropriate backflow prevention program in accordance with 30 TAC § 290.44(h)(1) and TCEQ Order Docket No. 2007-0712-PWS-E.

H. Defendant shall record the amount of chemical used each week in accordance with 30 TAC § 290.46(f)(3)(A)(i)(III).

I. Defendant shall record the volume of water treated each week in accordance with 30 TAC § 290.46(f)(3)(A)(ii)(III).

J. Defendant shall monitor and record the disinfectant residual concentration in the distribution system at least once every seven days in accordance with 30 TAC § 290.110(c)(4)(A) and 30 TAC § 290.46(f)(3)(B)(iii).

K. Defendant shall inspect the system's ground storage and pressure tanks annually in accordance with 30 TAC § 290.46(m)(1).

L. Defendant shall operate the public water system under the direct supervision of an appropriately licensed water works operator in

accordance with 30 TAC § 290.46(e).

M. Defendant shall calibrate all well meters in accordance with 30 TAC § 290.46(s)(1) and 30 TAC § 290.41(c)(3)(N) and continue to do so every three years.

N. Defendant shall check the accuracy of the disinfectant residual analyzer at least once every 30 days in accordance with 30 TAC § 290.46(s)(2)(C)(i).

O. Defendant shall provide a well capacity of 0.6 gallons per minute (gpm) per connection in accordance with 30 TAC § 290.45(b)(1)(B)(i) and 30 TAC § 290.45(c)(1)(B)(i).

P. Defendant shall provide a pressure tank capacity of at least 20 gallons per community connection and 10 gallons per non-community connection in accordance with 30 TAC § 290.45(c)(1)(B)(iv) and TCEQ Order Docket No. 2007-0712-PWS-E.

Q. Defendant shall equip the pressure tank with an inspection port in accordance with 30 TAC § 290.43(d)(1).

R. Defendant shall provide annual Consumer Confidence Reports to customers and submit required Certificates of Delivery to the TCEQ in accordance with 30 TAC § 290.271(b), 30 TAC § 290.274(a), 30 TAC § 290.274(c).

S. Defendant shall issue proper boil water notices and notify the TCEQ of

the issuance of any boil water notices in accordance with 30 TAC § 290.46(q)(l).

T. Defendant shall submit the September 2006 Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) that includes the correct pH data for that reporting period in accordance with 30 TAC § 305.125 and TCEQ Default Order Docket No. 2007-0449-MLM-E.

U. Defendant shall develop and implement operational and maintenance procedures to prevent future discharges from the lift stations in accordance with 30 TAC § 305.125(4), Tex. Water Code § 26.121 and TCEQ Default Order Docket No. 2007-0449-MLM-E.

V. Defendant shall hire a licensed operator and/or complete training to become a licensed wastewater operator in accordance with 30 TAC § 30.331(b), Tex. Water Code §§ 26.0301 and 37.003, and TCEQ Default Order Docket No. 2007-0449-MLM-E.

W. Defendant shall submit written certification of compliance with the effluent limitations and monitoring requirements specified in Water Quality Permit No. WQ0014015-00 and required under TCEQ Default Order Docket No. 2007-0449-MLM-E.

X. Defendant shall adjust the feed rate of chlorine tablets to ensure that the total chlorine residual is maintained between 1.0 and 4.0 mg/l, and submit one month's logs that include total chlorine residual results in

compliance with effluent limitations and monitoring requirements, as required by 30 TAC § 305.125(1) and TCEQ Default Order Docket No. 2007-0449-MLM-E.

Y. Defendant shall submit one month's operations logs that include sample collection and analyses, and effluent flow reading results, and shall maintain all operations logs in accordance with 30 TAC § 319.7(a) and Permit No. WQ00114015001.

Z. Defendant shall ensure that the collection system lift station has duplex pumping capacity at all times and that the lift stations serving the system are fully operational at all times, in accordance with 30 TAC § 305.125(5) and Permit No. WQ00114015001.

AA. Defendant shall install audio-visual high level alarms on all lift stations serving the wastewater treatment plant and ensure that all such audio-visual high level alarms are properly maintained and properly functioning at all times, in accordance with 30 TAC § 305.125(5) and Permit No. WQ00114015001.

BB. Defendant shall develop and implement a Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) for non-compliance reporting for effluent violations that deviate by more than 40% from the permitted effluent limitation and submit a copy of the SOP to TCEQ Region 10 office in accordance with 30 TAC § 305.125(1) and Permit No. WQ00114015001.

54. Defendant shall submit a report within 60 days of the issuance of the permanent injunction that documents that compliance with the above permanent injunctive provisions at the PWSS and WWTP. The report must be supported with photographs, logs and other documentation, and must be sent to the attention of David L. Green, Assistant Attorney General, Environmental Protection and Administrative Law Division, P.O. Box 12548, Austin, TX 78711-2548.

