1 CCN, but is within the South Ridge of Lindsay 2 development, is within a quarter mile of the CCN area? 3 Α All of it. 4 JUDGE NORMAN: All of the -- excuse 5 me -- all of the South Ridge is within a quarter mile 6 of the CCN area? 7 All of the lots with the point of origin 8 being where the water taps are --9 JUDGE NORMAN: Taps, yeah. 10 Α -- is within a quarter mile --11 JUDGE NORMAN: Uh-huh. 12 -- of the one lot or -- well, I obviously 13 have to have a CCN -- well, I guess I do. I would 14 think I would obviously have to have a CCN on my water 15 well lot. 16 JUDGE NORMAN: Okay. 17 And I would also -- I know I have a CCN on Α 18 the first lot on the left in Phase II, and the depth 19 is 220 feet. 20 JUDGE NORMAN: So that's less than a 21 quarter mile. 22 And the entire distance from my east to my Α 23 west boundary is 1766 feet at the south end, which 24 means that all of the lots of the 96 acres would be

25

within --

1 JUDGE NORMAN: A quarter mile. 2 Α a quarter of a mile, sir. 3 JUDGE NORMAN: All right. (BY MR. CARLTON) And when you refer to the 4 5 point of origin, you're referring to the location of 6 the meter? 7 Yes, sir. 8 You also were asked some questions -- a 9 question about whether the issuance of a CCN makes it 10 easier for developers -- to sell land to developers. 11 Do you remember that question by Mr. MacLeod? 12 Α Yes, sir. 13 And in this situation in the community of 14 Lindsay, would the issuance of a CCN, in your opinion, 15 make it more likely that the land would sell? 16 The issuance of a CCN to the City of Lindsay? 17 To anyone. 18 Well, having a CCN would mean that I could 19 furnish water to all of my lots, and it would definitely help the sale of a lot because I could 20 21 furnish water to them. 22 If you had -- if you held the CCN? Q 23 Α Yes, if I held the CCN. 24 If you were -- or if the town of Lindsay is 25 granted its CCN as it's requested, exclusive of the

area in South Ridge, what impact would that have on 1 2 the likelihood of the land surrounding or the land in the area the town of Lindsay is seeking being sold? 3 I would say it would be devastating. 4 5 Q And why is that? 6 JUDGE NORMAN: To whom? To you? If the City of Lindsay got a CCN and I were 7 8 not able to furnish water to my lots --9 JUDGE NORMAN: That's not your question. (BY MR. CARLTON) That wasn't my question. 10 Q 11 Α Oh, okay. 12 My question is, if the town of Lindsay 13 obtains its CCN --14 Α Yes. 15 -- as requested but does not get it for South 16 Ridge of Lindsay, what impact do you believe that 17 would have --18 Α Okay. 19 -- on the land that would then be subject to 20 that CCN being sold for development? 21 JUDGE NORMAN: It would be more likely, 22 wouldn't it? 23 Well, it would depend, sir, on how much the Α City of Lindsay -- how much they would charge to bring 24 2.5 the water to them.

JUDGE NORMAN: Okay. Yeah, sure.

A I mean, it's -- I, if I were going to take water to any of my neighbors, could do it twice as cheap as Lindsay or three times as cheap as Lindsay.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Q}}$ (BY MR. CARLTON) Would the current landowners be more likely to sell as a result of the CCN?

A No. The landowners are not going to sell anyhow unless -- unless someone dies or the -- can I go over that again?

JUDGE NORMAN: I think I understood it. Okay.

JUDGE NORMAN: I think I got it pretty much. If there's something you need to add, that would be just fine, but I think I understand your point of view on that.

A The only way that it would sell is if the heirs could not agree on a split of the land. And if they could not agree on a split of the land, then they would have to sell in order to cut up the money because money is easier than land.

Q (BY MR. CARLTON) We talked about the -- and you showed on ED-3 the lines that are currently in the ground for Lindsay Pure Water Company.

A Yes, sir.

Α

Q Now, those lines are also shown on ED-2.
Correct?

A Yes, sir.

2.3

Q What is the -- what improvements have you made to the Lindsay system that will allow you to serve Phase IV when it's constructed?

A When we initially platted Phase I, Phase I was on the north end of South Ridge. My water well lot, our water well lot, is on the south end on the highest part of Phase II. Well, it's not part of Phase II. It's on the south end of Phase II close to the road.

I had to take the waterlines through Phase II to Phase I and loop them back into Phase II. When we started, we went about approximately 60 to 70 feet and put a valve -- a T and a valve and a stub to go across the low waterway into Phase IV so we could loop the entire system, and the system being Phase I, II and III and IV. And, thereby, creating a reliable -- I think is the word that Mr. Maroney used, and that is the only way that I will be able to loop Phase I, II and III with the water well is to go through Phase IV. So completing the system is imperative to have a reliable looped water system.

Q And what is the importance of Phase IV in

that effort?

2.3

A Phase IV is to the -- if you're at the water well lot, Phase IV is to the southwest of my water well lot. It is due south of Phase III. It's the missing loop in order to have a reliable water system. And the other part is -- Mr. Mahoney -- Maroney -- JUDGE NORMAN: Maroney.

A -- Maroney stated a reliable system was a well capable of producing 100 gallons, storage, pressure pumps, valves to isolate and a looped system.

JUDGE NORMAN: Okay.

A And I must say that I have built this system and it has fire protection, fire flow, and I could have gone with four-inch lines, but I chose to go with six-inch lines because -- number one, because the mayor of Lindsay at the time, Robert Walterscheid, and a couple more friends advised me that's the way I needed to go, and that's the reason that I spent more money. And I may be wrong. I don't know. That's where I -- that's how I did it.

And, yes, it is important that if someone outside my CCN in the future, in the next ten to fifteen years, if I live that long, to supply water to them if -- if the need ever comes.

1

0 (BY MR. CARLTON) It is -- excuse me.

2

3

What is the importance to you of being able to expand your CCN beyond the South Ridge of Lindsay development?

4 5

6

Α Then I could be even more reliable because I could have a second well and have the reliability of one well. I could have two wells. And if one went dry, it would be looped and could supply water

8

9

7

wherever it is required.

another well.

reliable.

10

Why can't you have two wells now?

11

12

It's not economically feasible. \$400,000 for a well, I don't have the connections to justify

13

14

And if the town of Lindsay is granted its CCN as it's applied for it, how would that impact your

15 16

ability to expand your service beyond South Ridge?

17 18

Well, if they were to expand -- I mean be Α granted a CCN and somebody created a subdivision, of

19

which I don't think will happen in the next 20 years,

20

but that's just me thinking, they would have the legal

21

rights to sell water to whoever it is that put in the

22

subdivision, and I would not be able to take water to

23

them and expand my system, which would make it more

24 25

How long has it taken for you to get to 26

1 homes on your hundred acres? 2 Α Ten years. I might mention when we bought 3 and started South Ridge, there was one subdivision, 4 which was called Henry Street at the time, in the City 5 of Lindsay. And shortly after we opened our 6 subdivision up, there were five subdivisions within 7 the City of Lindsay that opened up in a year, year and a half, two years. 8 9 JUDGE NORMAN: And I'm not sure what 10 the -- how that testimony is -- what is that intended 11 to show? 12 Α I'm sorry. I didn't hear you, sir. 13 JUDGE NORMAN: Mr. Carlton, what is that 14 testimony intended to show, that five subdivisions 15 started up, you know, shortly after? 16 MR. CARLTON: Well, I think it goes to where developments are occurring, which is already 17 18 within the town of Lindsay's existing CCN. 19 JUDGE NORMAN: Right. Okay. 20 MR. CARLTON: And South Ridge is the 21 only thing that's been outside. 22 JUDGE NORMAN: Okay. I see. Okay. 23 MR. CARLTON: I pass the witness. 2.4 JUDGE NORMAN: Okay. Mr. MacLeod?

1

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

Mr. Myrick, I just wanted to clear a few things up. If we can get some terms explained, and then I'm going to ask a question.

The TCEQ when determining where the CCN -- where you're allowed to serve point of use of where the water is used, that's our main term. had used the term "point of origin." Were you thinking of "point of use" when you were using the term "point of origin"?

Α Yes, sir.

BY MR. MacLEOD:

I just wanted to get that clear for the record.

And some people have argued that the meter location may be what the CCN should be, but that's not the TCEQ's position. I don't think it's ever been established, but it doesn't really matter because the meters and the point of use for your entire subdivision are all within a quarter mile of your CCN boundary. Is that right?

А That is correct.

I also wanted to clarify that Myrick Development Company owned the entire 96 or 100 acres from the beginning -- when you originally -- when that

property was originally purchased. Is that right? 1 2 From its inception, yes, sir. 3 And the remaining unsold lots are still owned by Myrick Development Company. Is that correct? 4 5 Α That is correct. 6 And Myrick Development Company still owns all 7 the lots that don't have homes on them. Is that 8 right --9 Α No. 10 -- or not? So you have sold some lots that 11 don't have homes on them? 12 Α Yes, sir. 13 I don't think -- I don't know if that's 14 relevant or we need to go into which ones those are. 15 So I just wanted to clarify. 16 I have in my -- my restrictions that they have to build within six months. It is not 17 1.8 enforceable. 19 MR. MacLEOD: Okay. Just a minute. 20 (Discussion of the record) 21 (BY MR. MacLEOD) Also, I wanted to say now 22 you have lines in place to serve all the lots whether they have homes on them or not. Is that only in I, II 23 24 and III or also Phase IV?

I have lines in all -- or taps, if you will,

lines going around to all of the lots in Phase I, II, III and IV, with the exception of the three lots that are in the floodplain in Phase II, which are in the northwest corner of that hundred acres.

Q All right. Also, of all the letters that were submitted as requests for service, I think -- was that was DLM-10? I'm not sure, the requests for service.

A Yes, sir.

1.3

2.3

Q None of those requests came from people that live within the South Ridge of Lindsay subdivision, or were any of them from that?

A No, sir, none of them did.

Q Also, there's some question about, you know, you need to get approval from the TCEQ on certain things like more distribution lines and also serving people outside of your CCN but within the quarter mile. First of all, on distribution lines, do you know -- is the distribution system that is outside of your CCN that the TCEQ states is your CCN, is that less than 10 percent of your existing distribution system or more than 10 percent, or do you know?

A I do not know.

Q All right. And also on serving customers within the quarter mile but outside your CCN, you said

that you were working on that. Have you already 1 2 contacted the TCEQ on that, or you're planning to 3 contact the TCEQ on that? 4 I have planned for my -- I contacted a lawyer 5 this morning about that, and we plan to rectify any 6 and all wrongs that I have committed. MR. MacLEOD: Okay. Pass the witness. 8 THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. 9 JUDGE NORMAN: Mr. Rodriguez? 10 RECROSS-EXAMINATION 11 BY MR. RODRIGUEZ: 12 Mr. Myrick, I'm going to get you to take a 13 look again at DLM-17 that's attached to Applicant's 14 Exhibit No. 2 and --15 MS. WHITCEQE: Are you on DLM --16 MR. RODRIGUEZ: 17. I'm sorry. 17 MR. CARLTON: 17. 18 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Did I say 10? 19 (BY MR. RODRIGUEZ) I'm also going to show 20 you ED-3 at the same time to show you what the City of 21 Lindsay's requested service territory is. 22 Α Uh-huh. 23 Now, Mr. Myrick, you'd agree with me that even if the City of Lindsay's requested service 24 territory was granted for all its requested area, 25