55. The Defendant shall provide the TCEQ access to PWSS and WWTP to perform investigations and evaluations.

56. The Clerk of this Court shall issue a Permanent Injunction against Defendant, and his agents, employees, and all persons in active concert or participation with Defendant, as set forth above.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT:

57. Defendant, is liable to the State for a civil penalty of ONE MILLION TWO HUNDRED EIGHTY-ONE THOUSAND FIFTY DOLLARS AND NO CENTS ($1,281,050.00) for violations of the Tex. Health & Safety Code, the Tex. Water Code, TCEQ rules, and Permits and Orders issued by the TCEQ.

58. The State, therefore, shall have judgment against, in the amount of ONE MILLION TWO HUNDRED EIGHTY-ONE THOUSAND FIFTY DOLLARS AND NO CENTS ($1,281,050.00), which constitutes Paragraphs 11 through 42, for 25,621 days of violations of the Tex. Health & Safety Code, the Tex. Water Code, TCEQ rules, and Permits and Orders issued by the TCEQ at $50.00 per day.

59. Defendant, is liable to the State for administrative penalties of FIFTY-FIVE THOUSAND NINE HUNDRED TWENTY-ONE DOLLARS AND NO CENTS ($55,921.00) for amounts owned under TCEQ Order No. 2007-0712-PWS-E ($6,670.00 ordered administrative penalties), TCEQ Order No. 2008-1103-PWS-E ($716.00 ordered administrative penalties), and TCEQ Order No. 2007-0449-MLM-E ($48,535.00 ordered administrative penalties).

60. The State, therefore, shall have judgment against Defendant, for administrative penalties of FIFTY-FIVE THOUSAND NINE HUNDRED TWENTY-ONE DOLLARS AND NO CENTS ($55,921.00) for the unpaid and owing administrative penalties.

61. The State shall also have judgment against Defendant, for its attorney's fees in the amount of TWENTY-SIX THOUSAND NINE HUNDRED SEVENTY-TWO DOLLARS AND FIFTY CENTS ($26,972.50).

62. Should Defendant fail to make a timely payment of the amounts due and owing under this Default Judgment, the State shall also have judgment against the Defendant for the State's necessary and reasonable attorney's fees for proceedings to collect judgment in this case in the amount of TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS AND NO CENTS ($2,000.00).

63. Should Defendant appeal this Default Judgment to the Court of Appeals, the State shall also have judgment against the appealing Defendant for the State's necessary and reasonable attorney's fees in the amount of FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS AND NO CENTS ($5,000.00).

64. Should Defendant appeal this Default Judgment to the Texas Supreme Court,

the State shall also have judgment against the appealing Defendant for the State's necessary and reasonable attorney's fees to prepare a petition for review in the amount of EIGHT THOUSAND DOLLARS AND NO CENTS ($8,000.00).

65. Should Defendant appeal this Default Judgment to the Texas Supreme Court, and should a petition for review be granted by the Texas Supreme Court, the State shall also have judgment against Defendant for the State's necessary and reasonable attorney's fees in the amount of FIFTEEN THOUSAND DOLLARS AND NO CENTS ($15,000.00).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT:

66. Defendant is ordered to pay all costs of court incurred through the date of this Default Judgment and those court costs which may be incurred to collect this Default Judgment if necessary.

67. Defendant shall pay post-judgment interest on all amounts in this cause and continually thereafter at the legal rate of five percent from the date of this Default Judgment until paid in full, for all of which execution shall issue.

68. Defendant shall pay all amounts awarded in this Default Judgment within 30 days of the entry of this Default Judgment.

69. Payment for all amounts awarded in this Default Judgment shall be made by certified check or money order made payable to the State of Texas and shall bear the identifying number "A.G. # 093142115." Checks shall be delivered to Division Chief, Environmental Protection and Administrative Law Division, Office of the Attorney General, P.O. Box 12548, Austin, Texas 78711-2548.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT:

70. The effective date of this Default Judgment is the date it is signed by the Judge Presiding.

71. Execution shall issue for all monies awarded herein.

72. The State of Texas is allowed such writs and processes as may be necessary in the enforcement and collection of this Default Judgment.

73. All relief not expressly herein granted is denied. This is a final judgment. This Default Judgment finally disposes, without reservation, of all parties and all claims brought or that reasonably could have been brought.

SIGNED on December 20, 2010.

JUDGE PRESIDING

ENTRY REQUESTED BY:

GREG ABBOTT
Attorney General of Texas

DANIEL T. HODGE
First Assistant Attorney General

BILL COBB
Deputy Attorney General for Civil Litigation

BARBARA B. DEANE
Chief, Environmental Protection and

Administrative Law Division

DAVID PREISTER
Chief, Environmental Protection Section

DAVID L. GREEN
State Bar No. 24037776
Assistant Attorney General
Environmental Protection and
Administrative Law Division
P.O. Box 12548, Capitol Station
Austin, Texas 78711-2548
Tel: (512) 475-3205
Fax: (512) 320-0052

ATTORNEYS FOR THE STATE OF TEXAS