1	Lindsay Pure Water Company would still have an ability
2	to expand at east of 3108. Isn't that right?
3	A Yes, and I would encroach on the
4	Q Isn't that the isn't there currently no
5	CCN between in a large area just east of 3108 for
6	water service?
7	A That is correct.
8	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I pass the witness, Your
9	Honor.
10	JUDGE NORMAN: Mr. Carlton? And this
11	is
12	MR. CARLTON: Limited.
13	JUDGE NORMAN: Yeah. Well, I'm going to
14	give you another shot.
15	MR. CARLTON: Oh, okay.
16	JUDGE NORMAN: And then after that
17	MR. CARLTON: I'll limit it.
18	JUDGE NORMAN: I'm sorry?
19	MR. CARLTON: I'll self-limit then.
20	JUDGE NORMAN: All right.
21	MR. CARLTON: Just a couple of
22	questions.
23	JUDGE NORMAN: Okay. A couple, huh?
24	(Laughter)
25	

1	FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. CARLTON:
3	Q Mr. Myrick, would you what would your
4	concerns be about extending your CCN to the east?
5	A I suppose I would be out of the pan and into
6	the fire. The current sewer CCN is held by the city
7	of Gainesville. At the present time, they have the
8	same problem, "they" being the people to the east of
9	3108, being the majority of the land is owned by the
10	German descendents. There is 40 acres that's owned by
11	Howard Kohler that is not I don't think Howard
12	Kohler is German, but it's also not for sale. I've
13	already asked, but I don't mind the fight.
14	I'm just simply saying I don't think the
15	TCEQ would I don't know what the TCEQ would do, but
16	it's there's not a need for service there, period.
17	Q And you talked earlier in your testimony
18	about the status of Phase IV.
19	A Yes, sir.
20	Q When would you expect Phase IV to be
21	completed now that you've kind of pulled the trigger
22	on beginning the subdivision process?
23	A I would anticipate early 2009, February or
2 4	March.

MR. CARLTON: Pass the witness.

1	JUDGE NORMAN: Okay.
2	MR. MacLEOD: No more questions.
3	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Nothing, Your Honor.
4	JUDGE NORMAN: Okay. Thank you very
5	much, Mr. Myrick.
6	THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.
7	JUDGE NORMAN: All right.
8	MR. MacLEOD: Can we take a short break?
9	JUDGE NORMAN: Sure. Yeah.
10	(Recess: 2:55 p.m. to 3:03 p.m.)
11	(Exhibit ED No. 4 marked)
12	JUDGE NORMAN: Okay. Are we ready?
13	MR. MacLEOD: Yes, Your Honor.
14	JUDGE NORMAN: Go ahead.
15	PRESENTATION ON BEHALF OF THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
16	(CONTINUED)
17	TAMMY HOLGUIN-BENTER,
18	having been previously sworn, continued to testify as
19	follows:
20	FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION
21	BY MR. MacLEOD:
22	Q Could you state your name for the record,
23	please?
24	A Tammy Lee Holguin-Benter. I go by
25	Tammy Benter.

1 JUDGE NORMAN: And you've been sworn, 2 Ms. Benter, and you're under oath. 3 Α Yes, I have. 4 JUDGE NORMAN: Okay. 5 0 (BY MR. MacLEOD) And you work for the TCEQ. 6 Right? 7 That's correct. Α 8 And what is your position there? 9 I'm the team leader of the utilities Α 10 financial review team in the Utilities and Districts 11 section. 12 I think we've already gone through your 13 qualifications when you were testifying earlier. 14 I'm not going to revisit that. 15 Α Okay. 16 What is the purpose of your direct testimony 17 in this case? 18 To state the ED's opinion as to whether or 19 not the application that was filed by the town of 20 Lindsay should be granted for the water CCN. 21 Now, in your direct testimony, the 2.2 information that you relied on in forming your 23 opinions, giving your testimony, is it of the type 24 reasonably relied upon by experts in your field in

forming opinions or inferences on the subject of how

1	CCN applications are processed?
2	A Yes, sir, it is.
3	Q You've already been handed what has been
4	marked as ED-4. Is that the number we're at?
5	A Yes.
6	Q And could you identify what that is?
7	A Yes, it's a copy of my prefiled testimony and
8	the attached exhibit.
9	Q Now, before we go into specifically what's in
10	that and actually offering it for evidence well, no
11	let's go ahead.
12	MR. MacLEOD: Do you want the summary
13	before we offer it, Your Honor?
14	JUDGE NORMAN: Not necessarily.
15	MR. MacLEOD: Okay.
16	JUDGE NORMAN: You can just go on and
17	offer if you want to.
18	MR. MacLEOD: I go ahead and would offer
19	Exhibit ED-4.
20	JUDGE NORMAN: And are there any
21	objections other than the ones already noted and ruled
22	on?
23	MR. RODRIGUEZ: No, sir.
2 4	JUDGE NORMAN: No?
25	MR. CARLTON: Not other than the ones

you've already ruled on. 1 2 JUDGE NORMAN: Right. Okay. It's 3 admitted with those -- with that notation. 4 (Exhibit ED No. 4 admitted) 5 (BY MR. MacLEOD) Ms. Benter, I believe you 6 have some -- I don't know to do the revisions or not. 7 You have some revisions to that testimony. Is that 8 correct? 9 Yes, I do. Α 10 Do you want to go through those? 11 Sure. First of all, I'd like to state that 12 my testimony makes reference to specific page numbers 13 of other testimonies that I relied on to make my --14 base my final recommendation on. And the page numbers 15 I referred to throughout my testimony, I based those 16 off of the original testimonies. And so that I 17 wouldn't muddy it up much, I'm not changing those page 18 numbers, but I am making some additional changes to 19 the contents. 20 JUDGE NORMAN: You're doing what? 21 Making additional changes to the contents of 22 my testimony. 23 JUDGE NORMAN: Okay. On Page 1, Line 22, the question currently 2.4

reads "Please describe current your work

responsibilities," and I'd like it to read "Please 1 2 describe your current work responsibilities." 3 And if I go too fast, just let me know. 4 I can stop. 5 JUDGE NORMAN: Okay. Page 4, Line 4, between -- or after the words 6 Α "prefiled testimonies," I'd like to add a period. And 7 I'd also like to add a statement in there, "I also 8 reviewed supplemental prefiled filed by Mr. Myrick and 9 the transcript of his -- the transcripts of his 10 11 deposition for this matter." 12 JUDGE NORMAN: And the transcript --13 Yes, "of his deposition for this matter," Α period, and I'd like to strike the rest of that "filed 14 15 for this proceeding." 16 JUDGE NORMAN: Okav. 17 On Page 5, Line 18, the number "55," I'd like Α 18 to change that to "53." 19 Page 7, Line 2, I'd also like to change 20 that number "55" to "53." 21 Page 8, Line 9, after the word "area --"outside its CCN area," I'd like to add the word "it" 22 23 -- I-T -- "is in danger." 24 Page 9, Line 3, the number "396" near the end of the line, I'd like to change it to "399," 25

1 and on page -- same page, Line 5. 2 JUDGE NORMAN: Line 5? Okay. Yes. The number "304," I'd like to change to 3 Α "301." Line 14, the number "396," I'd like to change 4 that to "399." Line 16, the No. "470," I'd like to 5 6 change to "467." 7 Page 13, starting on Line 19 through Line 22, I'd like to strike on Line 19 "by filing a 8 CCN amendment application" at the end of the sentence 9 or at the end of that line all the way through the end 10 of the page. 11 12 JUDGE NORMAN: "By filing" and strike it 13 all? 14 Α Yes. 15 Page 14, I'd like to strike the entire 16 first paragraph, Lines 1 through 4. 17 Same page, on Page 14, Line 9, 18 between -- the line starts with "CCN service." after the word "service" and before the period, I'd 19 like to add "and for the area for which Lindsay Pure 20 is already providing water service, where Lindsay Pure 21 22 has facilities already in place," --23 JUDGE NORMAN: Hold on a second. 24 "Lindsay Pure already has" what? 25 Α "Facilities already in place."

JUDGE NORMAN: Okay.

1.3

A "And any of the area in the South Ridge subdivision."

JUDGE NORMAN: Okay. Give us a chance to stop and read that because that's a change in your -- a real substantive change in your testimony, isn't it?

A Yes, it is.

JUDGE NORMAN: Okav.

(Brief pause)

JUDGE NORMAN: Okay.

A And that's all I have.

Q (BY MR. MacLEOD) So with those revisions and corrections, is the testimony contained in ED-4 the same that you would give if I had asked you those questions contained in ED-4 today live? Is that right?

A That's correct.

There was something that came out earlier that I wanted to ask you just a few questions on, and that is found in LPWC-8. And if you don't have a copy of it, I can bring you a copy. And that was -- that was the ordinance of Lindsay dealing with extensions outside of the city.

A I have it here.

1 Q Now, if a CCN is granted that creates a duty
2 to provide continuous and adequate service -- no, a
3 CCN -- excuse me. Let me reword that.

If a CCN is created, that does create a duty to provide continuous and adequate service that is enforced by the TCEQ. Is that right?

A That's correct.

- Q And there are certain items in LPWC-8 that the TCEQ would -- rules for providing continuous and adequate service that would override. Is that correct?
 - A That's correct.
- Q Do you want me to draw your attention to which areas those are, or do you already have them marked?
 - A I don't have them marked.
- Q Okay. Could you take a look at (A)(2) under Section 1 that provides "The city reserves the right to furnish such customers" --
 - A Uh-huh.
- Q -- "if deemed advisable, and to, at any time, wholly or partially discontinue the utility service."

 Could that happen if the city had a CCN, or would they -- could they get an order requiring service from the TCEQ?

```
1
                     JUDGE NORMAN: Tell me where it is
 2
      again.
 3
                    MR. MacLEOD: This is on the first page,
 4
      1 of 4.
 5
                    JUDGE NORMAN: All right.
 6
                    MR. MacLEOD: Section 1(A)(2).
 7
                    JUDGE NORMAN: Okay. All right. That's
      something that would no longer be operative. Right?
 8
 9
      Is that what you're saying?
10
                    MR. MacLEOD:
                                   Right.
11
                    JUDGE NORMAN: Okay.
                                           Right?
12
          Α
               That's correct.
13
               (BY MR. MacLEOD) And (B) -- if you go to (B)
     on the next page -- well, it's actually two more pages
14
     down because I want to go to (B)(3).
15
16
          Α
               I don't see a (B).
17
                    JUDGE NORMAN:
                                  It's on Page 3 of 4.
18
               I see two (B)(2)s. Am I looking at the right
         Α
19
     page?
2.0
               (BY MR. MacLEOD) You are. There are two --
21
     it appears there are two (B)(2)s.
22
              Okay. Okay. So it's the (3) starting with
23
     "City Not Liable"?
24
         Q
               Right.
25
         Α
              Okay.
```

1	Q Would that provision still be enforceable if
2	the CCN were put in place?
3	A If the CCN were put in place, that would not
4	be enforceable by
5	Q Yes, go ahead and explain.
6	A Well, the TCEQ would require the city, if it
7	had a CCN for that area, to maintain that and provide
8	continuous and adequate service.
9	Q And what about No. (5) on that same page
10	where it says "City May Revoke Permission"?
11	A The city, again, would be required to provide
12	continuous and adequate service to those individuals
13	outside the city limits if it had a CCN for that area.
14	MR. MacLEOD: I'll pass the witness.
15	JUDGE NORMAN: And were you going to ask
16	her the questions that I wanted asked, that I asked to
17	be asked this morning, which were
18	MR. MacLEOD: Oh, oh, the effect on
19	JUDGE NORMAN: Right.
20	MR. MacLEOD: What would the effect
21	on let's see if I've got them right the question
22	right.
23	JUDGE NORMAN: Okay.
24	Q (BY MR. MacLEOD) What would the effect on
25	other utilities be if the CCN were granted but

excluded the area that was -- excuse me. I can't read that unfortunately -- excluded the area that was in Myrick's CCN and a quarter of a mile around that? Do you have an opinion as to what the effect would be on the --

JUDGE NORMAN: Other utilities.

Q (BY MR. MacLEOD) -- on the utility?

A Okay. I'm sorry. Myrick -- the current application that we have in front of us does not ask for area currently in Lindsay Pure's CCN. So you're talking about all the area that the applicant asked for. Is that what you're --

Q Right.

1.8

A Okay. If the applicant was awarded the entire requested service area, there would be an impact on utilities in that area. The area that overlaps Gainesville would certainly be impacted if it was awarded that area.

The area that -- I keep wanting to call it Myrick Development -- Lindsay Pure currently has -- where they currently have connections would certainly be impacted, which is that quarter mile outside of Lindsay Pure's current CCN service area. Not only would it leave stranded investments in some of the additional area out there for Lindsay Pure, and by

"stranded investments" I mean facility lines that are 1 2 currently in place and not being utilized and also 3 additional pressure, storage capacities and things from the current water system that Lindsay Pure has, 4 5 but it would also not -- Lindsay Pure would lose the 6 capability of additional revenues from some of those 7 connections that may come up later on in the future. And also from the current customers that they're serving in that quarter mile area if that area was awarded to Lindsay.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

And that's for the quarter mile outside. Q Okay.

Α Now, as for the Gainesville area, I'm not sure if Gainesville has any investments as far as facility lines or anything in the area, and I'm not sure if they're currently serving in that area, but they do have a CCN for it. And if they -- if Lindsay was awarded a CCN area for that same area, then Gainesville would lose the opportunity to provide service in that area.

All right. And before I go to the second two areas, I wanted to do one other thing, and that is, the TCEQ couldn't approve decertifying Gainesville in this -- for the area of the overlap, could they, in this proceeding?

1 No, that wasn't noticed. And so since we didn't have a decertification noticed, we couldn't do 2 3 that with this proceeding. 4 Q Okay. I want to cover the other two options. Now, if the CCN were granted and excluded all of the 5 property owned by Myrick Development Company, what 6 7 would be the impact on the surrounding utilities? It would probably be similar to the quarter mile because 8 9 it's all within a quarter mile. In fact, the answer 10 might be the same. 11 Right, right, it would be the same except for -- I think it would remove an impact to Lindsay 12 13 Pure, but it would still leave the impact to 14 Gainesville. 15 And then finally, what would be the effect on the utilities -- of the retail public utilities if all 16 17 of the area south of 82, as indicated on ED-3, were 18 excluded? 19 Let me refer to the map. One second. 20 don't --21 MR. RODRIGUEZ: This is ED-3. 2.2 Α Oh. You said ED-3? 23 (BY MR. MacLEOD) Yeah, ED-3. I believe that 24 line -- what color is it?

MR. RODRIGUEZ: The blue line.

1 (BY MR. MacLEOD) The blue line -- if all the area south of the blue line were excluded from the 2 3 CCN. 4 All of the area south of it? 5 0 Yeah. 6 JUDGE NORMAN: Of the blue line. 7 South of the blue line. Ah, if all of the Α area south of the blue line was excluded, what would 8 9 be the impact? I'm sorry, can you --10 What would be the impact on the utilities --Q the different retail public utilities associated with 11 12 this case? 13 Α The different retail -- besides Lindsay, is 14 that what you're saying? 15 I suppose you can go ahead and say what would 16 happen to Lindsay, too. 17 The impact -- if the town of Lindsay were not Α awarded the area south of this blue line, which is 83, 18 Myrick -- I'm sorry -- Myrick Development or Lindsay 19 2.0 Pure -- because Myrick Development isn't the utility -- Lindsay Pure would be -- would not be 21 22 impacted. 23 As for the town of Lindsay, it would be impacted because they've actually got some -- they've 24 got quite a few requests for service in this area, and 25

they could as a city go ahead and provide service to that area and go ahead and obviously put in some lines and some infrastructure to serve those connections, but they would not be able to protect their investment with a CCN. And by that I mean if someone else came in to request a CCN for that area, the city at that point would have to protest the application and go through a similar type proceeding at this point because they don't already have a CCN for that area.

There's other things they could do.

They could also apply for a CCN, and then we would have competing applications in-house. And again, we'd be back in here on a similar matter if they couldn't come to settlement.

Q And then I guess I forgot one more question which I need to ask you, and that is, could you summarize your testimony briefly?

A Yes. I'm just going to flip through my testimony to refresh my memory.

Q That will be fine.

A I begin my testimony by giving a little bit of my educational background and past work experiences. I also discuss how many CCN applications and rate applications I've reviewed and helped process at TCEQ. I discuss the fact that I've testified in

other CCN-related matters and also in rate-related matters at SOAH.

I discuss that the purpose of my testimony is to present the ED's position as to Lindsay's proposed amendments to its water application.

I discuss the fact that I've reviewed all the prefiled testimonies, all the exhibits, all the discovery and all supplemental prefiled that has been filed for this proceeding.

I've also reviewed the town of Lindsay's application with respect to the eight criteria in the old rules that were in effect on August 31, 2005, which are the rules -- which is the date that the applicant filed its application.

I discuss the fact that we received several protests on this application, but Lindsay Pure was the only one named as a party other than the ED and OPIC at the preliminary hearing.

I discussed the fact that as a city, the town of Lindsay is not required to have a CCN to extend service to any area that's outside of its city limits except for where there's currently a retail public utility already serving.

I discuss my position as to whether or

1	not the town of Lindsay can meet the eight criteria
2	for amending a CCN, that position being that I believe
3	the town of Lindsay has the capability to provide
4	continuous and adequate service to the requested area,
5	and that the town of Lindsay should be awarded all of
6	the area that it requested in its water application
7	except for the portion in that overlaps the city of
8	Gainesville and the portion that overlaps the area
9	where Lindsay Pure is already serving, where it
10	currently has facilities already in place or any other
11	area in the South Ridge subdivision.
12	Q Is that a summary of all your testimony?
13	A Yes, it is.
14	MR. MacLEOD: Before I pass the witness,
15	can we go off the record for just a second?
16	JUDGE NORMAN: Yes.
17	(Discussion off the record)
18	MR. MacLEOD: All right. We can go back
19	on the record.
20	JUDGE NORMAN: Okay.
21	MR. MacLEOD: Pass the witness.
22	JUDGE NORMAN: Okay. And I don't know
23	who is the least friendly and the most unfriendly now.
24	(Laughter)
25	MR. STOWE: Do you want to flip a coin?

1	(Laughter)
2	
3	JUDGE NORMAN: Yeah, right. Do you want
	to go first, Mr. Rodriguez?
4	MR. RODRIGUEZ: That's fine.
5	FURTHER RECROSS-EXAMINATION
6	BY MR. RODRIGUEZ:
7	Q Ms. Benter, you've been in the courtroom at
8	least for the majority of the time the testimony has
9	been provided in this case. Is that right?
10	A That's correct.
11	Q And you
12	MR. CARLTON: Art?
13	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I'm sorry. This is the
14	only hearing where people have accused me have not
15	been able to hear me. Generally that's not a problem.
16	MR. CARLTON: You're just too relaxed.
17	(Laughter)
18	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Do you-all need me to
19	repeat that last question?
20	
	MR. CARLTON: Please.
21	Q (BY MR. RODRIGUEZ) All right. Ms. Benter?
22	A Uh-huh?
23	Q You've been here in this proceeding to hear
24	the majority at least a majority of the evidence
25	that's been or testimony that's been provided. Is

1 that correct? 2 That's correct. 3 And specifically you were here for the testimony of Mr. Metzler yesterday? 4 5 Yes, I was. 6 And do you understand him to be the mayor 7 pro-tem of the city? 8 Α Yes, I do. 9 Now, there were some questions regarding LPWC 10 No. 8 and the operative nature of some of the provisions in that -- in the ordinance that's 11 12 contained in that exhibit. Correct? 1.3 That's correct. Α 14 Now, and you also understand that the City of Lindsay, prior to it receiving -- at least the 15 testimony yesterday was that at least prior to 16 receiving the new CCN area on September 30, 2008 that 17 the city's water CCN and the city limits were 18 19 basically one and the same? 20 Correct. 21 Okay. And you also understand that the city has not even had a chance to have a city council 22 meeting since the September 30th issuance of the CCN? 23 24 Α Yes, I do.

Okay.

Now, with respect to the criteria that

are used, the eight criteria that you referenced that 1 2 you used to evaluate the city's CCN application, those are -- there are eight factors. Is that right? 3 4 That's correct. 5 And is there one that's more heavily weighted 6 than the others? 7 Α Not necessarily. They --8 The rules don't provide that. Right? 0 9 Α They don't. You're right. 10 It just says these are factors to consider? Q 1.1 Α Correct. 12 Okay. Now, Ms. Benter, if the CCN that the 13 city has requested in this proceeding is issued and subsequent to the issuance of the CCN a customer has 14 issues with the certificate holder, town of Lindsay, 15 they have -- there's some customer service provisions 16 that they could avail themselves of at the Commission. 17 18 Is that right? 19 Α That's correct. 20 And if they own more than 50 acres, they might avail themselves of a decertification process? It would have to be -- it would have to be a tract of land, meaning it could be -- and by that ${\tt I}$ mean it has to be one tract, but it could be 50 -- I

21

22

23

24

25

mean it has to be a 50 or more acre tract. It can't

be a 30 acre tract here and a 20 acre tract there, and 1 2 I probably shouldn't do that. The record can't get 3 "here and there." 4 0 I understand. 5 JUDGE NORMAN: Contiguous, it would have to be a contiguous tract? 6 7 Yes, thank you. Α 8 (BY MR. RODRIGUEZ) All right. Now, let's go 9 through this because I think you and I went through 10 this yesterday morning. 11 Α Okay. 12 But my understanding is we need to go through 13 it again if we want to get it considered a part of 14 this proceeding. 15 Ά Okay. 16 If a landowner was unhappy --17 Α Uh-huh. 18 -- with the service that was being provided 19 to them by the town of Lindsay, they could avail 20 themselves, regardless of their acreage size, of 21 decertification through an application pursuant to 13.254(a) of the Commission -- of the Texas Water 22 23 Code. Is that right? 24 Of the old rules? Α 25 No, as presently constituted. Not the

Commission rules, the water code. 1 2 JUDGE NORMAN: He's talking about the 3 water code, not the rules. 4 Okay. Of (a), yes. 5 (BY MR. RODRIGUEZ) Okay. 6 And that would be of any size. The (a) doesn't specify the 50. The (a)(1) does. 7 8 There's no tract limitation -- tract size 9 limitation? 10 Α Correct. 11 Okay. And likewise, if you wanted to avail Q yourself of the -- what's commonly referred to at the 12 Commission as the expedited release process, you need 13 14 to have a tract more than 50 acres --15 Uh-huh, but one owner. Α 16 -- not platted and not getting service. Q 17 that right? 18 Α By one owner. 19 Q Right. 20 And (a)(1) is correct. I just wanted to make Α 21 sure. Yes. 22 Q Okay. And even if -- but even if the 2.3 property owner was not seeking decertification but 24 still was unhappy with the utility, the certificate holder, there's also other customer service rules that 25

are contained within the TCEQ rules for them to avail 1 2 themselves of. Is that right? 3 Α That's right. 4 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. I pass the 5 witness, Your Honor. 6 JUDGE NORMAN: Okay. 7 MR. CARLTON: I guess that's me. 8 FURTHER RECROSS-EXAMINATION 9 BY MR. CARLTON: 10 0 Good afternoon, Ms. Benter. 11 Α Hi. I can barely hear you. 12 Q I'll scoot up. 13 Α Okay. 14 I just have a couple of questions. Q By "a couple," do you mean just two? 15 Α 16 JUDGE NORMAN: Everybody gets a couple 17 of questions. 18 (Laughter) 19 (BY MR. CARLTON) Let's take a look at Exhibit ED-3. Do you have that in front of you? 20 21 Α I do. 22 Okay. And you revised your testimony on Page 14 to indicate that you were recommending that 23 the CCN not be granted for any other area in the South 24 25 Ridge subdivision.

1 Α That's correct. 2 And I want to clarify that. When you say 3 "any other area in the South Ridge subdivision," you mean the area that's outlined in red on ED-3. Is that 4 5 correct? 6 I wasn't up here when you guys were marking this. So I don't know what the red area box is 7 8 supposed to stand for. 9 Okay. Then let me clarify this way: You were here for Mr. Myrick's testimony about the South 10 11 Ridge? 12 Α Yes, I was. 13 Okay. And is it your understanding that the South Ridge subdivision includes Phases I, II, III and 14 15 IV and the well site? 16 That's correct. 17 Okay. Thank you. So when you refer to any Q other area in the South Ridge subdivision, you mean 18 19 all four phases plus the well site? 2.0 Α Yes. 21 Thank you. I want to talk a little Okay. 22 bit with you about 13.246 and --23 In the old rules or new rules? Α

the rules would be in 291.102.

Well, 13.246 is the Water Code provision, but

24

1 Oh, I'm sorry. 2 And I'm happy to talk about it in the old 3 rules if you'd like --4 It doesn't matter. 5 -- for these purposes. I really don't think 6 it matters a whole lot. 7 Α Okay. 8 Mr. Rodriguez talked with you about the criteria that are set forth, and would you agree with 9 me that the criteria that are set forth in the 10 agency's rules mimic the criteria set forth in the 11 12 statute? 13 It depends if we're talking about the old Α rules -- old statute or the new statute. 14 15 The statute in effect and the rules in effect 0 at the time the application was filed. 16 17 Α Let me get that book and refer to it. statute that was in effect at the time the application 18 was filed, yes. In the new one, no. 19 20 Correct. So let's -- I guess for ease of talking about it then, let's look at 291.102 in the 21 22 old rules. 23 The old rules, okay. Okay. 24 And (d)(2) is the criteria where it asked -it directs the Commission to consider the need for 25

additional service in the requested area. 1 2 Uh-huh. 3 And I believe that your testimony and opinion has been that the letters that are a part of DLM-104 and KDM-5 are adequate, in your opinion, to satisfy 5 this requirement of showing need for additional 6 7 service? 8 That's one of the items I looked at, yes. Α 9 Okay. And do those letters constitute, in your opinion, evidence of need for additional service? 10 11 Α Yes, they do. 12 Okay. I don't know if, but maybe you do, the definition of "service" has changed from August 31, 13 2005 under the old rules to the new rules. Do you 14 15 know? 16 I don't believe it has. Α 17 Okay. So would you agree with me that the letters that are a part of DLM-10 and KDM-5 do not ask 18 the city to furnish water to those customers? 19 20 Not today, right. Okay. So they don't ask the city to 21 22 undertake any action to provide them with water service at this point in time. Right? 23 24 I don't recall all of them, but I'd have to Α 25 go back and look at them.

1 Can we get out DLM-10? It's in exhibit --0 2 Applicant's Exhibit 2. 3 Okay. Did you say DLM-10? 4 I believe so, and I think we can probably just look at the first letter since they all read the 5 6 same, with two exceptions. 7 They don't specifically say "I want service today," but they say "I am interested in water and 8 9 sewer service to my property located southwest of Lindsay, Texas." So that to me means that they're 10 seeking service. 1 1 12 They're interested in it? 13 Α Uh-huh. But they're not asking the city to perform 14 any act to necessarily provide them with service at 15 16 this point in time? 17 Α Not -- not today, no. 18 And are they asking the city to commit any facilities or lines at this point in time for their 19 20 property? 21 No, they are not. 22 Are they asking the city to use any lines or facilities at this time to serve their property? 23 24 Α No. 25 So all they're really doing is saying to the

city, "Hey, we're interested in being in your CCN so that at some undetermined point in the future we could have water or wastewater service"?

- A Yes, that's correct.
- Q Would you agree with me that there is not at the current time a need for the city to construct any facilities or commit any of its facilities to provide service to areas within the requested CCN?
 - A I can't agree to that.
 - Q And why not?

- A Because I'm not sure if from -- I haven't heard any testimony today, but I don't know for a fact if -- or let me rephrase -- testimony today, but I don't know if they've actually received applications for service in this area between the time of the date that all this information was filed and now or not. And if they did have a request out in one of those areas, then -- and an application of service from a qualified applicant, then, yeah, I do believe they would have need to do that.
- Q But you've been here for the whole proceeding. Right?
 - A Yes, I have.
- Q And there has been none of that type of evidence presented in this proceeding, has there?

1 A Not to my knowledge.

Q Why do you think need is an important criteria for determining whether or not to grant a CCN over a particular area?

A If we don't feel that there's a need based on the evidence, if it's our opinion that there is not a need out there, we don't blanketly grant CCNs for just blank areas where we can't show a demonstration of a need for service. And the reason that we don't is because -- well, for one, you may have someone on the outreach of the system that's seeking service at one point, and that individual may need service. And whoever is getting the CCN, if they're not capable of providing that service within 180 days, then we don't believe that that's providing continuous and adequate service. So we wouldn't grant a CCN over just a blanket area.

It also -- one of the other reasons is because of the cost of having to run lines out to specific areas in the far reaches of a system. It costs -- I mean, it does cost quite a bit, and that's another thing that the utility or whoever is applying for the CCN will have to demonstrate. And so need is not one of the only factors we look at, but it certainly comingles with a lot of the other factors

that we look at. And so we wouldn't grant a CCN for an area we didn't feel comfortable there wasn't a need for.

Q And so -- and is that because if there's no need then you don't want to burden the utility with the obligation to extend service or burden the landowner with the obligation to get service from the utility?

A Both.

Q Okay. So on ED Exhibit 3 -- and I'll use Mr. Rodriguez's example with the Angers -- how far would service have to be extended to serve the Anger property in the southwest corner of the requested area from the town of Lindsay?

A Let me locate the Angers on here. How far from its current CCN, or are you talking about from its current facility lines? I don't know where the facility lines are.

Q Let's go with CCN boundary because I don't think we've had anything admitted that say exactly where the facilities are.

A Right. I think yesterday we said about three and a half to four miles, if I remember correctly.

Q And are you -- you're not an engineer. Right?

1 1

1 No, I'm not. Α 2 So you don't design system extensions and 3 those kinds of things? 4 Α No, I don't. 5 Okay. So would you defer to Mr. Maroney's 6 testimony regarding the cost of extending those lines? 7 Yes, I would. 8 And if that distance is approximately 25,000 9 linear feet --Uh-huh. 10 Α -- at a cost of, for math purposes, \$20 a 11 12 foot, even though I think Mr. Maroney's testimony 13 was 18 -- that would be approximately \$500,000 just to 14 extend the line to the Anger property? From the CCN's current --15 16 Boundary. 17 Yes. Α Is that the kind of obligation that you're 18 19 comfortable imposing upon the city and on the 2.0 landowners in that area? 21 The financial obligation? 22 Q. Yes. 23 I can't really say without looking at -- no, it's not, but I can't really say without knowing 24

exactly where the lines are and where everything is

because that's not the only factor we would consider.

Q Let me ask you this question: We talked a little bit about the expedited release process and decertification. Assume with me that the Angers end up in Lindsay's CCN and assume with me that it is approximately \$500,000 to extend -- to build the line to extend out to them, and they want to get out and the town of Lindsay opposes it. And they come in and say "Look, we need to get out because it's going to cost us \$500,000 to extend service to our property," would the TCEQ allow them to be removed from the city's CCN solely on the basis of the financial impact on the Angers?

A They wouldn't qualify for an expedited release if they don't have at least 50 acres or more.

Q Okay.

A And you specifically said expedited release, but there's other methods they can use to ask for decertification.

Q Okay. Well, assume with me that they have 50 acres -- this property has 50 acres, and I don't know whether the Angers do or not but in that same general area. Under expedited release, will TCEQ decertify based solely upon financial impact to the landowner?

If they had an alternative service provider 1 Α that was capable of serving them, yes. 2 3 And would the same thing be true under the other methods for release from the CCN? 4 5 Α Yes. 6 Let's turn to 291.102(d)(3). 0 7 Back to the old? Old rules; yeah, we're just going to work on 8 9 the old rules here. 10 Okay. 291.102(d)(3)? 11 (3). 12 Okay. 13 "The effect of the granting of a certificate 14 on" -- and I'm going to skip the recipient because I 15 think we've talked about that plenty -- but also "on any retail public utility of the same kind already 16 17 serving the proximate area." 18 Uh-huh. Α 19 Now, is it your interpretation of that rule that it has to be a utility that's serving an 20 21 overlapping area? 22 No, not -- no. In fact, my testimony refers Α 23 to a couple of other ones. I think it was Myra. I'd 24 have to look at it. I don't remember, but it refers

to several other utilities in the approximate area,

not just overlapping.

2.3

Q Okay. So what we're looking at is utilities that not necessarily have to overlap, but could be adjacent to or just in the general area?

A Correct.

Q Okay. And why do you think that criteria is important for consideration when the Commission evaluates an application for a CCN?

A There's several reasons. For one, again, stranded investments. We don't like to see utilities -- investor-owned utilities have different -- investor-owned utilities are a little bit different than -- well, they're a lot different than municipalities, like the town of Lindsay's, for example, and Lindsay Pure.

Lindsay Pure, if it has investments out there that the customers have already -- it all plays into whether they get a contribution in aid of construction, did the customers actually give -- you know, put in those facilities at their own expense and then give them to the utility. So it all plays into the utility may be able to recover its cost. And we don't want utilities out there to go certainly bankrupt or anything. So we're trying to protect any investments out there from being stranded or not being

used and utilities to go under. That's one reason.

Another reason is because we're trying to ensure that the Commission's policy on regionalization is -- that we take that into consideration. And so with regionalization we want utilities that -- we're trying to prevent new utilities or new facilities and certainly wells or other types of water infrastructure from just blanketly going out there, and we trying to make sure we've got utilities that can provide continuous and adequate service providing out there. We don't want to leave a utility with the -- I mean, we don't want to make them incapable of providing service. So we don't take that into consideration.

- Q And those are the two, from your perspective, those two things that you've talked about, one, the stranded investments and then regionalization?
 - A Those are two of the factors, yeah.
- Q Okay. Let me deal with regionalization real quickly because you talked about something -- and I agree with you -- the policy is in place in order to prevent this proliferation of utility systems.
 - A Right.
- Q And would you turn with me to Exhibit 2 to your testimony, TB-ED-2, particularly on Page 4 and

2.2

2.3

particularly the statement about who the regionalization policy applies to. Does the regionalization policy apply to CCN amendments?

A It does not, but we do take the factors of regionalization still under consideration for the provision of continuous and adequate service.

Q Okay. And perhaps the reason it wouldn't necessarily apply to CCN amendments is because you already have those utilities in place and there is no further proliferation caused by the issuance of a CCN. Right?

A Not by the issuance of a CCN, but if for some reason they had to, depending on population density or density of development, and for whatever reason, if they needed to put in a new system, we would certainly consider that in the future.

So if we're going to grant a CCN for a larger area, we're certainly going to take regionalization into consideration being the utility may have to come in and add additional plants later on or drill any well or so forth.

Q Okay. So is it your understanding that the town of Lindsay can serve the proposed CCN area without drilling an additional well?

A They can serve up to another 300 and